UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

)
In re:) Chapter 9
)
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,) Case No. 13-53846
)
Debtor.) Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
)

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF MICHAEL ARTZ

- I, Michael Artz, declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, as follows:
- 1. I am Associate General Counsel of the American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO ("<u>AFSCME</u>"), and I submit this supplemental declaration in support of *The Michigan Council 25 Of The American Federation Of State, County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO And Sub-Chapter 98, City Of Detroit Retirees' Pre-Trial Brief Regarding The City Of Detroit's Eligibility To Obtain Relief Under Chapter 9 of The Bankruptcy Code* (the "<u>Pretrial Brief</u>").
- 2. Attached to my Declaration are the following Exhibits referenced in the Pretrial Brief:

Exhibit A	A copy of a transcript of the deposition testimony given by Richard Baird on October 10, 2013.
Exhibit B	A copy of a transcript of the deposition testimony given by Treasurer Andrew Dillon on October 10, 2013.
Exhibit C	A copy of a transcript of the deposition testimony given by Mayor David Bing on October 14, 2013.

Executed on this 17th day of October, 2013	/s/ Michael Artz
•	Michael Artz, Esq.

EXHIBIT A

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor

Richard Baird October 10, 2013

Moretti Group 471 W. South Street Suite 41B Kalamazoo, MI 49007 800-536-0804



Original File 101013RB.TXT

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

			October 10, 2013
	Page 1		Page 3
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	1	APPEARANCES, CONTINUING:
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION - DETROIT	2	FOR THE STATE OF MICHIGAN:
3	In re: Chapter 9	3	OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR-LEGAL DIVISION
4	CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, Case No. 13-53846	4	George W. Romney Building 111 South Capitol Avenue
5		5	P.O. Box 30013
	Debtor, Hon. Steven W. Rhodes		Lansing, Michigan 48909 517.241.5630
6	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	6	gadolam@michigan.gov BY: MICHAEL F. GADOLA (P43960)
7	WITNESS: RICHARD BAIRD	7	DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC
8	LOCATION: Dickinson Wright, PLLC 215 South Washington Street, Suite 200	8	215 South Washington Square, Suite 200 Lansing, Michigan 48933-1816
9	Lansing, Michigan 48933	9	517.487.4710 pellsworth@dickinsonwright.com
10	DATE: Thursday, October 10, 2013 1:56 p.m.	10	BY: PETER H. ELLSWORTH (P23657)
11		11	VIDEO BY: Tim Reitman, Reitman Video Specialists
12	APPEARANCES:	12	·
13	FOR PLAINTIFFS FLOWERS:	13	REPORTED BY: Laurel A. Jacoby, CSR-5059, RPR
14	LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM A. WERTHEIMER 30515 Timberbrook Lane	14	
15	Bingham Farms, Michigan 48025 248.644.9200	15	
16	billwertheimer@gmail.com BY: WILLIAM A. WERTHEIMER (P26275)	16	
17	FOR INTERNATIONAL UNION, UAW:	17	
18	COHEN, WEISS and SIMON, LLP	18	
19	330 West 42nd Street New York, New York 10036-6976	19	
20	212.563.4100 pdechiara@cwsny.com	20	
	BY: PETER D. DeCHIARA, ESQUIRE	21	
21	FOR THE RETIREES COMMITTEE:		
22	DENTONS US LLP	22	
23	1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1089	23	
24	212.768.6881 arthur.ruegger@dentons.com	24	
25	BY: ARTHUR H. RUEGGER, ESQUIRE	25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES, CONTINUING:	1	I N D E X
2	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO:	2	WITNESS: RICHARD BAIRD PAGE NO.
3	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER, LLP	3	Examination by Mr. DeChiara 7
4	65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068	4	Examination by Mr. Wertheimer 68
5	973.597.2538	5	Examination by Mr. Sherwood 80
6	jsherwood@lowenstein.com BY: JOHN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE	6	
7		7	
8	FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM:	8	
9	CLARK HILL	9	
10	212 E. Grand River Avenue Lansing, Michigan 48906	10	
11	517.318.3060 sqallagher@clarkhill.com	11	
12	BY: SEAN PATRICK GALLAGHER (P73108)	12	עמיעד הדמדעק
	CLARK HILL		EXHIBIT INDEX
13	500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500 Detroit, Michigan 48226		EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.
14	313.965.8274 jgreen@clarkhill.com		Exhibit 1 Jones Day Presentation to the
15	BY: JENNIFER K. GREEN (P69019)	15	City of Detroit; Detroit, Michigan
16	FOR THE FINANCIAL GUARANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION:	16	Jan. 29, 2013
17	WILLIAMS WILLIAMS RATTNER & PLUNKETT, PC	17	(Bates Nos. DTMI 000128731-805) 13
18	380 North Old Woodward Avenue Suite 300	18	Exhibit 2 Jan. 30, 2013 email
19	Birmingham, Michigan 48009	19	Subject: Your call
20	248.642.0333 eje@wwrplaw.com	20	(Bates No. JD-RD 0000113) 21
21	BY: ERNEST J. ESSAD, JR. (P32572)	21	Exhibit 3 Jan. 31, 2013 email
22	FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT:	22	Subject: D
23	JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW	23	(Bates No. JD-RD 0000303) 24
24	Washington, D.C. 20001-2113 202.879.3939	24	
25	gshumaker@jonesday.com BY: GREGORY M. SHUMAKER, ESQUIRE	25	
	Subout in Diffinity Bogothe		

					October 10, 2013
		Page 5			Page 7
1		EXHIBIT INDEX	1		October 10, 2013
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.	2		Lansing, Michigan
3	Exhibit 4	Feb. 11, 2013 email	3		1:56 p.m.
4		Subject: Revised (Final) Schedule	4		1.50 p.m.
5		for Kevyn Orr Monday, Feb. 11	5		VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Today's date is October
6		(Bates No. JD-RD 0000327) 27	6		the 10th, 2013, and we're on the record at 1:56 p.m.
7	Exhibit 5	Feb. 20, 2013 email	7		This is the video deposition of
8		Subject: Message from	8		Mr. Richard Baird and we're at 211 South Washington
9		RUP0026732F87D1	9		Street in Lansing, Michigan.
10		(Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000216 and 218) 31	10		Can the witness be sworn, please.
11	Exhibit 6	Feb. 22, 2013 email	11		-RICHARD BAIRD-
12		Subject: 11 Point Plan	12		called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was
13		(Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000459-463) 38	13		examined and testified as follows:
14	Exhibit 7	July 8, 2013 email	14		EXAMINATION
15		Subject: Detroit	15		MR. DeCHIARA:
16		(Bates No. SOM 20003601) 60	16		Good afternoon, Mr. Baird. My name is Peter
17	Exhibit 8	July 9, 2013 email	17	_	DeChiara. I'm a lawyer with the law firm of Cohen,
18		Subject: Detroit	18		Weiss and Simon LLP. We represent the United Auto
19		(Bates No. SOM 20003657) 65	19		Workers International Union in this case.
20	Exhibit 9	Outline: Is the Emergency Manager	20		Did you prepare in any manner for this
21		Moving Fast Enough?	21		deposition?
22		(Bates Nos. DTMI 00113909-910) 67	22	A.	Yes.
23		(Baces Nest. Bini colleges 310)	23	Q.	What did you do?
24				À.	I reviewed emails, reviewed other depositions and
25			25		discussed with my attorneys.
		Page 6			Page 8
1		Page 6 EXHIBIT INDEX		0	
1 2	EXHIBIT NO.	•		Q.	What depositions did you review?
	EXHIBIT NO. Exhibit 10	EXHIBIT INDEX	2	A.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for
2		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.	3	Ä.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case
2		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email	2 3 4	Ä.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis.
2 3 4		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition?
2 3 4 5		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date.
2 3 4 5 6		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency
2 3 4 5 6 7		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. A.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013? No. What about in 2012?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013? No. What about in 2012? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013? No. What about in 2012?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013? No. What about in 2012? No. Are you familiar with an organization called MI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013? No. What about in 2012? No. Are you familiar with an organization called MI Partners?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013? No. What about in 2012? No. Are you familiar with an organization called MI Partners? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Jan. 31, 2013 email Subject: D (Bates Nos. JD-RD 0000300-301) 104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	What depositions did you review? I reviewed the depositions for Kevyn Orr and for Governor Snyder and my own deposition from a case brought by Robert Davis. Okay. That was the May 24th, 2013 deposition? I don't recall the exact date. Okay. It was in Davis versus Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board? Yes. And it was in the spring of this year? Yes. Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone else in preparation for this deposition? No. Other than the deposition that you gave in the Davis case, have you given any other depositions in 2013? No. What about in 2012? No. Are you familiar with an organization called MI Partners? Yes. What is MI Partners?

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor

Richard Baird October 10, 2013

	City of Detroit, Debtor		October 10, 2013
	Page 9		Page 11
1 Q.	Okay. Are you an employee of MI Partners LLC?	1	whereby NERD pays MI Partners for you to provide
2 A.	I am.	2	consulting services to the Governor and his staff?
3 Q.	And what's your position?	3 A.	Since January of 2011.
4 A.	I am its president.	4 Q.	Apart from the arrangement I just mentioned, do you
5 Q.	Are there any other employees?	5	have any other paid employment?
6 A.	No.	6 A.	Employment, no.
7 Q.	Are there any other owners?	7 Q.	Do you have any other paid consultancy work that you
8 A.	No.	8	perform?
9 Q.	What business is MI Partners in?	9 A.	No.
10 A.	Provides consulting services, mainly organizational,	10 Q.	Are you an employee of the State of Michigan?
11	talent, strategy.	11 A.	No.
12 Q.	And how many clients does MI Partners have?	12 Q.	Okay. But you have a Michigan government email
13 A.	One.	13	address?
14 Q.	And who is that or what is that?	14 A.	Yes.
15 A.	It is the New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify.	15 Q.	Okay. And do you have do you or MI Partners have
16 Q.	And what services does MI Partners provide to New	16	offices out of which you work?
17	Energy to Reinvest and Diversify?	17 A.	I have an office out of which I work at Romney and I
18 A.	New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify is	18	have an office off premise in Michigan.
19 Q.	I'm sorry, is it Reinvent or Reinvest?	19 Q.	Do you or MI Partners pay rent for your office in
20 A.	Reinvent.	20	the Romney Building?
21 Q.	I'm sorry, Reinvent.	21 A.	No.
22 A.	Is the fund which covers my fees. My services are provided to the Governor, his executive office and	22 Q. 23	Have you played any as part of your consultancy for the Governor and his staff, did you play or have
23 24	his extended leadership team.	24	you played any role in connection with the
25 Q.	Do you receive any monies do you or do MI	25	restructuring of the City of Detroit?
25 Q.	Do you receive any momes—do you or do wi	23	restructuring of the city of Betroit.
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Partners receive any monies directly from the State?	1 A.	D. C
- 4	y y	I A.	Define restructuring.
2 A.	No.	2 Q.	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its
2 A. 3 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive		The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the
3 Q. 4	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State?	2 Q. 3 4	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up
3 Q. 4 5 A.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know.	2 Q. 3 4 5	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today.
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A.	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly.
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD,	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q.	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about?	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to.	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts?
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A.	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case?	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know.	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit.
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know.	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q.	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit.
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q. 16	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies from the Jones Day law firm?	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q. 16	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you performed any other work that had to do with or that
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q. 16 17 A.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies from the Jones Day law firm? I don't know.	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q. 16 17	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you performed any other work that had to do with or that related in some way to Detroit?
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q. 16 17 A. 18 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies from the Jones Day law firm? I don't know. Do you know whether it receives any monies from	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A.	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you performed any other work that had to do with or that related in some way to Detroit? I would I have been part of meetings where if
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q. 16 17 A. 18 Q. 19	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies from the Jones Day law firm? I don't know. Do you know whether it receives any monies from Kevyn Orr?	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you performed any other work that had to do with or that related in some way to Detroit? I would I have been part of meetings where if asked an opinion, I would provide an opinion. If I
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q. 16 17 A. 18 Q. 19 20 A.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies from the Jones Day law firm? I don't know. Do you know whether it receives any monies from Kevyn Orr? I don't know. Do you know who or what finances NERD? I don't know the donors. I've been advised that	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 20	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you performed any other work that had to do with or that related in some way to Detroit? I would I have been part of meetings where if asked an opinion, I would provide an opinion. If I saw an area where I had some experience or value, I would render that opinion. But in terms of specific services of a restructuring nature, no.
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q. 16 17 A. 18 Q. 19 20 A. 21 Q.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies from the Jones Day law firm? I don't know. Do you know whether it receives any monies from Kevyn Orr? I don't know. Do you know who or what finances NERD? I don't know the donors. I've been advised that they are private donors, but I have no way of	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 20 21	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you performed any other work that had to do with or that related in some way to Detroit? I would I have been part of meetings where if asked an opinion, I would provide an opinion. If I saw an area where I had some experience or value, I would render that opinion. But in terms of specific services of a restructuring nature, no. Do you as a regular matter as part of your work for
3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 A. 15 Q. 16 17 A. 18 Q. 19 20 A. 21 Q. 22 A.	No. Does New Energy to Reinvent and Diversify receive any monies from the State? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether I'm just going to refer so I don't have to keep repeating that name, I'm just going to refer to it as NERD, N-E-R-D. Is that okay? Do you understand what I'm talking about? I will know the fund you're referring to. Does NERD receive any monies from any of the creditors in the Detroit bankruptcy case? I don't know. Okay. Do you know whether NERD receives any monies from the Jones Day law firm? I don't know. Do you know whether it receives any monies from Kevyn Orr? I don't know. Do you know who or what finances NERD? I don't know the donors. I've been advised that	2 Q. 3 4 5 6 A. 7 8 Q. 9 10 11 12 A. 13 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 20 21 22	The efforts by the City of Detroit to get its economic house in order beginning before the bankruptcy, from whenever it began doing that, up and through to today. I have not consulted with the City of Detroit on its restructuring directly. Okay. Have you worked in your consultancy for the Governor, has part of your work for the Governor been in connection with the Detroit's restructuring efforts? No. Again, I have been involved in talent identification assessment but not in the direct restructuring efforts for the City of Detroit. Okay. Other than talent identification, have you performed any other work that had to do with or that related in some way to Detroit? I would I have been part of meetings where if asked an opinion, I would provide an opinion. If I saw an area where I had some experience or value, I would render that opinion. But in terms of specific services of a restructuring nature, no.

7

8

9

10

Page 13

1 A. Yes.

- Okay. And how frequently do you do that? 2 Q.
- Define frequently. Every day?
- 4 Q. Well, why don't you just tell me how often you do 5
- Well, every day I'm probably in some meetings with 6 A. 7 members of his staff.
- Would it be fair to say you work intimately with the 8 O. Governor and his staff? 9
- 10 A. Sure.
- 11 Q. Did you attend a meeting on January 29, 2013, at
- which various law firms were making a pitch to be 12
- hired as restructuring counsel by the City of 13
- Detroit? 14
- 15 A. I don't recall the exact date, but it was toward the 16 end of January.
- Okay. I'd like to show you a document which I'll 17 Q. 18 mark as Exhibit 1.
- 19 20 (Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked.)

21

BY MR. DeCHIARA: 22

- O. And for the record, I'll identify Exhibit 1 as a
- document that on the first page says Presentation to 24
- 25 the City of Detroit; Detroit, Michigan; January 29,

1 all, let me say that this was not a formal pitch. 2 This meeting was set up to provide the City, the 3

emergency -- I'm sorry, the program management 4 director and the CFO with some parameters associated with what needs to be going into an RFP that had yet 5

Page 15

6 to be completed.

> So this was simply bringing together a number of law firms with relevant experience to discuss things that the City should contemplate keeping in mind for a future RFP.

11 Q. Okay. Before I -- I have a -- I had asked you a question about what was said by the Jones Day 12

people, but before I ask you that, let me ask you do 13

you know whether Jones Day provided any services 14 15 paid or unpaid or legal advice to the State prior --

at any time prior to this meeting? 16

I don't know. I was not aware of any such services 17 A. provided. 18

19 Q. Okay. All right. So what's the best of your recollection of what the Jones Day people said at 20 the meeting? 21

22 A. Well, they went through this presentation.

You're referring to Exhibit 1? 23 O.

24 A. Exhibit 1.

25 Q. Okay.

7

Page 16 They introduced themselves. They talked about their 1 A.

background and their qualifications. They talked 2

about experience that they had in Detroit and in 3

Michigan. They discussed the fact that out-of-court 4 solutions are absolutely preferred, and they talked 5

6 about their experience in out-of-court

restructuring.

8 And then they talked about various -- the 9 experience that they had both in out-of-court 10 restructurings and in-court restructurings.

Did they say anything about a potential bankruptcy 11 O. 12 filing by the City of Detroit?

13 A. I don't recall specifically, but certainly they

indicated a continuum of potential proceeding 14

depending on what transpired prior to the last 15

resort, which would be a Chapter 9 filing. 16

That's what they said? They said that would be a 17 Q. last resort? 18

19 A. I don't recall if they said that specifically, but 20 members of our team made it very clear that it was our intent to stay out of the courts. 21

When you say our team, who are you referring to? 22 O.

23 A. Mainly Treasury, and I think that would be shared by the City leadership that were put in place under the 24 25

consent agreement, the CFO and the program

Page 14

2013, and it's Bate stamped the first page at the 1 bottom DTMI 00128731. 2

Mr. Baird, looking at Exhibit 1, does that 3 refresh your recollection of the date of what I'll 4 call the pitch meeting? 5

Well, the document's dated January 29th. If it was 6 7 delivered the same day then I was there.

Q. Okay. Who else besides you on behalf of the State 8 9 was at that meeting?

I'm not sure I recall everyone, but Andy Dillon was 10 A. there, and Tom Saxton from Treasury was there. 11

12 Those would be the only ones I recall from the State right now. 13

14 O. Do you have a recollection of what was -- do you have a recollection of the meeting? 15

16 A.

Okay. And Jones Day was one of the law firms that 17 Q.

18 made a pitch? 19 A.

20 O. Do you have any recollection of what the people from

Jones Day said at the meeting? 21

I mean, that was eight, nine months ago but a 22 A.

23 directional recollection, yes. 24 Q. What's the best of your recollection?

25 A. My recollection is that Jones Day -- well, first of

Page 17

1 management director.

- 2 O. Okay. Do you recall whether Kevyn Orr spoke at the 3 meeting?
- 4 A. Yes, he did.

8

- 5 Q. And do you recall what he said?
- He talked about his background and credentials. He 6
- 7 talked about his experience with Chrysler. He
 - talked about his broad restructuring expertise. He
- 9 talked about his ties to Detroit. His mother was a
- professor at University of Michigan. He had 10
- relatives that continued to have ties in Michigan. 11
- 12 He recalled even elements of his education where he
- spent a fair amount of time in Detroit. 13
- It was clear that -- I was impressed by the 14 15 fact that he had a passion for the City, and I was
- very impressed by his knowledge of Michigan and the 16
- City from his years as an undergrad and law school 17
- student. 18
- At the meeting, did you speak to Mr. Orr one-on-one? Q. 19
- At the meeting or after the meeting. When I say 20
- after, I mean that day. 21
- I did not speak to -- if you mean one-on-one, did 22
- the two of us have a one-on-one conversation.
- Right. Did you break off and have a one-on-one? 24 Q.
- 25 A. No. No. I did not.

- 1 A. No.
- 2 O. Now, the day after the meeting, you called Jones
- Day; isn't that correct? 3
- 4 A. I did.
- 5 Q. Okay. And why did you call Jones Day?
- 6 A. Specifically, I called Stephen Brogan, the managing
- partner for Jones Day, and I asked him for 7
- permission to speak with Kevyn Orr about the 8
- 9 potential of an emergency manager position if, in
- fact, Detroit were found to be in emergency 10
- 11 financial distress and the Governor found it
- 12 necessary to recommend to the ELB an EM candidate.
- 13 O. So you were as of January 30th interested in Mr. Orr as a potential candidate to be EM? 14
- 15 A. I was interested in Mr. Orr after seeing him and his
- background and experience. I was very impressed, 16
- and that's why I made the call the next day. 17
- 18 O. Right, but is it fair to say you were interested in him as a potential candidate for EM? 19
- 20 A.
- 21 O. And before you made the call, did you speak to the
- 22 Governor about your interest in Mr. Orr?
- I don't recall. I don't think so. 23 A.
- 24 Q. Did you speak to Mr. Dillon?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 18

Page 20

Page 19

- Okay. Let me ask you also, did either Jones Day or 1 O.
- Mr. Orr at that meeting say anything about Detroit's 2
- pensions or pension liability? 3
- 4 A. I don't recall.
- Let me turn your attention to page 41 of Exhibit 1. 5 O.
- 6 A. Did I just lose my mic?
- VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Yeah, you did. 7
- THE WITNESS: What page was that, 41? 8
- 9 BY MR. DeCHIARA:
- Right. And I'd like to draw your attention in 10
- particular to the very last line on page 41. I'll 11
- read it for the record. It says "If needed, 12
- Chapter 9 could be used as a means to further cut 13
- back or compromise "accrued financial benefits" 14
- otherwise protected under the Michigan 15
- Constitution." 16
- Do you recall any spoken statements by the 17 people from Jones Day along the lines of what's --18
- what I just read? 19
- I do not. 20 A.
- Did you get a copy of what's been marked as 21
- Exhibit 1? 22
- 23 A. I believe I did.
- And did you -- after the meeting, did you share it 24 Q.
- with anybody? 25

- 1 O. And what did you and Mr. Dillon -- can you recount what you said to Mr. Dillon and what he said to you? 2
- 3 A. I spoke to Mr. Dillon at the close of the same day,
- 4 which according to this was January 29th, and I indicated to him that I was very impressed with 5
- 6 Mr. Orr and that I was going to call Mr. Brogan the
- 7
- next day and see if there was any potential that I
- could talk to Mr. Orr. 8
- 9 O. And what did Mr. Dillon say, if anything, in
- response to that? 10
- My recollection is that he said I don't think you 11 A.
- could ever get him, but he would be an extremely 12
- quality candidate. 13
- 14 O. Okay. Other than the reasons you've already
- testified to today, are there any other reasons you 15
- were interested in Mr. Orr as a potential candidate 16
 - for EM?
- Yeah. Really two. One is that it was always our 18 A.
- 19 intent to see if we could not solve the incredible
- 20 financial problems by avoiding a Chapter 9 filing,
- and to be honest it was that meeting where it became 21
- 22 clear to me that somebody who knew their way around
- 23 the courts would actually stand a much better chance
- of keeping us out of the courts in terms of our 24
- 25 negotiations with creditors and other stakeholders.

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor

Richard Baird October 10, 2013

_					October 10, 2013
		Page 21			Page 23
1	Q.	I think you said there were two.	1		with you I will ask that he call you.
	A.	Yeah.	2		At that time I thanked Steve and I told him
	Q.	Was that	3		that I want you to know whether he talks to us or
	A.	That was one. I'm sorry.	4		not, you will Jones Day will neither be hurt nor
	Q.	What was the second?	5		helped if there's any further discussions about
	A.	The second one was that he was I didn't learn	6		Kevyn in this particular role.
7		this then, but in my first conversation with him I		Q.	Hurt or helped in what regard?
8		learned that he was the son of a teacher and he was		A.	With regard to their bid potential bid to do work
9		also the son of a minister, and as part of the	9		for the City of Detroit.
10		conversation I had with him going forward I felt		Q.	And were you in a position to make that commitment
11		that the man's character was exactly what we would	11	_	to Jones Day as to what the decisionmaking of the
12		be looking for. If we could convince him to do this	12		City of Detroit would be?
13		role he'd do it for the right reasons.		A.	Actually, on reflection, no.
14	O.	I'd like to show you a document I'll mark as		Q.	But you made it anyway.
15	Ψ.	Exhibit 2.		A.	I did.
16		2		Q.	Okay. Did Mr. Brogan tell you why he thought it
17		(Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked.)	17	₹.	was highly unlikely that you'd be able to get
18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18		Kevyn Orr?
19	BY	MR. DeCHIARA:		A.	He said he had two young children, a wife who was a
20		And it's a one-page document which is stamped at the	20		surgeon at Johns Hopkins and the fact that he'd just
21	Ψ.	bottom JD-RD 0000113.	21		committed to do the Miami deal, and he thought this
22		Mr. Baird, if I can refer your attention to	22		would be too much of a deviation from those plans.
23		the bottom of Exhibit 2, is that an email you wrote		Q.	Did you speak to Mr. Orr that day, January 30th,
24		to Corinne Ball on January 30th, 2013?	24	_	2013?
	A.	Yes.		A.	I don't recall.
		Page 22			Page 24
,	0	-	1	0	
	Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the		Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your
2	_	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan?	2	_	
2	A.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes.	3		Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3.
2 3 4	_	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th,	2 3 4		Let me show you a document that may help your
2 3 4 5	A.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on	2 3 4 5		Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.)
2 3 4 5 6	A.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan."	2 3 4 5 6	В	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA:
2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY Q. A. Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY Q. A. Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY Q. A. Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY Q. A. Q. BY Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY Q. A. Q. BY Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY Q. A. Q. BY Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY Q. A. Q. BY Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY Q. A. Q. BY Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of anything that any of our partners wanted to do, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of anything that any of our partners wanted to do, but frankly, I think the chances of your getting him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan Right and I said I did not recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of anything that any of our partners wanted to do, but frankly, I think the chances of your getting him would be highly unlikely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 122 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan Right and I said I did not recall. And according to this email which you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of anything that any of our partners wanted to do, but frankly, I think the chances of your getting him would be highly unlikely. With that said, I would give you permission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan Right and I said I did not recall. And according to this email which you've handed me it appears that I spoke to Kevyn Orr the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of anything that any of our partners wanted to do, but frankly, I think the chances of your getting him would be highly unlikely. With that said, I would give you permission to talk to him, and I made it no, I take that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan Right and I said I did not recall. And according to this email which you've handed me it appears that I spoke to Kevyn Orr the very next day, the 31st.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of anything that any of our partners wanted to do, but frankly, I think the chances of your getting him would be highly unlikely. With that said, I would give you permission to talk to him, and I made it no, I take that back. It's not that I would give you permission to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan Right and I said I did not recall. And according to this email which you've handed me it appears that I spoke to Kevyn Orr the very next day, the 31st. Okay. So this refreshes your recollection that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And does this refresh your recollection about the date on which you called Steve Brogan? Yes. It was the day after this date, yes. Right. So well, the email is dated January 30th, and the email says in the second sentence "Was on phone with Steve Brogan." So is it accurate that you called Steve Brogan on January 30th? As I testified, I called Steve Brogan on January 30th. Okay. So the meeting at which Jones Day made a presentation the day before was January 29th? Correct. What did Steve Brogan say when you spoke to him? Steve said that you're killing me, I just asked this man to be the managing partner of our Miami office. He also said we would not stand in the way of anything that any of our partners wanted to do, but frankly, I think the chances of your getting him would be highly unlikely. With that said, I would give you permission to talk to him, and I made it no, I take that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Let me show you a document that may help your recollection. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3. (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) Y MR. DeCHIARA: Mr. Baird, is Exhibit 3 an email Well Well Well, can you identify the top email on Exhibit 3? MR. SHERWOOD: Is this the document 303 at the end? Y MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. I'm sorry, let me read the Bate stamp. It's stamped at the bottom JD-RD 000303. Okay. First of all, you asked me if I spoke to Kevyn Orr on the same day as I spoke to Stephen Brogan Right and I said I did not recall. And according to this email which you've handed me it appears that I spoke to Kevyn Orr the very next day, the 31st.

1

3

Page 25

1 Q. Okay. And what did you -- was it just you and 2 Mr. Orr on the phone when you spoke to him on

January 31st, 2013? 3

4 A. I believe so.

5 Q. And to the best of your recollection tell us what you said and what he said in that discussion. 6

7 I'm going to finish reading this --A.

Ο. Sure. 8

21

22

23

24 25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

-- for a moment. 9 A.

10 O. Feel free to do that.

11 A. Okay. Your question?

So apart from the document, although feel free to 12 Q. look at the document, what is your recollection of 13

what you said and what he said in the telephone call 14

15 you had with him on January 31st?

16 A. My recollection is I told him that we were very impressed with his presentation, I was very 17 18 impressed with his background and experience and that I'd asked Steve Brogan for permission to talk 19 to him. 20

> I said that we did not know whether or not Detroit would have to have an emergency manager recommended and appointed, but in the event that such were the case would he under any circumstances be willing to consider I think I called it joining

2 (Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked.)

4 BY MR. DeCHIARA:

For the record, it's one-page document. Exhibit 4 5 is a one-page document stamped at the bottom JD-RD 6 7 0000327. In the bottom portion of Exhibit 4 there's an email. 8

Page 27

Page 28

Mr. Baird, is that an email that you wrote 9 to the various people identified in the email? 10

11 A. Yes, I recall -- I recall writing this.

12 O. Okay. And it refers, does it not, to a schedule for Mr. Orr to meet with various people on 13

February 11th? 14

15 A. Correct.

16 O. And it refers to a schedule for a 2:30 p.m. meeting with the Governor and with yourself, correct? 17

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. Did that meeting take place on February 11th?

20 A. I believe it did.

21 O. And was anyone else present for that meeting other than the three of you; Mr. Orr, yourself and the 22

23 Governor?

24 A. No.

Page 26

25 Q. And do you recall what was discussed in that

the Governor's irrational act club. 1

What did he say? 2 Q.

A. He shut it down pretty summarily. And he indicated 3 the reasons I'd already mentioned, that he had young 4 children, you know, his schedule -- the scheduling 5 protocol with a surgeon wife made the situation 6 7 already difficult, he'd just agreed to take the 8

Miami job, and he said he really didn't see under any circumstances how this might work.

And I said did you talk to your wife about it? He said well, no, not yet. And I said well, let me just tell you a little bit about other members of the team, let me tell you a little bit about what we've learned about Detroit, and let me ask if you would at least take a night and sleep on it and talk to your wife about this because, frankly, this is the kind of a situation that, you

17 know, a lot of people would not be able to step up 18 to, but I firmly think that you are one who could. 19

20 O. Was there any discussion in the conversation about a potential filing for bankruptcy by the City of 21

Detroit? 22

23 A. No. I don't think so.

24 O. Okay. Let me now show you a document I'll mark as Exhibit 4. 25

meeting? 1

A. Kevyn's background was discussed, the Governor's 2 passion and commitment for Detroit was discussed. A 3

4 fair amount of discussion around the two of them and their law school experiences being a year apart was 5

discussed, and that's -- again, most of it was spent 6

7 talking about Kevyn and his background and

experience and some was reminiscing about Michigan 8

9 law school days.

10 O. Was there any discussion of a potential bankruptcy filing by the City of Detroit? 11

12 A. I don't recall; however, in the process of talking with Kevyn, it would have been -- we would have 13

discussed the fact that we need to do everything 14 possible to fix the problem, and the courts should 15

be avoided, but if they can't be avoided then it 16

17 would have been -- it would have been misleading to suggest that that wasn't a possibility. 18

When you say -- who is the we in that sentence? 19 O.

20 A. Well, you asked me about a specific meeting. It would have been Governor Snyder and me. 21

So it's the two -- the Governor and yourself who 22 O. 23 were saying what you just said in the prior sentence? 24

25 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

Page 29

1 O. In your prior answer?

2 A. Yes.

8

12

13

14

з О. Okay.

4 A. You have to understand, in general, it's difficult

to talk about the financial way forward and the 5

operating way forward for Detroit without 6

7 contemplating all of the avenues of rescue

available. Restructuring is clearly the optimum,

but in the absence of proper movement or ability to 9 negotiate, you can't have a discussion about the 10 11

future without looking at all of the options. And, of course, the actual discussion with Kevyn at this point was simply an option because we

didn't know if the review would ultimately find

15 Detroit in a state of emergency at this point. What we did know by this point is that there were several 16

areas under the consent agreement that were falling 17

short of what had been agreed. 18

Q. In the February 11th meeting with you and the 19

Governor and Mr. Orr, did any of the three of you 20 talk about pensions or pension liability in Detroit? 21

No, I don't believe so. 22 A.

Did you have meetings or discussions with Mr. Orr 23 O.

between the -- well, actually, let me back up. 24

25 Was the January 31 telephone call that you 1 the emergency financial manager?

I think that is correct. 2 A.

3 O. And when did -- when did he become -- when was he 4 appointed as EFM?

Page 31

Page 32

A. I don't recall the exact date. 5

Do you recall the ballpark in relation to mid March? 6

7 Was it -- actually, let me strike that.

In relation to the mid March effective date of Mr. Orr's appointment as EM, was his appointment as EFM days before or weeks before? Do you have some order of magnitude?

My recollection is it was days before PA 72 was in 12 A. effect when he was appointed and then 436 came into 13 effect I think a matter of days thereafter. 14

15 Q. Okay. So he was -- is it fair to say he was 16 appointed as EFM in early to mid March?

Again, I remember mid March. That's all I remember. 17 A.

18 Okay. I'd like to show you a document I'll mark as Exhibit 5. 19

(Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked.)

BY MR. DeCHIARA: 23

And for the record, I'll identify it as a three-page 24 Q. 25

document that's stamped at the bottom. The stamp on

Page 30

had with Mr. Orr the first time you had a 1

conversation with him? 2

Except for the public back and forth on the 29th. 3 A.

4 Q. Okay. So between the 31st of January and this

5 February 11th meeting, did you have additional

6 discussions with Mr. Orr?

7 I don't recall explicitly, but I'm sure that I did. A.

O. Okay. Do you recall whether in any of those 8

9 discussions you talked about Detroit's pensions or

pension liability? 10

I don't believe so. 11 A.

12 O. Okay. Did you talk about the prospect of or a

possibility of Detroit filing for bankruptcy? 13

14 A. I don't recall.

15 O. Now, Mr. Orr was appointed as EM, correct?

A. He was recommended by the Governor to the Emergency 16

Loan Board, and the Emergency Loan Board appointed 17

18 him as EM. ves.

And do you know the date that that appointment 19 O.

became effective? 20

I don't remember the exact date. It was around mid 21 A.

22 March.

23 Q. Now, is it correct that before Mr. Orr was appointed

as EM, emergency manager, he had earlier been 24

appointed under a prior statute, PA 72, as the EFM, 25

the first page is JD-RD 0000216. 1

MR. WERTHEIMER: That's five you said? 2

MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. 3

4 BY MR. DeCHIARA:

Mr. Baird, if you could look at the email at the 5

bottom half of Exhibit 5. If you want to take the 6

7 time to look at the whole document, why don't you do

8 that.

9 A. Well, I'll let you know if I need to.

All right. 10 O.

I recall the document. 11 A.

12 O. Okay. All right. So is it accurate that the email

at the bottom of Exhibit 5 is an email that you 13

wrote to Kevyn Orr on February 20th, 2013? 14

15 A. I believe so.

16 O. What were you -- what was the reference in the first

17 sentence to the summary of partnership?

Mayor Bing crafted a document that he described as a 18 A.

working arrangement or working partnership or 19

something, I forget exactly -- summary of 20

partnership perhaps is what he called it, and he

gave that to me in a meeting. We discussed it. 22

23 I told him that if, in fact, there was to

> be an emergency manager for Detroit that this would be something that he or she would have to review. I

21

24

Richard Baird In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor October 10, 2013

Page 33

1 also said that this would be a good aspirational

- 2 document but that it would be imprudent to bind a
- 3 future emergency manager to something that he or she
- 4 had not developed.
- 5 Q. Had the emergency manager at that point been chosen?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 O. Let me refer you to the second -- the second
- sentence of your email. It says "Told him that 8
- there were certain things I would not think we could 9
- agree to without your review, assessment and 10
- 11 determination (such as keeping the executive team in
- 12 its entirety)."
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 O. Now, the you in that -- the your in that sentence
- refers to Mr. Orr, correct? 15
- 16 A. Correct.

24 25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- O. So is it -- am I reading this correctly that what 17
- 18 you're saying to Mr. Orr in this sentence is that
- unless Mr. Orr agreed to certain things that you 19
- spell out in this sentence -- or you were saying 20
- that Mr. Orr's agreement to certain things that you 21
- 22 refer to in this sentence were necessary.
- 23 A. No. I don't think that would be correct.

know who Kevyn Orr was.

What I intended is that Kevyn Orr had not yet agreed if recommended to serve in this capacity. 1 have been thinking at the time of what I thought the

Page 35

Page 36

- 2 chief of staff and/or the Governor might be
- 3 thinking, but I don't recall who my we was other
- 4 than me.
- Q. Let me read the third sentence. It says "Will 5
- broker a meeting via note between you and the 6
- 7 Mayor's personal assistant who is not FOIAble."
- That's F-O-I-A-b-l-e. 8
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 O. Did you attempt to broker a meeting -- did you
- 11 broker a meeting between Mr. Orr and the Mayor's
- 12 personal assistant?
- 13 A. I brokered a connection via note.
- 14 Q. And when did you do that?
- 15 A. I don't recall, but it would have been fairly soon
- 16 after this.
- 17 O. Okay. And can you explain what you mean by broker a 18
 - meeting by a note?
- That I would introduce them to one another, provide 19 A.
- their contact information, and step back and ask 20
- them to work out when and where they would meet to 21
- 22 determine the kind of relationship they might seek
- 23 to have.
- 24 Q. Were there other candidates for EM who were still
- 25 being considered as of February 20th, 2013?

Page 34

1 A.

- 2 Ο. Did you broker a meeting between the Mayor's
- personal assistant and those other candidates? 3
- 4 A.
- 5 O. Did you write an email similar to this one to the
- 6 other candidates where you said I would not think we
- 7 could agree to without your review, assessment and
- determination? 8
- 9 A.
- 10 O. Do you know whether Mr. Bing -- I'm sorry, Mr. Orr
- met with the Mayor's personal assistant? 11
- I don't know. 12 A.
- 13 Q. Okay. What did you mean by the phrase who is not
- 14
- 15 A. The Mayor and Kevyn wished to meet privately, and so
- the person who was going to set that up was someone 16
- 17 the Mayor had recommended set it up because she, I
- believe, was not a City employee. 18
- Oh, so the Mayor's personal assistant was not a City 19 O.
- 20 employee?
- 21 A. I believe when I said personal, it was personal
- 22 assistant.
- 23 O. And why did you tell Mr. Orr in this email that the
- personal assistant was not FOIAble? 24
- 25 A. Because she was not -- it was my understanding she

He was still doing his own due diligence determining 1 2 if he could separate from his firm, a number of other issues. What he did say to me is that if he 3 were, in fact, to go forward it would be important 4 to him that he have a working relationship with the 5 Mayor. And that's actually where this document came 6 7 from because I'd mentioned to the Mayor that that would be important. At this point, the Mayor didn't 8

> So the purpose of writing this to Kevyn was that so he could have an understanding of where the Mayor's thought process was and so that he could use this information in the event that he and the Mayor met, which we had been discussing doing because of the fact that he wanted a strong working relationship with the Mayor.

