NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

DANIEL PARK, a.k.a. Dane Hamilton,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 14-50200

D.C. No. 2:13-cr-00564-MWF

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Michael W. Fitzgerald, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 16, 2016**

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, LEAVY, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Daniel Park appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the

87-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for possession

with intent to distribute oxycodone, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1),

(b)(1)(C). Pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Park's counsel

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

AUG 22 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Park the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Park waived the right to appeal five specified issues related to his sentence. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief as to any sentencing issue outside the scope of the appeal waiver. We therefore affirm as to those issues. We dismiss the remainder of the appeal in light of the valid appeal waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 988 (9th Cir. 2009).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED in part; DISMISSED in part.