NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 6 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GEOVANNI RUBIO-BLANCO, AKA Geovanni Rubio Blanco, AKA Deovanni E. Blanco-Rubio, AKA Carlos Lopez, AKA Geovanni Rubio, AKA Geovanni Geovanni Eulises Blanco Rubio,

Petitioner.

v.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 15-70687

Agency No. A074-116-516

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Immigration Judge

Submitted February 4, 2020**

Before: FERNANDEZ, SILVERMAN, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Geovanni Rubio-Blanco, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions pro se for review of an immigration judge's ("IJ") determination under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.31(a) that he did not have a reasonable fear of persecution or torture in El

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Salvador and thus is not entitled to relief from his reinstated removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the IJ's factual findings. *Andrade-Garcia v. Lynch*, 828 F.3d 829, 833 (9th Cir. 2016). We deny the petition for review.

We reject Rubio-Blanco's contention that he is eligible for asylum. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1208.31(g)(2)(i).

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's conclusion that Rubio-Blanco failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of future persecution in El Salvador on account of a protected ground. *See Zetino v. Holder*, 622 F.3d 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2010) (petitioner's "desire to be free from harassment by criminals motivated by theft or random violence by gang members bears no nexus to a protected ground").

Substantial evidence also supports the IJ's conclusion that Rubio-Blanco failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of torture by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government if returned to El Salvador. *See Andrade-Garcia*, 828 F.3d at 836-37.

We reject as unsupported Rubio-Blanco's vague claim that the IJ violated his right to due process.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

2 15-70687