NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 23 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 20-10430

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 1:15-cr-00704-HG-2

v.

MEMORANDUM*

TYLER D.K. PACARRO,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii
Helen W. Gillmor, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 17, 2021**

Before: SILVERMAN, CHRISTEN, and LEE, Circuit Judges.

Tyler D.K. Pacarro appeals from the district court's order denying his motion for compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We vacate the district court's order and remand for the district court to reconsider Pacarro's motion.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

The district court held that Pacarro had not shown "extraordinary and compelling" reasons warranting his release, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i). In doing so, the district court appears to have relied on U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13. After the district court's decision, we held that "the current version of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13 is not an applicable policy statement for 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) motions filed by a defendant." *United States v. Aruda*, 993 F.3d 797, 802 (9th Cir. 2021) (internal quotation marks and alterations omitted). "The Sentencing Commission's statements in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13 may inform a district court's discretion for § 3582(c)(1)(A) motions filed by a defendant, but they are not binding." *Id*.

In light of our intervening decision in *Aruda*, we vacate and remand so that the district court can reassess Pacarro's motion for compassionate release under the standard set forth there. We offer no views as to the merits of Pacarro's § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) motion.

Because we remand without consideration of the documents subject to appellant's motion for judicial notice, we deny the motion.

VACATED and REMANDED.

20-10430