## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

NOV 13 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 17-10154

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No.

3:15-cr-08178-SRB-1

V.

VAUGHN PAUL JAMES,

MEMORANDUM\*

and

Defendant-Appellant.

ORDER

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Susan R. Bolton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 16, 2018\*\*\*
San Francisco, California

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, GRABER, Circuit Judge, and LASNIK,\*\*\* District Judge.

Defendant-Appellant Vaughn Paul James pleaded guilty to aiding and abetting second degree murder, and he waived his right to appeal. He was

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik, United States District Judge for the Western District of Washington, sitting by designation.

sentenced to 25 years of imprisonment. James's counsel filed a motion to withdraw as counsel of record and a brief pursuant to <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). James has not filed a pro se supplemental brief, and the government did not file an answering brief. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Our review of the <u>Anders</u> brief, and our independent review of the record pursuant to <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), do not reveal any arguable issues for appeal. Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel of record is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.

2 17-10109