16 Q. Okay. In the sentence it's -- I'll quote part of 17 the sentence. It says "...I would not think we 18 could agree to without your review, assessment and 19 determination." 20

Who is the we in that sentence? 21 I think I used a poor choice of words. I was 22 A. 23 referring to myself, looking at this, and having some difficulty with a few of the issues here. And 24 so I think the we would be certainly me, and I may 25

Page 37

1 was not a City employee.

- 2 Q. Why did you think that was something -- that she was
- not FOIAble, why did you think that was something 3
- 4 worth mentioning to Mr. Orr in this email? What did
- it matter? 5
- A. Because the Mayor wished for a private meeting, not 6 7 a meeting that would be publicly disclosed.
- Did Mr. Orr say anything about whether he wanted a 8 O. 9 private meeting?
- I don't recall. He said he wanted a meeting. I 10 A. 11 don't recall him saying he wanted a private meeting.
- 12 Q. Okay. So who was it that wanted the meeting or was it both? The Mayor or Mr. Orr? 13
- Mayor Bing wanted to meet the potential candidate, 14 A.
- 15 and Mr. Orr wanted to assess a potential working
- relationship with Mayor Bing as one of the 16
- conditions for success in the event he accepted the 17
- recommendation. 18
- Q. How did Mr. Bing know that Mr. Orr was a candidate? 19
- I told him. 20 A.
- Okay. Did you tell him who the other candidates **21** O.
- 22 were?
- 23 A. No. And I didn't tell him Mr. Orr's name until such
- time as he -- the two of them expressed a desire to 24
- 25 meet.

1 The G is the Governor?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And then it continues "If you agree with what

Page 39

Page 40

- 4 I have done to the doc based on everyone's input,
- and agree that you should be the one to provide it 5
- 6 to the Mayor as fully endorsed by the Governor and
- 7 the Treasurer (and you), then I think that clearly
- establishes that you are already behaving as an 8
- agent of the State committed to getting Detroit back 9 on track." 10

11 What was the doc? And I assume that was short for document? 12

- 13 A.
- 14 Q. What was the document you were referring to?
- 15 A. It would have been the summary of partnership that
- 16 the original draft had been provided by Mayor Bing. Okay. So you were showing -- in this email you were 17 O.
- 18 showing Mr. Orr certain modifications you had made to the document; is that correct? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. And were you looking for his input?
- I was looking for input and/or agreement. 22 A.
- From Mr. Orr? 23 O.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you -- this is two days after the

Page 38

document we were discussing in Exhibit 5. 1 Were there still other candidates for the 2

EM position as of February 22nd, 2013? 3

- 4 A. There was one other candidate.
 - O. Okay. Did you send that other candidate an email 5
 - 6 like this looking for the other candidate's input
 - 7 and agreement to the document you refer to in
 - Exhibit 6? 8
 - 9 A.
 - 10 O. Okay. Did Mr. Orr give you his input and/or agreement? 11
 - 12 A. I believe he did.
 - 13 O. Okay. And did his giving the input or agreement
 - clearly establish to you that he was already 14
 - behaving as an agent of the State? 15
 - No. The use of the term agent of the State was my 16 A.
 - attempt at continuing the recruiting pressure on
 - Kevyn Orr because he was clearly not an agent of the 18
 - 19

17

- 20 O. But nonetheless you wrote to him saying that if he did what you were asking, he -- that would clearly 21
- establish that he was already behaving as an agent 22
- 23 of the State. 24

Am I reading what you wrote there correctly?

- 1 0. Okay. I'd like to mark as Exhibit 6 another document which I'll have the court reporter show 2
- 3 you. 4

6

7

(Deposition Exhibit 6 was marked.) 5

BY MR. DeCHIARA:

- Q. And for the record, I'll identify it as a multipage 8 9 document. The first page is stamped at the bottom
- JD-RD 0000459. 10
- Mr. Baird, let me refer your attention to 11 the email that's in the middle of the first page of 12
- Exhibit 6. Is that an email that you wrote to Kevyn 13
- Orr on February 22nd, 2013? 14
- 15 A. Is that the one timed 11:35 a.m.?
- Q. I'm looking at the one that says 11:41 a.m. 16
- **17** A. Okay.
- That's sort of smack in the middle. Or at least the 18 O.
- date code is sort of right in the middle of --19
- Yes, I believe I sent that. 20 A.
- Okay. And do you recall this email? 21 Q.
- Vaguely I recall it. 22 A.
- 23 Q. It says "Kevyn, about to be in a car for several
- hours so I thought I would send this to you prior to 24
- hearing back from the G a final time." 25

Page 41 Page 43 The man had not formally committed to the role, and 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. 2 I was attempting to recruit him. And it was in that And on one occasion or more than one occasion? context that I put that statement, which now would з А. One occasion. 3 4 appear to be a little presumptuous on my part. 4 Q. What occasion was that? Q. Just to be clear, at this point Mr. Orr was still a 5 A. It was early on in our conversation where I 5 partner at the Jones Day law firm? indicated to him that I was aware of the existence 6 6 7 I believe so. 7 of the article and that he should be aware of it as A. Okay. Well, in fact, he didn't cease to be a well. He said he was aware of it. And that was our 8 Ο. 8 partner until he became EM -- or EFM; is that 9 9 discussion. 10 O. And was this -- can you locate this conversation in 10 time? Was it, for example, before the February 11th 11 A. I never saw his withdrawal from the partnership, so 11 12 you'd have to talk to them about that. 12 meeting that you and Mr. Orr and the Governor had? Okay. Do you have a general understanding about 13 A. No, sir, I can't. During the course of a 13 O. when he severed his ties with the firm? recruitment you cover an awful lot of ground and you 14 14 15 A. My understanding is he was no longer a partner when 15 answer a lot of questions and you raise lots of he became the EM. issues, and you do the best you can to help an 16 16 Was he a partner when he became the EFM? individual get to the best answer as it relates to 17 O. 17 No. Well, I don't know, but my understanding was an opportunity like this. 18 19 Q. Okay. Was it -- the conversation before Mr. Orr that he was not. 19 Are you familiar with a provision of the Michigan 20 became EM? 20 Q. State Constitution, Article 9 Section 24, that Yes. I believe it was. 21 21 A. refers to pensions? 22 O. It was while you were recruiting him, correct? 22 23 A. I am. 23 A. What's your understanding of that provision? 24 Q. 24 Q. Okay. So you -- just so I understand, you on one Would you like to read it? 25 occasion brought up to him, Mr. Orr, the subject of 25 A. Page 42 Page 44 No, I just want to know what your general Article 9 Section 24? 1 O. 1 understanding is of the provision. A. I brought up to him the fact that the Michigan 2 2 Well, I'm not an attorney so I'm not going to give a Constitution has a provision as it relates to 3 A. 3 legal interpretation. pensions and he should be aware of it. 4 4 And just for the record, I'm not seeking one. 5 O. And what did he respond? 5 O. He said he was aware of it. Okay. Good. 6 A. 7 But you do have some idea what the provision is Did you have any further discussion about Article 9 Q. 7 O. Section 24? about? 8 8 **9** A. I've read the provision. 9 A. No. 10 O. Okay. What's your understanding of it? 10 O. Okay. Other than Mr. Orr -- well, strike that. My understanding of it is that the Constitution Did you ever speak to the Governor about 11 A. 11 protects pensions to the extent that they are fully Article 9 Section 24? 12 12 accrued and then they cannot be altered. MR. ELLSWORTH: Object to the extent that 13 13 There is some degree of difference of it may call for lawyer-client privileged 14 14 opinion about whether a fully-funded pension has the information. 15 15 same protection under the Constitution as one that BY MR. DeCHIARA: 16 16 is not fully funded. Okay. I'm going to modify my question to ask you to 17 17 And do you have a view on that subject? exclude occasions on which you spoke to the Governor 18 Q. 18 19 A. 19 in the presence of counsel.

20 O. Have you ever discussed Article 9 Section 24 with

anybody? 21

Yes. 22 A.

23 O. With whom have you discussed it?

24 A. I don't recall. Various people.

25 Q. Have you ever discussed it with Kevyn Orr?

20 A. The answer would be no.

21 Q. Did you ever speak to Mr. Dillon about Article 9

Section 24 with the same caveat as to not in front 22

23 of counsel?

I don't think so. 24 A.

Do you recall speaking to anyone at Jones Day about 25 O.

Page 45

- 1 Article 29 -- Article 9 Section 24 of the Michigan
- 2 Constitution?
- MR. ELLSWORTH: Same objection. 3
- 4 MR. DeCHIARA: Okay.
- BY MR. DeCHIARA: 5
- Q. Let me modify it to say before Jones Day was 6
- 7 retained by the City, did you speak to anyone at
- Jones Day about Article 9 Section 24? 8
- 9 No, I don't believe so.
- O. Did you ever speak to the Attorney General of the 10
- State of Michigan about Article 9 Section 24? 11
- 12 A.
- MR. ELLSWORTH: Objection; attorney-client. 13
- BY MR. DeCHIARA:
- 15 Q. Did you ever speak to Mr. Orr about what could or
- should be done about Detroit's pension liability? 16
- 17 A.
- Outside of the presence of counsel, did you ever
- have a discussion on that subject with the Governor? 19
- 20 A.
- What about with Mr. Dillon? 21 O.
- 22 A.
- 23 O. What about with anyone else on the staff of Mr. Orr
- or on the staff of the Governor or the staff of 24
- 25 Mr. Dillon, again, outside the presence of counsel?

- 1 O. And who -- did you ask Mr. Orr for this data?
- I asked Mr. Orr to see if the data could be obtained 2 A.

Page 47

Page 48

- because I thought it was relevant. 3
- 4 O. And what would it be relevant to in your -- why did
- you think it was relevant?
- At the time I was wondering if it was possible for 6
- the State to consider legislation that would provide 7
- an incremental safety net to those at the lower end 8
- 9 of the spectrum.
- 10 O. And you said you thought that was relevant. What 11 did you think it was relevant to?
- 12 A. Well, it was relevant to a question I had, and I
- didn't know the answer so I asked to get the data. 13
- 14 Q. What was the question you had?
- 15 A. My question was whether or not there were other avenues to provide relief to those pensioners that 16
- conceivably could be impacted at the lower end of 17 18 the continuum.
- And that was not based on discussions with 19 anybody else, it was simply a question that I had 20
- 22 0. And the question you had, when you say the person -
 - the pensioners who would be impacted, were you
- thinking impacted in that their accrued pension 24 25

because I didn't know the answer.

benefits might be reduced?

Page 46

21

23

1 A.

- 2 Q. And did Mr. Orr provide you the data you requested?
- з А.
- 4 Q. Did he -- when you asked him for it, what did he
- say, if anything? 5
- 6 A. He said it was a good question and he'd get back to
 - me. But to the best of my recollection, he didn't.
- 8 O. Did you ever follow up?
- 9 A. I honestly can't remember.
- 10 O. Okay. Did he say anything other than it's a good question? 11
- 12 A. Nope.
- 13 Q. You said you had a conversation with Andy Dillon on
- the same subject. When was your conversation with 14
- him on this subject? 15
- It would have been about the same time. This was 16 A.
- after the bankruptcy had already been filed and 17
- there was a lot of noise about whether pensions 18
- 19 would be impacted, and I was trying to ascertain the
- 20 practical implications if they were.
- And did you ask Mr. Dillon for the data on the 21 Q.
- distribution of the number of pensioners and --22
- 23 A.
- 24 Q. -- the amount of pensions?
- No. I asked -- I actually told him that I'd ask

- 1 A. I recall one conversation where I requested some
- analytics on the distribution of pensioner income, 2
- so instead of dealing with averages I could see the 3
- distribution between those at the low end, those at 4
- the high end and where it all fell so I could at 5
- 6 least have some understanding of what any impact
- 7 would be in the event of pension reduction.
- 8 Q. Who did you have that conversation with?
- 9 A. I know I had it with Kevyn Orr once and I believe I had it with Andy Dillon once. 10
- 11 O. When was your conversation with Mr. Orr on the
- subject? 12
- It would have been after he was the emergency 13 A.
- manager, but I don't recall how long he'd been in 14
- 15 that role.
- Okay. Was it before the bankruptcy filing?
- I don't believe so. 17 A.
- You think it was after the bankruptcy filing? 18 O.
- 20 O. Okay. And did you say that you requested data on 21 pensions from somebody?
- 22 A. I requested data on -- whether the data existed on
- 23 the distribution by pension amount, numbers of
- pensioners and pension amount, for the current 24
- roughly 20,000 pensioners. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Page 49

1 Kevyn, that I'd made that question to Kevyn.

- 2 Q. And did Mr. Dillon say anything in response when you
- 3 told him that?
- 4 A. Good question.
- 5 Q. Did he ever -- did he or anyone on his staff ever
- get back to you with the data you were looking for? 6
- 7 Not that I recall. A.
- Did you speak to anyone about your idea to have 8 Ο.
- 9 legislation that would provide an incremental safety
- net for the people on the low end of the spectrum? 10
- 11 A. I spoke with Dennis Muchmore about it, the
- Governor's chief of staff, and he's the only one. 12
- And what did he say, if anything? 13 O.
- 14 A. He didn't know. He said I don't know what the
- 15 appetite for that would be, but it's a good
- question. 16
- Now, were you -- when you spoke to Mr. Muchmore, 17 O.
- were you proposing that Mr. Muchmore take steps to 18
- see if such legislation could be enacted? 19
- No. I was asking a question about in the event that 20 A.
- pensions were impacted what is the practical 21
- 22 implication to those depending on the money every
- 23 month. I wanted to know.
- 24 Q. And do you know now as you sit here today? Have you
- 25 ever seen that data?

Let me back up. I'm a numbers guy. I wanted to know of the 20,000 pensioners that exist, where do they fall along a distribution continuum.

Page 51

What I was looking to see is whether the distribution, the standard deviation was such that if there was a reduction that the number -- would the numbers be material if that reduction were weighted toward the larger pension earners versus the lower pension earners.

And, anecdotally, I was told that the number of pension earners are at the lower end and that the standard deviation is not very great.

- 13 O. So in order for there to be a meaningful savings by the City if it reduced pensions, it would have to 14 15 reduce the pensions of many of those people who are at the low end of the spectrum; is that -- am I 16 understanding that correctly? 17
- 18 A. Anecdotally, that's my understanding.
- 19 Q. Okay. And did you have any practical -- I'm
- 20 sorry -- did you have any sense, anecdotally or
- otherwise, of what the real world impact would be on 21
- 22 those individuals on the low end of the spectrum if 23 their pensions were reduced?
- 24 A. No, because the data never materialized for me.
- 25 Q. Do you have any sense whether if pensions of those

Page 50

1

2

1 A. No, I have not.

- Okay. But did you speak to Mr. Muchmore about the 2 Ο.
- idea of the legislation you described? 3
- 4 A. I just mentioned to him -- I asked him the question
- what do you think the appetite would be, and he said 5
- 6 he didn't know.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you know whether there had been any
- discussions by the Governor and his staff about the 8
- 9 legislation you described?
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- Have you ever followed up? 11
- 12 A. Not on that, no.
- 13 Q. Do you have any sense without having seen the data
- of what the practical impact would be on the 14
- individuals at the low end of the spectrum if their 15
- accrued pension benefits were reduced? 16
- Only anecdotal. 17 A.
- And what's your anecdotal knowledge? O. 18
- Anecdotal knowledge is that the majority of the 19 A.
- 20 pensioners are at the lower end of the spectrum and
- so the implications of a pension reduction probably 21
- couldn't be directed toward the higher end of the 22
- 23 spectrum at a sufficient level to make it feasible.
- 24 Q. feasible? 25
- So your understanding is that -- to make what

- Page 52 people at the low end of the spectrum were reduced
- it would be difficult for those individuals to make
- ends meet? 3
- 4 A. I don't know.
- MR. ELLSWORTH: I wasn't sure he heard your 5 6 question because he was retrieving his microphone.
- 7 BY MR. DeCHIARA:
- O. Did you hear my question? 8
- 9 A. Would you repeat it?
- 10 O. Sure. Do you have any sense whether if the pensions
- of those people at the low end of the spectrum were 11
- 12 reduced, would it be difficult for those individuals
- 13 to make ends meet?
- 14 A. I would have no way of knowing in the absence of real data. 15
- 16 O. Are you familiar with a letter that the Governor
- 17 signed on July 18th, 2013, in which he purported to
- authorize the filing of the bankruptcy of the City 18 of Detroit? 19
- 20 A. I know that that letter existed.
- Okay. Did you see the letter in any draft or 21 O.
- nonfinal forms before the Governor signed it? 22
- 23 A.
- 24 Q. Did you participate in any way in the preparation of that letter? 25

Page 53 Page 55 1 A. No. 1 A. I don't recall. Was it a face-to-face meeting or a telephone call? 2 O. Did the Governor speak to you about the preparation 2 Q. 3 of that letter? 3 A. I believe it was telephone. 4 A. 4 Q. And to the best of your recollection, can you 5 Q. Did he speak to you about the contents of the letter recount what you said and what he said in that 5 before he signed the letter? telephone call? 6 6 7 7 I honestly don't recall other than he said I haven't A. Α. 8 Q. Did you have anything at all to do with that letter? completed my conversations with the restructuring 8 team relative to their scope and services and fee 9 A. 9 Okay. You're aware, are you not, that a couple days projections, and I agreed to do that on his behalf. 10 O. 10 11 before the Governor signed that letter that Mr. Orr 11 O. Who was the restructuring team? had sent the Governor a letter in which Mr. Orr 12 12 A. These would have been the principals associated with requested permission to file for bankruptcy, right? Conway MacKenzie, Ernst and Young, Jones Day, and 13 13 14 A. I am aware. I don't recall having seen that letter Miller Buckfire. 14 15 but I am aware one was sent. 15 Q. And Mr. Orr said he wanted to complete a conversation with those individuals you just **16** Q. Have you ever seen that letter? 16 17 A. I don't think so. mentioned about their fees? 17 **18** Q. Did Mr. Orr ever speak to you about that letter 18 A. Yeah. He had been engaged with them around putting before he sent it? a fine point on their fee estimates as opposed to a 19 19 20 A. He spoke to me, yes. broad -- you know, sort of broad here's what we 20 And was it on one or more than one occasion? think it might cost, but he hadn't had the, you 21 know, detailed discussions and so he asked if I 22 A. No, just on one occasion. 22 Let me represent to you the letter was dated would do that. 23 O. 23 July 16th, 2013. 24 Q. And did you do that? 24 25 When did you speak to Mr. Orr about the 25 A. I did. Page 54 Page 56 1 O. And what, if anything, did that have to do with the 1 I don't recall, but it would have been very near July 16th letter that Mr. Orr sent to the Governor A. 2 2 when it was sent. to request permission to file for bankruptcy? 3 3 4 Q. Near before or near after? 4 A. I think it was important because the fees and the A. Maybe right at the time it was sent. I recall a scope once the filing had been completed would not 5 5 conversation with Kevyn where he said I'm going to 6 6 have been subject to much in the way of reduction. 7 7 Did you have any other -- was that the extent of do this. Q. O. Okay. What else, if anything, do you recall about your conversation with Mr. Orr on that occasion? 8 8 9 that conversation? 9 A. 10 A. The reason I recall it is because he had asked me to 10 O. And did you have any other discussions with Mr. Orr about his July 16th letter before he sent the circle back to members of the consulting 11 11 restructuring team to talk to them about their scope 12 letter? 12 and service and fees because it was -- these were 13 A. No. 13 conversations he had planned to have but hadn't had 14 O. Do you -- are you aware that in the Governor's 14 a chance, and so I did that. letter, the July 18th, 2013 letter, the Governor 15 15 16 O. So about the time that -- I just want to see if I'm 16 said that he was not going to impose contingencies understanding your testimony. 17 on the filing? Are you familiar with that? 17 About the time that Mr. Orr sent his 18 A. No, I don't recall actually having ever seen the 18 July 16th letter to the Governor requesting 19 19 permission to file for bankruptcy, he spoke to you 20 20 Q. Okay. Are you aware that there were certain state about the letter? court lawsuits that were filed prior to the 21 21 I believe he did. bankruptcy filing concerning issues related to 22 A. 22 Okay. Did he call you? 23 Article 29 Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution? 24 A. I don't recall. MR. WERTHEIMER: Article 9. 24 25 O. Did he initiate the contact? MR. DeCHIARA: Thank you. 25

Page 57 Page 59 BY MR. DeCHIARA: 1 final? 2 A. No. Well, not that I recall. **2** Q. Article 9 Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution? 3 A. No. 3 O. Not that you recall? 4 Q. Did you ever discuss with the Governor the timing of 4 A. Yeah. If somebody asked me, it's an email I never the bankruptcy filing, meaning outside of the scope saw because I didn't review it. 5 5 of counsel, did you ever discuss with the Governor 6 O. Okay. Okay. Did you speak to the Governor outside 6 7 when it would be best to -- for the City of Detroit 7 of the presence of legal counsel about the June 14th, 2013 proposal? 8 to file for bankruptcy? 8 9 A. No. 9 A. I don't believe so. 10 O. Are you aware that the State or at least the 10 O. Did you speak to Mr. Dillon? Governor's office had prepared a schedule that 11 A. Yes. 11 12 indicated that the bankruptcy filing was to occur on 12 O. Outside of the presence of legal counsel about the July 19th, 2013, but it actually occurred the prior June 14th, 2013 proposal? 13 13 day? Are you aware of that? 14 A. 14 15 A. I'm aware of a communications schedule that had the 15 Q. You spoke to him, but it was in the presence of 19th I think as the date. 16 legal counsel? 16 And are you aware that the filing actually occurred 17 O. 17 A. Yes. the day before? 18 O. Okay. Did you speak to anyone on the Governor's 18 19 A. I was aware of the filing when it occurred, which staff or Mr. Dillon's staff outside of legal counsel 19 occurred the day before. about the June 14th, 2013 proposal? 20 20 Okay. Do you have any understanding or knowledge as No. 21 Q. 21 A. to why it occurred the day before it had been 22 Did you speak to Mr. Orr about his proposal at any 22 23 planned to occur? 23 time on or before June 14th, 2013? 24 A. No. 24 A. 25 Q. Did you ever -- outside of the presence of legal 25 Q. Did you speak to him about -- did you speak to Page 58 Page 60 counsel, did you ever discuss that with the Mr. Orr outside of the presence of legal counsel 1 1 Governor? 2 about the proposal after June 14th, 2013? 2 3 A. No. 3 A. I attended that meeting and told him I thought he 4 Q. Okay. Did you speak with the Governor outside of did a good job in its presentation. 4 the presence of legal counsel since he had his O. By that meeting you mean the June 14th, 2013 5 5 meeting? 6 deposition taken yesterday? 6 7 A. Yes. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Did you speak about his deposition? 8 Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Orr at the June 14th, 2013 9 A. No. 9 meeting saying words to the effect to the people who 10 Q. I'd like to show you a document -- well, are you were in attendance that this was not a negotiation? 10 aware of a document that Mr. Orr presented to 11 11 A. creditors on January 14th, 2013 called --12 O. Are you denying he said it or you just don't 12 MR. WERTHEIMER: June 14th. remember if he said it or not? 13 13 MR. DeCHIARA: Thank you. I don't recall him using those words. 14 14 A. Okay. Is it true that those in attendance on BY MR. DeCHIARA: 15 O. 15 June 14th, 2013 called Proposal for Creditors? June 14th, 2013 in order to be able to speak had to 16 O. 16 May I see it? fill out a card and have the card read by someone? 17 A. 17 18 O. Yes. 18 A. I don't know. Yes, I am familiar with this document. 19 A. 19 O. I'd like to show you a document which I'll mark as Okay. And did you participate in its preparation? Exhibit 7. 20 O. 20 21 A. 21 22 O. Did you comment on it before it was in its final 22 (Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked.) 23 form? 23 No. BY MR. DeCHIARA: 24 A.

25 Q. For the record, it's a one-page document stamped at

Were you asked to review it before it was made

25 O.

Richard Baird

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor October 10, 2013 Page 61 Page 63 1 the bottom SOM 20003601. 1 pensions that are being paid out of the funds need 2 MR. WERTHEIMER: Seven? 2 to be cut significantly? MR. DeCHIARA: Yes. з А. I'm not an actuary, and I don't know the answer to 3 4 BY MR. DeCHIARA: 4 that question. Q. Do you recall receiving this email from Mr. Dillon Q. But do you have a view on that question or an 5 5 opinion? on July 18th -- on July 8th, 2013? 6 6 7 Let me just finish reading it. 7 A. I have an opinion. A. O. Please. What's your opinion? 8 8 Q. **9** A. I believe I've seen this before, yes. My opinion is that underfunded -- significantly 9 A. In the first sentence Mr. Dillon refers to the underfunded pensions are not sustainable long-term 10 O. 10 11 Detroit consultants. 11 for current workers or for workers who are more than Do you know who he's referring to? 12 12 just a few years away from retirement. No. I mean, when he says weekly call with the 13 O. Therefore, is it your view that the Detroit 13 A. Detroit consultants, that generally includes Jones pension -- accrued pension liabilities need to be 14 14 Day, Miller Buckfire, Ernst and Young, Conway 15 15 reduced? 16 MacKenzie, and at times Milliman. 16 A. No. It's my view that there's not enough money for In the second paragraph it says "We met with the the current pension obligations and the future 17 Q. 17 18 consultants to get briefed on the pension issue this pension obligations. It's not my call whether they 18 afternoon. I invited Baird and Tedder to join." get reduced or not. 19 19 Did you join that briefing? Well, whether it's your call or not, I'm just asking 20 20 O. I don't believe so, but I don't recall. do you have a view as to whether or not --21 A. 21 22 Next sentence says "Bottom line, the situation is 22 A. My view --23 not good and the view of the consultants is that 23 MR. ELLSWORTH: I object to the form, and current pensions have to be cut significantly." he's already answered the question. 24 24 25 Did you have any conversations with 25 BY MR. DeCHIARA: Page 62 Page 64

> 1 0. Can you answer the question, Mr. Baird?

Do you have a personal view as to whether 2 or not Detroit's accrued pension liabilities need to 3 4 be reduced?

My view is that if the pensions are underfunded that 5 A. 6 there will come a time when the obligations cannot 7 be met, and you can't create money out of nothing. 8

It's not my place to ascertain where the money comes from. It is my place to say to you I have an opinion that the current pension funds are not sustainable in the current model.

12 Q. Okay. But you're aware, are you not, that whether or not -- the question of whether or not Detroit's 13

pension liabilities should be cut is a matter that's 14 been a matter of sharp debate in Detroit over the 15

course of the last few months? 16

17 A. I'm aware there's been a lot of debate around this issue. 18

19 O. Okay. And have you ever spoken to the Governor outside of the presence of legal counsel about this 20 issue, about this debate? 21

Not that I recall. 22 A.

23 O. Okay. Have you ever spoken to anyone on the Governor's staff outside of legal counsel on this --24 about this debate? 25

Mr. Dillon about that view that current pensions 1 2 have to be cut significantly outside of the presence

of legal counsel? 3

A. I don't recall. I've had -- I have had discussions 4

with Andy relative to the funding levels of pensions 5 6 and have had discussions with him about the 13th

7 Check, but I do not recall a specific discussion

around the pensions have to be cut significantly. 8

9 Q. Do you have a view yourself -- or strike that. 10

As of the time of this email, July 8th, 2013, at that period of time did you have a view yourself as to whether current pensions had to be cut significantly?

14 A. My view of what's been reported publicly is that the pension funding is not sustainable for the current 15

obligations and future obligations. 16 What do you mean the pension funding? 17 Q.

A. The funding level of the pension -- the pension 18 19

20 Q. When you say the funding, do you mean the contributions that are being made are not 21

sufficient? 22

11

12

13

23 A. That's correct.

24 O. Okay. And have you -- and, therefore, is it your view because the funding is insufficient that the 25

9

10

Page 65 Page 67 1 A. Not that I recall. 1 some pointed questions if you were interested in 2 hearing them." Same question for Mr. Dillon and Mr. Dillon's staff. I believe the you in there is -- well, 3 A. Generally speaking, I know we've had discussions but 3 4 nothing explicit or a course of action forward. 4 actually, I don't know who the you in there is. The 5 Q. What's your best recollection of the discussions email was sent -- oh, I guess it's addressed to the 5 Governor. So I assume the you in that email is the you've had with Mr. Dillon --6 6 7 A. Very ---7 Governor. 8 Q. -- outside of the presence of legal counsel? 8 But let me nonetheless ask you, Mr. Baird, Very general discussions around the sustainability 9 did Mr. Dillon ever share any thoughts he had with of the current model and whether it can survive. you outside of the presence of legal counsel 10 10 11 Q. Did Mr. Dillon ever say to you words to the effect 11 regarding thoughts he had about issues related to Detroit's pension liability other than what you've 12 that he believed that the pension liabilities of the 12 City of Detroit need to be reduced? testified to already today? 13 13 14 A. No, I don't recall him ever saying that. I recall 14 A. No, not outside presence of legal counsel. 15 him saying that the issues are significant. 15 Q. I'd like to show you a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. 16 Q. Have you ever spoken to Mr. Orr or his -- anyone on 16 his staff outside the presence of legal counsel 17 17 18 about this subject? 18 (Deposition Exhibit 9 was marked.) 19 A. 19 20 Q. I'd like to show you a document I'll mark as BY MR. DeCHIARA: 20 Exhibit 8. It's a two-page document that's stamped at the 21 21 22 22 bottom DTMI 00113909. 23 (Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked.) 23 My question on this document, Mr. Baird, is simply can you identify this document? 24 24 25 MR. SHERWOOD: What's the bates number? 25 A. I'm not sure. Some of the content appears familiar, Page 66 Page 68 BY MR. DeCHIARA: but this format of the document is not familiar to 1 Q. It's a one-page document that's stamped SOM 2 20003657. 3 Q. Okay. So you're not -- can you testify where this 3 4 A. Okay. 4 document came from or what it is? Do you recall receiving this email from Andy Dillon I couldn't tell you that, no. 5 A. on July 9th, 2013? 6 6 MR. DeCHIARA: I have no further questions. 7 A. No. 7 Thank you for your time, Mr. Baird. 8 Q. Have you ever seen this email before? THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 9 A. I don't recall seeing this email before. I get 9 MR. WERTHEIMER: I've got a few questions. hundreds of emails every day and I don't look at all 10 10 Want to take a break? VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Off the record 3:31 p.m. 11 11 (A brief recess was taken.) 12 Q. If you look at the second paragraph of the email, 12 let me just read it. It says "On Thursday, we 13 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're back on the record 13 expect to receive financials that will help us at 3:46 p.m. 14 14 better understand the potential negative impact on **EXAMINATION** 15 15 pensions and what options may be available to us to BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 16 16 avoid them." 17 17 Mr. Baird, my name is Bill Wertheimer. I represent Uh-huh. what we've been calling the Flowers plaintiffs, 18 A. 18 Did you ever speak to Mr. Dillon outside of the 19 O. 19 which are a group of Detroit retirees who filed one presence of legal counsel about what options might 20 20 of the lawsuits that preceded the bankruptcy, and be available to avoid the potential negative impact I'm going to ask you a few questions. 21 21 You testified about a conversation you had 22 on pensions? 22 23 A. No. 23 with Kevyn Orr right around the time that he sent the letter to the Governor seeking authorization for 24 O. Let me read the last sentence of the email. It says 24 25 "I have some thoughts as to how you could address 25 bankruptcy. Do you recall that?

Page 69

1 A. I do. 2 O. Did he in any way indicate why he was going to make the request at that time? 3 4 A. No. Well, I don't recall that he did.

5 Q. Do you recall asking him anything about that, you

know, why now Kevyn or what's happening or --6

7 A.

Do you recall whether you were surprised about it; 8 O. that is the timing, not the act? 9

Or put another way had you had any kind of 10 11 a warning or anything going on that would lead you 12 to think that --

13 A. I had seen a communications document that had Friday, the -- I don't remember the exact date, but 14

15 Friday, might have been the 19th?

16 Q. Right. Friday was the 19th.

Right, Friday the 19th as the date that it appeared 17 Α. we'd go forward. 18

Q. Had you seen that document before the conversation 19

with Orr? 20

No. 21 A.

After? 22 O.

23 A. After.

Okay. Is the document you saw what was marked at 24 Q. the Governor's deposition as Exhibit 6 or something 25

I related my conversation with Kevyn to Dennis.

Can you put a time frame on these conversations? 2 Q.

Can you tell us approximately when they occurred? 3

4 A. I believe it was after the filing because of all of

the public consternation around pensions, and I --5

as I testified earlier, I wanted to know what the 6

7 practical impact of any action would be.

And if I understand it right, the reason you wanted 8 O. to know is that was kind of the germ of an idea for 9

maybe some legislation that might be able to at 10

11 least in some way ameliorate the condition or the 12 problem?

13 A. Correct. I was thinking unilaterally, which I'm known to do. 14

15 Q. I understand. Well, you anticipated my next question. 16

> At the point you had these conversations, was it your understanding that it was the Governor's position that the State was not going to be putting any money into Detroit at least as it would relate to the retiree issue?

22 A. I don't recall if I would know whether that was the Governor's position, but I was well aware that the 23 legislative appetite for funding to Detroit was 24 25 highly -- was very low.

Page 70

17

18

19

20

21

Page 72

Page 71

like it? 1

A. Yes. 2

3 Q. Okay. And do you remember how you came to see that

document? Was it emailed to you, were you talking 4

to somebody about it? 5

No, I believe it was emailed to me.

7 Q. Do you remember by who?

8 A. I don't.

9 Q. Do you remember whether you talked to anybody about

it between its issuance and the actual filing? 10

Talked about the communications plan? 11 A. **12** O. Well, broader than the communications plan but just

13 the fact that it was going to be -- the bankruptcy

was going to occur. 14

15 A. No.

Now, you also testified that you had had 16

conversations or a conversation I think you said 17

with Orr where you asked him a question about the 18

distribution of the income of retirees? 19

20 A. Yes.

And you also talked to the Governor's is it chief of 21

staff. Mr. Muchmore? 22

23 A. Yes.

24 O. About that same issue, not asking a question but

25

1 O. Okay. Okay. Had you had any conversations up to that point with the Governor where -- excluding 2

conversations with counsel present -- where you 3

4 discussed that fact; that is, we're not going to be

able to get legislation through to do anything about 5 6 that?

7 A. No, not explicitly.

8 Q. Implicitly.

9 A. Not even implicitly. I don't recall any

conversations with the Governor talking about a 10

strategy where funds would be appropriated for 11

12 Detroit.

13 Q. Do you recall as of the point that you made this

inquiry of Orr and had the conversation with 14

Muchmore that the Governor publicly was taking the 15

position that although the State might be willing to 16

assist relative to services for residents of the 17

City, it would not be willing to put money in for 18

pensions or anything other than services for the 19

City? 20

I wasn't part of those conversations --21 A.

22 O. Okay.

23 A. -- if they existed.

All right. You were shown -- well, it's your 24 Q.

deposition, Exhibit No. 1. This is the Jones Day --25

Richard Baird October 10, 2013

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor Page 73 Page 75 1 A. Uh-huh. 1 A. -- like this. 2 Q. -- pitch from January 31st. 2 Q. I understand. And I think you were -- I had 3 A. Yep. Yep. misspoke before. You were not shown that page by 3 **4** Q. The pages you were shown, and I'm going to show them 4 previous counsel. to you again, where there's these ref -- one or more You were shown I think if you turn to page 5 5 references to pensions is in part four of the 41 the question referenced it. Again, the bottom 6 6 7 written presentation entitled Components and 7 line, "If needed, Chapter 9 could be used as a means Considerations for Restructuring Plan. to further cut back or compromise accrued financial 8 8 9 MR. ELLSWORTH: Do you have a page number, 9 benefits otherwise protected under the Michigan Mr. Wertheimer? Constitution." 10 10 MR. WERTHEIMER: Yeah, that's page 34. 11 Do you recall that point even in a general 11 12 MR. ELLSWORTH: Thank you. 12 way being made in the presentation? MR. WERTHEIMER: Sure. 13 A. This was back in January. 13 BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 14 Q. Right. 14 15 A. Q. Do you recall who from Jones Day was presenting this And I don't recall the specific point, but every one 15 part of the pitch? And, again, I'm assuming it was of those firms would have discussed all of the 16 16 actually presented to you. This isn't just a various approaches, strategies, options and whatever 17 17 writing that they handed out. their background and experience had them -- had 18 18 **19** A. That's correct. taught them from other municipal situations. 19 20 Q. Okay. Go ahead, then. So generally, it could have been made, but 20 A. I believe it was Bruce Bennett. I don't recall it. 21 **22** Q. Did Mr. Orr make any part of the presentation? 22 All right. Do you recall that by the time all those 23 A. He did. 23 pitches were made that you were of the understanding What part did he make? 24 Q. that the lawyers, whether Jones Day or one of the 24 25 A. His was predominantly a presentation around his 25 other firms, were of the view that Chapter 9 could Page 74 Page 76 background, credentials, experience, and his ties to be used as a means to cut back these Michigan --1 1 Michigan. 2 these benefits that are otherwise covered by this 2 3 Q. Not as to any of the specific parts unless there's Michigan constitutional provision? 3 some reference to Orr and his background in this 4 A. No, I am not. Not explicitly. 4 document? I do recall discussions around Chapter 9 5 5 6 That's correct. 6 but not as it pertains specifically to any Michigan 7 Okay. Now, I think if you take a look at page 43, I 7 Constitution article. think that's what counsel showed you before, you'll O. 8 8 Do you recall -- and I think the time frame is May, see the bottom line literally on page 43 reads 9 9 10 "Chapter 9 could be used or threatened..." -- I'm 10 sorry, let me let you get there. Free Press and rather publicly, and in a way that 11 11

12 A. Okav.

13 Q. Take a look at the bottom line. "Chapter 9 could be

used or threatened as a means to accomplish a 14

compromise of benefit cost rejecting or compromising 15

claims." Do you see that? 16

17 A. I do see it.

Q. Do you recall the presentation including that point? 18

I do not recall that specific point, and I note that 19 A.

20 these are speaker notes which may or may not have been articulated.

21

Well, that's one of the reasons I'm asking. 22 Q.

