

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 16 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 19-30065

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 4:15-cr-06049-EFS-21

v.

MEMORANDUM*

MIGUEL REYES GARCIA,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Washington
Edward F. Shea, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 11, 2019**

Before: WALLACE, CANBY, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Miguel Reyes Garcia appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 180-month sentence for conspiracy to distribute controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Reyes Garcia's counsel has filed a brief

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Reyes Garcia the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.