## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 22 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 17-50051

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 3:14-cr-02804-LAB

V.

MEMORANDUM\*

ISMELDA SANCHEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California Larry A. Burns, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 18, 2017\*\*

Before: WALLACE, SILVERMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Ismelda Sanchez appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the 68-month sentence imposed upon remand following her guilty-plea conviction for importation of heroin and methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 952 and 960. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Sanchez contends that the district court erred by denying her request for a minor role reduction under U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2. We review the district court's interpretation of the Guidelines de novo, and its application of the Guidelines to the facts of the case for abuse of discretion. *See United States v. Gasca-Ruiz*, 852 F.3d 1167, 1170 (9th Cir. 2017) (en banc).

Sanchez argues that the district court failed to compare her to two of her coparticipants in the offense, and incorrectly concluded that she was not entitled to a minor role adjustment under the amended Guideline. The record reflects that the court compared Sanchez to all of the co-participants she identified, and found that Sanchez was not "substantially less culpable than the average participant."

U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2 cmt. n.3(A). In light of the totality of the circumstances, including Sanchez's prior drug smuggling activity, the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the reduction. *See* U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2 cmt. n.3(C); *Gasca-Ruiz*, 852 F.3d at 1170.

## AFFIRMED.

2 17-50051