## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 23 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MICHAEL E. LESH,

No. 19-71780

Petitioner,

BRB No. 18-0496

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

ADVANTAGE FEDERAL RESOURCING; et al.,

Respondents.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Benefits Review Board

Submitted February 11, 2021\*\*
San Francisco, California

Before: HURWITZ and BRESS, Circuit Judges, and FEINERMAN,\*\*\* District Judge.

Michael E. Lesh petitions for review of the Department of Labor Benefits Review Board's decisions affirming two orders issued by the administrative law

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The Honorable Gary Feinerman, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

judge awarding attorneys' fees and supplemental attorneys' fees to Lesh's attorney, Charles Robinowitz, pursuant to the Longshore and Harbor Workers'

Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. § 901 *et seq*. This court recently issued a precedential opinion, *Seachris v. Brady-Hamilton Stevedore Co.*, No. 18-71807, \_\_ F.3d \_\_ (9th Cir. Apr. 19, 2021), which addresses a Benefits Review Board fee award to Robinowitz in a manner that likely bears on the orders challenged here. Accordingly, we grant Lesh's petition for review, vacate the Board's decisions, and remand to the Board for further consideration in light of *Seachris*. Each party shall bear its own costs on appeal.

PETITION GRANTED; VACATED and REMANDED.