

AUG 05 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BILLY CEPERO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DOUGLAS GILLESPIE; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 15-15039

D.C. No. 2:11-cv-01421-JAD-
GWF

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Jennifer A. Dorsey, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 26, 2016**

Before: SCHROEDER, CANBY and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Nevada state prisoner Billy Cepero appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging federal and state law claims arising out of an arrest. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). *Kneivel v. ESPN*, 393

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

F.3d 1068, 1072 (9th Cir. 2005). We reverse and remand.

The district court concluded that Cepero's complaint was not timely filed. However, the record reflects that Cepero constructively filed a handwritten complaint before the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations period. *See Loya v. Desert Sands Unified Sch. Dist.*, 721 F.2d 279, 281 (9th Cir. 1983) (complaint which arrives in the custody of the court clerk within the statutory period but fails to conform with local rules is nevertheless deemed filed for statute of limitations purposes); *see also Ordonez v. Johnson*, 254 F.3d 814, 816 (9th Cir. 2001) (pro se prisoner constructively filed amended complaint before filing deadline when it was received but returned unfiled by the district court). Therefore, we reverse the judgment and remand for further proceedings.

We do not consider documents not filed with the district court. *See United States v. Elias*, 921 F.2d 870, 874 (9th Cir. 1990) ("Documents or facts not presented to the district court are not part of the record on appeal.").

REVERSED and REMANDED.