NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

# United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

#### TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

T-MOBILE (UK) LTD., T-MOBILE AUSTRIA GMBH, T-MOBILE CZECH REPUBLIC A.S., T-MOBILE DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, T-MOBILE HUNGARY CO. LTD., T-MOBILE NETHERLANDS B.V., AND T-MOBILE SLOVENSKO A.S.,

Defendants-Appellees,

#### AND

ADVANCED INFO SERVICE PLC, ALSO KNOWN AS AIS, BELL MOBILITY INC., CSL NEW WORLD MOBILITY LIMITED, CHINA MOBILE PEOPLES TELEPHONE COMPANY LIMITED, NOW KNOWN AS CHINA MOBILE HONG KONG COMPANY LIMITED, KT FREETEL CO., LTD., NOW KNOWN AS KT CORPORATION, SINGAPORE TELECOM MOBILE PRIVATE LIMITED, SINGAPORE TELECOMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, ALSO KNOWN AS SINGTEL, SINGTEL OPTUS PTY LIMITED, STARHUB MOBILE PTE LTD., AND TELSTRA CORPORATION LIMITED,

Defendants-Appellees,

AMERICA MOVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V., CLARO, S.A., AMX ARGENTINA, S.A., AND RADIOMOVIL DIPSA, S.A. DE C.V., ALSO KNOWN AS TELCEL,

Defendants-Appellees,

#### AND

BELGACOM MOBILE S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS PROXIMUS, MOBILKOM AUSTRIA AG, SFR, ALSO KNOWN AS SOCIETE FRANCAISE DE RADIOTELEPHONE, S.A., SMARTONE MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, TANGO S.A., VODAFONE CZECH REPUBLIC A.S., VODAFONE D2 GMBH, ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE GERMANY, VODAFONE ESPANA S.A., VODAFONE ESSAR LTD., VODAFONE HUNGARY MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS LTD., VODAFONE IRELAND LTD., VODAFONE LIBERTEL B.V., VODAFONE LIMITED, ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE UK, VODAFONE NETWORK PTY. LTD., VODAFONE NEW ZEALAND, VODAFONE OMNITEL N.V., VODAFONE PORTUGAL, COMUNICACOES PESSOAIS, S.A., VODAFONE TELEKOMUNIKASYON A.S., ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE TURKEY, AND VODAFONE-PANAFON HELLENIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE-PANAFON S.A., Defendants-Appellees,

AND

TNL PCS S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS OI, Defendant-Appellee,

AND

BASE N.V./S.A., E-PLUS MOBILFUNK GMBH & CO. KG, AND KPN B.V., Defendants-Appellees,

## BERMUDA DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS LTD., Defendant-Appellee,

AND

### **BOUYGUES TELECOM S.A.,**

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

### CHUNGHWA TELECOM CO. LTD., FAR EASTONE TELCOMMUNICATIONS CO. LTD., AND TAIWAN MOBILE CO., LTD.,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

#### CLICKATELL (PTY) LTD.,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

FRANCE TELECOM ESPANA S.A., ALSO-KNOWN
AS ORANGE SPAIN, FRANCE TELECOM S.A.,
MOBISTAR N.V., ORANGE AUSTRIA
TELECOMMUNICATION GMBH, FORMERLY
KNOWN AS ONE GMBH, ORANGE
COMMUNICATIONS S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS
ORANGE SWITZERLAND, ORANGE FRANCE S.A.,
ORANGE PLC, ALSO KNOWN AS ORANGE U.K.,
ORANGE S.A., ORANGE SLOVENSKO A.S., AND
VOX MOBILE S.A.,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

H3G S.P.A., ALSO KNOWN AS 3 ITALIA, HUTCHISON 3G AUSTRIA GMBH, HUTCHISON 3G UK LIMITED, AND HUTCHISON TELECOMMUNICATIONS (HONG KONG) LIMITED,

 $Defendants\hbox{-}Appellees,$ 

AND

#### KDDI CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

#### PCCW MOBILE HK LIMITED,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

#### YAHOO! INC.,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

# KABUSHIKI KAISHA NTT DOCOMO AND SOFTBANK MOBILE CORP.,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

#### M3 WIRELESS LTD.,

Defendant-Appellee,

and

### NETCOM AS, NOW KNOWN AS TELIASONERA NORGE AS AND TELIA DANMARK A/S,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

# TMN-TELECOMUNICACOES MOVEIS NACIONAIS,

S.A.,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

O2 (GERMANY) GMBH & CO. OHG, O2 (UK)
LIMITED, O2 COMMUNICATIONS (IRELAND)
LTD., PEGASO PCS, S.A. DE C.V., TELEFONICA
MOVILES ARGENTINA, S.A., TELEFONICA
MOVILES ESPANA, S.A.U., TELEFONICA MOVILES
MEXICO, S.A. DE C.V., TELEFONICA O2 CZECH

