

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OCT 29 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

YUSUF KARIM,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 14-70186

Agency No. A095-630-105

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 22, 2018**

Before: SILVERMAN, GRABER, and GOULD, Circuit Judges.

Yusuf Karim, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Karim’s motion to reopen as untimely where the motion was filed more than two years after the BIA’s final order, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and where Karim failed to establish materially changed country conditions in Indonesia to qualify for the regulatory exception to the time limitation for filing a motion to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *see also Najmabadi*, 597 F.3d at 990-91 (evidence must be “qualitatively different” to warrant reopening).

We reject Karim’s contentions that the BIA erred in its evaluation of his evidence or in its analysis of his claims.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.