23 Because this is the first time I've seen -- I don't

have a version --24

25 O. In this form. I understand.

I could find it somewhere, but Kevyn Orr was already emergency manager, he was interviewed by the Detroit ended up getting spread around publicity wise, 12 13 talked about the fact that in a Chapter 9 filing the pension rights of retirees could be trumped, was the 14 word he used, by federal law. 15

> Do you recall generally the Emergency Manager making that point at around that point in time?

I've made it a practice to not read the Detroit 19 A. 20 newspapers these days.

21 Q. All right. I'll accept that. Do you recall that at least by that point in time you knew that, in fact, 22 23 that Orr was taking that position; that is, that he was using Chapter 9 -- I don't want to use 24

25 pejorative terms --

16

17

Richard Baird In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor October 10, 2013

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

Page 77

1 A. No.

2 O. -- but that he was using the possibility of a

3 Chapter 9 as a way to try and convince people to sit

4 down and talk with him --

A. What I --5

-- particularly retirees?

7 A. Right.

11

13

14

18

19

20

21

1

2

Go ahead. 8 O.

MR. ELLSWORTH: Just let him get his 9 question out before you answer. 10

THE WITNESS: Yeah. No, no, I got it. You'll have to ask Kevyn Orr, but were I he, I would 12 use every possible means to get people to the table before petitioning The Court, and I believe he was 15 doing exactly that.

BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 16

Q. Okay, fair enough. 17

> At the point he filed bankruptcy, do you have an understanding as to whether there was any way that the City could deal with the problem of pensions without going into bankruptcy?

Repeat the question. 22 A.

23 O. As of let's say the time the bankruptcy was filed, as of that time, did you have an understanding that 24

25 bankruptcy was going to be the only way that the 1 the article was there and I said to Kevyn, are you

Page 79

Page 80

2 aware of this? He said we're aware.

3 O. He didn't go beyond that at all. He didn't suggest 4 in any way, shape or form how he intended to deal

with it? 5

6 A. No, not to me.

7 Okay. And do you have a memory as to what triggered O. you to talk to him about it at that point in time 8 other than that you had the Constitution in your 9

pocket?

No, sir, other than -- you asked about the trigger. 11 A.

During the recruitment process, we covered a lot of ground, and that ground included all the reasons you should do this and all the reasons you shouldn't do it. And it was a discussion that took place over a few weeks, and I don't recall any specific trigger other than an old T square saying here are the pros and the cons and the things you ought to be thinking about it.

20 Q. All right. It's part of you giving him information?

21 A. Yes, that's fair.

22 O. That you are hoping will be helpful to him?

That would be a fair characterization. 23 A.

MR. WERTHEIMER: Okay. All right. I have nothing further. Thank you.

Page 78

EXAMINATION 1

City could deal with its pension problem without BY MR. SHERWOOD: asking for State assistance, State assistance that 2

you knew you'd have -- that the Governor would have 3

difficulty getting? 4

I had not contemplated it in terms of the City's 5 A.

6 pension problem. I have contemplated it in terms of 7

\$18 billion in liability and bondings that couldn't

be paid and debt service that it was becoming clear 8

9 to me that in the absence of any negotiated

agreements with any of the major constituencies that 10

bankruptcy was becoming more and more evident with 11 12

each passing month.

13 Q. You had mentioned that you had a -- when I say you

mentioned, you testified in response to earlier 14

counsel's questions that you do recall having one 15

conversation with Orr about the issue of this 16 state constitutional provision that protects 17

18 pensions.

19 Do you recall that?

20 A. I do.

Okay. Can you put a time frame on that at all? 21

It was back during the early interaction with Kevyn. 22 A.

23 I had gotten in the habit of carrying a small

Constitution with me because I was referring to it 24 25

on a regular basis across many things, and so I knew

Good afternoon, Mr. Baird. I'm Jack Sherwood from 3

Lowenstein Sandler, and we represent AFSCME in the 4 City's bankruptcy. I have a few questions. I'll 5

try not to go over ground that's already been 6 covered.

7

8 Let me just go back to your engagement by 9 the Governor. In reviewing your testimony from the prior case, did that start in January 2011? 10

11 A.

12 Q. And I think you also testified that the EM selection 13 process began in October or November 2012; is that

14

15 A. I would not characterize it as a selection process,

but I would characterize it as I began thinking 16

17 about planning for the future in a substantive way about that time. 18

19 O. And I think you said that you were looking for 20 sources and candidates. Does that sound right?

Yes. I would through my own network or the network 21 A.

of people that I knew and trusted, I would look for 22 23 individuals that had characteristics, and then I

24 would talk to them about either their potential for

25 a role like this or whether they knew of

Page 81 Page 83 1 individuals. Would you describe the New Energy to Reinvent and, 2 So that's what I meant by sources or 2 what is it, Diversify? 3 A. Yes. 3 candidates. 4 Q. Right. So a source is someone who isn't necessarily 4 O. Would you describe that as an entity that engages in a candidate but might refer someone, a candidate, to lobbying? 5 5 you, correct? 6 A. No. 6 7 They could be both. Q. And do you know who manages NERD? A. 7 Okay. Was Jones Day or anyone from Jones Day a 8 Ο. 8 A. 9 source that you contacted? 9 Q. And you don't know who is on the board? You don't know who the officers, directors are --Prior to meeting Steve Brogan, no. 10 A. 10 No. And when did you meet Steve Brogan? 11 A. 12 A. January 29th, it appears. 12 Q. -- or trustees? 13 O. So prior to that, no sources from Jones Day. How 13 A. None. about Miller Buckfire source? You just know the name of the person who signs your 14 14 Q. Yes. Ken Buckfire was a source. 15 A. 15 check; is that right? I want to talk a little bit about NERD. We'll use 16 A. I do. I know who I submit the invoice to and I know that acronym again. They pay your bills, correct? who signs the check. Outside of that, I don't know 17 17 They pay my fees, yes. anything else. 18 A. 18 **19** Q. And that's been the case since January of 2011? You don't know who any of their backers are? 19 Q. Don't know a single donor. 20 A. Correct. 20 A. Can you just give me a little more detail on how Okay. The January 29th meeting -- a couple more 21 O. 21 O. questions -- was Mr. Buckfire there? that came about? 22 22 23 A. Do you have specific questions, because I've 23 A. He was. testified already. And what role did he play in organizing the meeting? 24 24 Q. 25 Q. I -- yeah. I'd like to know how it came about. 25 A. Ken advised Andy, Chris Andrews and Jack Martin, the Page 82 Page 84 1 A. Okay. My original agreement with Governor Snyder City's CFO at the time, on considerations and 1 was once we pulled the cabinet and his direct capabilities of firms that specialized in 2 2 reports together after he was elected during the 3 3 restructuring. transition period that I would be returning to my And so he identified the firms that he 4 4 home at that time in Illinois. thought had significant expertise in the areas that 5 5 6 On the first day after his inauguration, 6 would be of greatest interest to the City, and he 7 the first working day, he asked me if I would 7 said these are the firms that we should bring in to consider staying on for a year, and I said I would. help you understand how to construct a request for 8 8 9 And he said -- I said but I don't make for a very 9 proposal to a broader variety of firms. good bureaucrat or government employee, and he said 10 O. Did he devise some type of scoring system for the 10 if you would make me -- if you would make, you know, firms at that meeting? 11 11 the team your exclusive client, how much would it 12 A. Not that I saw, no. 12 cost? And I gave him a very cut rate amount, and he 13 O. How about afterwards? 13 said we could cover that out of this fund to further 14 A. No. I'm trying to recall, and I don't think I ever 14 good government at non-taxpayer expense. saw any sort of a scoring mechanism for any of these 15 15 16 O. And would you describe the fund as a lobbyist fund? 16 **17** A. A lobbyist? Did you have any role in the selection of Jones Day 17 Q. as the City's counsel? 18 O. Yeah. 18 **19** A. What would a lobbyist fund be? 19 A. Did you express any preference to the City as to who 20 O. I don't know. I guess you're --20 O. A. If you tell me what a lobbyist fund is, I'll tell should be retained as counsel? 21 21 you if I think it's a lobbyist fund. I believe Jack Martin asked my opinion from what I 22 A. 22 23 Q. Well, is --23 thought at that meeting and from my prior experience MR. WERTHEIMER: It's not good. with firms when I was with Price Waterhouse Coopers, 24 24 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 25 and I believe that I gave him my opinion at the

Page 85

1 time.

2

3 4

5

6

9

10 11

12

23

24

25

8 9

And my opinion was that I didn't think he would go wrong with several of the firms, but that I thought Jones Day by and large had more of the fire power in the various areas that the firm -- that the City was looking for than the others did.

7 O. During the Jones Day presentation -- hold it. Let me step back. 8

I think you said something like one of the reasons you chose Jones Day was that they have -they'd do a better job of keeping Detroit out of bankruptcy.

Do you remember testifying to that? 13 A. No, I don't believe I testified to that. I do 14 15 recall what I intended to say if that wasn't it. What did you intend to say? Did you think Jones Day 16 Q. had offered the City a better chance to stay out of 17

Chapter 9? 18 I don't know that Jones Day as a firm had -- I don't A. 19 have an opinion whether Jones Day as a firm is --20

would help the City stay out of Chapter 9 or not. 21 22

It was my contention that in the recommendation of Kevyn Orr as a great candidate for the emergency manager, that his background and experience would serve as a significant reminder to

1 achieve in practice.

2 Do you recall as part of the oral 3 presentation someone from Jones Day saying that the

Page 87

Page 88

4 idea that the City of Detroit is going to avoid

Chapter 9 is pretty farfetched? 5

6 A. I don't recall anyone saying that the idea was 7 farfetched.

8 O. Well, do you recall them using words like that?

No, I don't. 9 A.

10 O. You don't recall words like extremely difficult, as 11 it says on the slide?

Well, I don't recall those words, but I wouldn't 12 A. dispute them. 13

14 Q. Do you recall words like -- do you recall Mr. Orr 15 having conversations with you wherein he suggested that it would be extremely difficult to achieve an 16

out-of-court solution to Detroit's fiscal problems? 17 18

MR. ELLSWORTH: Objection to the extent that it would disclose lawyer-client conversations. 19

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 20

Do you recall any such conversations outside the 21 22 presence of counsel?

Again, which conversations? That achieving success 23 A. out of court is difficult? 24

25 Q. Right.

Page 86

folks that they should negotiate in good faith to 1 2 stay out of the courts because here is a man who

understood exactly how to navigate the courts. 3

Q. But isn't it true that Mr. Orr and Jones Day were of 4 the view at all times that it would be extremely 5

6 difficult to keep the City of Detroit out of

7 Chapter 9?

> MR. ELLSWORTH: I object to foundation. THE WITNESS: I don't know that.

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 10

Can you look at page 13 of the presentation. 11

12 A. Uh-huh.

13 Q. And if you look at the end of it, basically you'd

agree that this slide talks about out-of-court 14 15

solutions being preferred, but the conclusion at the

end is that they are extremely difficult to achieve 16 in practice. Do you see that? 17

18 A. I do see it.

19 O. Did anyone from Jones Day convey this message to the 20 group at the meeting on January 29th?

I don't recall explicitly, no. 21 A.

And if you look at the next page, page 14, you know 22 Q.

23 even for the speaker notes it says an out-of-court 24

solution requires consensus or near consensus of 25 affected constituencies. This is extremely hard to

Yes, I do recall those conversations. 1 A.

Do you recall those conversations with Mr. Orr O. outside of the presence of counsel, correct? 3

4 A. No, not with Mr. Orr.

5 O. With who?

With the principals at McKenna Long. 6 A.

7 Q. Is that a law firm?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And who do they represent?

10 A. We asked them for -- I guess when I say we, Andy Dillon asked them for their best rationale on how to 11

keep us out of the courts and what the implications, 12 you know, of going into the courts were, to educate 13

the team on our resolve to stay out of the courts. 14

MR. ELLSWORTH: Excuse me. Was that 15 another presenter, just to clarify this. 16

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 17

Was McKenna Long making a presentation? 18 O.

They were one of the firms in presence at this 19 A. 20 meeting.

21 Q. Did they have that conversation with you at that meeting or is that something that occurred before or 22

23 after that meeting?

24 A. It occurred before.

25 O. How long before? In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor

Richard Baird October 10, 2013 Page 91 Page 89 1 A. I don't recall. 1 O. I guess the question is given that, right, did you 2 O. And at the time, McKenna Long wasn't retained by the 2 try to broker some type of meeting between Mr. Orr City as its counsel? and the City Council? 3 3 4 A. No, they weren't retained by anyone. 4 A. No. Q. Okay. What was their view on the prospects for 5 Q. Why not? 5 keeping the City of Detroit out of Chapter 9, if you 6 A. Because I did not think that it was possible. 6 7 remember? 0. There were members of the City Council that 7 I don't think they opined on the prospects. supported Mr. Orr; were there not? 8 A. 8 9 Q. What did they opine on? 9 A. I don't know. They opined on all of the benefits associated with 10 O. 10 A. And I know that certain members of the City Council 11 staying out. They were part of the education 11 were very vocal against him or any other emergency 12 process for why you should stay out of the courts. 12 manager; is that right? O. And but just to be clear, did they opine on the --13 A. I read the papers, and there were arguments against 13 it that came from members of Council that I recall, on the likelihood that Detroit would be able to stay 14 14 15 out of bankruptcy and still resolve its financial 15 issues in sort of an out-of-court restructuring? 16 Q. Ultimately, were you able to broker a working 16 relationship between Mr. Orr and the Mayor? 17 A. 17 O. They never opined on that? 18 A. You'd have to ask Mr. Orr and the Mayor. From your perspective, do you think --19 A. 19 Q. 20 Q. Getting back to Jones Day, did you recall them 20 A. I can't opine. I testified that Kevyn Orr thought making a presentation at the January 28th meeting it important to meet the Mayor and to determine if 21 21 22 where they stressed the importance of making a they could work together if he were to accept the 22 23 record of good faith negotiations? 23 Governor's recommendation. The Mayor indicated the It was the 29th, now that I've been educated. same about Kevyn Orr. 24 A. 24 25 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. The 29th meeting. 25 We did the best to articulate a framework Page 90 Page 92 Did they -- at that meeting did they stress under which that working relationship could exist, 1 1 and you'll have to talk to those two men as to how the importance of making a record of negotiations 2 2 with creditors? successful that arrangement turned out to be. 3 3 A. Did Jones Day stress the importance of making a 4 Q. Now, in February of 2013, I guess we'll use B-5 just 4 record of negotiations? for time purposes. I think you testified that there 5 5 6 Q. Right. 6 was one other candidate that still was sort of in 7 A. I don't recall that explicitly. 7 the running at that point in time? O. Now, if we can look at B-5 -- I call it Baird 5. A. There was a candidate that we had agreed -- we 8 8 9 Can you get that one, sir? I'm really not asking 9 meaning the Governor and his Chief of Staff and about this document, but it's February of 2013, and 10 Treasurer, that we had agreed had the requisite 10 the email from you to Kevyn Orr on February 20th capabilities and had indicated a willingness to do 11 11 talks about brokering a meeting between Mr. Orr and 12 the job, but we wished to continue the vetting of 12 the Mayor. 13 Kevyn to determine whether he would be a better 13 Was it important from your perspective to 14 14

broker peace between the Mayor and Mr. Orr? 15 It was my belief that a good working relationship 16 A.

between the two of them would be in the best 17 interest of the City. 18

What about the City Council? Did you have the same 19 O. view towards the relationship between Mr. Orr and

20 21 the City Council for the City of Detroit? If your question is do I believe that a good 22 A.

23 relationship between Kevyn Orr and the City Council would be in the City's best interest, the answer 24

would be yes. 25

Had you determined at this point that Mr. Orr was 15 O. the top candidate February 2013? 16

I don't -- I believe I was still doing due diligence 17 A. at this particular time, I think, but I was 18

cautiously optimistic that Kevyn might be the better 19 candidate. 20

21 Q. And at this time, again, February 20th, 2013, do you know whether the Governor shared that view? 22

23 I don't recall on the timetable if that were the case or not. 24

25 O. What about Mr. Dillon?

20

22

23

24

21 A.

know what consultants he's referring to?

specifically is referring to here.

No. I testified earlier that there are weekly

25 Q. Do you know if they were the consultants for the

were on those calls but I don't recall who he

consultant meetings, and I gave you the names of who

In 1	Re: C	City of Detroit, Debtor			Richard Baird October 10, 2013
		Page 93			Page 95
	A.	I think Mr. Dillon, you'd have to ask him as to	1		City or some other consultants?
2		whether he thought Kevyn was the better of the two		A.	I don't.
3		candidates.		Q.	And he concluded that the situation was not good and
4	Q.	Did Mr. Dillon express to you who he thought was the	4		that current pensions had to be cut significantly,
5		better of the two candidates?	5		correct?
	A.	At some point after Kevyn had indicated that he		A.	Well, I mean, I'm reading this. It says "Bottom
7		could work his way clear of a withdrawal from his	7		line the situation's not good and the view of the
8		firm and that if nominated by the Governor he would	8		consultants is that current pensions have to be cut
9		be in a position to accept an appointment by the	9		significantly." I don't know which consultants he's
10		ELB, yes, I think Andy indicated to me at that time that he thought Kevyn was the better of the two	10	Q.	referring to. Okay. So if you look at so at least at some
11 12		candidates.		Ų.	point as of this date certain consultants were
	Q.	Now, was the other candidate an attorney?	12		telling Mr. Dillon and Governor Snyder that the
13	Q. A.	No.	13 14		pensions had to be cut significantly.
15	Q.	Was the other candidate a man or a woman?	15		Can we agree on that?
	Q. A.	A man.		A.	I can agree that I'm reading the same line that
17	Q.	Was the other candidate local?	17	11.	you're reading.
	A.	Define local.	18	Q.	Okay. Let's look at B
19	Q.	A Detroit resident?	19	Q.	MR. ELLSWORTH: Were you finished with your
	A.	No.	20		answer, Rich?
	Q.	A surrounding area of Detroit resident?	21		THE WITNESS: Yes.
	A.	I don't I won't dance here. I'll tell you he	22		MR. SHERWOOD: I'm sorry.
23		was his residence was south but he had been a	23	BY	MR. SHERWOOD:
24		Detroit resident.	24		Let's look at the next, Exhibit 8. And this exhibit
25	Q.	Did the person have restructuring experience?	25		also deals with the issue of pension liability.
		Page 94			Page 96
1	A.	He did.	1		Would you agree?
2		MR. WERTHEIMER: I'm sorry, did you say he	2	A.	It would appear so.
3		was a Detroit resident?	3	Q.	And in this email Mr. Dillon reports that in
4		THE WITNESS: He had been a Detroit	4		Mr. Orr's discussion with the pension, he is not
5		resident, but he was not at the time that I had	5		going to translate the underfunded amount into an
6		discussed with him.	6		impact on retirees or employees vested rights.
7		MR. WERTHEIMER: I just missed it. Thank	7		Do you see that?
8		you.	8	A.	I do.
9	ВХ	Y MR. SHERWOOD:	9	Q.	When you read this email on July 9th, the day after
10	Q.	I'd like to ask you to look again at Exhibits 7 and	10		you got Exhibit 7, did you ask Mr. Dillon or the
11		8. If you could get those and look at 7 first.	11		Governor why Mr. Orr is refusing to send a message
12		You got this email, Exhibit 7; is that	12		on the underfunding amount to the representatives of
13		correct?	13		the pensions?
	A.	Yeah, I'm looking at 7.		A.	I don't recall asking that question, no.
15	Q.	I'm looking at the second paragraph and it appears		Q.	Did it appear to you that Mr. Orr was not being
16		that Mr. Dillon is reporting to the Governor and	16		candid with the pensions by not reporting the fact
17		others including yourself when he says he "met	17	,	that they had to be cut significantly?
18		with the consultants to get briefed on the pension		A.	I'm sorry, say that again.
19		issue this afternoon", which consultant do you	19	Q.	Did it occur to you that Mr. Orr might not be being

20

21

22

25

23 A.

24 Q.

candid with the pensions by not reporting to them

the fact that the pensions had to be cut

That would be pure speculation on my part.

But this situation didn't cause you to make any

recommendations to Mr. Dillon or the Governor or

significantly?

Richard Baird In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor October 10, 2013

Page 97

1 Mr. Orr; is that your testimony?

2 A. Yes. I get copied on a lot of emails but I've

- testified that pension liability, pension models are 3
- 4 frankly outside of my wheelhouse, and that's not my
- area of focus in consulting to the Governor or his 5 6 team.
- 7 Q. You testified that one of the things you did in the pensions is look at the practical impact on the 8 9 people losing their pensions.

Do you remember that testimony? 10

- 11 A. I testified that I was -- it was desirable for me to 12 see what that impact was but that I never received the data to actually understand the impact. 13
- 14 O. And but you said you talked to the Governor about 15 that and I think Mr. Orr and Mr. Dillon and they all 16 said that that was a good question.

Do you recall that testimony? 17

- I don't recall talking to the Governor about that. 18
- I recall talking to Mr. Orr about that. And I 19
- recall saying to Andy that I had that conversation 20 with Mr. Orr. 21
- 22 And is it -- am I right -- or tell me why you 23 thought that was important.
- 24 A. I'm a curious guy. I don't know what to tell you.
- 25 I thought it was important because I did not

1 what your income is, and whether that income comes

2 from a pension or some other form it's your income.

Page 99

Page 100

- 3 O. So you're suggesting that these other government 4 programs act as a safety net in lieu of the PBGC for lost pension benefits? 5
- 6 A. No, I think you're suggesting that.
- 7 O. I'm just trying to understand what you're saying.
 - I'm not trying to argue with you. I'm just trying
- 9

8

22

23

24

25

1

- 10 A. What question is it you would like me to answer?
- 11 0. I'd like to know why -- whether you consider the
- 12 fact -- in your investigation of the practical
- impact on people, were you doing that investigation 13
- out of concern for the people who were losing or 14 15
 - stood to lose their pensions because they didn't
- have a safety net? That's what I want to know. 16 Okay. I am not aware of what safety net does or 17 A.
- 18 doesn't exist for them currently. I was interested in what the practical implications of material 19
- savings would be against the distribution of those 20 receiving pensions. 21

And it was the answer to that question that led me to ask another question which is whether or not there might be an appetite for legislative remedy in the absence of safety net.

Page 98

Is that sufficiently clear?

- Let me read it. And in terms of appetite for 2 Ο.
- legislative remedy, your prior testimony was that 3 4 your understanding was that that appetite was very
- low and that's why the inquiries kind of stopped 5
- 6
- 7 A. My understanding is that the appetite for a large scale appropriation to Detroit was pretty low. 8

9 I didn't have an opinion about whether or 10 not there was an appetite for incremental safety net for impacted pensioners were they to be impacted. I 11 was simply asking the question. 12

13 Q. The June 14th meeting, you were at the meeting and I think you testified something like that you 14

indicated that you thought Mr. Orr did a good job 15 presenting the June 14th proposal. 16

Do you remember that topic?

18 A. Yes, I do.

19 O. Do you know whether at that meeting Mr. Orr or 20 anyone on behalf of the City of Detroit requested

that the parties there provide counterproposals to 21 the proposal that was being made on June 14th? 22

23 A. I don't recall the term counterproposal, but I do recall an invitation being put out to the group that 24

says once you've digested this financial information

understand -- this would be the third time I've 1

- testified to this. I did not understand what the 2
- distribution of those 20,000 pensioners was and what 3
- it meant in real dollars and real lives, and that 4
- was a question that I wanted to know the answer to, 5
- 6 and so I was looking for the data set to ascertain 7
- O. 8
- And the pensioners whose benefits are being cut, you 9 understand, do you not, that they don't have a safety net like people in private industry do? 10

MR. ELLSWORTH: Objection as to the form. 11 Go ahead and answer, Rich. 12

THE WITNESS: Which pensioners are being 13 cut? 14

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 15

- Well, to the extent pensioners are being cut, they 16 don't have a safety net like the PBGC, right? 17
- Well, I know that they don't have a PBGC; that's 18 A. 19
- 20 Q. Are you aware of any other safety net that they might have? 21
- I'm only aware of safety nets that exist for all 22 A. 23 citizens once they get below a certain poverty line.
- 24 Q. But they don't relate to their pension, do they?
- 25 A. I believe that certain benefits are contingent upon

25

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor

Richard Baird October 10, 2013

in Re: City of Detroit, Debtor				October 10, 201		
		Page 101			Page 103	
-		and you understand the whorewithel what evicts to	-		MR. ELLSWORTH: It was	
1 2		and you understand the wherewithal what exists, to the extent that you want to sit down and negotiate	1 2		THE WITNESS: This was not a one-on-one.	
		in good faith now is not the time to do that, but	3		MR. ELLSWORTH: Was counsel present?	
3		there will be that time and here's the information	4		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
		that you need in order to interact intelligibly.	5		MR. ELLSWORTH: I object, and I'm	
5		I do recall that.	6		instructing him not to answer.	
7	Q.	And that meeting was approximately a month before	7	I	BY MR. SHERWOOD:	
	Q.	the bankruptcy filing.	8	_		
8		Were there follow-up were you present at	9	_	report to you on negotiations with the bondholder	
10		any follow-up meetings after the June 14th meeting?	10		creditors of the City of Detroit?	
	A.	With creditors?	11		MR. ELLSWORTH: Again, to the extent that	
12		Right.	12		would require a disclosure of lawyer-client	
13	_	No.	13		privileged conversations, I object.	
14		Did anyone report to you on the status of follow-up	14		MR. SHERWOOD: I just want a yes or no. I	
15	Q.	meetings with creditors that occurred after the	15		mean, I don't want the content.	
16		June 14th meeting?	16		MR. ELLSWORTH: That's fine.	
	A.	With counsel, yes.	17		THE WITNESS: Updates of those discussions	
18		What about without counsel?	18		were provided with counsel present.	
19	_	Not that I recall.	19		BY MR. SHERWOOD:	
20		And what was said?	20			
21	Q.	MR. ELLSWORTH: Well, I I object to the	21	_	counsel?	
22		extent that would call for disclosure of		A		
23		lawyer-client conversations.		Q		
24		I think Mr. Baird said that the	24	_	appointment, did he ever say to you that the	
25		conversations that he had were with counsel present.	25		appointment of an emergency manager and the filing	
		1				
		Page 102			Page 104	
1		He can clarify if I heard that wrong.	1		of a Chapter 9 provides political cover for the	
2		THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Counsel	2		Governor and/or the Mayor in regard to the process	
3		was present.	3		of making the tough decisions that face the City of	
4	BY	MR. SHERWOOD:	4		Detroit in the context of the restructuring?	
5		But counsel was was counsel reporting back on how		A		
6	Æ.	the negotiations were going with the creditor		Q		
7		groups?		A	, , ,	
	A.	No.	8		•	
	_	Who was making that report?	9	_		
10	_	Kevyn Orr.	10		somebody said it.	
11		What did he say?		Q		
12	ζ.	MR. ELLSWORTH: Well, I object again. If	12	_	Maybe I'll show it to you. Let's look at this one.	
13		counsel was present during that discussion then	13		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
14		that's subject to the attorney-client privilege and	14		(Deposition Exhibit 10 was marked.)	
15		I object.	15			
16		MR. SHERWOOD: Are you instructing him not	16		BY MR. SHERWOOD:	
17		to answer		Q		
18		MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes.	18	_	yet though, huh?	
19		MR. SHERWOOD: a conversation between		A		
20		Mr. Orr and him	20		bottom and read it through? This doesn't look like	
21		MR. ELLSWORTH: If it was a one-on-one	21		any that I've ever seen before.	
22		conversation.	22	Q	•	
23		MR. SHERWOOD: reporting on what	23	_	the second page actually, you are referred to in	
24		happened at negotiations with creditors? I just	24		this, so why don't we take a second to go through	
25		want to make sure.	25		this and start with the	

Page 105 Page 107 1 MR. ELLSWORTH: Rich, do you need a chance 1 tenure as emergency manager? 2 I'm not sure I would use the term unqualified to read it? 2 A. 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I need to read this. support, but I certainly would testify that he 3 4 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 4 believed that support from the Governor for the Q. Okay. Tell me when you're done. undertaking at hand was going to be an important 5 5 consideration. A. Okay, I've completed reading it. 6 6 7 O. Let's start with the email on page 301, which is the 7 O. Did he say why that was important? second page. And Corinne Ball is talking to Kevyn, Yes, he did. That he recognized that this was going 8 8 to be a thankless job, a job where he would probably 9 and she talks about the Bloomberg Foundation and 9 be vilified and called a traitor to his race and to whether we should talk to you, Mr. Baird, about 10 10 11 financial support for the project and the EM. And 11 his Democrat background, and that it would require a then she refers to Harry Wilson from the Auto Task 12 12 great deal of resolve to overcome the difficulties Force told me about the Foundation and its interest. of the past decades that have gotten Detroit to 13 13 I can ask Harry for contact info. This kind of where it is today. 14 14 15 support in ways nationalizes the issue and the 15 Q. Did he also seek the support of the Financial project. Do you see that? 16 16 Advisory Board? I do. At the -- well, yes, but not during the recruitment 17 A. 17 A. Q. Do you know whether the Bloomberg Foundation and 18 process. Harry Wilson, whether they were ever brought to your 19 Q. When did he make the request that the Financial 19 attention by anyone at Jones Day? 20 Advisory Board should provide him with support and 20 They were not. 21 oversight? 21 A. You'd have to --So this is the first you're hearing of this? 22 A. If he ever did. 23 A. No. I've seen not this entire string of email, but 23 O. I have seen -- from some emails that were provided 24 A. Well, I have heard from members of the Financial 24 25 in discovery to me, I've seen this, the 1-31-13 25 Advisory Board that he has made those overtures, but Page 106 Page 108 8:10 a.m., and I have seen all the way up through you'd have to ask him as to when and context. 1 1 2 the 1-31 11:01 a.m. from Kevyn Orr to Dan Moss, but Q. But he never had any discussions with you about 2 3 I have not seen this last piece which is from whether it would be beneficial to get support from 3 Dan Moss to Kevyn Orr. 4 the Financial Advisory Board and how he was going to 4 Q. And by this last piece, you're referring to really go about that? 5 5 the top of the email string, correct? 6 6 A. In general, Counselor, I think he -- we had a lot of 7 The top of the email string, right. The most recent 7 discussions about he was going to need all the A. string of this. support he could get from every corner he could get 8 8 9 O. So as of January 31st, 2013, do you know who 9 it from including the FAB and City Council. All right. Can I have one second? I think I'm done Dan Moss is? 10 O. 10 I believe -- I don't know exactly who he is, but I but I don't want to close the record until I'm sure. 11 A. 11 know he's a colleague of Kevyn Orr's at Jones Day. 12 I just need one second. 12 That's all I know. I've heard the name. 13 (A pause was had in the proceedings) 13 14 O. As of January 31st, 2013, did Mr. Orr suggest to you MR. SHERWOOD: All right. I think that's 14 that Chapter 9 would be the best solution for the 15 15 all. Thank you. I appreciate it. City of Detroit for political reasons? THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 16 16 No, he did not. 17 MR. ELLSWORTH: Anybody else? 17 A. Did he suggest to you that Chapter 9 would not be an VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Deposition's concluded 18 18 alternative as of January 31st, 2013? 19 19 I don't believe he placed any priority of any sort 20 A. 20 (Deposition concluded at 4:49 p.m.) on Chapter 9 to me in any conversation or 21 21 communication. 22 22 23 Q. During the course of your discussions with Mr. Orr, 23 did he emphasize the need to have the unqualified 24 24 25 support from the Governor during the -- during his 25

```
Page 109
 1
                          CERTIFICATE
 2 STATE OF MICHIGAN
                                ss:
    COUNTY OF OAKLAND
 5
              I, LAUREL A. JACOBY, Certified Shorthand
 6
   reporter, a Notary Public, hereby certify that I recorded
   in shorthand the examination of RICHARD BAIRD, the
 8 deponent in the foregoing deposition; and that prior to
    the taking of said deposition the deponent was first duly
    sworn, and that the foregoing is a true, correct and
11
    complete transcript of the testimony of said deponent.
12
             I further certify that no request was made for
13
    submission of the transcript to the deponent for reading
14
    and signature and that no such submission was made.
15
              I also certify that I am not a relative or
16
   employee of a party or an attorney for a party; or
17
   financially interested in the action.
18
19
20
    LAUREL A. JACOBY, CSR-5059, RPR
21
22
    Notary Public, Oakland County, Michigan
23
    My commission expires: 9/1/18
    Dated: This 13th day of October, 2013.
25
```

				October 10, 2013
	10:22;83:25	62:5;66:5;83:25;	ascertain (3)	58:11;64:12,17;71:23;
\$	Advisory (4)	88:10;93:10;97:20	48:19:64:8:98:6	79:2,2;98:20,22;99:17
Ψ	107:16,20,25;108:4	anecdotal (3)	aspirational (1)	away (1)
\$18 (1)	affected (1)	50:17,18,19	33:1	63:12
78:7	86:25	anecdotally (3)	assess (1)	awful (1)
/8:/	AFSCME (1)	51:10,18,20	37:15	43:14
\mathbf{A}	80:4	answered (1)	assessment (4)	
A	afternoon (4)	63:24	12:13;33:10;34:19;	В
ability (1)	7:16;61:19;80:3;	anticipated (1)	36:7	
29:9	94:19	71:15	assist (1)	B-5 (2)
able (7)	afterwards (1)	Apart (3)	72:17	90:8;92:4
23:17;26:18;60:16;	84:13	11:4;25:12;28:5	Assistance (3)	back (20)
71:10;72:5;89:14;	Again (14)	appear (3)	8:8;78:2,2	18:14;22:23;29:24;
91:16	12:12;28:6;31:17;	41:4;96:2,15	assistant (7)	30:3;35:20;38:25;
absence (4)	45:25;73:5,16;75:6;	appeared (1)	35:7,12;36:3,11,19,	39:9;48:6;49:6;51:1;
29:9;52:14;78:9;	81:17;87:23;92:21;	69:17	22,24	54:11;68:13;75:8,13;
99:25	94:10;96:18;102:12;	appears (4)	associated (3)	76:1;78:22;80:8;85:8;
absolutely (1)	103:11	24:21;67:25;81:12;	15:4;55:12;89:10	89:20;102:5
16:5	against (3)	94:15	assume (2)	backers (1)
accept (3)	91:11,13;99:20	appetite (8)	39:11;67:6	83:19
76:21;91:22;93:9	agent (5)	49:15;50:5;71:24;	assuming (1)	background (11)
accepted (1)	39:9;40:15,16,18,22	99:24;100:2,4,7,10	73:16	16:2;17:6;19:16;
37:17	ago (1)	appointed (8)	attempt (2)	25:18;28:2,7;74:1,4;
accomplish (1)	14:22	25:23;30:15,17,23,	35:10;40:17	75:18;85:24;107:11
74:14	agree (9)	25;31:4,13,16	attempting (1)	Baird (19)
according (2)	33:10;34:19;36:7;	appointment (6)	41:2	7:8,16;14:3;21:22;
20:4;24:20	39:3,5;86:14;95:15,16;	30:19;31:9,9;93:9;	attend (2)	24:7;27:9;32:5;38:11;
accrued (7)	96:1	103:24,25	12:24;13:11	61:19;64:1;67:8,23;
18:14;42:13;47:24;	agreed (7) 26:7;29:18;33:19,	appreciate (1) 108:15	attendance (2) 60:10,15	68:7,17;80:3;90:8; 101:24;104:17;105:10
50:16;63:14;64:3;75:8	25;55:10;92:8,10	approaches (1)	attended (1)	BAIRD- (1)
accurate (2)	agreement (8)	75:17	60:3	7:11
22:7;32:12	16:25;29:17;33:21;	appropriated (1)	attention (5)	Ball (2)
achieve (3)	39:22;40:7,11,13;82:1	72:11	18:5,10;21:22;	21:24;105:8
86:16;87:1,16 achieving (1)	agreements (1)	appropriation (1)	38:11;105:20	ballpark (1)
87:23	78:10	100:8	attorney (3)	31:6
acronym (1)	ahead (3)	approximately (2)	42:3;45:10;93:13	bankruptcy (29)
81:17	73:20;77:8;98:12	71:3;101:7	attorney-client (2)	10:13;12:4;16:11;
across (1)	along (2)	area (3)	45:13;102:14	26:21;28:10;30:13;
78:25	18:18;51:3	12:20;93:21;97:5	attorneys (2)	46:16,18;48:17;52:18;
act (3)	altered (1)	areas (3)	7:25;8:12	53:13;54:20;56:3,22;
26:1;69:9;99:4	42:13	29:17;84:5;85:5	authorization (1)	57:5,8,12;68:20,25;
action (2)	alternative (1)	argue (1)	68:24	70:13;77:18,21,23,25;
65:4;71:7	106:19	99:8	authorize (1)	78:11;80:5;85:12;
actual (2)	although (2)	arguments (1)	52:18	89:15;101:8
29:12;70:10	25:12;72:16	91:13	Auto (2)	based (2)
actually (14)	always (1)	around (13)	7:18;105:12	39:4;47:19
8:24;20:23;23:13;	20:18	20:22;28:4;30:21;	available (3)	basically (1)
29:24;31:7;34:6;	ameliorate (1)	55:18;62:8;64:17;	29:8;66:16,21 avenues (2)	86:13
48:25;56:18;57:13,17;	71:11 amount (8)	65:9;68:23;71:5; 73:25;76:5,12,17	29:7;47:16	basis (1) 78:25
67:4;73:17;97:13;	17:13;28:4;46:23,	arrangement (4)	averages (1)	Bate (2)
104:23		10:25;11:4;32:19;	46:3	14:1;24:13
actuary (1)	74.48.74.87.13.96.5		TU.5	
actuary (1)	24;48:24;82:13;96:5, 12		avoid (3)	hates (I)
63:3	12	92:3	avoid (3) 66:17:21:87:4	bates (1) 65:25
63:3 additional (1)		92:3 Article (16)	66:17,21;87:4	65:25
63:3 additional (1) 30:5	12 analytics (1) 46:2	92:3 Article (16) 41:21;42:20;43:7;		65:25 became (6)
63:3 additional (1) 30:5 address (2)	12 analytics (1)	92:3 Article (16)	66:17,21;87:4 avoided (2)	65:25
63:3 additional (1) 30:5 address (2) 11:13;66:25	12 analytics (1) 46:2 and/or (4)	92:3 Article (16) 41:21;42:20;43:7; 44:1,7,12,21;45:1,1,8,	66:17,21;87:4 avoided (2) 28:16,16	65:25 became (6) 20:21;30:20;41:9,
63:3 additional (1) 30:5 address (2) 11:13;66:25 addressed (1)	12 analytics (1) 46:2 and/or (4) 35:2;39:22;40:10;	92:3 Article (16) 41:21;42:20;43:7; 44:1,7,12,21;45:1,1,8, 11;56:23,24;57:2;	66:17,21;87:4 avoided (2) 28:16,16 avoiding (1)	65:25 became (6) 20:21;30:20;41:9, 16,17;43:20
63:3 additional (1) 30:5 address (2) 11:13;66:25 addressed (1) 67:5	12 analytics (1) 46:2 and/or (4) 35:2;39:22;40:10; 104:2	92:3 Article (16) 41:21;42:20;43:7; 44:1,7,12,21;45:1,1,8, 11;56:23,24;57:2; 76:7;79:1 articulate (1) 91:25	66:17,21;87:4 avoided (2) 28:16,16 avoiding (1) 20:20	65:25 became (6) 20:21;30:20;41:9, 16,17;43:20 become (1) 31:3 becoming (2)
63:3 additional (1) 30:5 address (2) 11:13;66:25 addressed (1)	12 analytics (1) 46:2 and/or (4) 35:2;39:22;40:10; 104:2 Andrews (1)	92:3 Article (16) 41:21;42:20;43:7; 44:1,7,12,21;45:1,1,8, 11;56:23,24;57:2; 76:7;79:1 articulate (1)	66:17,21;87:4 avoided (2) 28:16,16 avoiding (1) 20:20 aware (25)	65:25 became (6) 20:21;30:20;41:9, 16,17;43:20 become (1) 31:3

