

**FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

TRINA RAY, individually, and on  
behalf of others similarly situated,  
*Plaintiff-Appellee,*

v.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
*Defendant-Appellant.*

No. 17-56581

D.C. No.  
2:17-cv-04239-  
PA-SK

TRINA RAY; SASHA WALKER,  
individually, and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,  
*Plaintiffs-Appellants,*

v.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT  
OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES,  
Erroneously Sued As County of Los  
Angeles,

*Defendant-Appellee.*

No. 18-55276

D.C. No.  
2:17-cv-04239-  
PA-SK

ORDER

Filed October 8, 2019

Before: Kim McLane Wardlaw and Mark J. Bennett,  
Circuit Judges, and Kathleen Cardone,\* District Judge.

Order

---

## **ORDER**

The opinion filed on August 22, 2019 is amended as follows:

On page six of the opinion, in the paragraph beginning “As employers of the homecare providers,” replace <As employers of the homecare providers, the State and County> with <Assuming, without deciding, the State and County are employers of the homecare providers, they>.

With this amendment, the panel votes to deny the appellant’s petition for panel rehearing. [Dkt. 51] Judges Wardlaw and Bennett vote to deny the appellant’s petition for rehearing en banc [DKT No. 51], and Judge Cardone so recommends. The full court has been advised of the petition for rehearing en banc, and no active judge has requested a vote on whether to rehear the matter en banc. Fed. R. App. P. 35. The petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc is therefore **DENIED**. No further petitions for panel or en banc rehearing shall be permitted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

---

\* The Honorable Kathleen Cardone, United States District Judge for the Western District of Texas, sitting by designation.