## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BLANCA ESTELA GALAN,

Petitioner,

v.

MERRICK B. GARLAND, Attorney General,

No. 23-105

Agency No. A070-811-677

MEMORANDUM\*

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 14, 2023\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, TALLMAN, and KOH, Circuit Judges.

Blanca Estela Galan, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review

of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen

removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

NOV 21 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010). We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

Because Galan does not challenge the agency's determination that she failed to establish prima facie eligibility for the underlying relief sought, we do not address it. *See Lopez-Vasquez v. Holder*, 706 F.3d 1072, 1079-80 (9th Cir. 2013).

We generally lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's decision not to reopen proceedings sua sponte. *See Lona v. Barr*, 958 F.3d 1225, 1227 (9th Cir. 2020) (denial of sua sponte reopening is committed to agency discretion and unreviewable).

The temporary stay of removal remains in place until the mandate issues. **PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**