Case Docket Number Search Results - 317292

APPELLATE DOCKET SHEET

COA Case Number: 317292

GRACIE WEBSTER V STATE OF MICHIGAN

1	WEBSTER GRACIE	PL-AE	RET (30417) CANZANO JOHN R 400 GALLERIA OFFICENTRE SUITE 117 SOUTHFIELD MI 48034 (248) 354-9650
2	THOMAS VERONICA	PL-AE	SAM
	MICHIGAN STATE OF	DF-AT	AG (55439) MEINGAST HEATHER S 525 W OTTAWA STREET PO BOX 30736 LANSING MI 48909 (517) 373-6889
4	GOVERNOR	DF-AT	SAM
5	STATE TREASURER	DF-AT	SAM

COA Status: Case Concluded; File Archived **Case Flags:** Closed due to Bankruptcy Stay

07/19/2013 1 Claim of Appeal - Civil

Proof of Service Date: 07/19/2013

Jurisdictional Checklist: Y Register of Actions: Y Fee Code: STATE

Attorney: 55439 - MEINGAST HEATHER S

07/19/2013 2 Order Appealed From

From: INGHAM CIRCUIT COURT Case Number: 13-000734-CZ

Trial Court Judge: 37670 AQUILINA ROSEMARIE E

13-53846-swr Doc 1220 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 14:05:11 Page 1 of 2 http://courts.mi.gov/opinions_orders/case_search/pages/default.aspx?SearchType=1&Cas... 10/16/2013

Nature of Case:

Declaratory Judgment

07/19/2013 3 LCt Order

Date: 07/19/2013

For Party: 3 MICHIGAN STATE OF DF-AT Attorney: 55439 - MEINGAST HEATHER S

Comments: Order denying defendant's motion for summary disposition

07/19/2013 5 Correspondence Received

Date: 07/19/2013

For Party: 3 MICHIGAN STATE OF DF-AT Attorney: 55439 - MEINGAST HEATHER S

Comments: Transcripts have been ordered per Juris Checklist on Claim; No hearir

steno # provided

07/19/2013 7 Correspondence Received

Date: 07/19/2013

Attorney: 30342 - NELSON MARGARET A

Comments: Summary of orders issued 7/19/13 in 3 related Lct cases; 7/19 orders

stay in 317284 linked

07/22/2013 4 Telephone Contact

For Party: 1 WEBSTER GRACIE PL-AE Attorney: 30417 - CANZANO JOHN R

Comments: Confirmed receipt of Claim via email service

07/22/2013 8 Telephone Contact.

Comments: L. Fagins of Detroit Law Dept re: City will file Notice of Stay Due to Br

today

07/26/2013 9 Bankruptcy - Notice

Date: 07/25/2013

Attorney: 72916 - LINDSTROM AARON D Comments: Order extending Chapter 9 Stay

07/30/2013 11 Submitted On Administrative Motion Docket

District: L Item #: 12

08/01/2013 12 Order: Case Closed - Bankruptcy Stay - Subject to Reopen

View document in PDF format

Panel: AK

Attorney: 55439 - MEINGAST HEATHER S

Comments: Upon Receipt of 7/25/13 orders from US Bankruptcy Court

09/16/2013 13 File Closed-Out

File Location: F

Case Listing Complete

317292

LOWER COURT NAME	Electronically Filed	LOWER COURT CASE NO.
30th Circuit Ct Ingham Cty.	CLAIM OF APPEAL	13-734-CZ
Plaintiff / Petitioner Names(s)	Defendant / Resp	ondent Name(s)
Gracie Webster and Veronica Thom	as Rick Snyder, A	Andy Dillon, and State of Michigan
☐ Appellant(s) ☑ Appellee(s)	☑ Appellant(s) □	☐ Appellee(s)
Attorney John Canzano	Bar No. Attorney 30417 Heather S. Me	Bar No. ingast 55439
☐ Probate In the matter of	r.	
2. Bond on appeal is ☐ filed. ☐ at	ttached. □ waived. ☑ not required.	osemarieAquilin (Bar No. 37670
I The transcript has been ordered☐ The transcript has been filed.☐ No record was made.	ed.	•
4. THIS APPEAL INVOLVES A C	CONTEST AS TO THE CUSTODY (OF A MINOR CHILD. MCR 7.204(D)(3)(a
5. 🏻 THIS APPEAL INVOLVES A F	RULING THAT MEETS THE REQUI	REMENTS OF MCR 7.204(D)(3)(b).
Date: 07/19/2013	Annollant/Attarnavia Signatur	o: /c/Heather S. Meingast
Date: 07/19/2013 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Appellant/Attorney's Signatur Business Address: 525 W. Ottawa, P.O. Box 30	
Date: <u>07/19/2013</u> (mm/dd/yyyy)	,	

(10/06) E-File Claim of Appeal

MCR 7.204

Trial Court/Tribunal Name:

Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

Jurisdictional Chacklist

CASE NO. Trial Court/Tribunal:

