

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**SATCO PRODUCTS, INC.,**  
*Appellant*

v.

**LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORPORATION,**  
*Appellee*

---

2019-1639

---

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark  
Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. IPR2017-  
01639.

---

Decided: March 12, 2020

---

ROBERT STEPHAN RIGG, Vedder Price PC, Chicago, IL,  
argued for appellant. Also represented by JOHN K. BURKE,  
SUDIP MITRA, DANIEL SHULMAN.

KAYVAN B. NOROOZI, Noroozi PC, Los Angeles, CA, ar-  
gued for appellee.

---

Before DYK, CHEN, and STOLL, *Circuit Judges*.

2 SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. v. LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP.

PER CURIAM.

In this case, the Board found claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,967,844 not unpatentable in view of Tickner and Van De Ven based on arguments solely related to the limitations of claim 1, from which claim 15 depends. The Board's decision finding claim 15 of the '844 patent not unpatentable in view of Tickner and Van De Ven is supported by substantial evidence. In an earlier, related proceeding—IPR2017-01280—the Board found claim 1 of the '844 patent, unpatentable over Chou and Wegner. On appeal, Petitioner has failed to argue that the Board's decision invalidating claim 1 in the earlier proceeding abrogated the basis for the Board's decision. *See MaxLinear, Inc. v. CF CREPES LLC*, 880 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2018). This argument is thus waived.

**AFFIRMED**