FILED 1 NOT FOR PUBLICATION 2 AUG 21 2018 3 UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS 4 MOLLY C. DWYER. CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 5 FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT 6 JOSE LEON GONZALES-LONGORIA, No. 18-15777 AKA Jose Leon Gonzalez-Longoria, D.C. No. 1:17-cv-01587-LJO-MJS Petitioner-Appellant, MEMORANDUM* v. DENNIS MATTHEW WONG; et al., Respondents-Appellees. 7 8 Appeal from the United States District Court 9 for the Eastern District of California 10 Lawrence J. O'Neill, Chief Judge, Presiding 11 Submitted August 15, 2018** 12 13 Before: FARRIS, BYBEE, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges. 14 15 Federal prisoner Jose Leon Gonzales-Longoria appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his petition for writ of mandamus. We have 16 jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. Wilhelm v. Rotman, 680 17 F.3d 1113, 1118 (9th Cir. 2012) (dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A); Kildare v. 18 This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

- 1 Saenz, 325 F. 3d 1078, 1082 (9th Cir. 2003) (denial of mandamus); Barren v.
- 2 Harrington, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (order) (dismissal under 28
- 3 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii)). We affirm.
- 4 The district court properly dismissed Gonzalez-Longoria's petition for writ
- 5 of mandamus because Gonzalez-Longoria failed to show that there was "no other
- 6 adequate remedy" available. Lowry v. Barhart, 329 F.3d 1019, 1021 (9th Cir.
- 7 2003) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted) (setting forth elements for
- 8 mandamus relief).
- 9 **AFFIRMED.**