## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 22 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARIA VICENTA RODRIGUEZ-DE RODRIGUEZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

V.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 18-70884

Agency Nos. A209-117-791

A209-117-792

A209-117-793

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 19, 2019\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, SILVERMAN, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Maria Vicenta Rodriguez-de Rodriguez and her two daughters, natives and citizens of El Salvador, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying their application for asylum, withholding of removal, and relief under the Convention

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings. *Garcia-Milian v. Holder*, 755 F.3d 1026, 1031 (9th Cir. 2014). We deny the petition for review.

Petitioners fear harm in El Salvador because of their membership in a particular social group. Substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that petitioners failed to establish that any harm they fear in El Salvador would be on account of a protected ground. See Reyes v. Lynch, 842 F.3d 1125, 1131 (9th Cir. 2016) (in order to demonstrate membership in a particular group, "[t]he applicant must 'establish that the group is (1) composed of members who share a common immutable characteristic, (2) defined with particularity, and (3) socially distinct within the society in question'" (quoting *Matter of M-E-V-G-*, 26 I. & N. Dec. 227, 237 (BIA 2014))); see also Zetino v. Holder, 622 F.3d 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2010) ("An [applicant's] desire to be free from harassment by criminals motivated by theft or random violence by gang members bears no nexus to a protected ground"). Thus, petitioners' asylum and withholding of removal claims fail.

Substantial evidence also supports the agency's denial of CAT relief because Rodriguez-de Rodriguez failed to show it is more likely than not she would be

2 18-70884

tortured by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government of El Salvador.

See Aden v. Holder, 589 F.3d 1040, 1047 (9th Cir. 2009).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

3 18-70884