				October 10, 201
12:4;80:13,16	67:22;74:9,13;75:6;	31:13;34:6;68:4;	106:15,18,21	9:12
beginning (1)	95:6;104:20	70:3;81:22,25;91:14	character (1)	close (2)
12:3	break (2)	Can (24)	21:11	20:3;108:11
behalf (3)	17:24;68:10	7:10;20:1;21:22;	characteristics (1)	club (1)
14:8;55:10;100:20	brief (1)	24:9;35:17;43:10,16;	80:23	26:1
behaving (3)	68:12			
39:8;40:15,22	briefed (2)	55:4;64:1;65:10;	characterization (1) 79:23	code (1) 38:19
59.8,40.13,22 belief (1)	61:18;94:18	67:24;68:3;71:2,3; 78:21;81:21;86:11;	characterize (2)	Cohen (1)
90:16			80:15,16	7:17
below (1)	briefing (1) 61:20	90:8,9;95:15,16;102:1;	*	colleague (1)
98:23		105:14;108:10	Check (3) 62:7;83:15,17	106:12
	bring (1)	candid (2)		
beneficial (1)	84:7	96:16,20	chief (4)	comment (1)
108:3	bringing (1)	candidate (20)	35:2;49:12;70:21; 92:9	58:22
benefit (1)	15:7	19:12,14,19;20:13,		commitment (2)
74:15	broad (3)	16;37:14,19;40:4,5;	children (2)	23:10;28:3
benefits (9)	17:8;55:20,20	81:5,5;85:23;92:6,8,	23:19;26:5	committed (3)
18:14;47:25;50:16;	broader (2)	14,16,20;93:13,15,17	choice (1)	23:21;39:9;41:1
75:9;76:2;89:10;98:8,	70:12;84:9	candidates (10)	34:22	communication (1)
25;99:5	Brogan (12)	35:24;36:3,6;37:21;	chose (1)	106:22
Bennett (1)	19:6;20:6;22:2,6,8,9,	40:2;80:20;81:3;93:3,	85:10	communications (4)
73:21	14;23:16;24:17;25:19;	5,12	chosen (1)	57:15;69:13;70:11,
besides (1)	81:10,11	candidate's (1)	33:5	12
14:8	broker (8)	40:6	Chris (1)	complete (1)
best (14)	35:6,10,11,17;36:2;	capabilities (2)	83:25	55:15
14:24;15:19;25:5;	90:15;91:2,16	84:2;92:11	Chrysler (1)	completed (4)
43:16,17;48:7;55:4;	brokered (1)	capacity (1)	17:7	15:6;55:8;56:5;
57:7;65:5;88:11;	35:13	33:25	circle (1)	105:6
90:17,24;91:25;106:15	brokering (1)	car (1)	54:11	Components (1)
better (9)	90:12	38:23	circumstances (2)	73:7
20:23;66:15;85:11,	brought (4)	card (2)	25:24;26:9	compromise (3)
17;92:13,19;93:2,5,11	8:4;43:25;44:2;	60:17,17	citizens (1)	18:14;74:15;75:8
beyond (1)	105:19	carrying (1)	98:23	compromising (1)
79:3	Bruce (1)	78:23	City (51)	74:15
bid (2)	73:21	case (8)	11:25;12:2,6,14;	conceivably (1)
23:8,8	Buckfire (5)	7:19;8:3,16;10:13;	13:13,25;15:2,9;16:12,	47:17
Bill (1)	55:14;61:15;81:14,	25:24;80:10;81:19;	24;17:15,17;23:9,12;	concern (1)
68:17	15;83:22	92:24	26:21;28:11;36:18,19;	99:14
billion (1)	Building (1)	cause (1)	37:1;45:7;51:14;	concerning (1)
78:7	11:20	96:24	52:18;57:7;65:13;	56:22
bills (1)	bureaucrat (1)	cautiously (1)	72:18,20;77:20;78:1;	concluded (3)
81:17	82:10	92:19	84:6,20;85:6,17,21;	95:3;108:18,20
bind (1)	business (1)	caveat (1)	86:6;87:4;89:3,6;	conclusion (1)
33:2	9:9	44:22	90:18,19,21,21,23;	86:15
Bing (6)	~	cease (1)	91:3,7,10;95:1;100:20;	condition (1)
32:18;36:10;37:14,	C	41:8	103:10;104:3;106:16;	71:11
16,19;39:16		certain (9)	108:9	conditions (1)
bit (3)	cabinet (1)	33:9,19,21;39:18;	City's (5)	37:17
26:12,13;81:16	82:2	56:20;91:10;95:12;	78:5;80:5;84:1,18;	connection (3)
Bloomberg (2)	call (17)	98:23,25	90:24	11:24;12:10;35:13
105:9,18	14:5;19:5,17,21;	certainly (3)	claims (1)	cons (1)
Board (8)	20:6;23:1;25:14;	16:13;34:25;107:3	74:16	79:18
8:8;30:17,17;83:9;	29:25;44:14;54:23;	CFO (3)	clarify (2)	consensus (2)
107:16,20,25;108:4	55:2,6;61:13;63:18,20;	15:4;16:25;84:1	88:16;102:1	86:24,24
bondholder (1)	90:8;101:22	chance (4)	clear (8)	consent (2)
103:9	called (12)	20:23;54:15;85:17;	16:20;17:14;20:22;	16:25;29:17
bondings (1)	7:12;8:20;19:2,6;	105:1	41:5;78:8;89:13;93:7;	consider (4)
78:7	22:2,7,9;25:25;32:21;	chances (1)	100:1	25:25;47:7;82:8;
both (3)	58:12,16;107:10	22:19	clearly (5)	99:11
16:9;37:13;81:7	calling (1)	Chapter (20)	29:8;39:7;40:14,18,	consideration (1)
bottom (18)	68:18	16:16;18:13;20:20;	21	107:6
14:2;21:21,23;	calls (1)	74:10,13;75:7,25;76:5,	client (1)	Considerations (2)
24:14;27:6,7;31:25;	94:23	13,24;77:3;85:18,21;	82:12	73:8;84:1
32:6,13;38:9;61:1,22;	came (7)	86:7;87:5;89:6;104:1;	clients (1)	considered (1)
-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1

87:24 described (3) 35:25 26:20;30:2;43:5,10,19; 22,24;41:6;44:25;45:6, consternation (1) 46:1.8.11:48:13.14: courts (10) 8:55:13:57:14.18.20. 32:18:50:3.9 71:5 54:6,9;55:16;56:8; 16:21;20:23,24; 22;61:15;66:10;72:25; desirable (1) constituencies (2) 68:22;69:19;70:17; 28:15;86:2,3;88:12,13, 73:15;75:24;81:8,8,13; 97:11 71:1;72:14;78:16; 82:6,7;84:17;85:4,7, 78:10:86:25 14;89:12 desire (1) **Constitution (12)** 88:21;97:20;102:19, cover (3) 10,16,19,20;86:4,19; 37:24 87:3;89:20;90:4;96:9; 18:16;41:21;42:11, 22:106:21 43:14;82:14;104:1 detail (1) 16;44:3;45:2;56:23; conversations (19) covered (3) 105:20;106:12 81:21 76:2;79:12;80:7 detailed (1) 57:2;75:10;76:7; 54:14;55:8;61:25; **days** (7) 78:24;79:9 70:17;71:2,17;72:1,3, covers (1) 28:9;31:10,12,14; 55:22 constitutional (2) 10,21;87:15,19,21,23; 9:22 39:25;53:10;76:20 determination (3) 88:1,2;101:23,25; 76:3;78:17 crafted (1) deal (5) 33:11:34:20:36:8 23:21;77:20;78:1; construct (1) 103:13 32:18 determine (3) 84:8 convey (1) 79:4;107:12 35:22;91:21;92:13 create (1) consultancy (3) 86:19 64:7 dealing (1) determined (1) 11:7,22;12:8 convince (2) credentials (2) 46:3 92:15 deals (1) determining (1) consultant (2) 21:12;77:3 17:6;74:1 95:25 94:19,22 Conway (2) creditor (1) 34:1 consultants (11) 55:13;61:15 102:6 debate (4) Detroit (57) 61:11,14,18,23; Coopers (1) creditors (9) 64:15,17,21,25 10:13;11:25;12:2,6, 94:18,20,25;95:1,8,9, 84:24 10:13;20:25;58:12, debt (1) 14,17;13:14,25,25; 16:90:3;101:11,15; 12 copied (1) 78:8 16:3,12;17:9,13;19:10; consulted (1) 97:2 102:24;103:10 decades (1) 23:9,12;25:22;26:14, **copy** (2) curious (1) 107:13 22;28:3,11;29:6,15,21; 12:6 consulting (4) 18:21;104:11 97:24 DeCHIARA (28) 30:13;32:24;39:9; 9:10;11:2;54:11; Corinne (2) current (12) 7:15,17;13:22;18:9; 52:19;57:7;61:11,14; 97:5 21:24;105:8 46:24;61:24;62:1, 21:19;24:6,12;27:4; 63:13;64:15;65:13; 12,15;63:11,17;64:10, contact (3) corner (1) 31:23;32:3,4;38:7; 68:19;71:20,24;72:12; 35:20;54:25;105:14 108:8 11;65:10;95:4,8 44:16;45:4,5,14;52:7; 76:10,19;85:11;86:6; contacted (1) corporation (1) currently (1) 56:25;57:1;58:14,15; 87:4;89:6,14;90:21; 81:9 8:25 99:18 60:24;61:3,4;63:25; 93:19,21,24;94:3,4; contemplate (1) correctly (3) cut (18) 66:1:67:20:68:6 100:8.20:103:10: 33:17;40:25;51:17 15:9 18:13;61:24;62:2,8, decisionmaking (1) 104:4;106:16;107:13 contemplated (2) cost (3) 13;63:2;64:14;75:8; 23:11 Detroit's (8) 55:21;74:15;82:13 decisions (1) 12:10;18:2;30:9; 78:5,6 76:1;82:13;95:4,8,14; contemplating (1) Council (8) 96:17,21;98:8,14,16 104:3 45:16;64:3,13;67:12; 90:19,21,23;91:3,7, Define (3) 29:7 87:17 D 10.14:108:9 12:1;13:3;93:18 developed (1) content (2) 67:25;103:15 counsel (39) degree (1) 33:4 contention (1) 13:13;44:19,23; Dan (3) 42:14 deviation (3) 85:22 45:18,25;57:6;58:1,5; 106:2,4,10 delivered (1) 23:22:51:5.12 contents (1) 59:7,12,16,19;60:1; dance (1) 14:7 devise (1) 53:5 62:3;64:20,24;65:8,17; 93:22 Democrat (1) 84:10 difference (1) context (3) 66:20;67:10,14;72:3; data (15) 107:11 41:3;104:4;108:1 42:14 74:8;75:4;84:18,21; 46:20,22,22;47:1,2, Dennis (2) difficult (9) contingencies (1) 87:22;88:3;89:3; 13;48:2,21;49:6,25; 49:11;71:1 101:17,18,25;102:2,5, 26:7;29:4;52:2,12; 56:16 50:13;51:24;52:15; denying (1) contingent (1) 5,13;103:3,18,21 97:13;98:6 60:12 86:6,16;87:10,16,24 difficulties (1) 98:25 Counselor (1) date (15) depending (2) continue (1) 108:6 16:15;49:22 107:12 7:5;8:6;13:15;14:4; 92:12 counsel's (1) 22:2,3;30:19,21;31:5, deposition (21) difficulty (2) 34:24;78:4 continued (1) 78:15 8;38:19;57:16;69:14, 7:7,21;8:3,5,13,15; counterproposal (1) 17;95:12 13:20;21:17;24:4; digested (1) 17:11 27:2;31:21;38:5;58:6, 100:25 continues (1) 100:23 dated (3) counterproposals (1) 14:6;22:4;53:23 8;60:22;65:23;67:18; diligence (2) 39:3 69:25;72:25;104:14; 34:1;92:17 continuing (1) 100:21 Davis (3) couple (2) 108:20 Dillon (33) 40:17 8:4,7,15 depositions (4) continuum (3) 53:10;83:21 Day (63) 14:10;19:24;20:1,2, 16:14;47:18;51:3 course (5) 10:16;13:3,6;14:7, 7:24;8:1,2,16 3,9;44:21;45:21,25; contributions (1) 29:12;43:13;64:16; 17,21,25;15:12,14,20; Deposition's (1) 46:10;48:13,21;49:2; 62:21 65:4:106:23 17:21;18:1,18;19:2,3, 108:18 59:10;61:5,10;62:1; conversation (28) court (4) 5,7,17;20:3,7;22:3,11, describe (3) 65:2,6,11;66:5,19; 38:2;56:21;77:14; 12;23:4,11,23;24:16, 82:16;83:1,4 67:9;88:11;92:25; 17:23;21:7,10;

	T	T		October 10, 2013
93:1,4;94:16;95:13;	40:1,7;58:10,11,19;	18:1;80:24	39:6	88:15
96:3,10,25;97:15	60:19,25;65:20;66:2;	ELB (2)	ends (2)	executive (2)
Dillon's (2)	67:15,21,23,24;68:1,4;	19:12;93:10	52:3,13	9:23;33:11
59:19:65:2	69:13,19,24;70:4;74:5;	elected (1)	Energy (5)	Exhibit (41)
direct (2)	90:10	82:3	9:15,17,18;10:3;	13:18,20,23;14:3;
12:13;82:2	document's (1)	elements (1)	83:1	15:23,24;18:5,22;
directed (1)	14:6	17:12	engaged (1)	21:15,17,23;24:2,4,7,
50:22	dollars (1)	ELLSWORTH (24)	55:18	9;26:25;27:2,5,7;
directional (1)	98:4	44:13;45:3,13;52:5;	engagement (1)	31:19,21;32:6,13;38:1,
14:23	done (4)	63:23;73:9,12;77:9;	80:8	5,13;40:1,8;60:20,22;
directly (2)	39:4;45:16;105:5;	86:8;87:18;88:15;	engages (1)	65:21,23;67:16,18;
10:1;12:7	108:10	95:19;98:11;101:21;	83:4	69:25;72:25;94:12;
director (2)	donor (1)	102:12,18,21;103:1,3,	enough (2)	95:24,24;96:10;104:14
15:4;17:1	83:20	5,11,16;105:1;108:17	63:16;77:17	Exhibits (1)
directors (1)	donors (2)	else (8)	entire (1)	94:10
83:10	10:22,23	8:13;14:8;27:21;	105:23	exist (4)
disclose (1)	down (3)	45:23;47:20;54:8;	entirety (1)	51:2;92:1;98:22;
87:19	26:3;77:4;101:2	83:18;108:17	33:12	99:18
disclosed (1)	draft (2)	EM (15)	entitled (1)	existed (4)
37:7	39:16;52:21	19:12,14,19;20:17;	73:7	10:25;46:22;52:20;
disclosure (2)	draw (1)	30:15,18,24;31:9;	entity (1)	72:23
101:22;103:12	18:10	35:24;40:3;41:9,16;	83:4	existence (1)
discovery (1)	DTMI (2)	43:20;80:12;105:11	Ernst (2)	43:6
105:25	14:2;67:22	email (42)	55:13;61:15	exists (1)
discuss (4)	due (2)	11:12;21:23;22:4,5;	establish (2)	101:1
15:9;57:4,6;58:1	34:1;92:17	24:7,9,20;27:8,9,10;	40:14,22	expect (1)
discussed (14)	duly (1)	32:5,12,13;33:8;36:5,	establishes (1)	66:14
7:25;16:4;27:25;	7:12	23;37:4;38:12,13,21;	39:8	expense (1)
28:2,3,6,14;32:22;	During (11)	39:17;40:5;59:4;61:5;	estimates (1)	82:15
42:20,23,25;72:4;	43:13;78:22;79:12;	62:10;66:5,8,9,12,24;	55:19	experience (14)
75:16;94:6	82:3;85:7;102:13;	67:5,6;90:11;94:12;	even (4)	12:20;15:8;16:3,6,9;
discussing (2) 34:14;40:1	103:23;106:23,25,25; 107:17	96:3,9;104:9,11;105:7, 23;106:6,7	17:12;72:9;75:11; 86:23	17:7;19:16;25:18;
discussion (13)	107:17	emailed (2)	event (5)	28:8;74:1;75:18; 84:23;85:25;93:25
25:6;26:20;28:4,10;	\mathbf{E}	70:4,6	25:23;34:13;37:17;	experiences (1)
29:10,12;43:9;44:7;	12	emails (4)	46:7;49:20	28:5
45:19;62:7;79:15;	earlier (4)	7:24;66:10;97:2;	everyone (1)	expertise (2)
96:4;102:13	30:24;71:6;78:14;	105:24	14:10	17:8;84:5
discussions (19)	94:21	Emergency (20)	everyone's (1)	explain (1)
23:5;29:23;30:6,9;	early (3)	8:7;15:3;19:9,10;	39:4	35:17
47:19;50:8;55:22;	31:16;43:5;78:22	25:22;29:15;30:16,17,	evident (1)	explicit (1)
56:10;62:4,6;65:3,5,9;	earners (3)	24;31:1;32:24;33:3,5;	78:11	65:4
76:5;103:17,23;	51:8,9,11	46:13;76:10,16;85:24;	exact (5)	explicitly (5)
106:23;108:2,7	economic (1)	91:11;103:25;107:1	8:6;13:15;30:21;	30:7;72:7;76:4;
dispute (1)	12:3	emphasize (1)	31:5;69:14	86:21;90:7
87:13	educate (1)	106:24	exactly (5)	express (2)
distress (1)	88:13	employee (6)	21:11;32:20;77:15;	84:20;93:4
19:11	educated (1)	9:1;11:10;36:18,20;	86:3;106:11	expressed (1)
distribution (9)	89:24	37:1;82:10	EXAMINATION (3)	37:24
46:2,4,23;48:22;	education (2)	employees (2)	7:14;68:15;80:1	extended (1)
51:3,5;70:19;98:3;	17:12;89:11	9:5;96:6	examined (1)	9:24
99:20	effect (4)	employment (2)	7:13	extent (8)
Diversify (5)	31:13,14;60:9;65:11	11:5,6	example (1)	42:12;44:13;56:7;
9:15,17,18;10:3;	effective (2)	enacted (1)	43:11 Event (1)	87:18;98:16;101:2,22;
83:2	30:20;31:8	49:19	Except (1)	103:11 extremely (6)
doc (2) 39:4,11	efforts (3) 12:2,11,14	end (17) 13:16;24:11;46:4,5;	30:3 exclude (1)	20:12;86:5,16,25;
document (44)	EFM (6)	47:8,17;49:10;50:15,	44:18	87:10,16
13:17,24;21:14,20;	30:25;31:4,10,16;	20,22;51:11,16,22;	excluding (1)	07.10,10
24:1,10;25:12,13;	41:9,17	52:1,11;86:13,16	72:2	\mathbf{F}
26:24;27:5,6;31:18,25;	eight (1)	ended (1)	exclusive (1)	
32:7,11,18;33:2;34:6;	14:22	76:12	82:12	FAB (1)
38:2,9;39:12,14,19;	either (2)	endorsed (1)	Excuse (1)	108:9
,- ,				1

				October 10, 2013
face (1)	101:8;103:25	101:9,10,14		51:1;97:24
104:3	fill (1)	Force (1)	G	
face-to-face (1)	60:17	105:13	0	\mathbf{H}
55:2	final (3)	forget (1)	gave (5)	
fact (17)	38:25;58:22;59:1	32:20	8:15;32:22;82:13;	habit (1)
16:4;17:15;19:10;	finances (1)	form (6)	84:25;94:22	78:23
23:20;28:14;32:23;	10:21	58:23;63:23;74:25;	general (7)	half (1)
34:4,15;41:8;44:2;	Financial (14)	79:4;98:11;99:2	29:4;41:13;42:1;	32:6
70:13;72:4;76:13,22;	8:8;18:14;19:11;	formal (1)	45:10;65:9;75:11;	hand (1)
96:16,21;99:12 fair (8)	20:20;29:5;31:1;75:8; 89:15;100:25;105:11;	15:1 formally (1)	108:6	107:5 handed (2)
13:8;17:13;19:18;	107:15,19,24;108:4	41:1	generally (4)	24:21;73:18
28:4;31:15;77:17;	financials (1)	format (1)	61:14;65:3;75:20;	happened (2)
79:21,23	66:14	68:1	76:16	102:24;103:20
fairly (1)	find (2)	forms (1)	germ (1) 71:9	happening (1)
35:15	29:14;76:9	52:22	given (2)	69:6
faith (3)	fine (2)	forth (1)	8:16;91:1	hard (1)
86:1;89:23;101:3	55:19;103:16	30:3	giving (2)	86:25
fall (1)	finish (2)	forward (6)	40:13;79:20	Harry (3)
51:3	25:7;61:7	21:10;29:5,6;34:4;	Good (22)	105:12,14,19
falling (1)	finished (1)	65:4;69:18	7:16;33:1;42:6;48:6,	hear (1)
29:17	95:19	found (2)	10;49:4,15;60:4;	52:8
familiar (7)	fire (1)	19:10,11	61:23;80:3;82:10,15,	heard (4)
8:20;41:20;52:16;	85:4	foundation (4)	24;86:1;89:23;90:16,	52:5;102:1;106:13;
56:17;58:19;67:25; 68:1	firm (10) 7:17;10:16;34:2;	86:8;105:9,13,18	22;95:3,7;97:16;	107:24
farfetched (2)	41:6,14;85:5,19,20;	four (1) 73:6	100:15;101:3	hearing (3) 38:25;67:2;105:22
87:5,7	88:7;93:8	frame (3)	government (4)	help (5)
feasible (2)	firmly (1)	71:2;76:8;78:21	11:12;82:10,15;99:3 Governor (62)	24:1;43:16;66:14;
50:23,25	26:19	framework (1)	8:3;9:23;11:2,23;	84:8;85:21
February (14)	firms (14)	91:25	12:9,9,24,25;13:9;	helped (2)
27:14,19;29:19;	13:12;14:17;15:8;	frankly (3)	19:11,22;27:17,23;	23:5,7
30:5;32:14;35:25;	75:16,25;84:2,4,7,9,11,	22:19;26:17;97:4	28:21,22;29:20;30:16;	helpful (1)
38:14;40:3;43:11;	16,24;85:3;88:19	free (3)	35:2;39:1,6;43:12;	79:22
90:10,11;92:4,16,21	first (17)	25:10,12;76:11	44:11,18;45:19,24;	here's (2)
federal (1)	7:12;13:24;14:1,25;	frequently (2)	50:8;52:16,22;53:2,11,	55:20;101:4
76:15	21:7;24:15;30:1;32:1,	13:2,3	12;54:19;56:2,15;57:4,	high (1)
fee (2) 55:9,19	16;38:9,12;61:10; 74:23;82:6,7;94:11;	Friday (4) 69:14,15,16,17	6;58:2,4;59:6;64:19;	46:5 higher (1)
Feel (2)	105:22	front (1)	67:6,7;68:24;72:2,10,	50:22
25:10,12	fiscal (1)	44:22	15;78:3;80:9;82:1;	highly (3)
fees (5)	87:17	fully (3)	92:9,22;93:8;94:16; 95:13;96:11,25;97:5,	22:20;23:17;71:25
9:22;54:13;55:17;	five (1)	39:6;42:12,17	14,18;104:2;106:25;	hired (1)
56:4;81:18	32:2	fully-funded (1)	107:4	13:13
fell (1)	fix (1)	42:15	Governor's (12)	hold (1)
46:5	28:15	fund (8)	26:1;28:2;49:12;	85:7
felt (1)	Flowers (1)	9:22;10:11;82:14,	56:14;57:11;59:18;	home (1)
21:10	68:18	16,16,19,21,22	64:24;69:25;70:21;	82:5
few (7)	focus (1)	funded (1)	71:18,23;91:23	honest (1)
34:24;63:12;64:16; 68:9,21;79:16;80:5	97:5 FOIAble (4)	42:17 funding (7)	great (3)	20:21
68.9,21,79.10,80.3 file (4)	35:7;36:14,24;37:3	62:5,15,17,18,20,25;	51:12;85:23;107:12	honestly (2) 48:9;55:7
53:13;54:20;56:3;	F-O-I-A-b-l-e (1)	71:24	greatest (1)	hoping (1)
57:8	35:8	funds (4)	84:6	79:22
filed (5)	folks (1)	62:19;63:1;64:10;	ground (4) 43:14;79:13,13;80:6	Hopkins (1)
48:17;56:21;68:19;	86:1	72:11	group (3)	23:20
77:18,23	follow (1)	further (7)	68:19;86:20;100:24	hours (1)
filing (21)	48:8	18:13;23:5;44:7;	groups (1)	38:24
16:12,16;20:20;	followed (1)	68:6;75:8;79:25;82:14	102:7	house (1)
26:21;28:11;30:13;	50:11	future (6)	guess (5)	12:3
46:16,18;52:18;56:5,	follows (1)	15:10;29:11;33:3;	67:5;82:20;88:10;	huh (1)
17,22;57:5,12,17,19;	7:13	62:16;63:17;80:17	91:1;92:4	104:18
70:10;71:4;76:13;	follow-up (3)		guy (2)	hundreds (1)

				October 10, 2013
66:10	indicated (10)	16:1	6;62:10;66:6;96:9	56:21;68:20
hurt (2)	16:14;20:5;26:3;	investigation (2)	June (15)	lawyer (1)
23:4,7	43:6;57:12;91:23;	99:12,13	58:13,16;59:8,13,20,	7:17
	92:11;93:6,10;100:15	invitation (1)	23;60:2,5,8,16;100:13,	lawyer-client (4)
I	individual (1)	100:24	16,22;101:10,16	44:14;87:19;101:23;
	43:17	invited (1)	, , ,	103:12
idea (6)	individuals (7)	61:19	\mathbf{K}	lawyers (1)
42:7;49:8;50:3;71:9;	50:15;51:22;52:2,	invoice (1)		75:24
87:4,6	12;55:16;80:23;81:1	83:16	keep (3)	lead (1)
identification (2)	industry (1)	involved (2)	10:7;86:6;88:12	69:11
12:13,15	98:10	12:12;103:8	keeping (5)	leadership (2)
identified (2)	info (1)	irrational (1)	15:10;20:24;33:11;	9:24;16:24
27:10;84:4	105:14	26:1	85:11;89:6	learn (1)
identify (5)	information (6)	issuance (1)	Ken (2)	21:6
13:23;24:9;31:24;	34:13;35:20;44:15;	70:10	81:15;83:25	learned (2)
38:8;67:24	79:20;100:25;101:4	issue (9)	Kevyn (46)	21:8;26:14
Illinois (1)	initiate (1)	61:18;64:18,21;	8:2;10:19;17:2;19:8;	least (8)
82:5	54:25	70:24;71:21;78:16;	23:6,18;24:16,21;28:7,	26:15;38:18;46:6;
impact (11)	input (6)	94:19;95:25;105:15	13;29:13;32:14;33:24;	57:10;71:11,20;76:22;
46:6;50:14;51:21;	39:4,21,22;40:6,10,	issues (7)	34:9,10;36:15;38:13,	95:11
66:15,21;71:7;96:6;	13	34:3,24;43:16;	23;40:18;42:25;46:9;	led (1)
97:8,12,13;99:13	inquiries (1)	56:22;65:15;67:11;	49:1,1;54:6;68:23;	99:23
impacted (7)	100:5	89:16	69:6;71:1;76:9;77:12;	legal (17)
47:17,23,24;48:19;	inquiry (1)	T	78:22;79:1;85:23;	15:15;42:4;57:25;
49:21;100:11,11	72:14	J	90:11,23;91:20,24;	58:5;59:7,12,16,19;
implication (1)	instead (1)	T 1 (2)	92:13,19;93:2,6,11;	60:1;62:3;64:20,24;
49:22	46:3	Jack (3)	102:10;105:8;106:2,4,	65:8,17;66:20;67:10,
implications (4)	instructing (2)	80:3;83:25;84:22	12	14
48:20;50:21;88:12; 99:19	102:16;103:6 insufficient (1)	January (29) 11:3;13:11,16,25;	Kevyn's (1) 28:2	legislation (7) 47:7;49:9,19;50:3,9;
Implicitly (2)	62:25	14:6;19:13;20:4;	killing (1)	71:10;72:5
72:8,9	intelligibly (1)	21:24;22:4,8,10,12;	22:15	legislative (3)
importance (3)	101:5	23:23;25:3,15;29:25;	kind (6)	71:24;99:24;100:3
89:22;90:2,4	intend (1)	30:4;58:12;73:2;	26:17;35:22;69:10;	letter (24)
important (9)	85:16	75:13;80:10;81:12,19;	71:9;100:5;105:14	52:16,20,21,25;53:3,
34:4,8;56:4;90:14;	intended (3)	83:21;86:20;89:21;	knew (6)	5,6,8,11,12,14,16,18,
91:21;97:23,25;107:5,	33:24;79:4;85:15	106:9,14,19	20:22;76:22;78:3,	23;54:1,19,21;56:2,11,
7	intent (2)	JD-RD (5)	25;80:22,25	12,15,15,19;68:24
impose (1)	16:21;20:19	21:21;24:14;27:6;	knowing (2)	level (2)
56:16	interact (1)	32:1;38:10	10:24;52:14	50:23;62:18
impressed (6)	101:5	job (7)	knowledge (4)	levels (1)
17:14,16;19:16;	interaction (1)	26:8;60:4;85:11;	17:16;50:18,19;	62:5
20:5;25:17,18	78:22	92:12;100:15;107:9,9	57:21	liabilities (4)
imprudent (1)	interest (6)	Johns (1)	known (1)	63:14;64:3,14;65:12
33:2	19:22;22:25;84:6;	23:20	71:14	liability (9)
inauguration (1)	90:18,24;105:13	join (2)		8:24;18:3;29:21;
82:6	interested (6)	61:19,20	\mathbf{L}	30:10;45:16;67:12;
included (1)	19:13,15,18;20:16;	joining (1)		78:7;95:25;97:3
79:13	67:1;99:18	25:25	Lansing (2)	lieu (1)
includes (1)	International (1)	Jones (41)	7:2,9	99:4
61:14	7:19	10:16;14:17,21,25;	large (2)	likelihood (1)
including (3)	interpretation (1)	15:12,14,20;18:1,18;	85:4;100:7	89:14
74:18;94:17;108:9	42:4	19:2,5,7;22:11;23:4,	larger (1)	limited (1)
income (5)	interviewed (1)	11;41:6;44:25;45:6,8;	51:8	8:24
46:2;70:19;99:1,1,2	76:10	55:13;61:14;72:25;	last (7)	line (8)
in-court (1)	intimately (1)	73:15;75:24;81:8,8,13;	16:15,18;18:11;	18:11;61:22;74:9,
16:10	13:8	84:17;85:4,7,10,16,19,	64:16;66:24;106:3,5	13;75:7;95:7,16;98:23
incredible (1)	into (6)	20;86:4,19;87:3;	law (11)	lines (1)
20:19	15:5;31:13;71:20;	89:20;90:4;105:20;	7:17;10:16;13:12;	18:18
incremental (3)	77:21;88:13;96:5	106:12	14:17;15:8;17:17;	literally (1)
47:8;49:9;100:10	introduce (1)	July (12)	28:5,9;41:6;76:15;	74:9
indicate (1) 69:2	35:19 introduced (1)	52:17;53:24;54:19;	88:7	little (5)
09.4	introduced (1)	56:2,11,15;57:13;61:6,	lawsuits (2)	26:12,13;41:4;
	•			