REPUBLIC, A.S., TELEFONICA O2 EUROPE PLC, ALSO KNOWN AS O2 PLC, TELEFONICA, S.A., VIVO PARTICIPACOES, S.A., AND VIVO, S.A.,

Defendants-Appellees,

#### AND

PANNON GSM TELECOMMUNICATIONS LTD., SONOFON A/S, SWISSCOM MOBILE A.G., TDC A/S, TDC SWITZERLAND AG, ALSO KNOWN AS SUNRISE, TELENOR MOBIL A.S., AND TOTAL ACCESS COMMUNICATION PLC, ALSO KNOWN AS DTAC,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

SONAECOM-SERVICOS DE COMUNICACOES, S.A., Defendant-Appellee,

AND

TELECOM ITALIA S.PA., TELECOM PERSONAL S.A., TIM CELULAR S.A., AND TIM PARTICIPACOES S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS TIM BRAZIL.

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

TRUE MOVE COMPANY LIMITED,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

WIND HELLAS TELECOMMUNICATIONS S.A. AND WIND TELECOMUNICAZIONI SPA,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

AVEA ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S.,

Defendant-Appellee,

#### T-MOBILE USA, INC.,

Defendant-Cross Appellant,

AND

#### AT&T MOBILITY LLC,

Defendant-Cross Appellant,

AND

# TELE-MOBILE COMPANY, ALSO KNOWN AS TELUS MOBILITY,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

#### ROGERS WIRELESS PARTNERSHIP,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

#### MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant-Cross Appellant,

AND

#### PALM, INC.,

Defendant-Cross Appellant,

**AND** 

# CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, DOING BUSINESS AS VERIZON WIRELESS,

Defendant-Cross Appellant,

AND

### HELIO, LLC AND SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION,

Defendants-Cross Appellants,

**AND** 

# LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,

Defendant-Cross Appellant,

# MOTOROLA, INC. NOW KNOWN AS MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.,

Defendant-Cross Appellant,

**AND** 

DEUTSCHE TELEKOM AG, IDEA CELLULAR LIMITED, MOBILEONE LTD., ORANGE LIMITED, ORANGE NEDERLAND N.V., TURKCELL ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S., AND UPSIDE WIRELESS INC., ALSO KNOWN AS IPIPI.

Defendants,

AND

#### MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Intervenor.

2011-1581, -1612, -1613

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland in case no. 07-CV-3012, Judge Alexander Williams, Jr.

#### ON MOTION

#### ORDER

America Movil, S.A.B. de C.V., BCP, S.A., CTI Compania de Telefonos del Interior, S.A., and CTI PCS S.A. move to reform the official caption. Motorola Mobility, Inc. moves to intervene. AT&T Mobility LLC moves to revise the briefing schedule. Technology Patents LLC and Deutsche Telekom AG move to dismiss Deutsche Telekom AG.

Upon consideration thereof,

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The motions are granted. The revised official caption is reflected above. The Appellant's opening brief is due no later than December 5, 2011. The Cross Appellants' opening briefs are due no later than January 13, 2012. The Appellees' and intervenor's briefs are due no later than February 24, 2012. The Appellant's reply brief is due no later than March 30, 2012. The Cross Appellants' reply briefs are due no later than April 13, 2012.

FOR THE COURT

# DEC 29 2011

Date

/s/ Jan Horbaly Jan Horbaly Clerk

cc: Bryant C. Boren, Jr., Esq. Doris Johnson Hines, Esq. Sharon A. Israel, Esq. Matthew J. Moore, Esq. Ian N. Feinberg, Esq. Roderick R. McKelvie, Esq. Louis M. Solomon, Esq. Kevin P. Anderson, Esq. Brian Wm. Higgins, Esq. Stefani E. Shanberg, Esq. Stuart J. Sinder, Esq. James W. Dabney, Esq. Stephen B. Kinnaird, Esq. Brian M. Koide, Esq. William H. Burgess, Esq. Deanne E. Maynard, Esq. Michael J. McKeon, Esq. Robert C. Bertin, Esq.

> George F. Pappas, Esq. Jonathan E. Retsky, Esq.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DEC 2 9 2011

JAN HORBALY CLERK Stephen S. Madsen, Esq. Kevin Walsh, Esq. Russell E. Levine, Esq. Michael M. Markman, Esq. Robert C. Nissen, Esq. Edward Han, Esq. Brian C. Riopelle, Esq. Ramsey M. Al-Salam, Esq. Abbe F. Fletman, Esq. David L. Leichtman, Esq. Andrew R. Sommer, Esq. Joseph A. Rhoa, Esq. Maximilian A. Grant, Esq. Jay F. Utley, Esq. Adam Gahtan, Esq. Adam R. Alper, Esq. William C. Bergmann, Esq. Scott R. Matthews, Esq. Steven Jay Young, Esq.