

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAY 18 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 17-10353

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 1:10-cr-00537-SOM

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

RYAN KIMURA,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Hawaii  
Susan O. Mollway, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 15, 2018\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, BEA, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Ryan Kimura appeals from the revocation of supervised release and aggregate 6-month sentence imposed upon revocation to be followed by a total of 54 months of supervised release. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Kimura's counsel had filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Kimura the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

We decline to address on direct appeal Kimura's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel. *See United States v. Rahman*, 642 F.3d 1257, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 2011).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED.**