## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ANTWAN FORTENBERRY,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 17-10123

D.C. No. 2:14-cr-00387-JAD

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Nevada Jennifer A. Dorsey, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 15, 2018\*\*

Before: FARRIS, BYBEE, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Antwan Fortenberry appeals from the district court's judgment and

challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 96-month sentence for being a felon in

possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C.  $\S$  922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2).

Pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Fortenberry's counsel has

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

AUG 20 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Fortenberry the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Fortenberry waived his right to appeal his conviction and sentence. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We accordingly dismiss the appeal. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

## **DISMISSED.**