## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NATIVIDAD RAMOS-MARROQUIN,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 16-71341

Agency No. A205-855-677

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 18, 2017\*\*

Before: WALLACE, SILVERMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Natividad Ramos-Marroquin, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for

review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from an

immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her motion for a continuance. We

have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

DEC 20 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS denial of a continuance and review de novo questions of law. *Ahmed v. Holder*, 569 F.3d 1009, 1012 (9th Cir. 2009). We deny the petition for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying for lack of good cause Ramos-Marroquin's motion for a further continuance, where Ramos-Marroquin was not eligible to adjust her status, and post-conviction relief remained a speculative possibility at the time of her final hearing. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.29; *Ahmed*, 569 F.3d at 1012 (outlining factors for the reviewing court to consider when reviewing the agency's denial of a continuance); *Singh v. Holder*, 638 F.3d 1264, 1274 (9th Cir. 2011) ("[T]he IJ [is] not required to grant a continuance based on . . . speculations."); *cf. Malilia v. Holder*, 632 F.3d 598, 606 (9th Cir. 2011) (listing factors the agency should consider in determining whether to continue proceedings for adjudication of a pending visa petition).

The record does not support Ramos-Marroquin's contention that the agency failed to apply the correct standard or consider relevant factors in denying the continuance.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.