

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 2 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

LUIS ALBERTO ROCHA RIOS,

No. 15-73788

Petitioner,

Agency No. A205-053-380

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 24, 2017\*\*

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, and SILVERMAN and RAWLINSON,  
Circuit Judges.

Luis Alberto Rocha Rios, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his motion for a continuance or administrative closure. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for abuse of discretion the agency's denial of a continuance. *Sandoval-Luna v. Mukasey*, 526 F.3d 1243, 1246 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying for lack of good cause Rocha Rios's motion for an indefinite continuance to allow him to adjust his status once his approved relative visa petition became current, where he presented no evidence that relief was immediately available to him. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.29 (an IJ may grant a continuance for good cause shown); *Sandoval-Luna*, 526 F.3d at 1247 (no good cause for continuance where relief from removal was not immediately available).

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency's determination regarding administrative closure. *See Diaz-Covarrubias v. Mukasey*, 551 F.3d 1114, 1118 (9th Cir. 2009).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**