

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 24 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 19-10060

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No.

v.

4:18-cr-01390-JGZ-BPV-1

GERMAIN LONGORIA-SAGASTE,

MEMORANDUM\*

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Jennifer G. Zipps, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 18, 2019\*\*

Before: FARRIS, TASHIMA, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Germain Longoria-Sagaste appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 18-month sentence for reentry of a removed alien, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Longoria-Sagaste's counsel has filed a brief stating that there

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record.

We have provided Longoria-Sagaste the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED.**