### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

## WAYNE PERRYMAN; SEAN PERRYMAN,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

CITY OF SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 19-35129

D.C. No. 2:17-cv-00274-RSL

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington Robert S. Lasnik, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 11, 2019\*\*

Before: WALLACE, CANBY, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Wayne Perryman and Sean Perryman appeal pro se from the district court's

judgment dismissing their 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging federal and state law

claims. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a

# \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

### **FILED**

DEC 18 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS dismissal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). *Cervantes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 656 F.3d 1034, 1040 (9th Cir. 2011). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed plaintiffs' action because plaintiffs failed to allege facts sufficient to state a plausible claim. *See Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338, 341-42 (9th Cir. 2010) (although pro se pleadings are liberally construed, a plaintiff must allege facts sufficient to state a plausible claim); *Beck v. Upland*, 527 F.3d 853, 864 (9th Cir. 2008) (a false arrest claim requires the absence of probable cause); *Monteiro v. Tempe Union High Sch. Dist.*, 158 F.3d 1022, 1026 (9th Cir. 1998) (§ 1983 equal protection claim must allege facts that are at least susceptible to an inference of intentional discrimination).

We do not consider matters not specifically and distinctly raised and argued in the opening brief, or arguments and allegations raised for the first time on appeal. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

Plaintiffs' motions to supplement the record are denied.

#### AFFIRMED.