7:18 Loan (3) making (9) 35:17;36:13;60:5; 16;18:15;28:8;41:20; 82:12 Muchmol 8:8;30:17,17 89:21,22:90:2,4;102:9; 103:15 44:2,45:1,11;56:23; Muchmol 88:8;30:17,17 104:3 meaning (2) 57:5;92:9 microphone (1) 49:11,1 88:5 man (5) 22:16;41:1;86:2; meaning (2) 57:59:9 microphone (1) multipag lobyist (5) 82:16,17,19,21,22 management (2) 15:3;17:1 18:13;74:14;75:7; middle (3) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 multipag locate (1) manager (14) 76:1;77:13 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 midle (3) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; mech (2) 81:2;98:4 middle (3) 33:12,18,19 34:23 longer (1) manages (1) 84:15 71:10;72:16;81:5; 72:19;66:20;69:15; 72:19;66:20;69:15; 72:19;96:19;98:21; 72:19;96:19;98:21; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 93:10;16;10 105:15 105:15	re (6) .7,18;50:2; r2:15 e (1)
McKenna (3)	23:22;56:6; re (6) .7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)
McKenna (3)	23:22;56:6; re (6) .7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)
8:24.9:1 majority (1) mean (11) 7:2,9;11:10,12,18; much (4) 20:23;2 LLP (1) 50:19 making (9) 14:22;17:21,22; 35:17;36:13;60:5; 13:25;16:4;17:10,11, 20:23; 82:13;25;16:4;17:10,11, 20:23; 82:13;25;16:4;17:10,11, 20:23; 82:13;25;16:4;17:10,11, 20:23; 82:15;28:8;41:20; 44:24:45:1,11;56:23; Muchmon 49:11,1 70:22; 49:11,1 70:22; Muchmon 49:12,1 71; 49:12,1 71; 49	23:22;56:6; re (6) .7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)
8:24;9:1 majority (1) mean (11) 7:2,9;11:10,12,18; much (4) LLP (1) 50:19 making (9) 14:22;17:21,22; 13:25;16:4;17:10,11, 20:23;2 Loan (3) 13:12;76:17;88:18; 61:13;62:17,20;95:6; 44:24;45:1,11;6:23; 82:15;16:4;17:10,11, 82:15 42:245:1,11;56:23; Muchmon 88:30:17,17 89:21,22;90:2,4;102:9; 103:15 57:2;74:2;75:9;76:1,3, 49:11,1 70:22;7 lobbying (1) 104:3 man (5) meaning (2) 6 70:22;7 microphone (1) 49:11,1 70:22;7 83:5 man (5) meaning (1) 51:13 microphone (1) 38:8 38:1 38:1 49:11,1 70:22;7 multipage 38:8 38:1 38:1 70:22;7 multipage 38:8 municipa 38:1 38:1 70:22;7 multipage 38:8 municipa 38:13 49:11,1 70:22;7 multipage 38:8 49:11,1 70:22;7 multipage 38:8 49:11,1 70:22;7 70:12;7 33:13;22;33:23 49:12;13:1	23:22;56:6; re (6) .7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)
LLP (1) 7:18 Loan (3) 8.8;30:17,17 lobbying (1) 83:5 lobbyist (5) 82:16,17,19,21,22 local (3) 87:93:17,18 locate (1) 91:13;32:24;33:3,5; 10:25;46:14;88:6, 18,25;89:2 long (6) 19:9;25:22;30:24; 10:25;46:14;88:6, 18,25;89:2 long er (1) 41:15 long-term (1) 63:10 look (20) look (re (6) .7,18;50:2; .72:15 e (1)
7:18 making (9) 35:17;36:13;60:5; 16;18:15;28:8;41:20; 82:12 Loan (3) 13:12;76:17;88:18; 39:21,22;90:2,4;102:9; 103:15 57:2;74:2;75:9;76:1,3, 44:2;45:1,11;56:23; Muchmon 88:30:17,17 104:3 man (5) 57:5;92:9 microphone (1) 70:22;7 83:5 man (5) 22:16;41:1;86:2; meaning (2) microphone (1) multipage lobbyist (5) 22:16;41:1;86:2; 93:15,16 meaningful (1) 52:6 mid (5) 38:8 82:16,17,19,21,22 management (2) 15:3;17:1 18:13;74:14;75:7; middle (3) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 multipage locate (1) manager (14) 19:9;25:22;30:24; 18:13;74:14;75:7; middle (3) 38:12,18,19 myself (1 43:10 19:9;25:22;30:24; 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; mech (2) 81:2;98:4 26:9;35:2,22;47:25; longe (1) manages (1) meet (9) 9:119;06:19;98:21; 7:16;10 7:16;10 68:17;8 look (20) maner (1) 19:6;22:16 manity (1) 55:14;61:15;81:4	re (6) .7,18;50:2; .72:15 e (1)
Loan (3) 13:12;76:17;88:18; 61:13;62:17,20;95:6; 44:2;45:1,11;56:23; Muchmon 49:11,1 83:5 (10bbying (1)) 104:3 man (5) 57:2;74:2;75:9;76:1,3, 49:11,1 83:5 (16,17,19,21,22) man (5) 22:16;41:1;86:2; meaning (2) microphone (1) multipag Local (3) management (2) 55:13 mid (5) municipa 8:7;93:17,18 15:3;17:1 manager (14) 18:13;74:14;75:7; middle (3) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 myself (1) long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; meant (2) might (15) 33:12,18,19 34:23 longer (1) manages (1) 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 71:10;72:16;81:5; name (6) 10ok (20) manner (1) 19:6;22:16 81:11;91:21 99:24;104:11 68:17;8:14 99:24;104:11 99:24;104:11 99:24;104:11 105:15 105:15 106:16 105:15 106:16 105:15 106:16 105:15 106:16 105:15 105:15 106:16 105:15 105:15 106:16 <t< td=""><td>7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)</td></t<>	7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)
8:8;30:17,17 89:21,22;90:2,4;102:9; 104:3 103:15 meaning (2) 57:2;74:2;75:9;76:1,3, 6 49:11,1 70:22;7 multipag lobbying (1) 83:5 man (5) 57:5;92:9 microphone (1) multipag lobbyist (5) 82:16,17,19,21,22 93:15,16 57:5;92:9 microphone (1) multipag Local (3) management (2) 15:3;17:1 means (5) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 municipa locate (1) manager (14) 19:9;25:22;30:24; meant (2) might (15) myself (1 long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; meant (2) might (15) might (15) longer (1) manages (1) 84:15 71:10;72:16;81:5; name (6) long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) look (20) manner (1) meeting (62) 31:11;14:5,9,15,21; Miller (3) names (1) 25:13;32:5,7;66:10, 7:20 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; Milliman (1) nationalization (1) 12;74:7,13,80:22; man's (1) 20;18:2,24;19:2; 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, <t< td=""><td>7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)</td></t<>	7,18;50:2; 72:15 e (1)
104:3 man (5) 22:16;41:1;86:2; man (5) 22:16;41:1;86:2; man (5) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 32:16;41:1;86:2; man (5) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 33:17:1 33:17:1 33:17:1 33:12,18,19 34:23	72:15 e (1) d (1)
83:5 man (5) 22:16;41:1;86:2; 93:15,16 51:13 mid (5) 38:8 municipal (1) 52:6 mid (5) 38:8 municipal (1) 52:6 mid (5) 38:8 municipal (1) 51:13 mid (5) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 75:19 mid (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; 10:25;46:14;88:6, 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; 18,25;89:2 91:12;103:25;107:1 manages (1) 41:15 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 10:6;10 19:6;22:16 manner (1) 25:13;32:5,7;66:10, 12:74*7,13;80:22; man's (1) 12:74*7,13;80:22; man's (1) 20:18:2,43:23 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; 15:10 mid (1) mature (1) 12:22 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; mid (1) mature (1) 12:22 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; mid (1) mature (1) 12:22 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; mid (1) mature (1) 15:10 15	e (1) d (1)
lobbyist (5) 82:16,17,19,21,22 93:15,16 management (2) 15:3;17:1 manager (14) 19:9;25:22;30:24; 10:25;46:14;88:6, 10:25;46:14;88:6, 91:12;103:25;107:1 manages (1) manages (1) manages (2) 12:11 meeting (62) 12:74:7,13;80:22; 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; 97:8;104:12,20 90:12;51:15;78:25 looking (15) March (5) maningful (1) 52:6 mid (5) mid (5) mid (6) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 middle (3) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 middle (3) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 middle (3) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 middle (3) 38:8 municipa 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 middle (3) 38:12,18,19 myself (1) 34:23 meant (2) minth (15) 38:12,18,19 myself (15) 38:12,18,19 myself (15) 38:12,18,19 myself (15) 38:12,18,19 middle (3) 38:12,18,19 myself (15) 38:12,18,19 middle (3)	ıl (1)
82:16,17,19,21,22 93:15,16 management (2) 51:13 means (5) mid (5) municipa 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 middle (3) municipa 75:19 myself (1) 8:7;93:17,18 locate (1) 15:3;17:1 manager (14) 15:3;17:1 middle (3) 38:12,18,19 myself (1) 34:23 43:10 19:9;25:22;30:24; meant (2) 38:12,98:4 meant (2) 38:12,18,19 might (15) 34:23 long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; 46:14,76:10,17;85:24; mechanism (1) 81:2;98:4 mechanism (1) 55:21;66:20;69:15; 71:10;72:16;81:5; 71:10;72:16;10; 71:10;72:16;10; 71:10;72:16;10; 71:10;72:16;10; 71:10;72:16;10; 71:10;72:1	
Local (3) management (2) means (5) 30:21;31:6,8,16,17 75:19 8:7;93:17,18 15:3;17:1 18:13;74:14;75:7; middle (3) 38:12,18,19 myself (1) 43:10 19:9;25:22;30:24; meant (2) might (15) 34:23 long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; mechanism (1) 55:21;66:20;69:15; 18,25;89:2 91:12;103:25;107:1 84:15 71:10;72:16;81:5; name (6) longer (1) manages (1) meet (9) 92:19;96:19;98:21; 7:16;10 41:15 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 99:24;104:11 68:17;8 look (20) 19:6;22:16 81:11;14:5;91:21 Miller (3) names (1) 25:13;32:5,7;66:10, 7:20 81:11;14:5;9,15,21; 55:14;61:15;81:14 94:22 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16;21;17:3,19,20, mind (1) nationalizer (1) 29:19;11,18,24; 91:2;51:15;78:25 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, 15:10 nature (1) 10oking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	
8:7;93:17,18 15:3;17:1 18:13;74:14;75:7; middle (3) myself (1) locate (1) manager (14) 76:1;77:13 38:12,18,19 34:23 long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; meant (2) might (15) 10:25;46:14;88:6, 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; mechanism (1) 55:21;66:20;69:15; name (6) longer (1) manages (1) 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 99:24;104:11 68:17;8 long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) 63:10 19:6;22:16 81:11;91:21 55:14;61:15;81:14 94:22 look (20) manner (1) meeting (62) Milliman (1) nationalized 25:13;32:5,7;66:10, 7:20 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; 61:16 105:15 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16,21;17:3,19,20, mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 nature (1) 97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4))
locate (1) manager (14) 76:1;77:13 38:12,18,19 34:23 long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; meant (2) might (15) 10:25;46:14;88:6, 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; mechanism (1) 55:21;66:20;69:15; 18,25;89:2 91:12;103:25;107:1 84:15 71:10;72:16;81:5; name (6) longer (1) manages (1) meet (9) 92:19;96:19;98:21; 7:16;10 41:15 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 99:24;104:11 68:17;8 long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) 63:10 19:6;22:16 81:11;91:21 55:14;61:15;81:14 94:22 look (20) manner (1) meeting (62) Milliman (1) nationalize 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16,21;17:3,19,20, mind (1) nature (1 86:11,13,22;90:8; 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; 92:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 15:10 navigate 97:8;104:12,20 March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	^
19:9;25:22;30:24; meant (2) might (15)	
long (6) 31:1;32:24;33:3,5; 81:2;98:4 26:9;35:2,22;47:25; 10:25;46:14;88:6, 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; mechanism (1) 55:21;66:20;69:15; 18,25;89:2 91:12;103:25;107:1 84:15 71:10;72:16;81:5; name (6) longer (1) manages (1) 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 99:24;104:11 68:17;8 long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) 63:10 19:6;22:16 81:11;91:21 55:14;61:15;81:14 94:22 look (20) manner (1) 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; 61:16 105:15 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 20;18:2,24;19:2; mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 12:22 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	
10:25;46:14;88:6, 46:14;76:10,17;85:24; mechanism (1) 55:21;66:20;69:15; 18,25;89:2 91:12;103:25;107:1 84:15 71:10;72:16;81:5; name (6) longer (1) manages (1) 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 99:24;104:11 68:17;8 long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) 63:10 19:6;22:16 81:11;91:21 55:14;61:15;81:14 94:22 look (20) manner (1) meeting (62) Milliman (1) nationalize 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16,21;17:3,19,20, mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 nature (1) 97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	N
18,25;89:2 91:12;103:25;107:1 84:15 71:10;72:16;81:5; name (6) longer (1) manages (1) 27:13;35:21;36:15; 92:19;96:19;98:21; 7:16;10 long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) look (20) manner (1) 81:11;91:21 55:14;61:15;81:14 94:22 look (20) manner (1) 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; 61:16 105:15 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 20;18:2,24;19:2; mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 12:22 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	
longer (1) manages (1) meet (9) 92:19;96:19;98:21; 7:16;10 d1:15 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 99:24;104:11 68:17;8 long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) dok (20) manner (1) meeting (62) Milliman (1) nationalize 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16,21;17:3,19,20, mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 nature (1) 97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) mear (4)	
41:15 83:7 27:13;35:21;36:15; 99:24;104:11 68:17;8 19:6;22:16 manner (1) 19:6;22:16 manner (1) 125:13;32:5,7;66:10, 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 20:11 20:18:2,24;19:2; 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; 97:8;104:12,20 10oking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) meating (62) 10:10 10:11):8;37:23;
long-term (1) managing (2) 37:14,25;52:3,13; Miller (3) names (1) 63:10 19:6;22:16 81:11;91:21 55:14;61:15;81:14 94:22 look (20) manner (1) meeting (62) Milliman (1) nationalized (1) 25:13;32:5,7;66:10, 7:20 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; 61:16 105:15 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 20;18:2,24;19:2; mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 12:22 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; many (3) 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, minister (1) navigate (1) 97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	33:14;106:13
63:10	
look (20) manner (1) meeting (62) Milliman (1) nationalize 25:13;32:5,7;66:10, 7:20 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; 61:16 105:15 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16,21;17:3,19,20, mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 12:22 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; many (3) 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, minister (1) navigate 97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	,
25:13;32:5,7;66:10, 7:20 13:11;14:5,9,15,21; 61:16 105:15 12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16,21;17:3,19,20, 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; many (3) 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, 97:8;104:12,20 march (5) 21:28:1,20;29:19; 10oking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) march (4)	zes (1)
12;74:7,13;80:22; man's (1) 15:2,16,21;17:3,19,20, mind (1) nature (1) 86:11,13,22;90:8; 21:11 20;18:2,24;19:2; 15:10 12:22 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; many (3) 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, minister (1) navigate 97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	
86:11,13,22;90:8; 94:10,11;95:11,18,24; 97:8;104:12,20 looking (15) 20;18:2,24;19:2; 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, 912;51:15;78:25 21:28:1,20;29:19; 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, minister (1) 21:9 86:3 near (4)	
94:10,11;95:11,18,24; many (3) 20:21;22:11;27:16,19, minister (1) 97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 near (4)	,
97:8;104:12,20 9:12;51:15;78:25 21;28:1,20;29:19; 21:9 86:3 looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	(1)
looking (15) March (5) 30:5;32:22;35:6,10,11, misleading (1) near (4)	· /
14:3;21:12;29:11; 30:22;31:6,8,16,17 18;36:2;37:6,7,9,10, 28:17 54:2,4,-	4;86:24
34:23;38:16;39:21,22; mark (9) 11,12;43:12;55:2;60:3, missed (1) necessari	
40:6;49:6;51:4;80:19; 13:18;21:14;24:2; 5,6,9;81:10;83:21,24; 94:7 81:4	
85:6;94:14,15;98:6 26:24;31:18;38:1; 84:11,23;86:20;88:20, misspoke (1) necessary	<i>i</i> (2)
lose (2) 60:19;65:20;67:15 22,23;89:21,25;90:1, 75:3 19:12;3	
18:6;99:15 marked (13) 12;91:2;100:13,13,19; model (2) need (13)	
losing (2) 13:20;18:21;21:17; 101:7,10,16 64:11;65:10 28:14;3	32:9;63:1,14;
	5:13;101:5;
lost (1) 60:22;65:23;67:18; 12:18,24;13:6; 97:3	;105:1,3;
	;108:7,12
lot (8) Martin (2) members (8) 39:18 needed (2	2)
26:18;43:14,15; 83:25;84:22 13:7;16:20;26:13; modify (2) 18:12;7	
48:18;64:17;79:13; material (2) 54:11;91:7,10,14; 44:17;45:6 needs (1)	
97:2;108:6 51:7;99:19 107:24 moment (1) 15:5	
lots (1) materialized (1) memory (1) 25:9 negative ((2)
43:15 51:24 79:7 money (6) 66:15,2	
low (10) matter (5) men (1) 49:22;63:16;64:7,9; negotiate	(3)
	86:1;101:2
51:16,22;52:1,11; 64:14,15 mentioned (7) monies (6) negotiate	d (1)
71:25;100:5,8 May (9) 11:4;26:4;34:7;50:4; 9:25;10:1,4,12,15,18 78:9	, ,
Lowenstein (1) 8:5;24:1;34:25; 55:17;78:13,14 month (3) negotiation	on (1)
80:4 44:14;58:17;66:16; mentioning (1) 49:23;78:12;101:7 60:10	, ,
lower (5) 74:20,20;76:8 37:4 months (2) negotiation	ons (8)
	39:23;90:2,5;
	24;103:8,9
Mayor (21) met (5) 43:2;53:21;63:11; neither (1	, - 00 . 0 , /
M 32:18;34:6,7,8,13, 34:14;36:11;61:17; 73:5;78:11,11;81:21; 23:4	
16;36:15,17;37:6,13, 64:7;94:17 83:21;85:4 NERD (7	
	1)
55:13;61:16	1)
magnitude (1) 104:2 16,25;11:1,15,19 most (2) N-E-R-D	1) () (2,15,21;11:1;
31:11 Mayor's (6) Miami (3) 28:6;106:7 10:9	1) 2,15,21;11:1; 33:7
	1) 2,15,21;11:1; 33:7

				October 10, 2013
net (10)	43:2,2,3,4,25;53:21,	84:22,25;85:2,20;	79:19	52:24;58:20
47:8;49:10;98:10,	22;56:8	100:9	out (27)	particular (3)
17,20;99:4,16,17,25;	occasions (1)	opportunity (1)	11:16,17;16:21;	18:11;23:6;92:18
100:10	44:18	43:18	20:24;33:20;35:21;	particularly (1)
nets (1)	occur (4)	opposed (1)	60:17;63:1;64:7;	77:6
98:22	57:12,23;70:14;	55:19	73:18;77:10;82:14;	parties (1)
network (2)	96:19	optimistic (1)	85:11,17,21;86:2,6;	100:21
80:21,21	occurred (9)	92:19	87:24;88:12,14;89:6,	partner (6)
New (5)	57:13,17,19,20,22;	optimum (1)	11,12,15;92:3;99:14;	19:7;22:16;41:6,9,
9:15,16,18;10:3;	71:3;88:22,24;101:15	29:8	100:24	15,17
83:1	October (3)	option (1)	out-of-court (7)	Partners (12)
newspapers (1)	7:1,5;80:13	29:13	16:4,6,9;86:14,23;	8:21,23,24;9:1,9,12,
76:20	off (3)	options (4)	87:17;89:16	16;10:1;11:1,15,19;
next (8)	11:18;17:24;68:11	29:11;66:16,20;	Outside (22)	22:18
19:17;20:7;24:22,	offered (1)	75:17	45:18,25;57:5,25;	partnership (5)
24;61:22;71:15;86:22;	85:17	oral (1)	58:4;59:6,12,19;60:1;	32:17,19,21;39:15;
95:24	office (6)	87:2	62:2;64:20,24;65:8,17;	41:11
night (1)	9:23;11:17,18,19;	order (5)	66:19;67:10,14;83:17;	parts (1)
26:15	22:16;57:11	12:3;31:11;51:13;	87:21;88:3;97:4;	74:3
nine (1)	officers (1)	60:16;101:5	103:20	passing (1)
14:22	83:10	organization (1)	over (3)	78:12
noise (1)	offices (1)	8:20	64:15;79:16;80:6	passion (2)
48:18	11:16	organizational (1)	overcome (1)	17:15;28:3
nominated (1)	official (1)	9:10	107:12	past (1)
93:8	12:24	organizing (1)	oversight (1)	107:13
none (1)	often (1)	83:24	107:21	pause (1)
103:20	13:4	original (2)	overtures (1)	108:13
nonetheless (2)	old (1)	39:16;82:1	107:25	pay (3)
40:20;67:8	79:17	Orr (114)	own (3)	11:19;81:17,18
nonfinal (1) 52:22	once (6) 46:9,10;56:5;82:2;	8:2;10:19;17:2,19; 18:2;19:8,13,15,22;	8:3;34:1;80:21 owned (1)	pays (1) 11:1
non-taxpayer (1)	98:23;100:25	20:6,8,16;23:18,23;	8:25	PBGC (3)
82:15	One (40)	24:16,21;25:2;27:13,	owners (1)	98:17,18;99:4
Nope (2)	9:13;14:17;20:18;	22;29:20,23;30:1,6,15,	9:7	peace (1)
48:12;83:13	21:4,6;26:19;35:19;	23;32:14;33:15,18,19,	7.7	90:15
nor (1)	36:5;37:16;38:15,16;	24;34:9;35:11;36:10,	P	pejorative (1)
23:4	39:5;40:4;42:5,16;	23;37:4,8,13,15,19;		76:25
note (4)	43:2,2,3,24;46:1;	38:14;39:18,23;40:10,	PA (2)	pension (39)
35:6,13,18;74:19	49:12;53:15,21,21,22;	18;41:5;42:25;43:12,	30:25;31:12	18:3;29:21;30:10;
notes (2)	68:19;73:5;74:22;	19,25;44:10;45:15,23;		42:15;45:16;46:7,23,
74:20;86:23	75:15,24;78:15;85:9;	46:9,11;47:1,2;48:2;	13:24;14:1;18:5,8,	24;47:24;50:16,21;
November (1)	88:19;90:9;92:6;97:7;	53:11,12,18,25;54:18;	11;32:1;38:9,12;73:9,	51:8,9,11;61:18;62:15,
80:13	104:12,19;108:10,12	55:15;56:2,8,10;58:11;	11;74:7,9;75:3,5;	17,18,18;63:14,14,17,
number (7)	one-on-one (6)	59:22;60:1,8;65:16;	86:11,22,22;104:23;	18;64:3,10,14;65:12;
15:8;34:2;48:22;	17:19,22,23,24;	68:23;69:20;70:18;	105:7,8	67:12;76:14;78:1,6;
51:6,11;65:25;73:9	102:21;103:2	72:14;73:22;74:4;	pages (2)	94:18;95:25;96:4;
numbers (3)	one-page (5)	76:9,23;77:12;78:16;	73:4;104:22	97:3,3;98:24;99:2,5
46:23;51:1,7	21:20;27:5,6;60:25;	85:23;86:4;87:14;	paid (5)	pensioner (1)
	66:2	88:2,4;90:11,12,15,20,	11:5,7;15:15;63:1;	46:2
		22 01 2 0 17 10 20 21	70.0	pensioners (12)
0	ones (1)	23;91:2,8,17,18,20,24;	78:8	
	14:12	92:15;96:11,15,19;	papers (1)	46:24,25;47:16,23;
Object (8)	14:12 only (5)	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15,	papers (1) 91:13	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8;	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17;	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23;	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3)	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15;	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41)
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1)	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5)	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1)	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4)	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23;	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4) 45:3,13;87:18;98:11	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6 opine (3)	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23; 96:4;106:12	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4 part (16)	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4; 46:21;48:18,24;49:21;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4) 45:3,13;87:18;98:11 obligations (5)	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6 opine (3) 89:9,13;91:20	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23; 96:4;106:12 others (2)	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4 part (16) 11:22;12:9,18,23;	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4; 46:21;48:18,24;49:21; 51:14,15,23,25;52:10;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4) 45:3,13;87:18;98:11 obligations (5) 62:16,16;63:17,18;	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6 opine (3) 89:9,13;91:20 opined (3)	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23; 96:4;106:12 others (2) 85:6;94:17	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4 part (16) 11:22;12:9,18,23; 21:9;34:17;41:4;	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4; 46:21;48:18,24;49:21; 51:14,15,23,25;52:10; 61:24;62:1,5,8,12;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4) 45:3,13;87:18;98:11 obligations (5) 62:16,16;63:17,18; 64:6	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6 opine (3) 89:9,13;91:20 opined (3) 89:8,10,18	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23; 96:4;106:12 others (2) 85:6;94:17 otherwise (4)	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4 part (16) 11:22;12:9,18,23; 21:9;34:17;41:4; 72:21;73:6,16,22,24;	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4; 46:21;48:18,24;49:21; 51:14,15,23,25;52:10; 61:24;62:1,5,8,12; 63:1,10;64:5;66:16,22;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4) 45:3,13;87:18;98:11 obligations (5) 62:16,16;63:17,18; 64:6 obtained (1)	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6 opine (3) 89:9,13;91:20 opined (3) 89:8,10,18 opinion (14)	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23; 96:4;106:12 others (2) 85:6;94:17 otherwise (4) 18:15;51:21;75:9;	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4 part (16) 11:22;12:9,18,23; 21:9;34:17;41:4; 72:21;73:6,16,22,24; 79:20;87:2;89:11;	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4; 46:21;48:18,24;49:21; 51:14,15,23,25;52:10; 61:24;62:1,5,8,12; 63:1,10;64:5;66:16,22; 71:5;72:19;73:6;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4) 45:3,13;87:18;98:11 obligations (5) 62:16,16;63:17,18; 64:6 obtained (1) 47:2	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6 opine (3) 89:9,13;91:20 opined (3) 89:8,10,18 opinion (14) 12:19,19,21;42:15;	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23; 96:4;106:12 others (2) 85:6;94:17 otherwise (4) 18:15;51:21;75:9; 76:2	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4 part (16) 11:22;12:9,18,23; 21:9;34:17;41:4; 72:21;73:6,16,22,24; 79:20;87:2;89:11; 96:23	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4; 46:21;48:18,24;49:21; 51:14,15,23,25;52:10; 61:24;62:1,5,8,12; 63:1,10;64:5;66:16,22; 71:5;72:19;73:6; 77:21;78:18;95:4,8,14;
Object (8) 44:13;63:23;86:8; 101:21;102:12,15; 103:5,13 objection (4) 45:3,13;87:18;98:11 obligations (5) 62:16,16;63:17,18; 64:6 obtained (1)	14:12 only (5) 14:12;49:12;50:17; 77:25;98:22 operating (1) 29:6 opine (3) 89:9,13;91:20 opined (3) 89:8,10,18 opinion (14)	92:15;96:11,15,19; 97:1,15,19,21;100:15, 19;102:10,20;103:23; 106:2,4,14,23 Orr's (5) 31:9;33:21;37:23; 96:4;106:12 others (2) 85:6;94:17 otherwise (4) 18:15;51:21;75:9;	papers (1) 91:13 paragraph (3) 61:17;66:12;94:15 parameters (1) 15:4 part (16) 11:22;12:9,18,23; 21:9;34:17;41:4; 72:21;73:6,16,22,24; 79:20;87:2;89:11;	46:24,25;47:16,23; 48:22;50:20;51:2; 98:3,8,13,16;100:11 pensions (41) 18:3;29:21;30:9; 41:22;42:12;44:4; 46:21;48:18,24;49:21; 51:14,15,23,25;52:10; 61:24;62:1,5,8,12; 63:1,10;64:5;66:16,22; 71:5;72:19;73:6;

		Г		October 10, 2013
99:15,21	11:22,24	57:11	proceeding (1)	purported (1)
people (20)	please (2)	presence (21)	16:14	52:17
14:20;15:13,20;	7:10;61:8	44:19;45:18,25;	proceedings (1)	purpose (1)
18:18;26:18;27:10,13;		57:25;58:5;59:7,12,15;	108:13	34:10
42:24;49:10;51:15;	7:3,6;27:16;68:11,	60:1;62:2;64:20;65:8,	process (8)	purposes (1)
52:1,11;60:9;77:3,13;	14;108:19,20	17;66:20;67:10,14;	28:12;34:12;79:12;	92:5
80:22;97:9;98:10;	pocket (1)	87:22;88:3,19;103:20;	80:13,15;89:12;104:2;	put (7)
99:13,14	79:10	104:6	107:18	16:24;41:3;69:10;
perform (1)	point (23)	present (8)	professor (1)	71:2;72:18;78:21;
11:8	29:13,15,16;33:5;	27:21;72:3;101:9,	17:10	100:24
performed (1)	34:8;41:5;55:19;	25;102:3,13;103:3,18	program (2)	putting (2)
12:16	71:17;72:2,13;74:18,	Presentation (15)	15:3;16:25	55:18;71:19
perhaps (1)	19;75:11,15;76:17,17,	13:24;15:22;22:12;	programs (1)	,
32:21	22;77:18;79:8;92:7,	25:17;60:4;73:7,22,25;	99:4	Q
period (2)	15;93:6;95:12	74:18;75:12;85:7;	project (2)	
62:11;82:4	pointed (1)	86:11;87:3;88:18;	105:11,16	qualifications (1)
permission (7)	67:1	89:21	projections (1)	16:2
19:8;22:21,23;	political (2)	presented (2)	55:10	quality (1)
25:19;53:13;54:20;	104:1;106:16	58:11;73:17	proper (1)	20:13
56:3	poor (1)	presenter (1)	29:9	quote (1)
person (4)	34:22	88:16	Proposal (9)	34:17
36:16;47:22;83:14;	portion (1)	presenting (2)	58:16;59:8,13,20,22;	
93:25	27:7	73:15;100:16	60:2;84:9;100:16,22	R
personal (9)	position (9)	president (1)	proposing (1)	
35:7,12;36:3,11,19,	9:3;19:9;23:10;40:3;	9:4	49:18	race (1)
21,21,24;64:2	71:19,23;72:16;76:23;	Press (1)	pros (1)	107:10
perspective (2)	93:9	76:11	79:18	raise (1)
90:14;91:19	possibility (3)	pressure (1)	prospect (1)	43:15
pertains (1)	28:18;30:13;77:2	40:17	30:12	rate (1)
76:6 Peter (1)	possible (4)	presumptuous (1)	prospects (2)	82:13
7:16	28:15;47:6;77:13; 91:6	41:4 pretty (3)	89:5,8 protected (2)	rather (1)
petitioning (1)	potential (15)	26:3;87:5;100:8	18:15;75:9	76:11 rationale (1)
77:14	16:11,14;19:9,14,19;	previous (1)	protection (1)	88:11
phone (2)	20:7,16;23:8;26:21;	75:4	42:16	read (16)
22:6;25:2	28:10;37:14,15;66:15,	Price (1)	protects (2)	18:12,19;24:13;
phrase (1)	21;80:24	84:24	42:12;78:17	35:5;41:25;42:9;
36:13	poverty (1)	principals (2)	protocol (1)	60:17;66:13,24;76:19;
piece (2)	98:23	55:12;88:6	26:6	91:13;96:9;100:2;
106:3,5	power (1)	prior (15)	provide (12)	104:20;105:2,3
pitch (6)	85:5	15:15,16;16:15;	9:16;11:1;12:19;	reading (8)
13:12;14:5,18;15:1;	practical (8)	28:23;29:1;30:25;	15:2;35:19;39:5;47:7,	25:7;33:17;40:24;
73:2,16	48:20;49:21;50:14;	38:24;56:21;57:13;	16;48:2;49:9;100:21;	61:7;95:6,16,17;105:6
pitches (1)	51:19;71:7;97:8;	80:10;81:10,13;84:23;	107:20	reads (1)
75:23	99:12,19	100:3;103:23	provided (6)	74:9
place (5)	practice (3)	priority (1)	9:23;15:14,18;	real (4)
16:24;27:19;64:8,9;	76:19;86:17;87:1	106:20	39:16;103:18;105:24	51:21;52:15;98:4,4
79:16	preceded (1)	private (5)	Provides (2)	Really (5)
placed (1)	68:20	10:23;37:6,9,11;	9:10;104:1	20:18;26:8;90:9;
106:20	predominantly (1)	98:10	provision (8)	104:22;106:5
plaintiffs (1)	73:25	privately (1)	41:20,24;42:2,7,9;	reason (2)
68:18	preference (1)	36:15	44:3;76:3;78:17	54:10;71:8
plan (3)	84:20	privilege (1)	public (2)	reasons (9)
70:11,12;73:8	preferred (2)	102:14	30:3;71:5	20:14,15;21:13;
planned (2)	16:5;86:15	privileged (2)	publicity (1)	26:4;74:22;79:14,14;
54:14;57:23	premise (1)	44:14;103:13	76:12	85:10;106:16
planning (1)	11:18	probably (3)	publicly (4)	recall (106)
80:17	preparation (4)	13:6;50:21;107:9	37:7;62:14;72:15;	8:6;13:15;14:10,12;
plans (1)	8:13;52:24;53:2;	problem (5)	76:11	16:13,19;17:2,5;18:4,
23:22	58:20	28:15;71:12;77:20;	pulled (1)	17;19:23;23:25;24:19;
play (2)	prepare (1)	78:1,6	82:2	27:11,11,25;28:12;
11:23;83:24	7:20	problems (2)	pure (1)	30:7,8,14;31:5,6;
played (2)	prepared (1)	20:20;87:17	96:23	32:11;35:3,15;37:10,
	I .	I	I .	I .

		T	T	October 10, 2013
11;38:21,22;42:24;	reduced (10)	55:9;62:5;72:17	residents (1)	role (8)
44:25;46:1,14;49:7;	47:25;50:16;51:14,	relatives (1)	72:17	11:24;21:13;23:6;
53:14;54:2,5,8,10,24;	23;52:1,12;63:15,19;	17:11	resolve (3)	41:1;46:15;80:25;
	64:4;65:13	relevant (7)	88:14;89:15;107:12	83:24;84:17
55:1,7;56:18;59:2,3; 60:8,14;61:5,21;62:4,	reduction (5)	` /		
		15:8;47:3,4,5,10,11, 12	resort (2)	Romney (2)
7;64:22;65:1,14,14;	46:7;50:21;51:6,7;		16:16,18	11:17,20
66:5,9;68:25;69:4,5,8;	56:6	relief (1) 47:16	respond (1) 44:5	roughly (1)
71:22;72:9,13;73:15;	ref (1)			46:25
74:18,19;75:11,15,21,	73:5	remedy (2)	response (3)	running (1)
22;76:5,8,16,21;78:15,	refer (8)	99:25;100:3	20:10;49:2;78:14	92:7
19;79:16;84:14;85:15;	10:7,8;21:22;33:7,	remember (13)	restructuring (18)	S
86:21;87:2,6,8,10,12,	22;38:11;40:7;81:5	30:21;31:17,17;	11:25;12:1,7,11,14,	3
14,14,21;88:1,2;89:1,	reference (2)	48:9;60:13;69:14;	22;13:13;16:7;17:8;	P-4 (11)
20;90:7;91:14;92:23;	32:16;74:4	70:3,7,9;85:13;89:7;	29:8;54:12;55:8,11;	safety (11)
94:23;96:14;97:17,18,	referenced (1)	97:10;100:17	73:8;84:3;89:16;	47:8;49:9;98:10,17,
19,20;100:23,24;	75:6	reminder (1)	93:25;104:4	20,22;99:4,16,17,25;
101:6,19	references (1)	85:25	restructurings (2)	100:10
recalled (1)	73:6	reminiscing (1)	16:10,10	same (13)
17:12	referred (1)	28:8	retained (4)	14:7;20:3;24:16;
receive (5)	104:23	render (1)	45:7;84:21;89:2,4	42:16;44:22;45:3;
9:25;10:1,3,12;	referring (11)	12:21	retiree (1)	48:14,16;65:2;70:24;
66:14	10:11;15:23;16:22;	rent (1)	71:21	90:19;91:24;95:16
received (1)	34:23;39:14;61:12;	11:19	retirees (5)	Sandler (1)
97:12	78:24;94:20,24;95:10;	repeat (2)	68:19;70:19;76:14;	80:4
receives (2)	106:5	52:9;77:22	77:6;96:6	savings (2)
10:15,18	refers (6)	repeating (1)	retirement (1)	51:13;99:20
receiving (3)	27:12,16;33:15;	10:7	63:12	saw (7)
61:5;66:5;99:21	41:22;61:10;105:12	report (3)	retract (1)	12:20;41:11;59:5;
recent (1)	reflection (1)	101:14;102:9;103:9	22:24	69:24;84:12,15;104:9
106:7	23:13	reported (1)	retrieving (1)	Saxton (1)
recess (1)	refresh (2)	62:14	52:6	14:11
68:12	14:4;22:1	reporter (1)	returning (1)	saying (13)
recognized (1)	refreshes (1)	38:2	82:4	28:23;33:18,20;
107:8	24:23	reporting (5)	review (8)	37:11;40:20;60:9;
recollection (19)	refusing (1)	94:16;96:16,20;	8:1;29:14;32:25;	65:14,15;79:17;87:3,6;
14:4,14,15,20,23,24,	96:11	102:5,23	33:10;34:19;36:7;	97:20;99:7
25;15:20;20:11;22:1;	regard (3)	reports (2)	58:25;59:5	scale (1)
24:2,23;25:5,13,16;	23:7,8;104:2	82:3;96:3	reviewed (3)	100:8
31:12;48:7;55:4;65:5	regarding (1)	represent (5)	7:24,24;8:2	schedule (5)
recommend (1)	67:11	7:18;53:23;68:17;	reviewing (1)	26:5;27:12,16;
19:12	regular (2)	80:4;88:9	80:9	57:11,15
recommendation (3)	12:23;78:25	representatives (1)	RFP (2)	scheduling (1)
37:18;85:23;91:23	Reinvent (7)	96:12	15:5,10	26:5
recommendations (1)	9:15,18,19,20,21;	request (4)	Rich (3)	school (3)
96:25	10:3;83:1	56:3;69:3;84:8;	95:20;98:12;105:1	17:17;28:5,9
recommended (4)	Reinvest (2)	107:19	Richard (2)	scope (4)
25:23;30:16;33:25;	9:17,19	requested (6)	7:8,11	54:12;55:9;56:5;
36:17	rejecting (1)	46:1,20,22;48:2;	right (38)	57:5
record (14)	74:15	53:13;100:20	14:13;15:19;17:24;	scoring (2)
7:6;13:23;18:12;	relate (2)	requesting (1)	18:10;19:18;21:13;	84:10,15
27:5;31:24;38:8;42:5;	71:20;98:24	54:19	22:4;24:18;32:10,12;	second (13)
60:25;68:11,13;89:23;	related (4)	require (2)	38:19;53:13;54:5;	21:5,6;22:5;33:7,7;
90:2,5;108:11	12:17;56:22;67:11;	103:12;107:11	68:23;69:16,17;71:8;	61:17;66:12;94:15;
recount (2)	71:1	requires (1)	72:24;75:14,22;76:21;	104:23,24;105:8;
20:1;55:5	relates (2)	86:24	77:7;79:20,24;80:14,	108:10,12
recruit (1)	43:17;44:3	requisite (1)	20;81:4;83:15;87:25;	Section (11)
41:2	relation (2)	92:10	90:6;91:1,12;97:22;	41:21;42:20;44:1,8,
recruiting (2)	31:6,8	rescue (1)	98:17;101:12;106:7;	12,22;45:1,8,11;56:23;
40:17;43:22	relationship (9)	29:7	108:10,14	57:2
recruitment (3)	34:5,16;35:22;	residence (1)	rights (2)	seeing (2)
43:14;79:12;107:17	37:16;90:16,20,23;	93:23	76:14;96:6	19:15;66:9
reduce (1)	91:17;92:1	resident (5)	Robert (1)	seek (2)
51:15	relative (3)	93:19,21,24;94:3,5	8:4	35:22;107:15
-	i .	1	l .	i .

