

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

IN RE: DATATREASURY CORPORATION,
Appellant

2016-1250

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark
Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. 90/012,537.

Decided: October 13, 2016

DEREK TOD GILLILAND, Nix Patterson & Roach LLP,
Daingerfield, TX, argued for appellant. Also represented
by CHRISTIAN JOHN HURT, Dallas, TX.

WILLIAM LAMARCA, Office of the Solicitor, United
States Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA,
argued for appellee Michelle K. Lee. Also represented by
THOMAS W. KRAUSE, ROBERT MCBRIDE.

Before MOORE, WALLACH, and CHEN, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

We deny the appeal as moot in light of our order in
DataTreasury Corp. v. Fidelity National Information, No.
16-1046.

Appellant DataTreasury Corp. also moved for costs under Rule 30, arguing the Director should reimburse DataTreasury for printing costs relating to unnecessary pages included in the Joint Appendix. Docket No. 32. We deny the motion, but we caution the Director to carefully evaluate which material it elects to cite in the Joint Appendix and only include material relevant to the issues disputed in a particular appeal.