

DEC 19 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSE ESTANISLAO CALLEJAS-  
GUZMAN, AKA Jose Estanislao Guzman-  
Callejas,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 15-73456

Agency No. A094-457-298

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 14, 2016\*\*

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Jose Estanislao Callejas-Guzman, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to remand and dismissing his appeal from an immigration

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

judge's order of removal. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

Callejas-Guzman does not raise, and therefore has waived, any challenge to the BIA's dispositive determinations regarding asylum, withholding of removal, protection under the Convention Against Torture, cancellation of removal, suspension of deportation, U-visa eligibility, and ineffective assistance in denying his motion to remand and dismissing his appeal. *See Tijani v. Holder*, 628 F.3d 1071, 1080 (9th Cir. 2010) (issues not raised in an opening brief are waived).

Callejas-Guzman failed to exhaust his contentions regarding lawful presence, his conviction for driving under the influence, and Temporary Protected Status. *See id.* (the court lacks jurisdiction to consider legal claims not presented in an alien's administrative proceedings before the agency).

We do not consider the extra-record materials that Callejas-Guzman submitted with his opening brief and in Docket No. 17. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(A) (the court's review is limited to the administrative record).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**