				October 10, 2013
seeking (2)	72:24;73:4;75:3,5	9:19,21;15:3;21:4;	24;49:5,12;50:8;59:19,	89:22
42:5;68:24	shut (1)	24:13;36:10;51:20;	19;64:24;65:2,17;	strike (3)
selection (3)	26:3	74:11;89:25;94:2;	70:22;92:9	31:7;44:10;62:9
80:12,15;84:17	signed (4)	95:22;96:18	stakeholders (1)	string (4)
send (3)	52:17,22;53:6,11	sort (7)	20:25	105:23;106:6,7,8
38:24;40:5;96:11	significant (3)	38:18,19;55:20;	stamp (2)	strong (1)
sense (4)	65:15;84:5;85:25	84:15;89:16;92:6;	24:13;31:25	34:15
50:13;51:20,25;	significantly (11)	106:20	stamped (9)	student (1)
52:10	61:24;62:2,8,13;	sound (1)	14:1;21:20;24:14;	17:18
sent (11)	63:2,9;95:4,9,14;	80:20	27:6;31:25;38:9;	subject (9)
38:20;53:12,15,19;	96:17,22	source (4)	60:25;66:2;67:21	42:18;43:25;45:19;
54:3,5,18;56:2,11;	signs (2)	81:4,9,14,15	stand (2)	46:12;48:14,15;56:6;
67:5;68:23	83:14,17	sources (3)	20:23;22:17	65:18;102:14
sentence (16)	similar (1)	80:20;81:2,13	standard (2)	submit (1)
22:5;28:19,24;	36:5	South (2)	51:5,12	83:16
32:17;33:8,14,18,20,	Simon (1)	7:8;93:23	start (5)	substantive (1)
22;34:17,18,21;35:5;	7:18	speak (28)	80:10;104:19,22,25;	80:17
61:10,22;66:24	simply (5)	8:12;17:19,22;19:8,	105:7	success (2)
separate (1)	15:7;29:13;47:20;	21,24;23:23;44:11,21;	State (22)	37:17;87:23
34:2	67:24;100:12	45:7,10,15;49:8;50:2;	10:1,4;11:10;14:8,	successful (1)
serve (2)	single (1)	53:2,5,18,25;58:4,8;	12;15:15;29:15;39:9;	92:3
33:25;85:25	83:20	59:6,10,18,22,25,25;	40:15,16,19,23;41:21;	sufficient (2)
service (2)	sit (3)	60:16;66:19	45:11;47:7;56:20;	50:23;62:22
54:13;78:8	49:24;77:3;101:2	speaker (2)	57:10;71:19;72:16;	sufficiently (1)
services (10)	situation (5)	74:20;86:23	78:2,2,17	100:1
9:10,16,22;11:2;	26:6,17;61:22;95:3;	speaking (3)	statement (1)	suggest (4)
12:22;15:14,17;55:9;	96:24	22:25;44:25;65:3	41:3	28:18;79:3;106:14,
72:17,19	situations (1)	specialized (1)	statements (1)	18
set (4)	75:19	84:2	18:17	suggested (1)
15:2;36:16,17;98:6	situation's (1)	specific (8)	status (1)	87:15
Seven (1)	95:7	12:21;28:20;62:7;	101:14	suggesting (2)
61:2	sleep (1)	74:3,19;75:15;79:17;	statute (1)	99:3,6
several (3)	26:15	81:23	30:25	summarily (1)
29:16;38:23;85:3	slide (2)	specifically (5)	stay (7)	26:3
severed (1)	86:14;87:11	16:13,19;19:6;76:6;	16:21;85:17,21;	summary (3)
41:14	smack (1)	94:24	86:2;88:14;89:12,14	32:17,20;39:15
shape (1)	38:18	spectrum (9)	staying (2)	support (9)
79:4	small (1)	47:9;49:10;50:15,	82:8;89:11	105:11,15;106:25;
share (2)	78:23	20,23;51:16,22;52:1,	step (3)	107:3,4,15,20;108:3,8
18:24;67:9	Snyder (4)	11	26:18;35:20;85:8	supported (1)
shared (2)	8:3;28:21;82:1;	speculation (1)	Stephen (2)	91:8
16:23;92:22	95:13	96:23	19:6;24:16	Sure (11)
sharp (1)	solution (3)	spell (1)	steps (1)	13:10;14:10;25:8;
64:15	86:24;87:17;106:15	33:20	49:18	30:7;52:5,10;67:25;
SHERWOOD (22)	solutions (2)	spent (2)	Steve (10)	73:13;102:25;107:2;
24:10;65:25;80:2,3;	16:5;86:15	17:13;28:6	22:2,6,7,9,14,15;	108:11
82:25;86:10;87:20;	solve (1)	spoke (14)	23:2;25:19;81:10,11	surgeon (2)
88:17;94:9;95:22,23;	20:19	17:2;20:3;22:14;	still (7)	23:20;26:6
98:15;102:4,16,19,23;	SOM (2)	24:15,16,21,24;25:2;	34:1;35:24;40:2;	surprised (1)
103:7,14,19;104:16;	61:1;66:2	44:18;49:11,17;53:20;	41:5;89:15;92:6,17	69:8
105:4;108:14	somebody (5)	54:20;59:15	stood (1)	surrounding (1)
short (2)	20:22;46:21;59:4;	spoken (4)	99:15	93:21
29:18;39:12	70:5;104:10	18:17;64:19,23;	stopped (1)	survive (1)
show (12)	someone (5)	65:16	100:5	65:10
13:17;21:14;24:1;	36:16;60:17;81:4,5;	spread (1)	strategies (1)	sustainability (1)
26:24;31:18;38:2;	87:3	76:12	75:17	65:9
58:10;60:19;65:20;	somewhere (1)	spring (1)	strategy (2)	sustainable (3)
67:15;73:4;104:12	76:9	8:10	9:11;72:11	62:15;63:10;64:11
showed (1)	son (2)	square (1)	Street (1)	sworn (2)
		79:17	7:9	7:10,12
74:8	21:0,9			
	21:8,9 soon (1)		stress (2)	
showing (2)	soon (1)	staff (20)	stress (2) 90:1,4	system (1)
			stress (2) 90:1,4 stressed (1)	

	54 17 00 0 07 1 10	4 1 (1)	1 1(1)	VIDEO (C)
	54:17;80:9;97:1,10,	tough (1) 104:3	undergrad (1) 17:17	VIDEO (6)
T	17;100:3 thanked (1)	toward (3)	understood (1)	7:5,7;18:7;68:11,13; 108:18
	23:2		86:3	
table (1)		13:15;50:22;51:8 towards (1)	undertaking (1)	view (20)
77:13	thankless (1)	90:20		42:18;61:23;62:1,9,
talent (3)	107:9		107:5	11,14,25;63:5,13,16,
9:11;12:12,15	thereafter (1)	track (1)	unilaterally (1)	21,22;64:2,5;75:25;
talk (18)	31:14	39:10	71:13	86:5;89:5;90:20;
20:8;22:22,24,24;	therefore (2)	traitor (1) 107:10	Union (1)	92:22;95:7
25:19;26:10,16;29:5,	62:24;63:13		7:19	vilified (1) 107:10
21;30:12;41:12;54:12;	thinking (6)	transition (1)	United (1)	
77:4;79:8;80:24;	35:1,3;47:24;71:13;	82:4	7:18	vocal (1)
81:16;92:2;105:10	79:19;80:16	translate (1)	University (1)	91:11
talked (14)	third (2)	96:5	17:10	W
16:1,2,5,8;17:6,7,8,	35:5;98:1	transpired (1)	unless (2)	VV
9;30:9;70:9,11,21;	though (1)	16:15	33:19;74:3	. (1)
76:13;97:14	104:18	Treasurer (2)	unlikely (2)	warning (1)
talking (8)	thought (18)	39:7;92:10	22:20;23:17	69:11
10:10;28:7,12;70:4;	23:16,21;34:12;	Treasury (2)	unpaid (1)	Washington (1)
72:10;97:18,19;105:8	35:1;38:24;47:3,10;	14:11;16:23	15:15	7:8
talks (4)	60:3;84:5,23;85:4;	trigger (2)	unqualified (2)	Waterhouse (1)
23:3;86:14;90:12;	91:20;93:2,4,11;97:23,	79:11,17	106:24;107:2	84:24
105:9	25;100:15	triggered (1)	up (14)	way (21)
Task (1)	thoughts (3)	79:7	12:4;15:2;26:18;	10:23;12:17;20:22;
105:12	66:25;67:9,11	true (2)	29:24;36:16,17;43:25;	22:17;29:5,6;52:14,24;
taught (1)	threatened (2)	60:15;86:4	44:2;48:8;50:11;51:1;	56:6;69:2,10;71:11;
75:19	74:10,14	trumped (1)	72:1;76:12;106:1	75:12;76:11;77:3,20,
teacher (1)	three (2)	76:14	Updates (1)	25;79:4;80:17;93:7;
21:8	27:22;29:20	trusted (1)	103:17	106:1
team (11)	three-page (1)	80:22	upon (1)	ways (1)
9:24;16:20,22;	31:24	trustees (1)	98:25	105:15
26:13;33:11;54:12;	Thursday (1)	83:12	use (7)	weekly (2)
55:9,11;82:12;88:14;	66:13	try (3)	34:12;40:16;76:24;	61:13;94:21
97:6	ties (4)	77:3;80:6;91:2	77:13;81:16;92:4;	weeks (2)
TECHNICIAN (5)	17:9,11;41:14;74:1	trying (5)	107:2	31:10;79:16
7:5;18:7;68:11,13;	timed (1)	48:19;84:14;99:7,8,	used (7)	weighted (1)
108:18	38:15	8	18:13;34:22;74:10,	51:8
Tedder (1)	times (2)	turn (2)	14;75:7;76:1,15	Weiss (1)
61:19	61:16;86:5	18:5;75:5	using (4)	7:18
telephone (5)	timetable (1)	turned (1)	60:14;76:24;77:2;	weren't (1)
25:14;29:25;55:2,3,	92:23	92:3	87:8	89:4
6	timing (2)	two (14)	T 7	WERTHEIMER (16)
telling (1)	57:4;69:9	17:23;20:18;21:1;	V	32:2;56:24;58:13;
95:13	today (5)	23:19;28:4,22;37:24;		61:2;68:9,16,17;73:10,
tenure (1)	12:5;20:15;49:24;	39:25;90:17;92:2;	Vaguely (1)	11,13,14;77:16;79:24;
107:1	67:13;107:14	93:2,5,11;104:22	38:22	82:24;94:2,7
term (3)	Today's (1)	two-page (1)	value (1)	what's (13)
40:16;100:23;107:2	7:5	67:21	12:20	9:3;14:24;15:19;
terms (6)	together (3)	type (2)	variety (1)	18:18,21;41:24;42:10;
12:21;20:24;76:25;	15:7;82:3;91:22	84:10;91:2	84:9	50:18;62:14;63:8;
78:5,6;100:2	told (10)	TT.	various (7)	65:5,25;69:6
testified (19)	23:2;25:16;32:23;	U	13:12;16:8;27:10,	wheelhouse (1)
7:13;20:15;22:9;	33:8;37:20;48:25;	-144-1 (2)	13;42:24;75:17;85:5	97:4
67:13;68:22;70:16;	49:3;51:10;60:3;	ultimately (2)	version (1)	whenever (1)
71:6;78:14;80:12;	105:13	29:14;91:16	74:24	12:4
81:24;85:14;91:20;	Tom (1)	under (9)	versus (2)	whereby (1)
92:5;94:21;97:3,7,11;	14:11	16:24;18:15;25:24;	8:7;51:8	11:1
98:2;100:14	took (1)	26:8;29:17;30:25;	vested (1)	wherein (1)
testify (2)	79:15	42:16;75:9;92:1	96:6	87:15
68:3;107:3	top (4)	underfunded (4)	vetting (1)	wherewithal (1)
4 410 1 (4)	24:9;92:16;106:6,7	63:9,10;64:5;96:5	92:12	101:1
testifying (1)	4 • (1)			
85:13 testimony (6)	topic (1) 100:17	underfunding (1) 96:12	via (2) 35:6,13	whole (1) 32:7

-				October 10, 2013
whose (1)		14th (16)		106:1
98:8	Y	58:12,13,16;59:8,13,	3	8th (2)
wife (4)	1	20,23;60:2,5,8,16;	3	61:6;62:10
23:19;26:6,10,16	year (3)	100:13,16,22;101:10,	3 (4)	
willing (3)	8:10;28:5;82:8	16	24:2,4,7,9	9
25:25;72:16,18		16th (4)		
willingness (1)	years (2)	53:24;54:19;56:2,11	3:31 (1)	9 (33)
92:11	17:17;63:12	18th (3)	68:11	16:16;18:13;20:20;
Wilson (2)	Yep (2)	52:17;56:15;61:6	3:46 (1)	41:21;42:20;44:1,7,12,
105:12,19	73:3,3	19th (5)	68:14	21;45:1,8,11;56:24;
wise (1)	yesterday (1)	57:13,16;69:15,16,	301 (1)	
	58:6		105:7	57:2;67:16,18;74:10,
76:12	young (4)	17	303 (1)	13;75:7,25;76:5,13,24;
wished (3)	23:19;26:4;55:13;	2	24:10	77:3;85:18,21;86:7;
36:15;37:6;92:12	61:15	2	30th (6)	87:5;89:6;104:1;
withdrawal (2)			19:13;21:24;22:4,8,	106:15,18,21
41:11;93:7	0	2 (3)	10;23:23	9th (2)
without (9)		21:15,17,23	31 (1)	66:6;96:9
29:6,11;33:10;	0000113 (1)	2:30 (1)	29:25	
34:19;36:7;50:13;	21:21	27:16	31st (8)	
77:21;78:1;101:18	0000216 (1)	20,000 (3)	24:22;25:3,15;30:4;	
witness (15)	32:1	46:25;51:2;98:3	73:2;106:9,14,19	
7:10,12;18:8;68:8;	0000327 (1)	20003601 (1)	34 (1)	
77:11;86:9;94:4;	27:7	61:1	73:11	
95:21;98:13;102:2;	0000459 (1)	20003657 (1)	, , , , , ,	-
103:2,4,17;105:3;	38:10	66:3	4	
108:16	000303 (1)	2011 (3)	-	
woman (1)	24:14	11:3;80:10;81:19	4 (4)	
93:15	00113909 (1)	2012 (2)	26:25;27:2,5,7	
wondering (1)		8:18;80:13		
47:6	67:22	2013 (37)	4:49 (2)	
word (1)	00128731 (1)	7:1,6;8:5,16;13:11;	108:19,20	
76:15	14:2	14:1;21:24;23:24;	41 (4)	
words (8)	1	25:3;32:14;35:25;	18:5,8,11;75:6	
34:22;60:9,14;	1	38:14;40:3;52:17;	43 (2)	
65:11;87:8,10,12,14		53:24;56:15;57:13;	74:7,9	
work (12)	1 (9)		436 (1)	
	13:18,20,23;14:3;	58:12,16;59:8,13,20,	31:13	
11:7,16,17;12:9,16,	15:23,24;18:5,22;	23;60:2,5,8,16;61:6;	_	
23;13:8;23:8;26:9;	72:25	62:11;66:6;90:10;	5	
35:21;91:22;93:7	1:56 (2)	92:4,16,21;106:9,14,		
worked (1)	7:3,6	19	5 (6)	
12:8	10 (3)	20th (4)	31:19,21;32:6,13;	
Workers (3)	7:1;104:14,17	32:14;35:25;90:11;	40:1;90:8	
7:19;63:11,11	10th (1)	92:21	,	
working (9)	7:6	211 (1)	6	
32:19,19;34:5,15;	11:01 (1)	7:8	-	\dashv
37:15;82:7;90:16;	106:2	22nd (2)	6 (5)	
91:16;92:1	11:35 (1)	38:14;40:3	38:1,5,13;40:8;	
world (1)	38:15	24 (11)	69:25	
51:21	11:41 (1)	41:21;42:20;44:1,8,	07.23	_
worth (1)	38:16	12,22;45:1,8,11;56:23;	7	
37:4	11th (5)	57:2	,	
write (2)	27:14,19;29:19;	24th (1)	7 (7)	
36:5;104:8		8:5	7 (7)	
writing (3)	30:5;43:11	28th (1)	60:20,22;94:10,11,	
27:11;34:10;73:18	13 (1)	89:21	12,14;96:10	
written (2)	86:11	29 (4)	72 (2)	
73:7;104:9	1-31 (1)	13:11,25;45:1;56:23	30:25;31:12	
wrong (2)	106:2	29th (9)		
	1-31-13 (1)		8	
85:3;102:1	105:25	14:6;20:4;22:12;		1
wrote (6)	13th (1)	30:3;81:12;83:21;	8 (4)	
21:23;27:9;32:14;	62:6	86:20;89:24,25	65:21,23;94:11;	
38:13;40:20,24	14 (1)		95:24	
	86:22		8:10(1)	
			- ()	

EXHIBIT B

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor

Treasurer Andrew Dillon October 10, 2013

Moretti Group 471 W. South Street Suite 41B Kalamazoo, MI 49007 800-536-0804



Original File 101013AD.TXT

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

						r 10, 2013
		Page 1				Page 3
1	UNITED FOR THE EA	STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		APPEARANCES, CO	ONTINUING: OF MICHIGAN:	
2		RN DIVISION - DETROIT	2		MICHIGAN DEPT. OF ATTORNEY GEN	ERAT.
3	In re:	Chapter 9	3		Assistant Attorney General	
4	CITY OF DETROIT, MICH	IGAN, Case No. 13-53846	4		Solicitor General Bureau 7th Floor G. Mennen Williams B 525 West Ottawa Street	uilding
5		Hon. Steven W. Rhodes	5		P.O. Box 30212	
6	VIDEOTAPED	DEPOSITION OF	6		Lansing, Michigan 48909 517.373.1124	
7	WITNESS: TREASU	RER ANDREW DILLON	7		nelsonm9@michigan.gov BY: MARGARET A. NELSON (P3034	2)
8	LOCATION: The Tr	reasury Building	8		MICHIGAN DEPT. OF ATTORNEY GEN	ERAL
9		est Allegan ng, Michigan 48909	9		Chief Legal Counsel Executive Division	
10	DATE: Thursd	lay, October 10, 2013	10		7th Floor G. Mennen Williams B 525 West Ottawa Street	uilding
11	9:17 a	m.	11		P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, Michigan 48909	
12	APPEARANCES:		12		517.373.1110 schneiderm7@michigan.gov	
13	FOR PLAINTIFFS FLOWER	s:	13		BY: MATTHEW SCHNEIDER (P62190)
		FICE OF WILLIAM A. WERTHEIMER			OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR-LEGAL D	IVISION
14	Bingha	Timberbrook Lane m Farms, Michigan 48025	14		George W. Romney Building 111 South Capitol Avenue	
15		4.9200 ertheimer@gmail.com	15		P.O. Box 30013 Lansing, Michigan 48909	
16		LLIAM A. WERTHEIMER (P26275)	16		Lansing, Michigan 48909 517.241.5630 gadolam@michigan.gov	
17	FOR INTERNATIONAL UNI	ON, UAW:	17		BY: MICHAEL F. GADOLA (P43960)
18		WEISS and SIMON, LLP	18		DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC	.i 000
19	New Yo	est 42nd Street ork, New York 10036-6976	19		215 South Washington Square, Su Lansing, Michigan 48933-1816	11CE 200
20	212.56 pdechi	3.4100 .ara@cwsny.com	20		517.487.4710 pellsworth@dickinsonwright.com	L
21		TER D. DeCHIARA, ESQUIRE	21		BY: PETER H. ELLSWORTH (P2365	7)
22	FOR THE RETIREES COMM	IITTEE:	22		DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY Richard H. Austin Building, 1s	t Floor
23		S US LLP venue of the Americas	23		430 West Allegan Street Lansing, Michigan 48922	
24	New Yo	ork, New York 10020-1089	24		BY: FREDERICK HEADEN (P41197)	
		r.ruegger@dentons.com		VIDEO BY:	Tim Reitman, Reitman Video Spec	
25	BY: AF	THUR H. RUEGGER, ESQUIRE	25	REPORTED BY:	Laurel A. Jacoby, CSR-5059, RP	R
		Pogo C	,			Dogo 4
		Page 2				Page 4
_	APPEARANCES, CONTINUI	ING:	1		I N D E X	J
2		ING: FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and	1 2	WITNESS: TREASUR	RER ANDREW DILLON	PAGE NO.
2	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES,	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO:	1 2 3	Examination by	Mr. Sherwood	PAGE NO.
2 3 4	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosela	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068	1 2 3 4	Examination by	Mr. Sherwood	PAGE NO. 7 72
2 3 4 5	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosela 973.59	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068	1 2 3 4	Examination by	MER ANDREW DILLON Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer	PAGE NO.
2 3 4	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, 65 Liv Rosela 973.53 jsherv	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068	1 2 3 4 5	Examination by	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood	PAGE NO. 7 72
2 3 4 5 6 7	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosela 973.55 jsherv BY: JC	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 7.2538 rood@lowenstein.com who k. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green	PAGE NO. 7 72 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherw BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 7.2538 rood@lowenstein.com bHN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND MM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Roselz 973.55 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 7.2538 rood@lowenstein.com WHN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND MM: HILL Grand River Avenue g, Michigan 48906	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherw BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansir 517.31 sgalls	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP singston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 cood@lowenstein.com with K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND M: HILL Grand River Avenue g, Michigan 48906 8.3060 gher@clarkhill.com	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherw BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 7.2538 rood@lowenstein.com and K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND and River Avenue and Riv	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Roselz 973.55 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansir 517.31 sgallz BY: SE CLARK 500 WC	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 77.2538 rood@lowenstein.com and after a system; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND and ATTRICK GALLAGHER (P73108) and AFTRICK GALLAGHER (P73108) and AVENUE, Suite 3500	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.55 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansir 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 WC Detroid 313.96	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 17.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.55 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansir Lans	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 7.2538 8006@lowenstein.com New Jersey 07068 8000@lowenstein.com New System; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND M: HILL Grand River Avenue gg, Michigan 48906 8.3060 gher@clarkhill.com New Jersey 18.3060 gher@	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Exhibit 1	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Roselz 973.55 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgallz BY: SE CLARK 500 Wc Detrois 313.96 jgreer BY: JE	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 77.2538 rood@lowenstein.com ben K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND M: HILL Grand River Avenue and Michigan 48906 8.3060 and Michigan 48906 Micher@clarkhill.com Michigan 4806 Michigan 4800 Michigan 48226 Michigan 48226 Michigan 48226 Michigan 48226 Michigan 48226 Michigan 48226 Michigan 4800 Michigan 48226 Michigan 4800 Michigan Michigan 4800 M	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherw BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 Wc Detroi 313.96 jgreen BY: JE	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 17.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.55 jsherw BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansir 517.33 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 Wo Detroi 313.96 jgreer BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUE WILLIE PLUNKE	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 rood@lowenstein.com OHN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND OM: HILL Grand River Avenue and Michigan 48906 18.3060 and Affect and Can Patrick Gallagher (P73108) HILL bodward Avenue, Suite 3500 15.8274 (BClarkhill.com NNIFER K. GREEN (P69019) aranty Insurance Corporation: MS WILLIAMS RATTNER & STEIN AND ASSETT, PC	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.55 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 WC Detroid 313.96 jgreer BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUA WILLIA PLUNKE 380 NC Suite	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 77.2538 rood@lowenstein.com HN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND M: HILL Grand River Avenue g, Michigan 48906 8.3060 gher@clarkhill.com EAN PATRICK GALLAGHER (P73108) HILL todward Avenue, Suite 3500 t., Michigan 48226 i5.8274 @clarkhill.com ENNIFER K. GREEN (P69019) LRANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION: LMS WILLIAMS RATTNER & ETT, PC ETT, PC ETTH Old Woodward Avenue 300	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Exhibit No. Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood EXHIBIT INDEX DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansir 517.31 sgalls BY: SF CLARK 500 WC Detroid 313.96 jgreen BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUAR STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: FEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 17.2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by Re-examination Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24 July 18, 2013 letter	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.55 jsherw BY: JG FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 W Detroi 313.96 jgreen BY: JF FOR THE FINANCIAL GUE BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUE BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUE BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUE BIRMIT 248.64 e je@ww	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 rood@lowenstein.com HN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND EM: HILL Grand River Avenue 19, Michigan 48906 18.3060 19her@clarkhill.com 2AN PATRICK GALLAGHER (P73108) HILL bodward Avenue, Suite 3500 15.8274 10clarkhill.com 15.8274 10clarkhill.com 16xNIFER K. GREEN (P69019) 10xRANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION: 10xMS WILLIAMS RATTNER & 10xTT, PC 10xth Old Woodward Avenue 10x1 10x1 10x1 10x1 10x1 10x1 10x1 10x	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by Re-examination Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24 July 18, 2013 letter Re: Authorization to Commence	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.55 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 Wc Detroid 313.96 jgreen BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUA WILLIA PLUNKE 380 NC Suite Birmin 248.64 eje@ww BY: EF	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: FEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 77.2538 rood@lowenstein.com OHN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND M: HILL Grand River Avenue gg, Michigan 48906 8.3060 gher@clarkhill.com ANN PATRICK GALLAGHER (P73108) HILL bodward Avenue, Suite 3500 tt, Michigan 48226 55.8274 @clarkhill.com NNIFER K. GREEN (P69019) RANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION: MS WILLIAMS RATTNER & TTT, PC with Old Woodward Avenue 300 gham, Michigan 48009 12.0333 rplaw.com NNEST J. ESSAD, JR. (P32572)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by Re-examination EXHIBIT NO. EXHIBIT NO. Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24 July 18, 2013 letter Re: Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherw BY: JG FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 Wo Detroi 313.96 jgreen BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUE BITMIN 248.64 ejeeww BY: EF FOR THE CITY OF DETRO	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: FIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 77.2538 rood@lowenstein.com BHN K. SHERWOOD, ESQUIRE SYSTEM; CITY OF DETROIT POLICE AND MM: HILL Grand River Avenue gg, Michigan 48906 8.3060 gher@clarkhill.com EAN PATRICK GALLAGHER (P73108) HILL bodward Avenue, Suite 3500 tt, Michigan 48226 55.8274 @clarkhill.com ENNIFER K. GREEN (P69019) ERANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION: EMS WILLIAMS RATTNER & ETT, PC STT, PC	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by Re-examination EXHIBIT NO. EXHIBIT NO. Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	MR. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24 July 18, 2013 letter Re: Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding Jan. 31, 2013 email	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherv BY: JC FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansir 517.31 sgalls BY: SF CLARK 500 WC Detroid 313.96 jgreen BY: JF FOR THE FINANCIAL GUR WILLIA PLUNKE BITMI 248.64 ejeeww BY: EF FOR THE CITY OF DETROID JONES 51 LOW Washir	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: FIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 17.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Examination by Examination by Re-examination Examination by Re-examination EXHIBIT NO. EXHIBIT NO. Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24 July 18, 2013 letter Re: Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding Jan. 31, 2013 email (Bates No. JD-RD-0000295)	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Liv Rosels 973.59 jsherw BY: JG FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 Wc Detroi 313.96 jgreen BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUZ WILLIZ PLUNKE 380 No Suite Birmin 248.64 eje@ww BY: EF FOR THE CITY OF DETRO JONES 51 Lou Washin 202.87 ggshums ggshums	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: TIEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 17.2538 17.2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Exhibit No. Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 5	Mr. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24 July 18, 2013 letter Re: Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding Jan. 31, 2013 email (Bates No. JD-RD-0000295) July 9, 2013 email	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR AFSCME, AMERICAN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOWENS 65 Live Rosels 973.59 jsherw BY: JG FOR GENERAL RETIREMENT FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTE CLARK 212 E. Lansin 517.31 sgalls BY: SE CLARK 500 Wc Detroi 313.96 jgreen BY: JE FOR THE FINANCIAL GUZ WILLIZ PLUNKE 380 No Suite Birmin 248.64 eje@ww BY: EF FOR THE CITY OF DETRO: JONES 51 Lou Washin 202.87 ggshums ggsh	FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY and AFL-CIO: STEIN SANDLER, LLP ringston Avenue and, New Jersey 07068 77.2538 79060 9000 9000 9000 9000 9000 9000 900	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Examination by Examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Re-examination by Exhibit No. Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 5	MR. Sherwood Mr. Wertheimer by Mr. Sherwood Ms. Green by Mr. Sherwood E X H I B I T I N D E X DESCRIPTION Bond Buyer Online article State Constitution Excerpt Article 24 July 18, 2013 letter Re: Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding Jan. 31, 2013 email (Bates No. JD-RD-0000295) July 9, 2013 email Subject: Detroit	PAGE NO. 7 72 97 105 121 PAGE NO. 23 38 43

	Page 5		Page 7
1	EXHIBIT INDEX		r age /
2		1	1 1
3	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Exhibit 6 March 1-2, 2012 email chain	2	2 Governor's Exhibit 11. It is the email that was
4	·	3	discussed and is now being produced that was the
5	Subject: Consent Agreement	4	,
	(Bates Nos. DTMI 00234878-870) 112	5	
6	Exhibit 7 March 3, 2012 email Re: Detroit-	6	
7	Email list for status updates	7	8
8	(Bates No. DTMI 00234877) 112	8	
9	Exhibit 8 March 22, 2013 email	9	for The Court was 4:06 p.m. for that as well.
10	Subject: Detroit pension info	10	
11	(Bates Nos. SOM 20009920-921) 116	11	•
12	Exhibit 9 June 11, 2013 email	12	· · · · , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	Subject: Professional fees	13	1
14	(Bates Nos. DTMI 00234907-08) 118	14	3, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,
15		15	\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}' \mathcal{E}
16		16	J / 1
17	(Exhibits attached to transcript.)	17	
18		18	
19		19	, ,
20		20	
21		21	
22			2 BY MR. SHERWOOD:
23			3 Q. Treasurer Dillon, good morning. My name is
24		24	
25		25	5 represent AFSCME in the Detroit bankruptcy case.
	Page 6		Page 9
	Page 6		Page 8
1	October 10, 2013	1	Thanks for being here today.
1 2	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan	2	Thanks for being here today.Have you ever been deposed before?
	October 10, 2013	2	 Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so.
2 3 4	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m.	2 3 4	 Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions?
2	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the	2 3 4 5	 Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably.
2 3 4 5 6	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken	2 3 4 5 6	 Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules
2 3 4 5 6 7	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high priority, and the attachment which is identified as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her. If you don't know the answer to a question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high priority, and the attachment which is identified as 2013 0718 155044034 dot pdf is identical to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her. If you don't know the answer to a question or you don't understand a question, please let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high priority, and the attachment which is identified as 2013 0718 155044034 dot pdf is identical to the attachment identified in Governor's Exhibit 10 that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her. If you don't know the answer to a question or you don't understand a question, please let me know, and I'll try to clear it up for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high priority, and the attachment which is identified as 2013 0718 155044034 dot pdf is identical to the attachment identified in Governor's Exhibit 10 that was marked at the deposition yesterday.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her. If you don't know the answer to a question or you don't understand a question, please let me know, and I'll try to clear it up for you. Do you understand those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high priority, and the attachment which is identified as 2013 0718 155044034 dot pdf is identical to the attachment identified in Governor's Exhibit 10 that was marked at the deposition yesterday. And the subject matter I would point out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her. If you don't know the answer to a question or you don't understand a question, please let me know, and I'll try to clear it up for you. Do you understand those A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high priority, and the attachment which is identified as 2013 0718 155044034 dot pdf is identical to the attachment identified in Governor's Exhibit 10 that was marked at the deposition yesterday. And the subject matter I would point out between Governor's Exhibit 11 and Governor's Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her. If you don't know the answer to a question or you don't understand a question, please let me know, and I'll try to clear it up for you. Do you understand those 3 A. Yes. 4 Q instructions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	October 10, 2013 Lansing, Michigan 9:17 a.m. MS. NELSON: This is for purposes of the record of the Governor's deposition that was taken on October 9th. There was a request at the conclusion of the Governor's dep for the production of an email which is the transmission email from the Governor's office to Kevyn Orr of what was marked as Governor's Exhibit 2, which was his July 18th, 2013 letter authorizing the filing of the bankruptcy. I have produced this email and provided it to all counsel that are present today and we have agreed to mark it as Governor's Exhibit 11. The email is dated Thursday, July 18th, 2013. It was transmitted at 3:47 p.m., and the subject is high priority, and the attachment which is identified as 2013 0718 155044034 dot pdf is identical to the attachment identified in Governor's Exhibit 10 that was marked at the deposition yesterday. And the subject matter I would point out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thanks for being here today. Have you ever been deposed before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. On how many occasions? A. A couple probably. Q. Okay. Let me just give you some of the ground rules as a reminder. My questions and your answers will be taken down by the court reporter and videotaped. You're under oath so it's like you're testifying in court. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And to the extent that you can wait for me to ask a full question before answering, that would be good, make it easier for the court reporter. Your attorney might object from time to time, and to the extent that she does, obviously, you'll take your advice from her. If you don't know the answer to a question or you don't understand a question, please let me know, and I'll try to clear it up for you. Do you understand those Yes. Q instructions?

And when was that about?

Did he appoint you as the Treasurer of the State?

Probably 2010.

22 Q.

24 Q.

25 A.

October 10, 2013 Page 9 Page 11 1 truthfully today? 1 O. And how did that come to pass? Got a phone call in the fall of 2010, I believe it 2 A. 2 A. was, and they asked if I would consider the 3 O. And are you taking any medications or suffering from 3 4 any illnesses or under the care of a doctor --4 position. Initially, I respectfully declined because I was ready to go back to the private 5 A. 5 -- for any medical condition at this time? O. sector. And I reconsidered about two weeks later, 6 7 A. 7 called back and said if you haven't filled it, I'll Okay. Can you just briefly -- you are the Treasurer 8 O. 8 do it. of the State of Michigan; is that right? 9 Q. What was it about the job that excited you? 9 10 A. I was having lunch with a friend of mine. He just 10 A. 11 Q. And can you -- how long have you held this post? 11 said, hey, it's a great opportunity, why would you Since January 1 of '11. 12 A. 12 say no to that. 13 O. And what did you do before that? Just give me, you And even though I had spent six years in 13 know, your previous work history before that. Lansing, I didn't fully appreciate the role of the 14 14 I served in the Michigan Legislature for six years, Treasurer for the State, and it's a fascinating job 15 A. 15 the last four as the Speaker of the House. and fascinating time to have it. 16 16 And prior to that? When you say a fascinating time, what do you mean? 17 O. 17 O. Α. I worked for a private equity fund based out of 18 Is it because of economic challenges facing Chicago. Michigan? 19 19 20 Q. What was the name of that firm? 20 A. Local units primarily, yes. Wynnchurch Capital. Things like school boards and cities and the like? A. 21 O. **22** Q. For how long were you at Wynnchurch? 22 A. A. Three years. 23 O. Did you have, going into the job, discussions with And what three years were those? Was it like --Governor Snyder about your view of the financial 24 Q. 24 '01 to '04. 25 A. 25 situations that existed in the local government Page 10 Page 12 1 Q. And what was your position there? units here in the State of Michigan? 1 I was a managing partner. I found opportunities for I don't recall. There may have been some high-level A. A. 2 2 them to buy -- companies to buy. discussions in December '10 where we understood that 3 3 4 Q. And did Wynnchurch specialize in any type of 4 there could be a lot of troubled cities and school industry or financial products? districts in the cue, so it was on our radar before 5 5 we started but nothing about my philosophy, what I 6 Middle market companies based in the midwest or 6 7 Canada was the focus. 7 would do in this role. 8 Q. And how long have you known Governor Snyder? O. Okay. So when you say high-level discussions, can 8 9 A. I met him for the first time when I was in the 9 you tell me what you recall specifically about the high -- or even generally about the high-level Legislature, and it was just a brief meeting. I 10 10 drove to Ann Arbor to meet him because Governor discussions? 11 11 12 Granholm at the time had announced the 21st Century 12 A. We understood that we would be inheriting some Jobs Fund plan, and I had a private equity 13 financial crises throughout the state and we thought 13 background but not a venture capital background, and 14 there was more to come and -- but we never got into, 14 his name came to me as someone who understood you know, he didn't grill me about what's my 15 15 venture capital. philosophy and how would I approach, you know, the 16 16 So I asked for a meeting, drove to Ann 17 challenges that would come our way. 17 Arbor. We met for half hour to an hour, and I 18 O. Did you have any relevant experience in your career 18 incorporated his thoughts and ideas into the 21st as a Legislator or Speaker of the House or in your 19 19 Century Jobs plan. And I didn't see him after that private career that you thought you could bring to 20 20 until he was running for Governor. bear to address the financial issues facing the 21 21

22

24

25

23 A.

local units of government here in the State?

A little bit. I have an accounting and a law

degree, but I had three jobs that translated some

relevance. I'd spent three years with GE Capital.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Page 15

Page 16

Page 13

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

We tended to -- they were called the lender of last resort, so we financed tough credits typically.

From there I went to a bankrupt steel mill and helped the owner try to restart that mill, so that was kind of hands-on operational restructuring.

And then a lot of the companies we chased at Wynnchurch would either be growth companies or turnarounds, so I would say there was a nine-year window there where I had some experience in the area of turnarounds.

11 O. What did you do to prepare for your deposition 12 today?

About a month ago I had a meeting. A couple of 13 A.

Attorney Generals came to -- we didn't know if this 14 deposition was even going to happen because I don't 15

think the judge had ruled yet. And then last week I 16

had a meeting to prepare, and I think that meeting 17

lasted about two hours. 18

Q. Who was in that meeting? 19

My friend here to my right and --20 A.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Matthew Schneider. 21

THE WITNESS: And we have one other. 22

23 MS. NELSON: Oh, Mark Donnelly, just to

refresh his memory. 24

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 25

PA 72 is before my time. I believe it was 1990 give 2

or take. PA 4, we started talking about it during the transition period. We understood that PA 72 had some limitations. So there was a few folks during

the transition that started looking at what you 6 7 could do to Public Act 72 to improve it, make it a 8 better tool for the State.

So my involvement was on the front end at high level, thematic direction of what would later become --

MR. WERTHEIMER: I'm sorry, high level what?

THE WITNESS: Thematic. But in terms of specific language or, you know, getting under the hood of the actual words that were being incorporated into the bills, I had very limited if any role.

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 19

So is it fair to say that PA 4 was passed at the 20 O. initiative of Governor Snyder? 21

22 A. I don't know the mechanics, but I would say -- I mean, we obviously at the administration level were 23

focused on it and we had ideas about it in terms of 24 25

who -- typically what happens is if the

Page 14

administration wants a law passed they'll work with 1

the Legislature and find a sponsor, and I wasn't 2

part of that but I assume that probably happened 3

4

And is it fair to say that PA 4 was promoted by the 5 O.

Governor to the Legislature? 6 7 I believe so. A.

Q. Okay. And you talked about PA 4 containing 8

9 improvements.

equation.

What was it about PA 72 that needed to be 10 improved? 11

12 A. Well, what we found is -- typically for a governmental unit 75 give or take percent of your 13 costs are wages and benefits which leaves you -- if 14 you have a unit that might have a three-year 15 collectively bargained agreement in place, that 16 takes 75 percent of the ability to reduce expenses 17 off the table. It leaves you 25 percent of the 18 remaining spent. Typically, in government it's very 19 difficult to increase the revenue side of the 20

> So that would be the major theme -thematic difference I think from 72 to Public Act 4. So let me make sure I'm hearing you right. Was there something about PA 4 that enabled the State to

So it was Mark Dowling? Who's he with? 1 O.

MS. NELSON: Mark Donnelly. 2

MR. SHERWOOD: I'm sorry. 3

MS. NELSON: Assistant Attorney General.

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 5

Sorry. Who else?

Just the three and myself. 7 A.

8 O. Matthew Schneider is with who?

9 A. The Attorney Generals' office. We had a brief

meeting this morning at 8:30. 10

Same crew? 11 O.

12 A. Just the two this morning.

13 Q. I'd like to start talking a little bit about some of

the legislation, the State legislation. 14

Do you know what PA 4 is, correct? 15

16 A.

And my understanding is that was signed into law in 17

March of 2011; is that right? 18

I don't recall the specific date but, generally 19 A.

speaking, I think that's pretty close. 20 And PA 4, the predecessor to PA 4 was a statute that 21 O.

people call PA 72; is that right? 22

23 A. Right.

24 Q. Can you just generally describe your role in the 25

drafting or passage of either of those statutes?

21

22

23

25

24 Q.

11

Page 17

1 deal with wage and benefit issues that presented

2 themselves to these local government units?

Α. Yeah. And I would add also it enabled us to get in 3 4 earlier because typically if you can get into a situation earlier you might be able to avoid more 5 Draconian or drastic measures that have to be 6 7 implemented.

> So I'd say the primary goal of Public Act 4 was to allow the State to have an earlier road in the crisis that a particular school district or city's encountering. And then in the law we spent a lot of time on this issue about, you know, the constitutionality of can you modify a CBA. And by the word CBA, I use collectively bargained agreement.

But the thought was that we have two conflicting constitutional provisions here. One is the prohibition against impairing of contracts and then the other is the duty of the State to provide for the public health, safety and welfare. So those are your competing constitutional provisions, as I understand it.

And where we came out on that, to my memory, is that -- that if you temporarily modify. So the thought wasn't that you just blow up a

1 well which was my memory is that under schools, an EFM could pursue a Chapter 9 without the Governor's 2

3 consent but not for a city.

4 Q. I'm sorry, I just want to make sure the record's clear. I'm reading it here. 5

6 Did PA 4 allow a school board to file 7 Chapter 9 without the Governor's consent?

I don't believe -- well, again, I'd like to look at 8 PA 72 but my memory was --9

MS. NELSON: He's speaking about PA 4. THE WITNESS: Oh, PA 4.

12 MS. NELSON: His question was to PA 4. THE WITNESS: No, under PA 4 both cities 13

and school districts require the Governor's approval 14 15 for a filing.

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 16

Okay. Now, I assume you're aware that PA 4 during 17 18 2011 and 2012 was heavily criticized by certain members of the population here in Michigan, correct? 19

20 A. I recall some of that.

And it was referred to as a dictatorship law, 21 O. 22 undemocratic, emergency managers don't answer to the public. Does that sound familiar to you? 23

I have a recollection of that, yes. 24 A.