	· .	Jurisuiction	ai Officeriist	Court of Appeals:
	Case Name: Gracie Web	ster & Veronica Thomas	v Rick Snyder, Andy	Dillon, State of Michigan
	INSTRUCTIONS: Please numbered items are requ	e complete this checklist ar ired. Check each box as y	nd file with your claim of you confirm that each ite	appeal. ALL of the m is being filed.
	1. A signed claim of a	ppeal showing the correct lov	wer court number(s). [MCR	7.204(B)(1) & (D).]
	2. A filing fee of \$375.0 court or tribunal numb additional amount req	00 or appropriate fee substitu ers are involved, an additional f uired.)	ite. [MCR 7.202(3) & 7.204 illing fee may be required. Ap	·(B)(2).] (Where multiple lower opellants will be advised of any
	3. A copy of the order order denying reconsi	you are appealing. [MCR 7.2 deration, new trial, or other post	204(C)(1).] (This is the order t-judgment relief.)	deciding the merits and not an
FIMI	4. Evidence that the ne a through g is required	ecessary transcript has been d).	ordered. [MCR 7.204(C)(2	!).] (Only one item from
:26	a. No transcrip	t will be filed. [MCR 7.204(C)	(2) & AO 2004-5 ¶ 8(A)(1).] .
:29	b. The transcrip	ot has already been filed. [Mo	CR 7.210(B)(1)(a).]	
2	c. The complet	e transcript has been ordere		
2013	d. This appeal [MCR 7.210]	is from a probate court proce (B)(1)(b).]	eeding which does not requ	ire a complete transcript.
/161/	e. A motion hat the complete	s been filed in the lower cour e transcript. [MCR 7.210(B)(1		of the appeal on less than
als 7	f. The parties [MCR 7.210	have stipulated to submission (B)(1)(d).]	n of the appeal on less that	n the complete transcript.
be	g. The parties	have stipulated to a statemer	nt of facts. [MCR 7.210(B)(1)(e).]
of Appeals 7/19/2013 2:29:26 PM	5. Proof of service der party is not an appe	nonstrating that all other part llee, they must be served.)	ties have been served. [MC	CR 7.204(C)(3).] (Even if a
_		of actions from the lower cour	rt or tribunal. [MCR 7.204(0	0)(5).]
n Court		Appealed (Check the box th applies, you do no	nat demonstrates your claim o ot have an appeal by right.)	of appeal is by right. If neither
chiga	The claim of appeal is f 7.203(A)(1).] Please s	rom an order defined as a fin pecify which category of final	nal order by MCR 7.202(6) order applies: <u>MCR 7.20</u>	or MCR 5.801(B)(1). [MCR 2(6)(a)(i)
RECEIVED by Michigan	The claim of appeal is f appealable by right to t by right: MCR 2.605(E	rom an order which is desigr he Court of Appeals. Please	nated by statute, court rule, specify the authority unde	or case law as an order r which you have an appeal
田	7/19/13		Dronous's Cianature	
Œ	Date		Preparer's Signature	6/0
\sim				

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE $\mathbf{30}^{\mathrm{TH}}$ CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

WEBSTER, ETHL,	
Plaintiff,	ORDER
${f v}$	HON. ROSEMARIE E, AQUILINA
RICKSNYDER, ETAL.	Docket No: 13-734-CZ
Defendant.	
At a session of said of Lansing, County of Ing this day of _	gham, State of Michigan,
PRESENT: The Honorable Ro 30 th Judicial Circu	osemarie E. Aquilina uit Court Judge
Upon review of motion, and a hearing bei	ng held in open court, and argument having
been heard, and being fully apprised of the issues	s, states the following:
IT IS ORDERED that DEFENDA	NTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
DISPOSITION IS DENIED FOR	THE REASONS STATED
FROM THE BENCY.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina (P37670)
A	Circuit Court Judge
Approved as to form: Plaintiff / Plaintiff's Attorn	ey Defendant / Defendant's Attorney

Doc 1220-1

13-53846-swr

Filed 10/17/13

Entered 10/17/13 14:05:11

Page 3 of 6

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

GRACIE WEBSTER and VERONICA THOMAS,

Plaintiffs,

VS

Case No. 13-734-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN; RICHARD SNYDER, as Governor of the State of Michigan; and ANDY DILLON, as Treasurer of the State of Michigan,

Defendants.

ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

At a session of said Court held in Ingham County Circuit Court, State of Michigan, this 1999 lay of July, 2013.

PRESENT:

Circuit Court Judge

Plaintiffs request declaratory relief pursuant to MCR 2.605 concerning (1) the constitutionality under Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution of the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act, 2012 PA 436, MCL 141.1541, et seq. ("PA 436"), insofar as PA 436 permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under chapter 9 of the bankruptcy code, chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code, 29 USC 901 to 946 ("Chapter 9") in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and (2) the

authority of the Governor and/or State Treasurer to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

Plaintiffs have requested, and Defendants have agreed in their Response, that the hearing in this matter may be advanced pursuant to MCR 2.605(D) and the court finds that expedited treatment is appropriate and that final declaratory relief is proper at this time.

The Court having reviewed the parties filings and submissions, and having heard oral argument by counsel for the parties, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

PA 436 is unconstitutional and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution to the extent that it permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in any manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and PA 436 is to that extent of no force or effect;

The Governor is prohibited by Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution from authorizing an emergency manager under PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, and any such action by the Governor is without authority and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

On July 16, 2013, City of Detroit Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr submitted a recommendation to Defendant Governor Snyder and Defendant Treasurer Dillon pursuant to Section 18(1) of PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9, which together with the facts presented in Plaintiffs' filings, reflect that Emergency Manager Orr intended to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits if he were authorized to proceed under Chapter 9. On July 18, 2013, Defendant

Governor Snyder approved the Emergency Manager's recommendation without placing any contingencies on a Chapter 9 filing by the Emergency Manager; and the Emergency Manager filed a Chapter 9 petition shortly thereafter. By authorizing the Emergency Manager to proceed. under Chapter 9 to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, Defendant Snyder acted without authority under Michigan law and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

In order to rectify his unauthorized and unconstitutional actions described above, the Governor must (1) direct the Emergency Manager to immediately withdraw the Chapter 9 petition filed on July 18, and (2) not authorize any further Chapter 9 filing which threatens to A copy of this Order shall be transmitted. President Obarna. diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

It is so Ordered.

Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED by Michigan Court of Appeals 7/19/2013 3:33:08 PM

Lower Court or Tribunal

Ingham Circuit Court

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE COURT OF APPEALS **Cover Sheet**

CASE NO.	Year	Number	Case Type
CIRCUIT:	13	768	CZ
COURT OF A	PPEAI	LS: 3172	284

Filing Party Last Name or Bu	usiness/Entity/Agency Name		Attorney Last Name				
GOVERNOR OF MIC	CHIGAN		Nelson				
Filing Party First Name		M.I.	Attorney First Name			M.I.	P Numbe
			Margaret				30342
Address (Street 1, Street 2, C	ity, State, and ZIP Code)		Address(Street 1, Street 2,	City, State, and	ZIP Code)		
			Public Employment	, Elections &	t Tort Divi	sion	
			P.O. Box 30736; 52	5 W. Ottawa	ı, 5th Floor	,	
			Lansing		MI 489	09	
			Attorney Telephone Numb	er			
			(517)373-6434				
			Filing	Do		Total	
Type	Filename/Description		Fee	Fee	e T	his Fili	ing
Other	Letter to Clerk Hauser		\$5.00		-	\$5.00)
			3% Servi	ce Fee:		\$0.15	
Fee Substitute/Alterna	ate Payment		Total All	Filings:		\$5.15	
Reason:							
Appointed Cou	unsel						
Motion To Wa	nive Fee						
Fees Waived in	n this Case						
X MI InterAgend	cy Transfer						
No Fee per MO	CR 7.203(F)(2)						

Filer Office Use Only: 2013

The documents listed above were electronically filed with the Michigan Court of Appeals at the date/time stated in the left margin. As a recipient of service of these documents, you may wish to go to https://wiznet.wiznet.com/appealsmi to register as a user of the electronic filing system. 3172845384672wr Doc 1220-2 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 14:05:11 Page 1 of 21

RECEIVED by Michigan Court of Appeals 7/19/2013 3:33:08 PM

Lower Court or Tribunal
Ingham Circuit Court

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Proof of Service

 CASE NO.
 Year
 Number
 Case Type

 CIRCUIT:
 13
 768
 CZ

 COURT OF APPEALS:
 317284

	Case Name: The General Retirement System, et al v. Kevyn D. Orr, et al					
	•					
	On7/1	19/2013 , one copy	of the follo	wing documents:		
	Other		Le	etter to Clerk Hauser		
	was deli	ivered to the persons liste	d below:			
	Date	1		Signature		
	7/1	9/2013		/s/Cristina R. Dowker		
	Bar Number	Name	Delivery Method	Service Address		
Ρ-	45088	King, Ronald	Hand	212 E. Grand River Ave.; Lansing, MI 48906		
P _	26275	Wertheimer,	Email	billwertheimer@gmail.com		
		William				
D_	20417	Contract Library				
-	30417	Canzano, John	Email	jcanzano@michworklaw.com		
P_	30342	Nelson, Margaret A	E-Serve	nelsonm9@michigan.gov		

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL



P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, Michigan 48909

July 19, 2013

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Ms. Kimberly S. Hauser Michigan Court of Appeals Hall of Justice 925 W. Ottawa St. P.O. Box 30022 Lansing, MI 48909-7522

Re: Webster v Snyder, Ingham County Circuit Court No. 13-734-CZ, COA Docket Nos 317286, 317292; Flowers v Snyder, Ingham County Circuit Court No. 13-729-CZ, COA Docket No. 317285; The General Retirement System of the City of Detroit v Orr, Ingham County Circuit Court No. 13-768-CZ, COA Docket No. 317284;

Dear Clerk Hauser:

As the Court is aware from our filings on behalf of Governor Snyder, Emergency Manager Orr, Treasurer Dillon, and the State of Michigan earlier today, Judge Aquilina of the Ingham County Circuit Court issued temporary-restraining and preliminary-injunction orders yesterday after the Governor had already authorized and Emergency Manager Orr had already filed a Chapter 9 bankruptcy petition on behalf of the City of Detroit. In our three applications for leave to appeal and accompanying motions, we ask the Court to stay the effect of the orders and stay all further trial-court proceedings.

A short time ago, Judge Aquilina concluded additional proceedings in these matters. In *Webster v Snyder*, Judge Aquilina entered final judgment on plaintiffs' claim for declaratory relief, denied the State's motions for summary disposition and for stay, and directed that her orders be transmitted directly to the President of the United States. In *Flowers v Snyder*, Judge Aquilina amended her previously issued preliminary injunction, and denied the State's motions for summary disposition and again denied motions for stay.

These are extraordinary actions, because Judge Aquilina has no legal basis to enter orders of any kind. As explained in the application for leave filed in *The*

[Addressee] Page 2 [Date]

General Retirement System of the City of Detroit v Orr, there is an automatic stay in place by virtue of the bankruptcy filing. In addition, Judge Aquilina did not even consider the factors for injunctive relief. And plaintiffs cannot show a likelihood of success on the merits (their claims are not yet ripe and can be litigated fully in the bankruptcy court), there is no danger of irreparable harm (for the same reasons), the harm to defendants' executive branch power outweighs any potential harm to plaintiffs (since they can litigate all of their claims in the bankruptcy court), and the harm to the public is immense.

