

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 14 2022

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: PRAVEEN KEVIN KHURANA,

No. 19-60069

Debtor,

BAP No. 18-1196

MEMORANDUM*

PRAVEEN KEVIN KHURANA,

Appellant,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
WELFARE, STATE OF IDAHO,

Appellee.

Appeal from the Ninth Circuit
Bankruptcy Appellate Panel
Brand, Gan, and Faris, Bankruptcy Judges, Presiding

Submitted December 8, 2022**

Before: WALLACE, TALLMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Praveen Kevin Khurana appeals pro se from the Bankruptcy Appellate

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Panel’s (“BAP”) judgment affirming the bankruptcy court’s orders in connection with a state court fraudulent transfer action. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 158(d). We affirm.

In his opening brief, Khurana failed to address how the BAP or bankruptcy court erred and has therefore waived his challenge to the BAP’s judgment or the bankruptcy court’s orders. *See Indep. Towers of Wash. v. Washington*, 350 F.3d 925, 929 (9th Cir. 2003) (explaining that “we will not consider any claims that were not actually argued in appellant’s opening brief”); *Greenwood v. FAA*, 28 F.3d 971, 977 (9th Cir. 1994) (noting that “[w]e will not manufacture arguments for an appellant . . .”).

AFFIRMED.