

NOV 23 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EULALIO ROMERO-SANCHEZ, AKA
Eulalio Romero, AKA Jason Sagun-
Alatorre,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-73338

Agency No. A205-711-508

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

November 18, 2015**

Before: TASHIMA, OWENS, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Eulalio Romero-Sanchez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") denial of his motion to reconsider. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider, *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791 (9th Cir. 2005), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion by denying Romero-Sanchez's motion to reconsider the denial of his cancellation of removal application, where Romero-Sanchez failed to submit evidence establishing that he was no longer convicted of a controlled substance offense for immigration purposes. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(C); *Murillo-Espinoza v. INS*, 261 F.3d 771, 774 (9th Cir. 2001) (rehabilitative vacatur do not remove convictions from consideration for immigration purposes).

In light of this disposition, we do not reach Romero-Sanchez's remaining contentions. *See Simeonov v. Ashcroft*, 371 F.3d 532, 538 (9th Cir. 2004) ("As a general rule courts and agencies are not required to make findings on issues the decision of which is unnecessary to the results they reach." (citation and quotation marks omitted)).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.