NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

MARTA JIMINEZ LOPEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 22-10132

D.C. No. 2:18-cr-00196-TLN-3

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Troy L. Nunley, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 14, 2023**

Before: FERNANDEZ, FRIEDLAND, and H.A. THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Marta Jiminez Lopez appeals from the district court's judgment and

challenges her guilty-plea conviction and 189-month sentence for conspiracy to

distribute at least 500 grams of methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C.

§§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii), and 846. Pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

FEB 23 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 738 (1967), Jiminez Lopez's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Jiminez Lopez the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Jiminez Lopez waived her right to appeal her conviction and sentence. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We accordingly dismiss the appeal. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

DISMISSED.