

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 19 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SANDRA MORAES,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 15-71828

Agency No. A200-041-932

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an  
Immigration Judge's Decision

Submitted September 12, 2018\*\*

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Sandra Moraes, a native and citizen of Brazil, petitions for review of an immigration judge's ("IJ") determination under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.31(a) that she did not have a reasonable fear of persecution or torture in Brazil, and thus is not entitled to relief from her reinstated removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the IJ's factual findings.

*Andrade-Garcia v. Lynch*, 828 F.3d 829, 833 (9th Cir. 2016). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's determination that Moraes failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of persecution on account of a protected ground. *See Pagayon v. Holder*, 675 F.3d 1182, 1191 (9th Cir. 2011) (a personal dispute, standing alone, does not constitute persecution based on a protected ground).

Substantial evidence also supports the IJ's determination that Moraes failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of torture by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government of Brazil. *See Andrade-Garcia*, 828 F.3d at 836-37.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**