

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

DOROTHY LAFORTUNE,
Claimant-Appellant

v.

**DAVID J. SHULKIN, SECRETARY OF VETERANS
AFFAIRS,**
Respondent-Appellee

2016-2350

Appeal from the United States Court of Appeals for
Veterans Claims in No. 15-1381, Judge Mary J. Schoelen.

Decided: September 8, 2017

KENNETH M. CARPENTER, Law Offices of Carpenter
Chartered, Topeka, KS, argued for claimant-appellant.

SARAH CHOI, Commercial Litigation Branch, Civil
Division, United States Department of Justice, Washing-
ton, DC, argued for respondent-appellee. Also represent-
ed by JOYCE R. BRANDA, ROBERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR.,
ALLISON KIDD-MILLER; Y. KEN LEE, MARTIE ADELMAN,
CHRISTINA LYNN GREGG, Office of General Counsel, Unit-

ed States Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, DC.

Before MOORE, CHEN, and HUGHES, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

Our jurisdiction to review decisions by the Veterans Court is limited by statute. Unless an appeal raises a constitutional issue, we lack jurisdiction to review “a challenge to a factual determination” or “a challenge to a law or regulation as applied to the facts of a particular case.” 38 U.S.C. § 7292(d)(2). The issues raised on appeal are all limited to the appeal of fact findings as to whether Ms. LaFortune and the veteran entered into a civil/ceremonial or common-law marriage. We see no question of law. Because Ms. LaFortune’s appeal only involves factual determinations and the application of law to the facts of the case, Ms. LaFortune’s appeal is beyond the scope of our jurisdiction. We *dismiss* for lack of jurisdiction.

DISMISSED

COSTS

No costs.