

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 22 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

PATRICIA ORTIZ SALINAS,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 14-74036

Agency No. A092-397-663

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of an
Immigration Judge's Decision

Submitted December 18, 2017**

Before: WALLACE, SILVERMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Patricia Ortiz Salinas, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the immigration judge's ("IJ") determination under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.31(a) that she did not have a reasonable fear of persecution or torture and thus is not entitled to relief from her reinstated removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for substantial evidence the IJ’s factual findings. *Andrade-Garcia v. Lynch*, 828 F.3d 829, 836 (9th Cir. 2016). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ’s determination that Ortiz Salinas failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of future persecution in Mexico on account of a protected ground. *See Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1016, 1018 (9th Cir. 2003) (possibility of future persecution “too speculative”).

Substantial evidence also supports the IJ’s determination that Ortiz Salinas failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of torture by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government of Mexico. *See Zheng v. Holder*, 644 F.3d 829, 835-36 (9th Cir. 2011) (fear of torture speculative).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.