## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

NOV 22 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CARLOS HUMBERTO DE PAZ FRANCO,

Petitioner,

v.

MERRICK B. GARLAND, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 22-1774

Agency No. A071-585-624

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 14, 2023\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, WARDLAW, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Carlos Humberto De Paz Franco, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen.

Najmabadi v. Holder, 597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010). We deny the petition for review.

Because De Paz Franco does not challenge the agency's determination that he was not prima facie eligible for relief, we do not address it. *See Lopez-Vasquez* v. *Holder*, 706 F.3d 1072, 1079-80 (9th Cir. 2013).

De Paz Franco's contention that equitable tolling excuses his untimely motion to reopen is not properly before the court because he failed to raise it in his motion to reopen before the BIA. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(d)(1) (exhaustion of administrative remedies required); *see also Santos-Zacaria v. Garland*, 598 U.S. 411, 417-19 (2023) (section 1252(d)(1) is a non-jurisdictional claim-processing rule).

The temporary stay of removal remains in place until the mandate issues.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

2 22-1774