NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LI QIANG CHENG,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-72211

Agency No. A099-538-847

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Argued and Submitted November 17, 2017 Pasadena, California

Before: **KOZINSKI** and **IKUTA**, Circuit Judges, and **GETTLEMAN**,^{**} District Judge.

"We review the BIA's findings of fact, including credibility findings, for

substantial evidence and uphold the BIA's findings unless the evidence compels a

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The Honorable Robert W. Gettleman, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

FILED

DEC 1 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

page 2

contrary result." <u>Cui</u> v. <u>Holder</u>, 712 F.3d 1332, 1336 (9th Cir. 2013). Cheng has presented no evidence that the I-213 form was inaccurate, <u>see Espinoza</u> v. <u>INS</u>, 45 F.3d 308, 310 (9th Cir. 1995), and has not exhausted his claim that the I-826 form was improperly admitted. <u>See Abebe</u> v. <u>Mukasey</u>, 554 F.3d 1203, 1208 (9th Cir. 2009) (en banc). Nor has Cheng established that the immigration judge's credibility finding lacked support in the record: "[E]ven a petitioner's minor inconsistencies, when aggregated or when viewed in light of the total circumstances, may undermine credibility." <u>Shrestha</u> v. <u>Holder</u>, 590 F.3d 1034, 1043 n.4 (9th Cir. 2010).

PETITION DENIED.