These proceedings could not be more high stakes. The City of Detroit is in the most desperate financial straits, with estimated debt of \$18 to \$20 billion, roughly \$24,000 per City resident. If Detroit were a state, its debt load would exceed that of 43 other states. And due to the City of Detroit's unsustainable debt load, the City has lost the ability to provide even the most basic services to its residents. Citizens wait an average of 58 minutes for the police to respond to their calls. Only 8.7% of criminal cases are solved. In the first quarter of 2013, 40% of the City's street lights were not functioning, and only one-third of the City's ambulances were in service. The bankruptcy is essential to Detroit being able to serve the basic health and safety needs of its citizens.

Enclosed is the final judgment in the *Webster* case, as well as all other orders entered today by the trial court in these cases, and accompanying motion for emergency consideration and consolidation. Defendants seek an immediate stay of *all* trial court orders in these cases, and a stay of *all* further trial court proceedings. I am available 24-7 at 517.898.5986 if the Court would like to conduct a telephonic hearing, or requires any additional information.

Sincerely,

/s/John J. Bursch

Michigan Solicitor General Solicitor General Bureau

[Writer/typist initials]
[Enclosure or Enclosures or Enc. or Enc. (#)]
cc: [Name]

[Tracer Line]

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 30TH CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

FLOWERS, ETAL,	
Plaintiff,	ORDER
v	HON. ROSEMARIE E. AQUILINA
RICKSNYDER, ETAL,	Docket No: 13-729-CZ
Defendant.	
At a session of said of Lansing, County of Ingle this day of PRESENT: The Honorable Ros 30 th Judicial Circui	nam, State of Michigan, , 201_ semarie E. Aquilina
Upon review of motion, and a hearing being	g held in open court, and argument having
been heard, and being fully apprised of the issues,	
IT IS ORDERED that DEFENDANCE APPEAL, THE COURT'S ORDER	UTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING
A-PREAL, THE COURT'S ORDER	GRANTING PLAINTIFFS
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY I	
OF PRELIMINARY INTUNCTION	IS DENIED FOR THE
REASONS STATED FROM THE	BENCH.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina (P37670) Circuit Court Judge
Approved as to form: Plaintiff / Plaintiff's Attorne	y Defendant / Defendant's Attorney

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 30TH CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

FLOWERS, ET AL	•
Plaintiff,	ORDER
v	HON. ROSEMARIE E. AQUILINA
RICK SNYDER, ETM.	Docket No: 13-729-CZ
Defendant.	
At a session of said Co of Lansing, County of Ingha- this day of	m, State of Michigan,
PRESENT: The Honorable Roser 30 th Judicial Circuit C	
Upon review of motion, and a hearing being l	held in open court, and argument having
been heard, and being fully apprised of the issues, sta	ates the following:
IT IS ORDERED that DEFENDANT	TS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
DISPOSITION IS DENIED FOR TH	IE REASONS STATED
FROM THE BENCH.	
	·
	Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina (P37670) Circuit Court Judge
Approved as to form:	
Plaintiff / Plaintiff's Attorney	Defendant / Defendant's Attorney

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

ROBBIE FLOWERS, MICHAEL WELLS, JANET WHITSON, MARY WASHINGTON and BRUCE GOLDMAN

Plaintiffs,

VS.

Case No. 13-729-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina

RICK SNYDER, as the Governor of the State of Michigan; ANDY DILLON, as the Treasurer of the State of Michigan; and the STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Defendants.

William A. Wertheimer (P26275) Attorney for plaintiffs 30515 Timberbrook Lane Bingham Farms, MI 48025 248-644-9200 billwertheimer@gmail.com

Thomas Quasarano (P27982) Brian Devlin (P34685) Assistant Attorneys General PO Box 30754 Lansing, MI 48909 quasaranot@michigan.gov

Andrew Nickeloff (P37990)
Marshall J. Widick (P53942)
James A. Britton (P71157)
Attorneys for plaintiffs
Sachs Waldman
1000 Fariner
Detroit, MI 48226
313-496-9429
anickelhoff@sachswaldman.com
mwidick@sachswaldman.com
jabritton@sachswaldman.com

Amended PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

At a session of the Court, held in the City of Lansing,

County of Ingham, State of Michigan

PRESENT: Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

13-53846-swr Doc 1220-2 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 14:05:11 Page 7 of 21

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' Amended Verified Complaint and Motion for a Preliminary Injunction; the Court being fully advised in the premises; Plaintiffs having shown a likelihood of success on the merits of the claims in Plaintiffs' Complaint; Plaintiffs having adequately shown that a failure to immediately issue a Preliminary Injunction will cause irreparable injury to Plaintiffs by permitting the Governor and the State Treasurer ("Defendants") to authorize or otherwise proceed with Chapter 9 bankruptcy petition on behalf of the City of Detroit, or to aid in such a proceeding, wherein Plaintiffs' accrued financial benefits will be impaired; and the Court being otherwise fully informed in the premises and finding good cause:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion is granted;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are immediately and preliminarily enjoined and restrained from taking any action as to the authorization of a Chapter 9 bankruptcy proceeding for the City of Detroit and/or the filing of a Chapter 9 bankruptcy petition, or any action in aid and assistance as to the same;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this preliminary injunction shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 19 July 13

TIME: <u>1130 al.m.</u>

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

THE GENERAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM
OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, and THE
POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT
SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF DETROIT,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 13-768-CZ

vs.

Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina

KEVYN D. ORR, in his official capacity as the EMERGENCY MANAGER OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, and RICHARD SNYDER, in his official capacity as the GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Defendants.

Ronald A. King (P45088)
Aaron O. Matthews (P64744)
Michael J. Pattwell (P72419)
CLARK HILL PLC
212 East Grand River Avenue
Lansing, Michigan 48906
(517) 318-3100
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

AMENDED TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

At a session of said Court, held in the City of Lansing, County of Ingham, State of Michigan

PRESENT: HON.

 ν

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' Complaint with verification and Ex-Parte Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and oral ex-parte motion for amendment to the Temporary Restraining Order entered by the Court on July 18, 2013; the Court being fully

9216278.1 14893/161046

advised in the premises; Plaintiffs having shown a likelihood of success on the merits of the claims in Plaintiffs' Complaint; Plaintiffs having adequately shown that a failure to immediately issue a Temporary Restraining Order will cause irreparable injury to Plaintiffs by permitting the Governor and the Emergency Manager ("Defendants") to authorize and file a Chapter 9 bankruptcy petition, plan of adjustment, and/or other bankruptcy filings whereby Plaintiffs' accrued financial benefits may be impaired; and the Court being otherwise fully informed in the premises and finding good cause:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion is granted;
and their agents and representatives
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are immediately and temporarily enjoined

and restrained from taking any further action that may: (i) cause or further the accrued financial benefits of the Retirement Systems or their participants from in any way being diminished or impaired as mandated by Article IX, section 24, of the Michigan Constitution; or (ii) otherwise

abrogates Article IX, section 24, of the Michigan Constitution;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are immediately and temporarily enjoined and restrained from filing with the United States Bankruptcy Court a plan of adjustment or any other filing pursuant to Chapter 9 of the United States bankruptcy code which seeks to impair or diminish the accrued financial benefits of the Retirement Systems or their participants;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court-shall hold a hearing on July 22, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. whereby Defendants shall show cause why a Preliminary Injunction shall not issue; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this temporary restraining order shall remain in full force and effect until 22 Aug., 2013 at 5:00 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE / P37670

DATE: 19-July 13

TIME:

12394

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 30 ^{1H} CIRCUIT COURT F	FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM
WEBSTER, ETH,	
Plaintiff,	ORDER
v	HON. ROSEMARIE E. AQUILINA
RICKSNYDER, ETAL,	Docket No: 13-734-CZ
Defendant.	
At a session of said of Lansing, County of Ing	gham. State of Michigan.
PRESENT: The Honorable Re 30 th Judicial Circu	osemarie E. Aquilina uit Court Judge
Upon review of motion, and a hearing bei	ing held in open court, and argument having
been heard, and being fully apprised of the issues	s, states the following:
IT IS ORDERED that DEFENDA	NTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
DISPOSITION IS DENIED FOR	THE REASONS STATED
FROM THE BENCH.	
·	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina (P37670) Circuit Court Judge
Approved as to form: Plaintiff / Plaintiff's Attorn	ev Defendant / Defendant's Attorney

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 30TH CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM WEBSTER, GTAL Plaintiff, ORDER HON. ROSEMARIE E. AQUILINA RICK SNYDER, ETAL, Docket No: 13-734-C2 Defendant. At a session of said Court in the City of Lansing, County of Ingham, State of Michigan, this // day of ______, 201_ The Honorable Rosemarie E. Aquilina PRESENT: 30th Judicial Circuit Court Judge Upon review of motion, and a hearing being held in open court, and argument having been heard, and being fully apprised of the issues, states the following: IT IS ORDERED that DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY, PENDING APPEAL, THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE COURT'S ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND THE ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT IS DENIED FOR THE REASONS STATED FROM THE BENCH. IT IS SO ORDERED. Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina (P3 Circuit Court Judge

Plaintiff / Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant / Defendant's Attorney

Approved as to form:

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

GRACIE WEBSTER and VERONICA THOMAS,

Plaintiffs,

VS

Case No. 13-734-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN; RICHARD SNYDER, as Governor of the State of Michigan; and ANDY DILLON, as Treasurer of the State of Michigan,

Defendants.

ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

At a session of said Court held in Ingham County Circuit Court, State of Michigan, this 194 day of July, 2013.

PRESENT:

Circuit Court Judge

Plaintiffs request declaratory relief pursuant to MCR 2.605 concerning (1) the constitutionality under Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution of the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act, 2012 PA 436, MCL 141.1541, et seq. ("PA 436"), insofar as PA 436 permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under chapter 9 of the bankruptcy code, chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code, 29 USC 901 to 946 ("Chapter 9") in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and (2) the

authority of the Governor and/or State Treasurer to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

Plaintiffs have requested, and Defendants have agreed in their Response, that the hearing in this matter may be advanced pursuant to MCR 2.605(D) and the court finds that expedited treatment is appropriate and that final declaratory relief is proper at this time.