25 Q. And, actually, some of that criticism was directed

Page 18

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 20

Page 19

contract or you permanently change the terms of the contract, but in order to deal with the crisis to protect the public health, safety and welfare, the thought was that the State has the ability to temporarily modify until the crisis or the emergency is over.

To me that's the two primary differences between PA 72 and PA 4.

- 9 O. And how is it that PA 4 specifically gave the State more power to address those issues? 10
- On the front end I'd have to review PA 72 and 11 A. compare it to PA 4 before I would feel comfortable 12 answering that, but PA 72 did not have a provision 13 that allowed for a temporary modification of the 14 CBA. 15
- O. Did PA 72 have a provision for the appointment of an 16 emergency manager? 17
- Yes. 18 A.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 19 O. And PA 4 retained that?
- Right. And they had two different terms. I think 20 A.
- under 72 it was emergency financial manager, an EFM. 21
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. Under PA 4 it was changed to just an emergency manager. 24
- There's another big difference I guess as 25

at you, correct? 1

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. And certainly Governor Snyder as well.

Do you think that that was fair criticism? 5 A. I think it's just a harsh reality that when you have a -- whether it be a school district or a city in a severe financial crisis that you've got to have someone that can make decisions. And often times what you'll find is the governance more in cities maybe than school districts is -- makes it very difficult to navigate through a financial crisis.

> So I understand the criticism but the stark reality is that it's the best path that I'm aware of to solve a financial crisis.

- Now, PA 4 was submitted for a referendum in November 15 O. of 2012; is that right? 16
- 17 A. I believe so, yeah.
- And did you take a position with respect to the 18 O. proposed referendum with respect to PA 4? 19

MS. NELSON: Are you speaking in his official capacity as Treasurer or in his personal, because his personal capacity is privileged.

I assume you're speaking in his official capacity as Treasurer did he take a position?

MR. SHERWOOD: I never heard of a personal

24

criticism of PA 4 reflects a lack of understanding

25 A. I -- that sounds like something I would say, but I

of the municipal market?

Page 23

Page 24

Page 21 1 capacity of privilege. 1 don't specifically recall saying that. MS. NELSON: It's right to vote, his right 2 Q. Tell me what is it about the municipal market that 2 PA 4 helped. 3 to vote. 3 4 MR. SHERWOOD: Okay. 4 A. Can you restate that? BY MR. SHERWOOD: Q. What is it -- how does PA 4 help a city or a school 5 5 board or a city like the state of Detroit deal with In your capacity as Treasurer. 6 6 7 I don't recall. I do recall that there was six the municipal market? Α. 7 8 A. Can you read my statement again one more time? 8 measures on the ballot and there was really no one out there advocating in favor of preserving the law, 9 Q. It says that "Criticism of PA 4 reflects a lack of 9 Public Act 4, but I don't recall if we ever issued a understanding of the municipal market." 10 10 11 statement from the Treasurer's Office defending 11 Actually, I have a copy of it if that will 12 Public Act 4. 12 help. That's fine. I think if you can go in and address 13 O. Did you have any conversations with the Governor 13 A. issues you're going to make that particular unit about this proposed referendum with respect to PA 4? 14 14 more financially stable, and thus you'll have a 15 A. I think we had a few, and I think there was, as I 15 healthier community that can provide services and said, six measures and some were deemed -- you know, 16 16 you can't fight a six-front battle, right, so I pay its obligations. 17 17 18 think we all thought PA 4 was a necessary law and we 18 I guess we can mark this as Exhibit 1. hoped it would be preserved. 19 19 But there was other measures on the ballot, (Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked.) 20 20 and often times the electorate only has so much 21 21 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 22 attention span, so I think we weren't out there 22 23 putting a full court press on to preserve the law. 23 Sorry about the small type and everything, but it 24 Q. Why did you think -- or when you say we, are you says -- this is just something I pulled off line. 24 25 talking about, you the Treasurer, and the Governor? 25 It says Critics of Public Act 4 argue that Page 22 Why did you think that was a necessary law? the law protects bondholders above other creditors, 1 1 Because in my experience Public Act 72, you know, A. an argument that Dillon said lacks an understanding 2 2 wasn't as effective as the residents or the children of the municipal market. 3 3 in school districts needed, and I thought that 4 A. Okay, this helps, having read it. 4 Public Act 4 was a significant improvement. 5 O. Okay, sorry. 5 One of the other criticisms that I read about about Often times when a unit gets into financial trouble 6 6 A. 7 PA 4 was that it protected bondholders over other they can't access the market on their own. So the 7 types of creditors. way that they can access the market is they'll work 8 8 9 Are you familiar with that type of 9 with Treasury where we will say, all right, if 10 criticism being lodged during the referendum you're going to borrow money we tell the bond money 10 process? providers that we will intercept the money, make 11 11 12 A. Not specifically. 12 certain that you get paid first. 13 Q. What about generally? So if someone wanted to say that an 13 I just don't recall. I mean, I'm certain it was 14 A. unsecured creditor or a nonbond creditor of a 14 probably used as a talking point for those that community could be pari passu, on equal footing of 15 15 wanted to repeal PA 4, but I don't have a specific an existing bondholder, in that circumstance they'd 16 16 recollection of it. 17 be misguided because when the bond deal got done for 17 Do you remember talking to a publication called Bond the troubled unit we have an agreement with the 18 18 Buyer Online about the referendum to repeal PA 4? 19 19 trustee typically that will intercept the revenues I've spoken to them several times so I don't know 20 A. 20 that come from the State to the unit, pay the debt the specific interview that you're referring to. of the bonds, and then whatever surplus is left goes 21 21 Do you recall saying to Bond Buyer Online that the 22 Q. 22 to the City.

23

24

25

So once that deal is put in place, you

can't undo it, per se, and then say well, we're just

not going to pay the bondholders so we can put more

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24 25

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 A.

Page 27

Page 25

money into the City so they can pay their bills.

So I think what I'm referring to here is that situation where there's a trustee in place or an intercept agreement where the State has an obligation to make certain that the bond providers are paid first. And once that's in place you can't undo it.

- O. Okay. And by an intercept agreement, you're -- I 8 mean, would that be something like a security 9 interest in a pledged flow of funds from a 10 11 particular source?
- Can you restate that? 12 A.
- By intercept agreement that's not a concept I've 13 O. heard before, but I have heard things like 14 collateral, pledge, assignment, security interest. 15 Is that what you mean? 16
- I think you're too narrow. There's several 17 A. 18 different ways to do this. For example, and this happens in school districts where state aid can be 19 intercepted first. 20

In Detroit, for example, there's a trustee set up that collects the casino revenues before they go to the City, and that trustee then transfers those payments to certain creditors of the City.

So sometimes it's a state acting, sometimes

1 agreement creates a secured, you know, lender but 2 probably most would be effectively a secured lender.

So are you saying that it's your view that to the 3 O. 4 extent that a bondholder has an intercept agreement in a restructuring, particularly in the 5

restructuring of the City of Detroit, that they 6 7 don't have to share the pain with the other creditors of the City? 8

9 A. I don't think I understand your question because restructuring at what point? I mean, a city can be 10 11 restructuring before Treasury is even involved so.

Before or after? At any time? I mean, at what 12 O. point is it appropriate if ever for the bondholders 13 with intercept agreements or other special 14 collateral arrangements to share the pain? 15

Well, it's my -- I mean, some of this calls for a 16 A. legal -- a lot of this calls for a legal conclusion, 17 but it's my understanding that if you're let's say a 18 revenue bondholder, right, you're a -- typically, 19 you're a secured lender, and you're entitled to the 20 revenue streams that you negotiated at the front end 21 22 of the deal.

> So in Detroit's case you have a lot of revenue bondholders that are entitled to revenue streams that come in to pay for water and sewer

Page 26

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 28

it could be a private entity, a trustee, that 1 receives the monies first, and I think there could 2 be a variety of ways these get structured. 3 4 Q. Okay. And by saying -- you say "I appreciate Main Street saying everyone should share in the pain, but 5 troubled cities have to structure their deals in a 6

certain way to get access to the market."

a mortgage on a home.

So you're saying that with respect to creditors that have intercept agreements, they don't have to share the pain with Main Street? It's harder for them to, I think, because they do -if -- they have a -- typically, in this case, and I don't want to overstate it and be too broad here, but when there's an intercept agreement in place I think it effectively serves like a filed lien, like

There may be exceptions to that, but generally speaking, yes, and you'll find some older communities before they got in financial trouble they might have gone out and done unsecured borrowing, right? So there's no intercept there. They're then unsecured and in the pool of all the unsecureds.

When you have an intercept, you know, I want to be careful not to say every intercept

services. Their collateral is that revenue stream. and if that revenue stream is inadequate to service the debt then they could be in harms's way. And it's my understanding that that's how Chapter 9 would deal with revenue bondholders.

There's a myriad of different ways. I don't mean to be evasive, but there's a lot of different ways where intercept agreements can get negotiated. I think that the one as it relates to the casino revenues in Detroit is rather unique, and it may not reflect kind of a standard borrowing that may take place going forward.

We did a financing a year and a half ago for Detroit. It was \$137 million deal and that to my knowledge my staff helped secure that, but that was done with an agreement to intercept State revenue sharings to make certain that that debt was serviced.

So if the lenders did their job and got the legal requirements that they need to have the priority their first right to that revenue stream, then they're probably protected. If they have defects in the legal work or they don't have a contractual right to that revenue stream, they probably will be treated like any other creditor.

Page 31

Page 29

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 Q. Well -- all right. So let me just move forward now 2 to the striking down of PA 4 by the voters of the
- 3 State. That happened in November of 2012; is that
- 4 right?
- Right. A. 5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

8

9

10

11

23

24

25

- And as State Treasurer, did you have a view on how 6
- 7 if at all this would impact Wall Street's view on
- the subdivisions, the government subdivisions of the 8
- State of Michigan and specifically the City of 9 Detroit? 10
- 11 A. At least one and maybe more credit rating agencies said the fact that the State of Michigan had Public 12 Act 4 on the books was a credit positive. They 13 14

viewed it as a favorable environment for lending into the State.

So when it got repealed, as it relates to at least those one, maybe two credit rating agencies, it would be deemed a credit negative that Michigan now doesn't have that law which they deemed to be a credit positive on the books.

And we then reverted back to Public 72 which was in my mind, you know, a good start, but it needed some improvements to be effective.

24 Q. Would the repeal of Public Act 4 have any impact on 25 the credit rating of the State of Michigan?

1 O. So is it the view of Wall Street or the credit 2 markets that where a state has the power to go in 3 and take over or manage a political subdivision, 4 that is positive from the perspective of the markets, based on your experience? 5

> MS. NELSON: Compound question, form, foundation. Do you want to talk about a takeover? You said take over or manage.

MR. SHERWOOD: You can object.

MS. NELSON: Form, foundation.

11 MR. SHERWOOD: And --

MS. NELSON: Compound.

MR. SHERWOOD: -- Treasurer Snyder can tell me if he doesn't understand the question.

Now, can you read back the question?

MR. WERTHEIMER: Actually, it's Treasurer Dillon.

MR. SHERWOOD: I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: I got a promotion at the deposition.

MR. SHERWOOD: Hold on. Let her read back the question.

THE WITNESS: Actually, if I give you a comment maybe you can rephrase it. That will make it easier, because you're asking me to say what the

Page 30

Page 32

Indirectly. I mean, the State has its own credit 1 A. rating and its own revenues and expenses and 2 obligations. Local units are stand-alone and have 3 their own responsibilities and obligations. So I 4 would only say it's indirectly. 5 6

I think if -- the rating agencies, I think if they view that a state is mismanaging its local units I think that they would view that negatively on the State, but it doesn't directly provide a commentary on whether or not the State is going to repay its debt.

- 12 Q. You said that the markets reflected PA 4 as a credit positive. What was it about PA 4 based on your 13 experience that had a positive impact on the credit 14 rating of the government subdivisions here in 15
- Michigan? 16
- 17 A. Well, I mean, I think we should pull the statements that were issued by the ratings agencies. I don't 18 remember if it was Moody, Standard or Poor or Fitch. 19 I think it might have been Moody's. I mean, they 20 issued actually statements saying it's a credit 21 22 positive.

I think they appreciate a state that is proactively managing its finances as well as those of their cities and school districts.

- credit markets think, and I'm not the credit 1 markets. 2
- BY MR. SHERWOOD: 3
- 4 O. I understand that, but as State Treasurer and a
- person with substantial experience both in private 5
- 6 life and public life, I think you can give me your
- 7 perception of why PA 4 was viewed by the credit
- markets as something that was attractive --8
- 9 A.
- 10 O. -- and I'd like you to do that.
- Detroit's a good example. The health of your 11 A. 12 biggest city has an impact on the health of the 13 State, right, and if you have a city of 700,000 folks that don't have access to public safety, kids 14 can't walk safely to school, there's no lights on, 15 that's going to have a negative impact on the 16 17 State's economy.

So my personal opinion is yes, that's a credit positive, that if you have a state that proactively tries to prevent those types of health, safety and wellness crises within their state to have a healthy vibrant city, it's good to make the state healthy and vibrant.

But isn't it in the first instance the job of the 24 Q. city government to fulfill those needs and address 25

18

19

20

21

22

Page 33 Page 35 1 those concerns? 1 get out sooner. That would be something we learned during, you know, using or relying on Public Act 4. 2 A. That's how we've set it up. 2 And are you saying that in the case of Detroit, city 3 O. We also looked at, you know, various 3 4 government did not fulfill those needs? 4 criticisms and we tried to put more local I think we've found there are circumstances where involvement into Public Act 436. So, for example, 5 A. 5 local units have been unable to provide essential 6 you'll see if the locals don't like a decision, a 6 7 services or gotten themselves too far into debt that 7 material decision being made by a manager, they're it becomes very difficult to navigate out of. given a chance to come up with a better idea. And 8 8 Q. What was your understanding of the repeal of PA 4? 9 9 there's various ingredients like that that we added How did that operate practically? Did that mean, to address some of the criticisms of PA 4. 10 10 11 based on your understanding, that there was no 11 O. So in enacting PA 436 after the repeal of PA 4, it was not your view that the Legislature and the 12 emergency manager law as of the date of that repeal? 12 Governor were going against the will of the voters? 13 A. My memory is the Attorney General told us that upon 13 I think we tried to accommodate the criticisms we the repeal of PA 4, PA 72 was the law that we should 14 A. 14 15 follow. 15 heard during the campaign. Well, the voters didn't -- they didn't like the EM 16 Q. And but didn't -- wasn't that opinion struck down by 16 Q. the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan? law. They thought it was a dictatorship, they 17 17 A. I don't recall that. 18 thought it was undemocratic. 18 Q. Okay. Was that opinion challenged in court? How specifically did 436 address the 19 19 It may have been. I don't recall. concern of, you know, the EM law being a 20 A. 20 And you don't know what the result of that legal dictatorship? 21 O. 21 22 challenge was? 22 A. Well, for example, one of the changes were, you know, it wasn't just right to emergency. We had a 23 A. I don't ever remember that PA 72 was not a law that 23 we at Treasury were supposed to rely upon during path for a consent agreement, we had a path for 24 24

Page 34

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Page 36

PA 436 took effect. 1

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. So let's turn to PA 436 real quick. 2

Why was PA 436 implemented if PA 72 was in

these windows where PA 4 was repealed and before

Because the same reason we put PA 4 in place. We Α. thought PA 72 could be improved upon. So after the election there's a few meetings where we really did gather what were the criticisms of PA 4 and looked to see if we could improve PA 4 to make it address those concerns.

And then as we had worked with PA 4 for a period of time, we identified some areas that we would want to seek improvement, and I'll give you one example. Often times we would want to give the reigns, the power back to the local electeds, and in order to do that under Public Act 4 you'd have to end the emergency. And we were uncomfortable about that because we were prepared to give -- return the power before we were a hundred percent certain that the financial emergency was over.

So if you see in 436 what we did was we put in place something called a Transition Advisory Board, and that allows us to transfer power back to the Mayor and the City Councils without having to terminate the emergency status, so it allows us to

then the fourth option was an actual Chapter 9 in case someone was really out of cash.

emergency, we had a path for a restructuring, and

So we tried to create options for the local units and we tried to give them a chance to come up with better ideas if they didn't like the plans of the manager. From the meetings I sat in, I think there was a sincere effort to address that. And, you know, my memory was that the vote on PA 4 was not a landslide. It was actually -- there was not anyone advocating for the protection of PA 4, and the vote was pretty close.

If -- it wasn't one of six ballot measures and the only one -- I think it was the only one that you wanted a vote the other way. I forget whether it was yes or no kept the law, but it was the only one where I think you had to vote yes to keep it and all the other ones, you know, required a no vote.

So it was a pretty close vote without one advocate out there saying why this law makes sense. And in my experience, I don't know that a lot of people spent a lot of time really reading through PA 4 and why it was necessary.

23 O. Did any of the changes between PA 4 and PA 436 deal specifically with the ability of the emergency manager to file bankruptcy?

Page 37 Page 39 1 A. I don't recall if there's differences there. 1 A. Can you restate the question? 2 Q. In your discussions with Mr. Orr, did you discuss 2 Q. During your consideration of PA 436 and your with him the differences between PA 4 and PA 436? discussions about it, did anyone ever come out and 3 3 4 A. I don't recall. I do know that we spent time 4 say anything like let's try to modify Section 24 of the Constitution? 5 briefing him on how 436 works, and I know he spent a 5 No, but when we did Public Act 4 we had this lot of time reading the statutes, and I think he had 6 A. 6 7 a good understanding of what 436 was, but in terms 7 discussion. of a discussion where we compared the two, I don't Okay. And what was said in that discussion? 8 8 Q. 9 9 A. I asked various lawyers that were involved, you 10 Q. Give me one second. Did you have any role -- I'm know, how does this shake out? You know, you have 10 sorry. We okay? 11 these -- you know, can you -- the key item of PA 4 11 12 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We haven't gone off the 12 that raised a lot of concerns was the ability to temporarily modify CBAs, and I have a different unit 13 record. 13 MR. SHERWOOD: Good. 14 14 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 15 So we discussed this provision when we 15 Q. Did you have any role in the drafting of PA 436? drafted PA 4, and the answer I recall getting at the 16 16 Not in the drafting, but as I indicated earlier, time was that you have these competing provisions; 17 17 there was some meetings probably late November, 18 the responsibility to provide for the public, health 18 early December about trying to address and improve safety and welfare as well as that you can't impair 19 19 Public Act 4. contracts. 20 20 And I believe there's a case back in the 21 So there was some high-level themes that I 21 attended meetings and discussed, but in terms of the 22 thirties, and don't hold me to this, but I think 22 23 actual drafting of language, I didn't have any role 23 there was one case that addressed this issue a long in that. time ago. So in my mind the issue was resolved for 24 24 25 Q. Let's mark this as Exhibit 2. 25 me during the PA 4 discussions, so when 436 Page 38 Page 40 resurfaced I didn't revisit the discussion but 1 1 others may have. 2 (Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked.) 2 3 Q. Was it your understanding based on your experience 3 MS. NELSON: Do you have a copy that I can and knowledge somehow under the authority of PA 436 4 4 look at? that the State of Michigan or the City of Detroit 5 5 6 MR. WERTHEIMER: For the record, all of us 6 could disregard the constitutional provision 7 have seen this before. 7 protecting pension and retirement benefits? BY MR. SHERWOOD: I'm sorry, could you read it? 8 8 A. 9 All right. So we've marked as D-2 Section 24 of the 9 MR. SHERWOOD: You can read it back. State Constitution. It's just an excerpt of the (Reporter read pending question.) 10 10 Constitution which says "The accrued financial THE WITNESS: Could you read it one more 11 11 benefits of each pension plan and retirement system time? 12 12 of the State and its political subdivision shall be (Reporter read record as follows: 13 13 a contractual obligation thereof which shall not be "Q. Was it your understanding based on your 14 14 diminished or impaired thereby." 15 15 experience and knowledge somehow under the Are you familiar with this provision of the authority of PA 436 that the State of 16 16 State Constitution? Michigan or the City of Detroit could 17 17 I am aware it existed and I now just read it. disregard the constitutional provision 18 A. 18 19 Okay. Based on your review and understanding of PA 19 protecting pension and retirement 436, does PA 436 in any way impact Section 24 of the benefits?"). 20 20 Michigan Constitution? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think PA 436 gave 21 21 MS. NELSON: Objection; calls for a legal you that right. I think you have economic 22 22 23 conclusion. 23 realities. BY MR. SHERWOOD: For example, I have a different unit where 24 24 25 Q. I just want your understanding. their pension fund is funded at less than 10 25

In Re: City of Detroit, Debtor

Treasurer Andrew Dillon
October 10, 2013

October 10, 2013 Page 41 Page 43 1 percent, and I do recall asking for legal advice 1 evidence. 2 about if that thing runs to zero, what happens? And 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I think those it's a unit that can't afford to raise taxes or 3 3 are decisions that would be made by a judge at some 4 service that. 4 point. BY MR. SHERWOOD: And the memory I have is that, yeah, it's 5 5 Well, didn't the Governor make that decision by still there in the Constitution, but if the unit 6 6 7 can't pay the pension they can't pay the pension. 7 appointing the emergency manager? So I would say 436 --8 A. I don't believe so. 8 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 9 Q. Wasn't one of the purposes of 436 to enable an 9 Why doesn't that logic also apply to the bondholder emergency manager to file Chapter 9? O. 10 10 creditors of the City of Detroit? If the unit can't 11 A. I -- I mean, it was in PA 72, it was in PA 4, it was 11 pay, doesn't have enough to pay its pension in 436. I don't think that PA 436 changed that. In 12 12 obligations and its obligations to Wall Street, why fact, the law we were relying on at the time was 13 13 doesn't that logic also apply? PA 72 that allowed for filing of a Chapter 9, so I 14 14 MS. NELSON: Objection; calls for a legal don't think I accept that premise. 15 15 conclusion and for speculation. Let's -- this has been marked a hundred times, but 16 Q. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm not certain that it let's mark this as Dillon 3. It's the July 18th, 17 17 doesn't. If the unit doesn't have the money to pay 2013 authorization letter. 18 18 their bondholders, there's a problem, and I guess 19 19 that's what Chapter 9 is for or some type of effort (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked.) 20 20 to resolve it in a different way. 21 21 We do that all the time working with units 22 22 MR. WERTHEIMER: It's now Orr 11, Snyder 2 to see if we can restructure and help them 23 23 and Dillon 3. restructure debts that they may have. But if MR. SHERWOOD: Orr 11, Snyder 2 and 24 24 25 there's no money to pay, whether it be payroll or a 25 Dillon 3, okay. Page 42 Page 44 pension or a bondholder, there's no money. BY MR. SHERWOOD: 1 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 2 Treasurer Dillon, I assume you've seen Dillon 3 3 Q. But I think you testified earlier that, you know, before? 3 because certain bondholders have the protection of 4 A. Yes. 4 entitlement to revenue streams that they should have 5 O. Okay. Did you review this in preparation for your 5 exclusive claims to those streams; is that right? 6 6 deposition today? 7 I don't know if I said they should have, but I think 7 A. I did take a glance at it, yes. A. Turning to the last page in the contingencies that if they've done their legal work and they've O. 8 8 paragraph, that's a reference to PA 436. 9 got the right to that stream, I think the courts 9 will recognize they have the right to that revenue It says "...my approval of the 10 10 recommendation to commence a Chapter 9 proceeding 11 11 12 Q. By the same token, the holders of vested pension and 12 may place contingencies on such a filing.... I am retirement benefits have the protection of the 13 choosing not to impose any such contingencies today. 13 Constitution of the State of Michigan which prevents 14 Federal law already contains the most important 14 those benefits from being diminished or impaired in 15 contingency - a requirement that the plan be legally 15 any way. 16 executable." 16 Why is it that they have to make sacrifice 17 Are you familiar with that language? 17 in the context of the Chapter 9 case but not the 18 A. 18 I am. bondholders? 19 O. Did you help the Governor draft this letter? 19 20 A. I did not. 20 MS. NELSON: Objection; form, foundation, assumes facts not in evidence. There's no plan Did you see it in draft form before it went out? 21 Q. 21 that's even been filed that suggests that. I did not. 22 22 A.

23 Q.

24

25

Okay. In PA 436, do you have an understanding of

why that legislation provided that the Governor

could place contingencies on a Chapter 9 filing?

MR. SHERWOOD: You can object to form.

improper hypothetical, and assumes facts not in

MS. NELSON: Form, foundation, speculation,

23

24

23

24

25

In	Re: (City of Detroit, Debtor			Treasurer Andrew Dilloi October 10, 2013
		Page 45			Page 47
1	A.	I wasn't part of the drafting of the language, so I	1	В	Y MR. SHERWOOD:
2		don't feel that I can answer that question.		Q.	Did you view the conversation as confidential?
3	_	During the time leading up to the issuance of this		A.	Yes.
4		letter on July 18th, 2013, did you have discussions		Q.	Did you say anything that you wouldn't have said if
5		with anybody about this contingency provision of	5	_	a lawyer was not in the room?
6		436?		A.	I don't believe so. I don't recall all the
	A.	I believe there was a yes, I did.	7		specifics of that discussion.
8	_	And who did you have those discussions with?	8	_	But you do know that the contingency provision of PA
9		I don't recall specifically. I had there was a	9	_	436 was discussed on that call, right?
10		conference call, I believe, of the Governor's		A.	Yes.
11		folks from the Governor's office as well as some	_	Q.	And then there was a follow-up call which when
12		from Treasury where we discussed the pros and cons	12	_	did that call take place? Can you tell me the date
13		of the issue and that was, you know, days before the	13		of the call, approximately?
14		Governor's letter came out.		A.	No, but it would be within a week of the Governor's
15		And then I had a brief conference call with	15		letter coming out, I believe.
16		some Jones Day lawyers about the concept of it as		Q.	Okay. And then the call with Jones Day that you
17		well.	17	_	also described, did that happen before, did that
18		All right. So I think you talked about two	18		happen later?
19		conversations?		A.	I believe it happened before that conference call.
20		I believe that's what I recall.		Q.	Okay. So first there was a conference call where
21		All right. So let's leave out the Jones Day	21	_	Jones Day participated, and who was on that call?
22		discussion for now.		A.	There was I don't recall specifically. The call
23		During the first conversation	23		happened in my office. I probably had one or two of
24		discussion, what was said about this provision in PA	24		my staff on the call, and then who was on the other
25		436 concerning contingencies?	25		end of Jones Day, I don't recall any names, to be
		Page 46			Page 48
1		MS. NELSON: Objection; attorney-client	1		honest with you.
2		privilege.	2	Q.	Did you ever suggest to the Governor that in
3	BY	Y MR. SHERWOOD:	3		authorizing the filing of Chapter 9 the Governor
4	Q.	Were attorneys present during that conference?	4		should place a contingency on his authorization that
5		MS. NELSON: You need to answer verbally.	5		prohibited the emergency manager from violating the
6		THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Yes, I believe Mike	6		constitutional rights of the City's pension and
7		Gadola was on the conference call.	7		benefit claimants?
8	BY	Y MR. SHERWOOD:	8	A.	I don't recall having done that.
9	Q.	Who is Mike Gadola?	9	Q.	Was that your view?
10	A.	He's the Governor's general counsel.	10	A.	I don't believe so. I mean, I appreciated that we
11	Q.	And was he there to give legal advice?	11		had an issue here, but I didn't tell the Governor
12	A.	I assume so.	12		hey, you can't do that without having a contingency
13	Q.	All right. But when you were when you can do	13		in this constitutional provision.
14		nothing but assume he was there. He was just there?	14	Q.	Did the Governor ever solicit your point of view
15		He wasn't there providing legal counsel to the folks	15		with respect to that issue?
16		on the phone?	16	A.	No.
17	A.	That was my understanding, that he was the	17	Q.	Did you ever suggest to the Governor that the use of
18		Governor's general counsel and he was advising us on	18		the language that's set forth in D-3 under
19		that issue.	19		contingencies, that the use of that language was a
20	Q.	Did you view the conversation as one that was	20		way to sort of punt the issue to the federal court?
21		confidential and privileged? Did you say anything	21	A.	No. I didn't discuss any of this paragraph with the
22		that you wouldn't say if a lawyer was in the room?	22		Governor.
0.0		MC NELCON, Which appetion would you like			VIDEO TECHNICIANI, Comptomy Dillon, viguino

23

24

25

VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Secretary Dillon, you're

losing your microphone.

BY MR. SHERWOOD:

him to answer first? You have two questions there.

MR. SHERWOOD: Okay.

MS. NELSON: Which question would you like

21

22

23

24 25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 51

Page 49

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- So you're not aware of any discussions where the use 2 of this language in D-3 was viewed as a way to avoid
- 3 having to make a decision as to the constitutional
- 4 protections for pension benefits and the like?
- The first time I saw this letter was on freep dot 5 A.
- com, so I didn't have discussions with the Governor 6 7 about this provision.
- Did you ever discuss just the idea with the Governor 8 O. 9 of how it would -- how he would authorize the filing
- of a Chapter 9 given the constitutional protection 10 11 for vested pension and retirement benefits?
- 12 A. I don't recall any specific discussion in that context. 13
- 14 Q. What about general discussions in that context?
- Yeah, I don't recall. I mean, I may have shared 15 A. with him the advice I got about another unit who I 16 was worried about where I knew that they didn't have 17 18 any funding in their pension plan and that when the money runs out, you know, the view was that the 19

We may have -- I may have shared that opinion I got from a lawyer, but I don't remember the specific date or time or window when that may have been shared, but I'm pretty certain I probably

State was not liable for making up that difference.

did share that concept with him.

marked as Dillon 4, and I realize these are emails that you probably have not seen before, but they are emails that were sent by the emergency manager where he describes the new EM law as a "end around the prior initiative that was rejected by the voters in

MS. NELSON: I'm going to object to your characterization it was sent by the emergency manager.

At the date of January 31st, 2013, Kevyn Orr was not the emergency manager.

MR. SHERWOOD: Okay. And you can only object to form and privilege so, please, no more speaking objections.

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 15

November."

- Would you agree with Mr. Orr's statement on 16 January 31st, 2013, that the EM law was a "end 17 18 around the prior initiative that was rejected by the voters in November"? 19
- 20 A. I don't. I recall sincere meetings where we examined what were the criticisms of the PA 4 and 21 22 tried to address them in the new legislation.
- 23 Q. So you don't agree with his characterization?
- 24 A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

25 Q. Do you know -- if you look down to the bottom

Page 50

Page 52

- 1 0. During your conversations with the Governor, did you -- either you or the Governor indicate to one 2 another that you were looking for a way to avoid the 3 constitutional obligation to not impair the rights 4 of vested pensions and benefits? 5 6
 - MS. NELSON: Objection; asked and answered. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Can you read that question back?

(Reporter read pending question.)

THE WITNESS: We never had a discussion about the desire to circumvent the Constitution in any way.

MR. SHERWOOD: Can we take a five-minute break at this point?

VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record at 10:21 a.m.

(A brief recess was taken.)

(Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked.)

21 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're back on the record 22 23 at 10:30 a.m.

BY MR. SHERWOOD: 24

25 Q. Okay, Treasurer Dillon, I've showed you what's been

paragraph where Mr. Orr states that "...although the new law provides the thin veneer of a revision it is essentially a redo of the prior rejected law and appears to merely adopt the conditions necessary for a Chapter 9 filing."

Do you agree with that statement? 7 No, because I -- we spoke earlier about the tab A. added, the four options that the locals have, the 8 9 18-month window for which an EM can serve.

So, I mean, those were sincere efforts on 10 the part of the Governor as well as my staff to 11 address issues that were raised during the ballot 12 initiative. 13

- 14 Q. So you disagree with this statement by Mr. Orr as well; is that your testimony? 15
- 16 A. I disagree with his characterization.
- 17 O. Does the new law 436 adopt the conditions necessary 18
- for a Chapter 9 filing?
- I believe it does. I don't have a legal opinion to 19 A. that effect, but I think it's -- 72 had it, 4 had it 20
- and I believe 436 has it. So we didn't need 436 21
- because we had 72 at the time, so --22
- 23 O. Was there any discussion that you were a part of where the start date for Mr. Orr was discussed? 24
- 25 A. Sure. Yes.

25 A.

Page 53 Page 55 1 O. And was there ever a discussion about sort of 1 O. Why was it that people from the State were at a 2 coordinating the start date for Mr. Orr with the meeting to select counsel for the City of Detroit? 2 expiration of the old EM law? 3 A. 3 Well, the City, as you might recall at the time, was 4 A. I don't recall. 4 under a consent agreement, and we were struggling Q. Do you recall that initially the start date for with that and we were bringing in some professionals 5 5 Mr. Orr was going to be somewhere in mid March of to help with the City. And December it involved an 6 6 7 7 investment bank and some restructuring firms; E and A. I believe -- my memory is his actual start date had Y and Conway MacKenzie, and then the last piece of 8 8 more to do with his schedule than ours. 9 9 the puzzle was the law firm. 10 O. Did his start date have anything to do with the 10 O. And before that meeting, where did the search for an 11 expiration of the old EM law and the -- I guess the 11 emergency manager stand? How many candidates -- how start date for the new EM law, 436? many serious candidates did you guys have at that 12 12 13 A. I don't believe so. point? 13 14 O. So you weren't party to any conversations with 14 A. Before the -- what meeting? Mr. Orr or the Governor where it was discussed that 15 15 Q. Before the meeting at the airport with the law the start date for the EM should sort of coincide 16 firms. 16 with either the expiration of the old law or the At the Jones Day? 17 17 A. 18 effective date of the new law? 18 I don't recall specifically but there 19 A. I don't recall that discussion. It's not that it wasn't a lot. You know, we -- at that point I would 19 didn't happen, I just don't recall it. say we thought we had very few candidates that, A, 20 20 21 Q. Yeah, because the effective date of the new law is could do it and, B, were willing to do it. 21 March 28th, 2013, and I believe that's the same date 22 22 O. And how did it develop that Mr. Orr was identified that he was formally appointed. Isn't that right? 23 as a candidate? Did it happen at that meeting or 23 My memory is he served three days under 72 give or 24 24 A. after that meeting? take and then the new law kicked in, so he actually 25 25 A. I believe it was after that meeting Rich called me, Page 54 Page 56 served under both is my memory. Rich Baird called me and said what do you think of 1 1 O. Okay. Do you -- were you part of the search team Orr? And it was just a phone conversation is how it 2 2 for the emergency manager? started is my memory. 3 3 4 A. I don't think we had an official search team, but 4 O. And before that meeting, your only knowledge of or ves. I was involved. exposure to Mr. Orr was his being part of the Jones 5 5 Q. Who else was involved with you? 6 Day pitch team; is that fair to say? 7 A. Primarily Rich Baird. 7 A. Right. 8 O. And were you at the meeting on I think it was O. And your first notice that Mr. Orr was a prospect 8 9 January 28th, 2013, at the airport in Detroit where 9 was -- came from Mr. Baird? the law firms were interviewed? 10 10 A. Right. Yes. 11 O. Do you know whose idea it was to propose Mr. Orr as 11 A. 12 Q. And Mr. Baird was there as well? 12 a candidate? 13 A. Yes. 13 A. I believe it was Mr. Baird. 14 Q. And I think Mr. Buckfire was there? 14 Q. And what was your reaction? 15 A. Most likely. I was favorably inclined to explore it. We had only 15 A. 16 O. Anyone else on the side of the City and the State met him for -- I forget how long those interviews 16 that you remember? 17 lasted but give or take an hour. So I had never met 17 I believe Tom Saxton and Brom Stibitz from Treasury him before then, so my experience with him is 18 A. 18 19 were there. I believe Chris Andrews and Jack Martin 19 from the City were there. I believe we may have had 20 O. 20 What was it about Mr. Orr that in your view made him some members of the Financial Advisory Board there. qualified to be the emergency manager? 21 21 There may have been a few others I don't recall. There's two primary attributes that I appreciated. 22 22 A. 23 Q. Had you known or heard of Mr. Orr before that 23 One was he had a restructuring background which meeting? clearly we needed and we'd been struggling in the 24 24 City, both from an operational as well as a balance No. 25

Page 59

Page 60

sheet restriction.
The other is n
dealing with emerg

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11 The other is my experience as Treasurer dealing with emergencies in other cities, it's really important that the manager has the right personality because there's a way to do the job and a way that calms the critics and the community, and there's a way to kind of ruffle feathers. And I liked Mr. Orr's disposition. I thought he would have the ability to communicate a clear message as to the reason why what is being done is being done, and I thought that in many ways that is in large

measure probably the most important requirement.
Q. Did there come a time when you expressed your support of Mr. Orr as the potential emergency manager?
A. Yeah. I had one meeting with him is my memory, and

it was a lunch really and it was more social -- as much social as business related, but coming away from that meeting I was impressed and supportive, and I know Rich was doing a lot of the groundwork to

and I know Rich was doing a lot of the groundwork to
vet him as a potential candidate and I trust Rich's
judgment.
During those meetings with Mr. Orr, did you discuss

the path to Chapter 9 for the City of Detroit?

25 A. No. I think it was more us sharing with him what

1 he -- did you or he address the political issues

that were confronted by the Governor in terms of the

emergency manager statute and treatment of

4 retirement and pension benefits for the City

5 employees?

6 A. I don't recall that.

7 Q. You don't recall that at all?

8 A. I don't recall the specifics of our discussion. I
9 remember the lunch meeting where I think it was, as
10 I said before, more of us sharing with him what the
11 role of an EM is like and less some lessons that
12 were learned by us.

It wasn't like -- I don't recall any circumstance where I was with Kevyn and I felt like I was getting a tutorial about how did we get into Chapter 9. I don't have any memory of something like that.

18 Q. But during those discussions certainly you discussed
19 the pension exposure, the exposure to the pension
20 and the obligation to pay retiree benefits and the
21 impact that -- of that on the financial affairs of
22 the City of Detroit, didn't you?

A. We would have discussed the City's cash position
because that was front and center at the time. You
know, do they have enough cash to navigate through

Page 58

Page 57

3

13

14

15

16

17

the next year was probably the biggest issue

our experience is in dealing with emergencies and how the law works, and in a way I think it was more information coming from Treasury to Orr than the other way around.

During the Jones Day legal presentation at the

airport on the 28th of January, did Jones Day lay out to the group a path to Chapter 9 for the City of Detroit?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Did they provide a written slide show or
 11 presentation that laid out bankruptcy issues and
 12 restructuring issues?

