

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

OCT 21 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

OSCAR SANVICENTE-MOLINA,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 18-70689

Agency No. A205-412-459

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 15, 2019\*\*

Before: FARRIS, LEAVY, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Oscar Sanvicente-Molina, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law.

*Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

petition for review.

Sanvicente-Molina's claim that the IJ violated due process by allegedly excluding his wife's testimony fails for lack of prejudice, where he did not establish that his wife's testimony may have changed the result in his case. *See Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error and substantial prejudice to prevail on a due process claim).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**