

NOV 22 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GUSTAVO BERMUDEZ-BARAJAS,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-71921

Agency No. A099-628-622

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 16, 2016**

Before: LEAVY, BERZON, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Gustavo Bermudez-Barajas, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Singh v. Holder*, 771 F.3d 647, 650 (9th Cir. 2014). We grant the petition for review and remand.

Bermudez-Barajas moved to reopen so that he could pursue an I-601A provisional waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 212.7(e). At that time, an individual who had been in removal proceedings was eligible for the waiver only if the agency had administratively closed proceedings, instead of entering a removal order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 212.7(e)(4) (2013).

The BIA correctly noted that Bermudez-Barajas' final order of removal rendered him ineligible for the waiver. However, the BIA abused its discretion in denying Bermudez-Barajas' motion to reopen because it appears not to have considered whether he was entitled to reopening as a matter of discretion. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a); *Singh*, 771 F.3d at 653 (the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen on jurisdictional grounds was legal error, and thus an abuse of discretion, because it had authority to reopen under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a)). We therefore grant the petition and remand for further proceedings.

In light of this disposition, we do not reach Bermudez-Barajas' remaining contentions.

PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED; REMANDED.