The Court having reviewed the parties filings and submissions, and having heard oral argument by counsel for the parties, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, and for the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

PA 436 is unconstitutional and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution to the extent that it permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in any manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and PA 436 is to that extent of no force or effect;

The Governor is prohibited by Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution from authorizing an emergency manager under PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, and any such action by the Governor is without authority and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

On July 16, 2013, City of Detroit Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr submitted a recommendation to Defendant Governor Snyder and Defendant Treasurer Dillon pursuant to Section 18(1) of PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9, which together with the facts presented in Plaintiffs' filings, reflect that Emergency Manager Orr intended to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits if he were authorized to proceed under Chapter 9. On July 18, 2013, Defendant

Governor Snyder approved the Emergency Manager's recommendation without placing any contingencies on a Chapter 9 filing by the Emergency Manager; and the Emergency Manager filed a Chapter 9 petition shortly thereafter. By authorizing the Emergency Manager to proceed under Chapter 9 to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, Defendant Snyder acted without authority under Michigan law and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

In order to rectify his unauthorized and unconstitutional actions described above, the Governor must (1) direct the Emergency Manager to immediately withdraw the Chapter 9 petition filed on July 18, and (2) not authorize any further Chapter 9 filing which threatens to A copy of this Order shall be transmitted. President Obarna. It is so Ordered. 2. diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

Circuit Court Judge

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

THE GENERAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF DETROIT; and THE POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF DETROIT

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v

KEVYN D. ORR, in his official capacity as the EMERGENCY MANAGER OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, and RICHARD SNYDER, in his official capacity as the GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Defendants-Appellants,

Docket No. 317284 Case No. 13-768-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina

Relief requested by 5:00 p.m. on July 19, 2013, or by 8:00 a.m., July 22, 2013, which is the date set for a show cause hearing in this matter.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EMERGENCY CONSIDERATION, CONSOLIDATION, A STAY OF ALL ORDERS, AND A STAY OF ALL TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

Bill Schuette Attorney General

John J. Bursch (P57679) Solicitor General Counsel of Record

Matthew Schneider (P62190) Chief Legal Counsel

Margaret A. Nelson (P30342) Heather S. Meingast (P55439) Assistant Attorneys General Attorneys for Defendants—Appellants P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 373-1124

Dated: July 19, 2013.

Proposed consolidated Defendants Governor Richard Snyder, Treasurer Andy Dillon, the State of Michigan, and City of Detroit Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr, by and through their attorneys, Solicitor General, John J. Bursch, and Assistant Attorneys General Margaret A. Nelson and Heather S. Meingast, bring this motion pursuant to MCR 7.211. Defendants state the following in support of these motions:

- 1. On July 17, 2013, the City of Detroit's Pension Systems brought this case (General Retirement Systems) to assert a facial constitutional challenge to the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act (Act), MCL 141.1541, et seq., asserting that the Act is unconstitutional because MCL 141.1558(1) permits the Governor to authorize a proceeding in Chapter 9 bankruptcy, allegedly in violation of article 9, § 24 of the 1963 Constitution. The Pension Systems alleged that the rights of the pension beneficiaries under article 9, § 24 and the funds themselves were threatened by any potential Chapter 9 bankruptcy filing. They sought declaratory relief against both Defendants and injunctive relief against Emergency Manager (EM) Orr.
- 2. The allegations in this case parallel the allegations in two other cases also originating in the same circuit court. In *Flowers v Snyder* (Case No. 317285), beneficiaries of the Detroit's pension systems also brought a facial challenge to the Act, on the same grounds. They sought both declaratory relief and an injunctive order precluding the Governor or the Treasurer from authorizing Detroit's Emergency Manager to proceed with any Chapter 9 bankruptcy. Similarly, in *Webster v Snyder* (Case Nos. 317286 & 317292), another group of beneficiaries of

Detroit's pension systems also mounted a facial challenged to the constitutionality of the Act and sought expedited declaratory judgment and an order precluding the Governor or the Treasurer from authorizing Emergency Manager Orr from proceeding with any Chapter 9 bankruptcy.

- 3. As detailed in emergency filings submitted today to this Court, the trial court issued orders yesterday, now today in each of these cases. In Webster today, the trial court entered final judgment on plaintiffs' claim for declaratory relief, denied the State's motions for summary disposition and for stay, and directed that her orders be transmitted directly to the President of the United States. In Flowers today, the trial court amended its previously issued preliminary injunction (to provide a clean, type-written copy), and again denied the State's motions for summary disposition and for stay. In General Retirement Systems, the court issued an amended order denying the Defendants' motion to stay enforcement of the amended temporary restraining order and enjoining the filing of any plan of adjustment or any other filing pursuant to Chapter 9 that seeks to impair or diminish the accrued financial benefits of the Retirement Systems or their participants.
- 4. Because of the final judgment in *Webster*, the State Defendants are, concurrently with this motion, filing a claim of appeal to defend the constitutionality of the Act and of the State Defendants' actions. The State Defendants also move to consolidate these three cases, each of which presents overlapping issues regarding (1) the trial court's violation of the federal bankruptcy

court's automatic stay, (2) the trial court's issuance of injunctive relief despite failing to even consider the factors for injunctive relief, and (3) the trial court's erroneous decision to invalidate the Act.

- 5. For the reasons explained here and in the State Defendants' other filings today in these three matters, the State asks this Court to take jurisdiction of these three pending cases (*General Retirement Systems*, *Webster*, and *Flowers*), to stay all orders in those cases, and to stay all further trial-court proceedings in the three cases.
- 6. Pursuant to MCR 7.211(C)(6), the proposed consolidated Defendants also seek immediate consideration of this motion.

