## United States Court of Appeals

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

Filed: January 13, 2023

No. 20-5183

LISA GUFFEY AND CHRISTINE SMITH, APPELLEES

v.

ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS,

APPELLANT

Consolidated with 20-5208

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (No. 1:18-cv-01271)

On Petition for Rehearing En Banc

Before: Srinivasan, *Chief Judge*; Henderson\*\*, Millett, Pillard\*, Wilkins, Katsas, Rao, Walker, Childs, and Pan, *Circuit Judges* 

## ORDER

Appellant's petition for rehearing en banc and the response thereto were circulated to the full court, and a vote was requested. Thereafter, a majority of the judges eligible to participate did not vote in favor of the petition. Upon consideration of the foregoing, it is

**ORDERED** that the petition be denied.

## Per Curiam

**FOR THE COURT:** Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy Deputy Clerk

<sup>\*</sup> Circuit Judge Pillard did not participate in this matter.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Circuit Judge Henderson would grant the petition for rehearing en banc. A statement by Circuit Judge Henderson, dissenting from the denial of rehearing en banc, is attached.

KAREN LECRAFT HENDERSON, *Circuit Judge*, dissenting from the denial of rehearing en banc: For the reasons explained in my panel dissent, which is hereby incorporated by reference thereto, *Guffey v. Mauskopf*, 45 F.4th 442, 452–62 (D.C. Cir. 2022) (Henderson, J., dissenting), I dissent from the denial of rehearing en banc.