

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 10 2020

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

EDGAR RAMIREZ-MARTINEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Nos. 20-10037
20-10038

D.C. Nos.
4:19-cr-50149-JAS-BGM-1
4:19-cr-01926-JAS-BGM-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
James A. Soto, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 5, 2020**

Before: SCHROEDER, HAWKINS, and LEE, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Edgar Ramirez-Martinez appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 54-month sentence for reentry of a removed alien, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326, and the revocation of supervised release and partially concurrent 12-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v.*

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Ramirez-Martinez’s counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Ramirez-Martinez the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief in these direct appeals.

Counsel’s motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.