

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAR 15 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JOGINDER SINGH,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 18-70948

Agency No. A075-722-264

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 12, 2019**

Before: LEAVY, BEA, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Joginder Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order sustaining the Department of Homeland Security's appeal of the immigration judge's decision granting Singh's waiver of inadmissibility under 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(1)(H). Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We dismiss the petition for review.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency’s discretionary denial of a waiver of inadmissibility. *See San Pedro v. Ashcroft*, 395 F.3d 1156, 1157-58 (9th Cir. 2005). Singh has not alleged a colorable constitutional claim or question of law to invoke our jurisdiction. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 930 (9th Cir. 2005) (“[T]raditional abuse of discretion challenges recast as alleged due process violations do not constitute colorable constitutional claims that would invoke our jurisdiction.”).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.