

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OCT 3 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

WALTER TRIPP,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

CONNIE S. BISBEE; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 17-15089

D.C. No. 3:15-cv-00030-RCJ-VPC

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Robert Clive Jones, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 26, 2017\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, TALLMAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Walter Tripp, a Nevada state prisoner, appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging that defendants violated his equal protection rights in connection with parole hearings. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal for failure to

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. *Wilhelm v. Rotman*, 680 F.3d 1113, 1118 (9th Cir. 2012). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Tripp’s action because Tripp failed to allege facts sufficient to show that he was “intentionally treated differently from others similarly situated and that there is no rational basis for the difference in treatment.” *Vill. of Willowbrook v. Olech*, 528 U.S. 562, 564 (2000) (per curiam) (elements of “class of one” equal protection claim); *Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338, 341-42 (9th Cir. 2010) (although pro se pleadings are to be construed liberally, a plaintiff must present factual allegations sufficient to state a plausible claim for relief).

Tripp’s request that this court order parole and probation to make Tripp’s parole file available, set forth in his opening brief, is denied.

**AFFIRMED.**