NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

## United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

PRAXAIR TECHNOLOGY, INC., Appellant

v.

AIR LIQUIDE LARGE INDUSTRIES U.S. LP, Appellee

2017-1318, 2017-1319

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. IPR2015-01071, IPR2015-01072.

## JUDGMENT

GREGORY A. CASTANIAS, Jones Day, Washington, DC, argued for appellant. Also represented by DANIEL KAZHDAN; CHRISTOPHER J. HARNETT, KEVIN VINCENT MCCARTHY, New York, NY.

STEPHANIE DEBROW, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Austin, TX, argued for appellee. Also represented by MARK T. GARRETT; CHARLES BRUCE WALKER, JR., Houston, TX; JONATHAN S. FRANKLIN, Washington, DC; ALLEN E. WHITE, Air Liquide, Houston, TX.  $\ensuremath{\text{THIS}}\xspace$  having been heard and considered, it is

Ordered and Adjudged:

PER CURIAM (LOURIE, DYK, and TARANTO, *Circuit Judges*).

## AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

February 13, 2018 Date <u>/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner</u> Peter R. Marksteiner Clerk of Court