NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{OPTIMUM POWER SOLUTIONS LLC,} \\ Plaintiff-Appellant, \end{array}$

v.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,

Defendant-Appellee.

2013-1277

OPTIMUM POWER SOLUTIONS LLC, Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

2013-1278

OPTIMUM POWER SOLUTIONS LLC, Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

2 OPTIMUM POWER SOLUTIONS V. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

LENOVO (UNITED STATES), INC.,

 $Defendant ext{-}Appellee.$

2013-1279

OPTIMUM POWER SOLUTIONS LLC,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

 \mathbf{v} .

DELL INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

2013-1280

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in Nos. 12-CV-3125, 12-CV-3126, 12-CV-3127, and 12-CV-4999, Judge William H. Alsup.

Before Dyk, Wallach, and Taranto, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM

ORDER

The judgments of non-infringement in favor of the defendant-appellees Hewlett-Packard Co., Sony Electronics, Inc., Lenovo, Inc., and Dell, Inc. are affirmed. We base our decision solely on our agreement with the district court's construction of the phrase "wherein the power control means supply power to said memory integrated circuit." Under the parties' stipulation, our agreement with this aspect of the district court's claim construction

is sufficient to support the non-infringement judgment. We do not reach any other issues raised on appeal.

AFFIRMED

FOR THE COURT

December 12, 2013
Date

/s/ Daniel E. O'Toole
Daniel E. O'Toole
Clerk of Court

cc: Bryan G. Harrison Paul Alexander