**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FEB 25 2015

## MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

LUIS ENRIQUE AVILA-ACOSTA,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 14-50368

D.C. No. 3:11-cr-04107-GT

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California Gordon Thompson, Jr., District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 17, 2015\*\*

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, LEAVY, and FERNANDEZ, Circuit Judges.

Luis Enrique Avila-Acosta appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the 21-month sentence imposed upon revocation of supervised release. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Avila-Acosta contends that the district court procedurally erred by failing to respond to his non-frivolous argument for leniency and by failing to explain adequately its sentence. We review for harmless error, *see United States v. Munoz-Camarena*, 631 F.3d 1028, 1030 & n.5 (9th Cir. 2011) (per curiam), and find no error. The record reflects that the district court considered Avila-Acosta's arguments for leniency, and the court's explanation of its low-end Guidelines sentence was adequate. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 992-93 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

AFFIRMED.

2 14-50368