## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 18 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 20-10044

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No.

2:19-cr-00333-DLR-1

v.

JOHN ADAM BACHLER,

MEMORANDUM\*

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Douglas L. Rayes, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted November 20, 2020 Phoenix, Arizona

Before: BYBEE, MURGUIA, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

The government appeals the district court's order suppressing all firearms and ammunition found in John Bachler's apartment pursuant to a search warrant issued by a magistrate judge. Because the parties are familiar with the facts, we do not recount them here. We review the district court's suppression order de novo. *United States v. Crews*, 502 F.3d 1130, 1135 (9th Cir. 2007). We have jurisdiction under

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

18 U.S.C. § 3731, and we reverse.

The government argues that the warrant to search Bachler's apartment, which allowed agents to search for and seize "[f]irearms and ammunition," was supported by probable cause and therefore not overbroad under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution. "A magistrate judge may issue a search warrant if, under the totality of the circumstances, there is a fair probability that contraband or evidence of a crime will be found in a particular location." *United States v. Clark*, 31 F.3d 831, 834 (9th Cir. 1994). "A magistrate judge's finding of probable cause is entitled to great deference and this court will not find a search warrant invalid if the magistrate judge had a 'substantial basis' for concluding that the supporting affidavit established probable cause." *Crews*, 502 F.3d at 1135 (citation omitted).

Here, federal law prohibited Bachler from possessing any firearm because he was subject to a domestic-violence protective order. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8). Bachler requested an exception from the firearm prohibition in state court, seeking to repossess a "collection" of "military firearms," but the state court denied any exception and warned Bachler that he could not lawfully possess a firearm. Two days later, Bachler visited the Phoenix Police Department's Property Management Bureau, handed a clerk incorrect and unsigned paperwork, and erroneously received ten firearms. Bachler had also been caught illegally possessing an eleventh firearm during a traffic stop while subject to the protective order. These facts were

adequately explained in a federal agent's affidavit, and that affidavit was attached to a warrant application to search Bachler's apartment and vehicle for "[f]irearms and ammunition."

Relying on the federal agent's affidavit, a magistrate judge determined that probable cause existed to search for any and all firearms in Bachler's apartment, and we give great deference to that determination, *Illinois v. Gates*, 462 U.S. 213, 236 (1983) (stating that a magistrate judge's determination of probable cause should receive great deference). Considering the circumstances outlined in the federal agent's affidavit-including Bachler's actions to retrieve ten firearms from the Property Management Bureau despite being repeatedly told that he was a prohibited possessor and Bachler's admitted desire to repossess a "collection" of "military firearms"—the magistrate judge had a substantial basis to find probable cause existed to search for and seize any and all firearms in Bachler's apartment. Accordingly, the "[f]irearms and ammunition" clause in the warrant to search Bachler's apartment was not overbroad under the Fourth Amendment. The district court erred by holding otherwise.<sup>1</sup>

## REVERSED.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because the "[f]irearms and ammunition" clause was supported by probable cause, we need not reach the argument regarding the good-faith exception.