

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

**IN RE MORGAN STANLEY, MORGAN STANLEY &
CO. INCORPORATED, J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO.,
J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES, INC., J.P. MORGAN
CLEARING CORP., THE BANK OF NEW YORK
MELLON CORPORATION, CREDIT SUISSE
HOLDINGS (USA), INC., CREDIT SUISSE
SECURITIES (USA) LLC, THE GOLDMAN SACHS
GROUP, INC., GOLDMAN SACHS & CO.,
GOLDMAN SACHS EXECUTION & CLEARING LP,
SWS GROUP, INC., AND SOUTHWEST SECURITIES,
INC.,
*Petitioners.***

Miscellaneous Docket No. 962

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in case no. 09-CV-0326, Judge Leonard Davis.

**IN RE BATS TRADING, INC. (ALSO KNOWN AS BATS
EXCHANGE, INC.), THE NASDAQ OMX GROUP, INC.,
NASDAQ OMX PHLX, INC., INTERNATIONAL
SECURITIES EXCHANGE, LLC, CHICAGO BOARD
OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INCORPORATED, NYSE**

**EURONEXT, NYSE ARCA, INC., NYSE AMEX, LLC,
SECURITIES INDUSTRY AUTOMATION
CORPORATION, OPTIONS PRICE REPORTING
AUTHORITY, BOSTON OPTIONS EXCHANGE
GROUP, LLC, CME GROUP, INC., BOARD OF
TRADE OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO, INC., AND NEW
YORK MERCANTILE EXCHANGE, INC.,**
Petitioners.

Miscellaneous Docket No. 964

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United
States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in
case nos. 09-CV-0327, Judge Leonard Davis.

**IN RE THOMSON REUTERS CORPORATION,
FACTSET RESEARCH SYSTEMS INC.,
BLOOMBERG L.P. AND INTERACTIVE DATA
CORPORATION,**
Petitioners.

Miscellaneous Docket No. 967

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United
States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in
case no. 6:09-CV-00333, Judge Leonard Davis.

O R D E R

Realtime Data, LLC moves without opposition to consolidate the above-captioned petitions for writ of mandamus and for an extension of time, until November 24, 2010, to file its consolidated response. Realtime also moves on behalf of the petitioners for an extension of time, until December 10, 2010, to file a consolidated reply and for leave to file a consolidated reply of up to 20 pages.

If the petitioners seek leave to file a reply that exceeds the permitted page limitation, they should file a motion on their own behalf with arguments in support thereof. That motion is denied without prejudice.

Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The motions to consolidate and for extensions of time are granted. The motion for leave to file a reply brief not to exceed 20 pages is denied without prejudice.
- (2) The revised official caption is reflected above.

FOR THE COURT

DEC 01 2010

Date

/s/ Jan Horbaly

Jan Horbaly
Clerk

cc: Daniel A. DeVito, Esq.
Robert A. Cote, Jr., Esq.
Keith J. Grady, Esq.
Scott F. Partridge, Esq.
Rick L. Rambo, Esq.
David R. Francescani, Esq.
Michael M. Murray, Esq.
Lynn E. Rzonca, Esq.
James H. Shalek, Esq.
Benjamin W. Hattenbach, Esq.

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR
THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DEC 01 2010

JAN HORBALY
CLERK

Constance S. Huttner, Esq.

John M. DiMatteo, Esq.

Brian E. Moran, Esq.

Clerk, United States District Court For The Eastern
District Of Texas

s19