

DEC 22 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ANTHONY GERARD LEWIS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

M. COLVIN, Senior Librarian; K. J.  
ALLEN, Appeals Examiner; S.  
MIRANDA, L.T.A.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 17-15501

D.C. No. 5:15-cv-03335-BLF

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of California  
Beth Labson Freeman, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 18, 2017\*\*

Before: WALLACE, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Anthony Gerard Lewis, a California state prisoner, appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

defendants denied him access to the courts. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Jones v. Union Pac. R.R. Co.*, 968 F.2d 937, 940 (9th Cir. 1992). We affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment on Lewis's access-to-courts claim because Lewis failed to raise a genuine dispute of material fact as to whether he suffered any actual injury. *See Lewis v. Casey*, 518 U.S. 343, 348-51 (1996) (setting forth the elements of an access-to-courts claim and the actual injury requirement).

**AFFIRMED.**