

FEB 22 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SOFIA SALDIVAR DELATORRE, AKA
Sofia Delatorre, AKA Sofia Saldivar,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFF B. SESSIONS, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 15-71254

Agency No. A088-710-624

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 14, 2017**

Before: GOODWIN, FARRIS, and FERNANDEZ, Circuit Judges.

Sofia Saldivar Delatorre, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reconsider the BIA's prior dismissal of her appeal from an immigration judge's

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

decision denying her application for special rule cancellation of removal for battered spouses pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(2). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider. *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Delatorre’s motion to reconsider, where she failed to establish any error of fact or law in the BIA’s prior order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1) (a motion to reconsider must specify errors of fact or law in a prior decision); 8 U.S.C. § 1101(f) (defining good moral character “[f]or the purposes of this Act”); *cf. Romero-Ochoa v. Holder*, 712 F.3d 1328, 1330-32 (9th Cir. 2013) (concluding that 8 U.S.C. § 1101(f)(7) was constitutional in the context of cancellation of removal and voluntary departure under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1229b(b)(1), 1229c(b)(1)).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.