WHEREFORE, proposed consolidated Defendants Governor Richard Snyder, Treasurer Dillon, the State of Michigan, and Kevyn Orr respectfully request that this Court by the close of business today (1) consolidate the three cases, (2) immediately stay all of the trial court's orders, (3) stay all proceedings in the trial court action; and (4) grant immediate consideration under MCR 7.211(C)(6). Nothing less is at stake than the future of Michigan's largest city.

Respectfully submitted,

Bill Schuette Attorney General

John J. Bursch (P57679) Solicitor General Counsel of Record

Matthew Schneider (P62190) Chief Legal Counsel

/s/Margaret A. Nelson (P30342)

Heather S. Meingast (P55439) Assistant Attorneys General Attorneys for Defendants-Appellants P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 373-1124

Dated: July 19, 2013.

RICHIVID BY PARALLOAN COURT OF ADDORS TACKED 1.09.26 DB

CASE NO

Lower Court or Tribunal	IN THE COURT OF APPEALS	CIRCUIT:	State of the state of the state of	mber Case Type CZ
Ingham Circuit Court	Cover Sheet	COURT OF	APPEALS:	317292
Filing Party Last Name or Business/Entity/Agency Name	en in die der der die der der der der der der der der der de	mey Last Name	Acceptation (%)	
MICHIGAN STATE OF	Li	ndstrom	merender view of the second	***************************************
Filing Party First Name	M.I. Atto	mey First Name		M.I. P Numb
		ron	J	D. 72916
Address (Street 1, Street 2, City, State, and ZIP Code)	Add	ress(Street 1, Street 2, City,	State, and ZIP C	ode)
	So	licitor General Divisio	on	
	P.0	O. Box 30212		
CONTRACTOR	La	nsing mey Telephone Number	MI	48909
		17)373-1124		
		Filing	Doc	Total
Type Filename/Descript	ion	Fee	Fee	This Filing
Correspondence Letter Regarding	Bankruptcy Stay	\$5.00		\$5.00
		3% Service Fe	e:	\$0.15
Fee Substitute/Alternate Payment		Total All Filing	!s:	\$ 5.15
Reason:		_	,	•
Appointed Counsel				
Appointed Counses	•			
Motion To Waive Fee				
Fees Waived in this Case			•	
X MI InterAgency Transfer				
No Foo nor MCD 7 283/EV(2)				

Filer Office Use Only:

RECEIVED by Michigan Court of Append 7/26/24 2 - 02:26 PM

STATE OF MICHIGAN
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

 CASE NO.
 Year
 Number
 Case Type

 CIRCUIT:
 13
 734
 CZ

 COURT OF APPEALS:
 317292

Ingham Circuit Co		*: 	Proof of Servic	e COURT OF APPEALS: 317292
Case Name	: GRACIE WEBSTI	ER V STATE O	F MICHIGAN	
On 7/26/2	013 , one cop	y of the follo	wing documents:	
Corresponde	ence	Le	etter Regarding Bank	cruptcy Stay
			·	
was deliver	ed to the persons lis	ted below:		y
Date	<u>.</u>			Signature
7/26/2013				/s/Aimee L. Nelson
Bar Number Nat	me	Delivery Method	Service Address	
P- A	ppellate, AG	E-Serve	paasdivision@michiga	n.gov
P- 30417 C	anzano, John R	Mail	400 Galleria Officentr	e; Ste. 117; Southfield, MI 48034
P- 72916 Li	indstrom, Aaron D	E-Serve	lindstroma@michigan.	gov

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL



P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, Michigan 48909

July 26, 2013

Ms. Kimberly S. Hauser Michigan Court of Appeals Hall of Justice 925 W. Ottawa St. P.O. Box 30022 Lansing, MI 48909-7522

Re: Webster v Snyder, Ingham County Circuit Court No. 13-734-CZ, COA Docket Nos. 317286, 317292; Flowers v Snyder, Ingham County Circuit Court No. 13-729-CZ, COA Docket No. 317285; The General Retirement System of the City of Detroit v Orr, Ingham County Circuit Court No. 13-768-CZ, COA Docket No. 317284;

Dear Clerk Hauser:

Yesterday the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan issued two stay orders arising from the City of Detroit's Chapter 9 bankruptcy. Taken together, the orders enjoin any person from continuing judicial proceedings against the City, the Governor, the Treasurer, the State, and Emergency Manager Orr. The orders accordingly apply to the above-listed cases. Concurrently with the filing of this letter, we are also filing in each of the above-listed cases a copy of the bankruptcy court's orders.

Sincerely,

/s/Aaron D. Lindstrom

Assistant Solicitor General Solicitor General Bureau

21,

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY/COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICEIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re : Chapter 9
: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846
: Hon, Steven W. Rhodes

ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 10% (a) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE EXTENDING THE CHAPTER 9 STAY TO CERTAIN (A) STATE ENTITIES, (B) NON OFFICER EMPLOYEES AND (C) AGENTS AND REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEBTOR

This matter coming before the Court on the Motion of Debtor,

Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, for Entry of an Order,

Extending the Chapter 9 Stay to Certain (A) State Entities, (B) Non-Officer

Employees and (C) Agents and Representatives of the Debtor (the "Motion"),

filed by the City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City"); the Court having reviewed the

Motion and the Orr Declaration and having considered the statements of counsel

and the evidence adduced with respect to the Motion at shearing before the Court

(the "Hearing"); and the Court finding that: (a) the Court has jurisdiction over this

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings given to them in the Motion.

matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, (b) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b), (c) notice of the Motion and the Hearing was sufficient under the circumstances, (d) the unusual circumstances present in this chapter 9 case warrant extending the Chapter 9 Stay to the State Entities, the Non-Officer Employees and the City Agents and Representatives; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and the Orr Declaration and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Motion is GRANTED.
- 2. Pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Chapter 9 Stay hereby is extended to apply in all respects (to the extent not otherwise applicable) to the State Entities (defined as the Governor, the State Treasurer and the members of the Loan Board, collectively with the State Treasurer and the Governor, and together with each entity's staff, agents and representatives), the Non-Officer Employees and the City Agents and Representatives.
- 3. For the avoidance of doubt, each of the Prepetition Lawsuits hereby is stayed, pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, pending further order of this Court.

4. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of any creditor to file a motion for relief from the stay imposed by this order using the procedures of and under the standards of 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)-(g).

Signed on July 25, 2013

/s/ Steven Rhodes
Steven Rhodes
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re : Charter 9

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846

Debtor. : Hon, Steven W. Rhodes

ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 105(a) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE CONFIRMING THE PROTECTIONS OF SECTIONS 362, 365 AND 922 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE

This matter coming before the Court on the Motion of Debtor,
Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, for Entry of an Order
Confirming the Protections of Sections 362, 365 and 92% of the Bankruptcy Code
(the "Motion"), filed by the City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City"); the Court
having reviewed the Motion and the Orr Declaration and having considered the
statements of counsel and the evidence adduced with respect to the Motion at a
hearing before the Court (the "Hearing"); and the Court finding that: (a) the Court
has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, (b) this is
a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b), (c) notice of the Motion and the

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings given to them in the Motion.



Hearing was sufficient under the circumstances, (d) among other things, the requested relief confirms the protections of sections 362, 365 and 922 of the Bankruptcy Code and (e) the Emergency Manager is an officer of the City as that term is used in section 922(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and the Orr Declaration and at the Hearing establish just cause for the granted herein;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Motion is GRANTED.
- 2. Pursuant to section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, all persons (including individuals, partnerships, corporations, limited liability companies and all those acting for or on their behalf), all foreign or domestic governmental units and all other entities (and all those acting for or on their behalf) are hereby stayed, restrained and enjoined from:
 - (a) commencing or continuing any judicial, administrative or other proceeding against the City, including the issuance or employment of process, that was or could have been commenced before the City's chapter 9 case was commenced;
 - (b) recovering a claim against the City that arose before the commencement of its chapter 9 case;
 - (c) taking any action to obtain possession of property of or from the City;
 - (d) taking any action to create, perfect or enforce any lien against property of the City, to the extent that such lien secures a claim that arose before the commencement of the City's chapter 9 case;

- (e) taking any action to collect, assess of recover a claim against the City that arose before the commencement of its chapter 9 case; and
- offsetting any debt owing to the City that arose before the commencement of its chapter 9 case against any claim against the City.
- 3. All entities, including all persons and foreign and domestic governmental units, and all those acting on their behalf, including sheriffs, marshals, constables and other or similar law enforcement officers and officials are stayed, restrained and enjoined from in any way seizing, attaching, foreclosing upon, levying against or in any other way interfering with any and all property of the City, wherever located.
- 4. Pursuant to section 922(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, all persons (including individuals, partnerships, corporations, limited liability companies and all those acting for or on their behalf), all foreign or domestic governmental units and all other entities (and all those acting for or on their behalf) are hereby stayed, restrained and enjoined from:
 - (a) commencing or continuing a judicial, administrative, or other action or proceeding against an officer or inhabitant of the City, including the issuance or employment of process, that seeks to enforce a claim against the City; and
 - (b) enforcing a lien on or arising out of taxes or assessments owed to the City.
- 5. For the avoidance of doubt, the protections of section 922(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code with respect to officers and inhabitants of the City, as set

forth in paragraph 4(a) above, apply in all respects to: (a) the Emergency

Manager; and (b) the City Officers, in whatever capacity each of them may serve.

- 6. Pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, all persons (including individuals, partnerships, corporations, limited liability companies and all those acting for or on their behalf), all foreign or domestic governmental units and all other entities (and all those acting for or on their behalf) are hereby prohibited from modifying or terminating any executory contract or unexpired lease, or any right or obligation under such contract or lease, at any time after the commencement of the City's chapter 9 case solely because of a provision in such contract or lease that is conditioned on:
 - (a) the insolvency or financial condition of the City at any time before the closing of the City's chapter 9 case; or
 - (b) the commencement of the City's chapter 9 case.
- 7. Pursuant to sections 362 and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, all parties to an executory contract or unexpired lease with the City shall continue to perform their obligations under such contract or lease until such contract or lease is assumed or rejected by the City or otherwise expires by its own terms.

Signed on July 25, 2013

steyen Rhodes
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

Gracie Webster v State Of Michigan

Docket No.

317292

LC No.

13-000734-CZ

Amy Ronayne Krause, Judge, acting under MCR 7.211(E)(2), orders:

Upon receipt of the July 25, 2013, orders of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan extending the Chapter 9 Stay, pursuant to 11 USC 105(a), to certain State entities including defendants-appellants in this appeal, the Court orders that this appeal is CLOSED without prejudice. The closure does not constitute a dismissal or a decision on the merits. When the bankruptcy stay has been removed, the case may be reopened on motion.

A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

- AUG 0 1 2013

Date

Chief Clerk