13 A. We interviewed six firms that day, I believe. I
14 don't remember the specifics of any particular
15 pitch. I do know that Chapter 9 was a discussion,

you know, in probably most all of the firms that we met with, but I don't -- I have zero memory of any

met with, but I don't -- I have zero memory of any discussion about a path.

19 Q. And just for the record, I've been saying the

January 28th meeting in the airport. I'm told that it's really January 29th.

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. So, for the record, we're talking about the same meeting.

During your discussions with Mr. Orr, did

the next year was probably the biggest issue.
 And I suspect we would have high-level
 discussions about the balance sheet of the City, but

there was no discussion about, you know, how do you
circumvent any liability and there was no talk about
hair cutting bondholders or pensioners or walking

7 away from health care, but there was general

8 discussions I'm sure about the condition of the9 balance sheet.

10 Q. And you don't recall any specific discussions with
 11 Mr. Orr in all of your interaction with him where
 12 pension and health care obligations of the City
 13 were discussed and plans for dealing with those
 14 obligations were discussed?

MS. NELSON: I'm going to object to form and foundation. Is there a time frame?

BY MR. SHERWOOD:

18 Q. Well, I guess it would be January --19 MS. NELSON: You said all his

20 conversations. Are you --

MR. SHERWOOD: January 28th through the filing date of July 18th.

MR. WERTHEIMER: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 BY MR. SHERWOOD:

15

16

Page 61 Page 63 1 O. So you had discussions with him about those issues? 1 A. I believe he did. 2 A. Yes. 2 O. And you guys all had that understanding before the 3 O. And what did you say and what did he say? 3 bankruptcy was filed, correct? 4 MS. NELSON: Well, I'm going to object 4 A. because that will intrude on attorney-client Q. And was it your understanding in the course of the 5 5 privileged communications, so you're going to have restructuring of the City of Detroit that a proposal 6 6 7 to parse it out. 7 was made on June 14th to address those liabilities? BY MR. SHERWOOD: I attended that and I probably flipped through the 8 8 A. Did you have any conversations without counsel book during the presentation, and I believe there 9 9 present? was an area that covered that topic, yes. 10 10 11 A. Yes. 11 O. And would you describe the treatment of the claims 12 Q. Okay. And what was said during those? 12 of the pensions and retirement systems as being I mean, there was dozens of conversation so it's diminished or impaired under that proposal? 13 A. 13 hard for me to pick out one particular one and have 14 A. I'd like to see it before I comment on it. 14 15 a clear memory of what was said. 15 Q. You'd like to see the proposal? 16 Q. Did you talk about the number, how much of -- how 16 A. The language in there, yeah. much the pension was underfunded with Mr. Orr 17 O. While they're looking for it, do you know -- if you 17 outside the presence of counsel? 18 look at -- and I'm sorry, everybody's seen this, but 18 There was discussions about what the funding status it has been previously marked as Snyder 3, and this 19 A. 19 of the pensions was, and it was and continues to be is the June 14th proposal for creditors. 20 20 a bit of a moving target. So we discussed that yes, And if you turn to page 109 there is a 21 21 22 there's a study being done to estimate what is the 22 underlined bullet point on treatment of pensions. MR. WERTHEIMER: Just for the record, 23 current funding status of the pension funds. 23 Did you discuss with him outside the presence of that's one or another of us line. It isn't on the 24 Q. 24 25 counsel the cost of health care to the retired City 25 original document. Page 62 Page 64 employees and the impact of that on the City's MR. SHERWOOD: Yeah. 1 1 finances going forward? 2 THE WITNESS: I recall this and my memory 2 3 A. I'm sure we did. is that the intent of this document was to lay out 3 4 Q. Did you discuss with him the fact that Section 24 of 4 the facts for the creditors so that they could understand the financial condition of the City. 5 5 6 MR. WERTHEIMER: Article 9 Section 24. 6 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 7 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 7 Q. Can I have it back? Oh, you lost the page. Q. Article 9 Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution 8 A. 8 Sorry. 9 provided that financial benefits of each pension 9 Q. That's okay, I'll find it. 10 plan and retirement system shall not be diminished 10 But it does say at the bottom of page 109 or impaired? that "Given the underfunding amount, there must be 11 11 12 A. There was a general understanding that there was a 12 significant cuts in accrued vested pension amounts constitutional protection of pensions that was 13 for both active and currently retired employees", 13 understood by folks from day one. So I think it 14 14 would be a premise of all discussions that were had. 15 A. 15 That's what the document says. 16 O. That was something that you understood, right? And would you -- is it your view that the -- that 16 I understood that there was a constitutional 17 significant cuts in accrued vested pension amounts 17 for both active and currently retired persons is 18 provision, yes. 18 And based on your discussions with Mr. Orr, did you consistent with the Michigan Constitution, 19 O. 19 understand that he understood the constitutional 20 20 Section 24? protection? 21 A. That's a legal question that in my mind the courts 21 I'm -- I believe he understood there was a provision will decide. 22 A. 22 23 in the Michigan Constitution that addressed this 23 Q. Okay. But it's really not a legal question. It's pretty obvious that it is a violation of the 24 24 **25** Q. And certainly the Governor understood that as well? 25 Constitution, isn't it?

Page 67

Page 65

- 1 A. I don't agree with that.
- MS. NELSON: Objection; argumentative. 2
- BY MR. SHERWOOD: 3
- 4 And without giving your -- as a Treasurer, as a
- former Legislator, is it your view or do you agree 5
- that the proposed treatment on June 14th, 2013, 6
- 7 providing for cuts in accrued vested pension amounts
- for both active and currently retired persons would 8
- be violative of Section 24 of the Michigan 9
- Constitution? 10
- 11 A. No, because that doesn't provide for it. To my mind, and this is how this Governor does business, 12
- is he hires good people and lets them do their job. 13

To me that document was laying out the 14 facts for creditors so they could understand the 15 financial condition of City. 16

- So this wasn't a proposal even though it's -- even 17 O. 18 though the title of the document is proposal for
- creditors? 19
- I think he's just laying out the facts. This is the 20 A.
- economic reality of the City of Detroit. From 21
- there, as you know, there was various meetings with 22
- 23 various creditors to discuss can we get this thing
- settled out of court. 24
- 25 Q. Did you participate in any of those meetings?

- I was mostly just listening because I was getting an update about how things were going. 2
- What was the -- what did he say? 3 O.
- 4 A. The only specific memory I have would be the one dealing with the SWOPS, discussions with the SWOP 5
- providers and whether or not there could be a 6
- 7 settlement reached with them.
- 8 O. What did Mr. Orr say about the SWOPS?
- He reached an agreement with two of the SWOP 9 A.
- providers that he could get a discount on the monies 10 11 owed on the SWOPS, and that's my only memory of a
- specific -- I knew every week that he was meeting 12 with various creditors, but that's the only one that 13
- I remember kind of a specific deliverable for. 14
- And do you recall anything else about those 15 Q. nonprivileged conversations? 16

Did he report that the negotiations were going well, that they were going poorly, that they were not going at all, anything along those lines or do you just recall the specific discussion about the SWOPS?

- 22 A. Yeah. I -- there was, I think, just general
- comments that they weren't real productive, right, 23
- that we weren't making progress. 24
- 25 Q. Did he say why?

Page 66

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

Page 68

- 1 A. I don't believe so.
- Were you given reports by the emergency manager as 2
- to how those meetings were going? 3
- 4 A. We typically had a weekly either meeting or call where we were given an update on the status of 5
- 6
- 7 Q. Who was on the weekly meeting call?
- A. It would be Kevyn and some of the members from his 8
- 9 team, various members of the Governor's office as well as my office.
- 10
- O. And what was reported in terms of the progress that 11 the emergency manager was or wasn't making with the 12
- out-of-court negotiations? 13
- MS. NELSON: I'm going to object to the 14 extent that it calls for attorney-client 15
- communications and instruct him not to answer. 16
 - That, in fact, is what it calls for.
- BY MR. SHERWOOD: 18
- 19 Did you have any communications with Mr. Orr outside
- the presence of counsel --20
- 21 A.

17

- -- concerning -- concerning negotiations with **22** O.
- 23 creditors before the Chapter 9?
- 24 A.
- 25 Q. And what did you say during those communications?

I'm sure he did, but it would require going through 1 A. each of the various creditors that he met with at the time so I don't have specific memories of each.

The only one I have a specific memory right 4 now about would be very difficult discussions with 5 6 the suretys, the insurance companies, a lot of 7 unwillingness to embrace what the economic realities were, and then a lot of concern about the number of 8 9 retirees and the unions not wanting to represent the 10 retirees, making it difficult to negotiate for

- 20,000 people. 11 12 Q. Did he say it was impossible to negotiate with all
- 13 of the creditors of the City of Detroit? Did he reach that conclusion in your presence? 14
- I don't recall the specific words he used but 15 A.
- clearly he was expressing that it was very difficult 16 to work and negotiate with a pool of creditors that 17
- include 20,000 individuals, yes. 18

(Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked.)

21 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 22

23 O. Treasurer Dillon, we've marked as Dillon 5 an email from you dated July 9th to the Governor and others. 24

Are you familiar with this email?

19

20

Page 69 Page 71 1 A. Yes. 1 questions about his view on the Detroit pensions was 2 O. And it says that "Kevyn will meet with the Detroit 2 to just say it was too early in the process and you pensions tomorrow after all." 3 were still in the informational stage; is that 3 4 I want to ask you about the word after all. 4 right? Was there a suggestion before you wrote this email 5 A. That's right. 5 that Kevyn was not going to meet with the Detroit 6 And this was before the Governor authorized 6 7 pensions? 7 Chapter 9 filing, correct? A. Yeah. I think before that there was some thought 8 A. Correct. 8 9 Q. Did that -- did your view of the Governor's -- what 9 that that meeting was going to get cancelled. 10 Q. And who was going to cancel it? the Governor's position should be change before 10 11 A. My memory is Kevyn might have. There was a lawsuit 11 July 18th, in the next week? that was filed that I think caused some 12 12 A. No. consternation about whether or not he should meet MR. SHERWOOD: All right. I'm going to 13 13 with them. stop here, Treasurer. Thank you. 14 14 I reserve the right if we have time to ask 15 Q. So initially Mr. Orr was considering not meeting 15 a question or two later, but I think as a courtesy with the pensions on July 10th, 2013, and then he 16 16 changed his mind and decided to meet with them? to my -- the other lawyers here I'm going to turn 17 17 A. My memory is there was a plan to meet with them, 18 over the mic to them. 18 then some lawsuits got filed which I think he Thank you for your testimony this morning. 19 19 contemplated not going forward with the meeting. Should we take a quick break? 20 20 And from reading this, apparently he went forward VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Off the record 11:02 21 21 22 with the meeting. 22 a.m. 23 O. Going down to the last paragraph it says "Tomorrow's 23 (A brief recess was taken.) meeting could lead to questions directed to you VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're back on the record 24 24 25 about your view on this topic." 25 at 11:06 a.m. Page 70 Page 72 Obviously, you is the Governor, and the **EXAMINATION** 1 1 BY MR. WERTHEIMER: Governor's view on this topic, I assume this topic 2 2 is the Detroit pensions. Would that -- is that 3 3 Mr. Dillon, my name is Bill Wertheimer. We've met 4 right? Am I right saying those things? 4 off the record. I'm going to be asking you some A. Right. questions. 5 5 6 So and then you -- then you say "...it's too 6 I represented and represent what we've called the Flowers Plaintiffs. That is one of the 7 early in the process to respond to hypothetical 7 questions. We remain in many ways in the group of retirees that filed lawsuits in state court 8 8 9 informational stage." 9 before the bankruptcy was filed. You indicated early in your testimony that Does that mean that at this point in time, 10 10 you were involved in some discussions shortly after July 9th, 2013, you were still in the informational 11 11 stage vis-a-vis the Detroit pensions? 12 you took office as Treasurer about replacing Public 12 13 A. We were learning things. We were learning about an 13 Act 72. Do you recall that? annuity program that the City had offered employees. 14 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 14 We were learning that there was alternative 15 O. You need to say your answer. 15 investments that were made that were not written 16 A. 16 down. We were learning what assumptions the 17 Q. And you talked about competing constitutional 17 City's actuarial firm was making versus the ones provisions, one of them being the constitutional 18 18 that Milliman was hired to really appreciate and provision relating to public health, safety, 19 19 understand what was the level of underfunding. welfare, correct? 20 20 So on that date in question I couldn't tell Correct. 21 21 A.

22 O.

25 A.

right?

That's right.

23

24

And as I understand it, your focus at the time had

to do with your ability to modify CBAs; is that

you that these funds were funded at X percent

because there was too many moving pieces to the

So your advice to the Governor was in response to

22

23

Page 75

Page 73

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 Q. Would the competing constitutional provision that 2 you were discussing at the time have been the
- impairment of contracts provision? 3
- 4 A.

7

18

19

20

21

22

24 25

- 5 Q. So it wasn't the provision dealing specifically with
- pensions? 6
- Correct. A. Am I right? 8 O.
- Right? 9 A.
- Okay, that's what I thought. 10 O.

11 Do you recall any discussions that dealt with the pension provision in those discussions that 12 led up to Public Act 4? 13

14 A. Not specifically, and if -- it may have been at the 15 time, but when I look back now my memory is really it was the two competing ones were the impairment of 16 contract and the health, safety and welfare. 17

> So not that we never discussed nine, but those were really the two that were the focal point for me, and it's very likely that the other Article 9 provision was discussed as well, but I don't have as much memory about that.

23 O. You don't have a memory about it.

When you were talking after the referendum where Public Act 4 went down and you're now talking kind of a general framework.

I mean, just generally speaking, Detroit was on our A. radar when we came in. We knew it was, you know, potentially in trouble. But the first six months I think that the dealings were rather limited. I recall we had some issues regarding Flint and DPS that predated our more active engagement with Detroit.

And then Detroit started to experiencing, you know, cash crunches. And one of the consultants we used at DPS, we asked if he would help with Detroit. That was Gora Mahatra (ph.) from Ernst and Young. And really the focus on the early end was just understanding the City's cash position and making certain that they would be able to meet payroll and their essential obligations.

And I had always told the Governor that to me kind of the trigger number was if the City got below 50 million in cash, I would come to him at that point and likely recommend that we begin a review, an emergency review. And that was kind of our benchmark is to -- I didn't want to be in a situation where the City got below 50 and then we're starting a review because it might be too late to help the City at that point.

Page 74

Page 76

about a replacement for that, were there any 1 2

- specific discussions relating to the Article 9
- provision; that is, the one relating to pensions? 3
- 4 A. Not to my memory.
- Do you recall any consideration at all as to whether 5
- 6 you should put any kind of contingencies in the
- 7 statute in -- at that point in the statute where
- you're giving the emergency manager or the City the 8
- 9 ability to file for bankruptcy?
- 10 A. I was not part of discussions in that regard, and I
- was not close to the actual drafting and movement of 11 12
 - the legislation through the Legislature.
- Okay. You have been -- would it be fair to say 13 Q.
- you've been closely involved in the Detroit 14
- situation from the time you took office in January 15
- of 2011? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- Could you briefly tell us what your role has been 18
- since then and how that role has changed, briefly, 19
- from January of 2011 up to date? 20
- Yeah. To the best I can, because it goes back a 21 A. long time. There's been a lot of activities in 22
- 23 between.
- 24 O. I understand. And we've got underlying documents with dates and stuff and titles, but I just want 25

- So on the early end it was a partnership with the City and just working with them, and then when the cash got tight, you know, we moved into the initial -- there was two reviews, right, the initial review which I think happened in '11 that led to a consent agreement and --
- 7 Q. And the consent agreement was when, approximately?
- 8 A. April, I think of '12 --
- 9 Q. '12, okay.
- 10 A. -- is my memory.

And so during that, prior to the consent agreement there was a lot of obviously negotiations to get to that point so that we had an understanding and that the City had the ability to address their issues on their own. And then it wasn't until December of '12 where I had a meeting with Chris Andrews, and the City had gone through -- don't hold me to the number -- but tens of millions of dollars of cash from September through December where their disposable cash was eroding rapidly.

And immediately after that meeting, I called the Governor and I said I think they're at the \$50 million threshold and I think we have to commence another review immediately. I believe that was because the law had changed. So the initial

Page 77 Page 79 1 review was no longer valid because it was done under 1 BY MR. WERTHEIMER: a prior law. So we initiated the new review in 2 2 I'll ask you to exclude any conversations where your December of '12 which led ultimately to the counsel was present, so either the one-on-ones or if 3 3 4 emergency manager's appointment. 4 in any of these group meetings you did not have Once the manager was appointed our attorneys present. 5 5 I don't have any specific memory of a discussion day-to-day active role diminished somewhat. 6 A. 6 7 Q. Let me ask you a question about that. 7 about Article 9 with Mr. Orr. Do you have one-on-one conversations with O. How about discussions about the fact that there was 8 8 Mr. Orr? this state provision that protected pensions? 9 9 Yes. I'm -- I presume that it was discussed early on and 10 A. 10 A. 11 Q. How often? 11 it was understood by people that there was this provision in the Constitution. 12 A. It varies. One-on-ones would be -- it could be 12 twice in a week or it could be zero in a week. 13 O. Including Mr. Orr? That is, I assume you're saying 13 that this came up in some way in your conversations? Depends what issues are brewing. 14 14 What about larger discussions with other people 15 Q. 15 A. ever, either in person or telephone conferences? 16 Q. Okay. Did it also come up in your conversations 16 How often with Mr. Orr since he's been appointed? that the only practical way to deal with this issue 17 17 We have a standing meeting on Mondays where it could 18 absent getting consent from the 20,000 retirees or 18 be face-to-face or it could be over the phone where the unions on their behalf was the filing of a 19 19 it's just a briefing on what happened last week, 20 Chapter 9? 20 what's happening next week, where are we. I don't recall that conversation. 21 21 A. Has your role stayed essentially the same from the 22 22 O. Isn't that, in fact, your understanding; that is, time Mr. Orr took over or did it at all change when isn't it your understanding as you sit here that the 23 23 he filed Chapter 9? only practical way that the State could have dealt 24 24 25 MS. NELSON: Objection; form, foundation. 25 with the State constitutional provision other than Page 78 Page 80 You said when he took over and then when he filed honoring it and the State coming in and making good 1 1 on the pensions was for a bankruptcy to be filed? Chapter 9. 2 2 MR. WERTHEIMER: Well, there were two Not necessarily. 3 A. 3 different times and I'm just trying to find out 4 Q. How else, as you sit here, do you think it could as 4 whether -a practical matter be dealt with? 5 5 MS. NELSON: Objection; calls for a legal BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 6 7 Q. Go ahead. 7 conclusion. A. I think it's pretty much the same. BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 8 9 Q. Okay. 9 Ο. Go ahead, Mr. Dillon. There's another unit that I referenced earlier that 10 A. When he first came in, we gave him time to find out 10 A. where the desks were and chairs and gave him time to has virtually no funding in their pension fund, 11 11 12 assemble and then -- but the weekly standing meeting 12 right? So, I mean, my understanding is the law is 13 was pretty much a given. 13 very unsettled here, right? At either the weekly meetings or in your one-on-one 14 O. Which law? 14 Q. conversations with Mr. Orr, have you ever discussed 15 A. That the law is unsettled. 15 with him either the subject of Article 9 Section 24 16 Q. Just the law generally? 16 of the Constitution specifically or generally the 17 A. Right. 17 fact that the State Constitution does have some 18 O. Go ahead. 18 special protections for pensions? So if you have a unit that basically exhausts all of 19 19 A. Has that subject matter come up in any of their pension monies and then has no means by which 20 20 to honor those pension payments, what happens? I these conversations? 21 21 MS. NELSON: Objection; attorney-client can't sit here and tell you, but I've had 22 22 23 privilege. If you want to go ahead and establish 23 discussions. I've asked for legal advice on what happens, and the advice I got was -whether those conversations occurred with or without 24 24 counsel, then he can appropriately answer. MS. NELSON: It's attorney-client 25 25

Page 81 1 privilege. 2 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 3 4 You know as you sit here -- I'm assuming, I'm asking -- that the Attorney General has filed papers 5 in the bankruptcy in which he has said that it's his 6 7 legal opinion that Article 9 Section 24 applies in the bankruptcy; do you not? 8 MS. NELSON: Objection to form and 9 foundation. As we indicated yesterday, an Attorney 10 11 General opinion has a specific -- you're saying 12 legal opinion. MR. WERTHEIMER: Margaret. 13 MS. NELSON: You're talking about a brief. 14 15 MR. WERTHEIMER: Margaret, you are entitled to make an objection. You are not entitled to 16 comment. 17 MS. NELSON: Well, your characterization of 18 a legal opinion is incorrect. So my objection is 19 form, foundation. 20 MR. WERTHEIMER: That's fine. 21 MS. NELSON: And calls for a legal 22 23 conclusion. MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you. 24 BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 25 Page 82

Page 83 I understand. That's what I thought you meant. Have you had any one-on-one discussions with the Governor about -- either specifically about Article 9 Section 24 or generally about the fact that there is a state constitutional provision that protects pensions? MS. NELSON: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer again. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. WERTHEIMER: The subject has never come up between the two of you? Well, you said one-on-one. 13 A. You're right, I did say one-on-one. 14 Q. Has it ever come up in group meetings without attorneys present? And what precisely was that again? Can we --17 A. A conversation in which you discussed either the specifics of Article 9 Section 24 or generally the fact that there is a state constitutional provision that protects pensions. 22 A. I don't recall. 23 O. Do you recall that in early July initially two lawsuits were filed against you in your official

Page 84

1 Q. Could you answer?

I'm aware that the Attorney General has intervened, 2 A. but I haven't read his brief and I don't know the 3 position he's taken. 4

5 Q. Haven't you read the press reports?

6 A.

17

18

19

22

7 Q. And, I mean, you know that the Attorney General's position is, would it be fair to say, not consistent 8 9

with the position that Emergency Manager Orr has

stated publicly to the Detroit Free Press and 10 others? 11

12 A. I don't mean to be difficult, but that's an overly broad statement because in my mind -- I haven't read 13 what the Attorney General is saying. He may be 14 acknowledging that this constitutional provision 15 exists, which I assume is one position. 16

> How that's dealt with in a Chapter 9 proceeding, I don't know if the AG's opined or taken a position on that, so I don't know.

Okay. Has the Attorney General ever communicated to 20 O. you as the head of Treasury the opinion that 21

Article 9 Section 24 applies in the bankruptcy?

23 I haven't discussed this topic with the Attorney

General. And by that I mean the person, Bill 24 Schuette. 25

related to what was going on in Detroit and this 1 2

pension provision we've been asking you about?

capacity and against the Governor in his that

з А. That rings a bell.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

19

20

21

24

25

4 Q. Okay. Did you learn -- do you recall whether you

learned about them the day they were filed? And if 5 it helps, they were filed on July 3rd. 6

7 A. I don't know the exact number but I think there are give or take a hundred lawsuits against the Governor 8

9 and I related to this topic, so I'm nervous about

saying I have specific memory on any particular one, 10 but --11

12 Q. You mean among these hundred cases you can't differentiate either the Flowers or the Webster case 13

or the case that the pension boards brought that 14 specifically dealt with the ability of the Governor 15

to authorize a bankruptcy in the face of Article 9 16

Section 24? You really can't differentiate?

18 A. I recall that those suits got filed. The day and the time I got notified, I don't recall. 19

Okay. Do you recall learning that there was going 20 Q. to be a hearing on requests for injunctive relief 21 22

that would have in some way precluded the Governor's ability to authorize a bankruptcy and that that

23 hearing was scheduled for July 22nd? 24

I recall that there was a hearing scheduled. I 25 A.

24

25

Governor?

Page 85 Page 87 1 don't recall the specific date. I don't remember the sequence of the dates so -- and I wasn't part of that decision so I --2 Q. Okay. But you knew about it before the hearing 2 3 itself? 3 Q. Okay. 4 A. Yes. 4 A. I'd have to see some documents to show, yeah, this 5 Q. A week, 10 days before? is the time schedule we discussed on such and such 5 A. I don't recall. date, and I don't remember the date the hearing was 6 7 O. Did you have any discussions internal at Treasury 7 scheduled on the Flowers case. about the fact that there was going to be this O. Let me show you what we marked yesterday at the 8 8 hearing at which a state court judge was going to be Governor's deposition Snyder Exhibit 6, and let me 9 9 asked to issue injunctive relief along the lines just direct your -- I'm going to show it to you but 10 10 I've suggested? 11 I'm going to direct your attention because there's a 11 12 MS. NELSON: Objection; attorney-client 12 lot of information in the document. privilege. If you want to sort that out because he It looks to me from the upper right as 13 13 does have as legal counsel Fred Headen. though this is a document created the 17th of July, 14 14 BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 15 which would have been the Wednesday, and it's a 15 Q. Again, let's exclude any conversations where your rollout plan that indicates that the Governor's 16 16 attorneys were present for the purpose of either going to sign the authorization 8 p.m. on Thursday 17 17 giving advice or potentially giving advice. 18 the 18th, and then the filing is going to be the 18 Did you have any conversations excluding morning of the 19th, and all kinds of events follow 19 19 those between the time you learned of the lawsuit that up to and including Fox News Sunday and George 20 20 and learned that there was going to be a hearing Stephanopoulos and Frank Beckman and you name it. 21 21 later in July? 22 A. 22 23 A. I don't recall any conversations where a lawyer was 23 O. Let me just ask you have you ever seen that document? not present for that topic. 24 24 25 Q. So you were -- and how many conversations did you 25 A. I don't have a specific memory of it. I think we Page 86 Page 88 have about that subject matter with lawyers present? met that Monday where the timeline was discussed. 1 1 I don't recall, but I would say three or less. A. 2 Q. The preceding Monday? 2 з А. 3 Q. Okay. Did you at any point learn that the Yeah. Governor's office planned to -- in conjunction with 4 Q. Which would have been the 15th? Am I right? 4 the Detroit Emergency Manager planned to file 5 A. I believe so. 5 6 bankruptcy the Friday before that Monday hearing or 6 O. 7 July 19th? 7 A. I don't know if this got circulated at that meeting or was just discussed. I was aware that there was a sequence of events, a 8 8 9 time schedule for when things would happen. And my 9 O. Well, does it refresh your memory as to what the memory was I wasn't -- I don't know if I wasn't in 10 plan was? 10 Lansing or I wasn't, you know, having meetings at Generally speaking, yes. 11 11 A. the Governor's office during that window and right 12 O. Okay. And the plan was to -- the Governor would 12 prior to the filing. 13 sign it Thursday night and Orr would file on Friday, 13 I wasn't having meetings in those three-14 14 and four-day window with them, so I knew there was a That's my memory. 15 15 A. schedule and a timeline, but I wasn't having direct 16 O. Do you recall that the plan changed at the last 16 discussions with the Governor's office. 17 minute? 17 Did you know that the plan was to file for I believe it may have. Yes. I think it --18 O. 18 A. 19 bankruptcy before the court hearings? 19 O. Were you involved in any conversations with anyone 20 A. I -- can you restate the question? 20 excluding conversations where attorneys were present Yes. Did you at least know that the plan was that for the purpose of giving legal advice where anyone 21 21 if the plan went forward, the bankruptcy filing gave a reason for that change of plan? 22 22

23 A.

24 Q.

25 A.

No.

I was not present for any of those discussions.

Did you hear secondhand?

would occur before the hearings that were scheduled

in the cases that had been filed against you and the

Page 89 Page 91 You never heard why Orr moved it up by a day or it 1 paragraph, the sentence that reads "I learned today 2 was moved up by a day? 2 that due to the pension funds recent suits against 3 A. No, and, in fact, it was -- I'd like to look at my you and me...", is that a reference -- can you tell 3 4 schedule because I don't know if I was even in 4 me what that's a reference to? Lansing during those dates. A. I don't have a specific recollection about if it was 5 5 Okay. But you do -- you have no memory as to ever the Flowers suit or not. 6 6 7 knowing the reason why it was moved up. That's just 7 O. It may have been? what I want to know about. Probably was. 8 8 A. I've heard speculation on the street. 9 Q. Probably was. Okay. 9 A. 10 O. We're not talking about the street, but if the And in this email you're telling the 10 11 street includes people at Treasury --11 Governor in the next paragraph that the consultants think that current pensions have to be cut 12 A. No. No. 12 13 O. -- or people in the Governor's office? significantly, correct? 13 I expressed the view of the consultants, yes. No one briefed me on why the date moved. 14 A. Okay. I'm going to show you what we had marked Did you agree with that view? 15 Q. 15 Q. yesterday at the Governor's deposition as Exhibit 8. 16 A. To me it was -- there's a lot of -- to value the 16 This is an email from you to the Governor a level of funding of a pension fund requires a lot of 17 17 day before the one that you were previously shown. 18 assumptions on a lot of different factors, and to me 18 Could you take a look at that, please. it was very fluid. And I think there was an earlier 19 19 20 Do you recall sending that email to the email we looked at before where I just -- I think my 20 Governor? advice to the Governor was let's -- we're in the 21 21 22 A. Yes. 22 informational stage, so I viewed it that way. 23 O. And would I be correct I guess in my arithmetic that 23 I was troubled though by, for example, the last Wednesday would have been July 3rd, as you annuity program which I thought was very damning and 24 24 25 begin last Wednesday. 25 damaging to the status of the pension funds. You Page 90 Page 92 1 A. That sounds about right. know, The 13th Checks that go out. There's a lot of 1 Okay. And for the record, that's when the Flowers activities that I thought were doing damage to the 2 Q. 2 and Webster's cases were filed, on July 3rd. 3 pension funds, but until I really knew what the 3 Is that -- would that have been the 4 funding status was it was hard to form an opinion 4 reason -- would that be the information you learned about what the impact would be on retirees. 5 5 Okay. Did you have any personal conversations with 6 on that last Wednesday? 6 0. 7 I don't believe so. 7 the Governor around these issues at this time or was A. O. What was it, if you recall? There's a reference to it just the email -- the two emails? 8 8 9 Detroit consultants, that's why I am --9 A. From reading the one email it looks like I called 10 A. Yeah. No, I think this had to do with the level of 10 him. funding for the pensions, how it was getting Right. Do you remember whether you just left a 11 11 O. measured. So I was -- the filing of the suit 12 message or you had a substantive conversation? 12 wouldn't tie into this comment about their thought 13 A. I think we spoke briefly, yeah. 13 about the impact on the ability to pay pensions. 14 O. What was the content of that conversation? 14 So the number was moving about how well 15 A. It was one of these issues that was bubbling up that 15 funded the pension plans were, and there were I wanted to get on his radar so --16 16 several issues that we were learning about; the 17 Q. Do you remember which one? 17 annuity program, the failure to write down I'd have to guess, but it would be in this area that 18 18 A. alternative assets that were on the books, the I was referring to. But there was one in 19 19 actuarial assumptions to get to the level of 20 20 particular. funding, calculus. Are you referring to the Flowers, Webster litigation 21 Q. 21 So there was a lot of activity around the or are you referring to this other litigation you've 22 22 23 pensions in trying to get our arms around it at that 23 been talking about? Not litigation. I think I was referring to the time and --24 A. 24 information we were learning about the health of the Do you recall, if you look further down in the first 25 25 O.

24

25

pension plans.

What was your understanding of why Orr was

not going to do that? What's the point, and why are

Page 93 Page 95 1 pension funds. 1 you telling the Governor? Okay. All right. That's -- your attorney's going to object. 2 Q. 2 Did you have any conversations with the 3 That was three questions. 3 4 Governor about the issue of whether Orr should file 4 A. Okay. MS. NELSON: Yes, which one would you like for bankruptcy say in the couple weeks preceding the 5 5 filing? him to answer first? 6 6 7 MS. NELSON: Again, are you speaking just 7 MR. WERTHEIMER: He can do it in order or one-on-one other than attorney-client? 8 8 however he'd like. BY MR. WERTHEIMER: MS. NELSON: Well, I don't know that he's 9 9 going to remember them all by the time he gets to One-on-one or in group conversations -- I don't 10 10 want -- I'm not asking you to violate the the last one. 11 11 attorney-client privilege. I think you understand 12 12 THE WITNESS: I mean, to me the building what we're getting at here. block is what's the funded status. And that issue 13 13 14 A. Yeah. was fluid, and I think that's the first issue that 14 15 Q. So my questions you should assume are modified in 15 if you're going to reach a settlement with your that respect. creditors it's important to understand, all right, 16 16 Yeah, so can you restate the question? what's the funding level. From there you can start 17 A. 17 (Reporter read record as follows: 18 to figure out how do you solve this equation going 18 forward. So I was comfortable with that. "Q. Did you have any conversations with the 19 19 Governor about the issue of whether Orr BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 20 20 should file for bankruptcy say in the 21 21 0. Well, isn't there a political reason to not translate it into the impact on retirees because the couple weeks preceding the filing?") 22 22 impact is going to be negative? All we need to do 23 THE WITNESS: I have a question for my 23 is look at the June 14th creditors' proposal to know lawyer. 24 24 25 MR. WERTHEIMER: That's fine. If you want 25 that, don't we? Page 94 Page 96 to take a break or just go outside. MS. NELSON: Objection; form, foundation, 1 1 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Off the record 11:35 calls for speculation. 2 2 BY MR. WERTHEIMER: 3 a.m. 3 (A brief recess was taken.) 4 Q. Go ahead. 4 5 A. VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're back on the record That wasn't my thinking. My thinking was until you 5 6 at 11:37 a.m. 6 really know the funding status, it's hard to really 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall any 7 understand what the impact may be. conversations with the Governor outside the presence So it was more important to understand that 8 8 9 of counsel on that topic. 9 BY MR. WERTHEIMER: Q. Okay. I have nothing further. Thank you. 10 10 MS. NELSON: Is everybody done? Okay. If you take a look at the July 9 -- do you 11 11 12 have that one in front -- that's five. This one MR. SHERWOOD: I have one or two followup, 12 here. but I'll let you go first. 13 13 14 A. Okay. MS. GREEN: You can go. Do your followup 14 And let me direct your attention to the first first. We'll wait. 15 paragraph. You're telling the Governor that the MR. SHERWOOD: Can I use this microphone? 16 16 emergency manager's going to meet relative to the MS. NELSON: Well, you're the Retiree 17 17 pensions the next day, and then a couple of Committee and I don't believe you --18 18 sentences down you say he, meaning Orr, will not MR. GALLAGHER: We're not the Committee, 19 19 translate that into an impact on retirees or 20 20 we're the Retirement Systems. employees' vested rights or what share of monies 21 MS. NELSON: I'm sorry, the Retirement 21 available to unsecured creditors would go to the Systems. You did not subpoena -- did not issue a 22 22

23

24

25

question.

subpoena to the Treasurer, and it's my understanding

the parties that didn't subpoena aren't entitled to

In I	Re: City of Detroit, Debtor			Treasurer Andrew Dillon October 10, 2013
	Page 97			Page 99
1	MR. GALLAGHER: Why would they not be	1		there was a specific reason other than there's a
2	entitled to question?	2		lengthy process involved with this and it was to
3	MS. NELSON: Because you didn't subpoena	3		deal with that timing.
4	the witness. I thought that was in the judge's		Q.	All right. And I think in one of the exhibits the
5	order.	5	_	original date reflected the 19th as the proposed
6	MR. WERTHEIMER: I've got the judge's	6		filing date.
7	order.	7		Do you know when the 19th or the 18th was
8	MS. NELSON: Not the one that we signed.	8		established as the proposed filing date?
9	Isn't that in his discovery order, only the parties	_	A.	I don't recall.
10	seeking the discovery?		Q.	Do you know whether it was before July 1st?
11	MR. WERTHEIMER: I'm not sure. Let me		Q. A.	It was after July 1st.
12	look. Let me look.		Q.	So it's your clear recollection that the 18th or the
13	MR. SHERWOOD: Do we have to have this on	13	_	19th was established as the filing date after
14	the record?	14		July 1st? That's your testimony?
15	MS. NELSON: No, we don't have to do this		A.	I don't remember being briefed on a specific date,
16	on the record.	16	A.	you know, weeks ahead of time. I remember
17	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Off the record at 11:40		Q.	Is it possible that it could have been established
		18	_	as the filing date before July 1st?
18	a.m. (Discussion held off the record.)		A.	If it was, no one told me about it.
19	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're back on the record			Are you familiar with the New Energy to Reinvest
20	at 11:43 a.m.		Q.	•
21		21		Diversity Funds a/k/a the NERD Funds?
22	RE-EXAMINATION		A.	I'm sorry?
23	BY MR. SHERWOOD:		Q.	Are you familiar with an organization called New
24	Q. Treasurer Dillon, Jack Sherwood again for AFSCME. I	24		Energy to Reinvest Diversity, also known as NERDs? I'm aware that this fund exists.
25	have just a few follow-up things. It won't be too	25	A.	I in aware that this fund exists.
	Page 98			Page 100
1	much longer, for me anyway. Just following up on	1	Q.	Do you know what the purpose of the fund is?
2	the	2	A.	I don't.
3	MS. NELSON: Famous last words of a lawyer.	3	Q.	Do you know whether any of the funds from NERDs,
4	BY MR. SHERWOOD:	4		N-E-R-D-s, are being used to fund any expenses of
5	Q. Following up on the sequence of events that led to	5		the emergency manager?
6	the on the bankruptcy filing timeline, you know,	6	A.	I've read about it in the paper. Rich Baird is
7	there was a you talk about this July 18th date	7		closer to that than I am. He may be able to give
8	and you gave prior testimony that you didn't really	8		you more precise information.
9	know what the impact of Flowers and Webster was on	9	Q.	Do you know any of the major donors for the NERDs
10	that date.	10		Fund?
11	Do you recall that discussion?	11	A.	No.
	A. Yes.		Q.	Do you recall meeting with Al Garrett and Ed McNeil
13	Q. Do you know what drove the filing date of the 18th	13	_	in December of 2012 regarding the City of Detroit?
14	in the first place? Was there any compelling reason		A.	I have met with them several times. I have a vague
15	to file on July 18th that you're aware of?	15		memory of that.
	A. We were briefed a few times on the schedule, and		Q.	And for the record, who are Al Garrett and
17	the just there's a lot of events that have to	17	_	Ed McNeil?
18	happen postfiling. So I was briefed on it. I don't		A.	Al is the head of AFSCME in Detroit and Ed works for
19	recall the specifics other than that the process to	19		him.
20	go through a nine is lengthy, and there was a desire		Q.	Was the last time you met with them December 2012?
21	on the Governor's part if you're going to do this he		A.	I'm not certain but probably. I think I've seen Ed
22	wants it to be fast and efficient.	22		since then, but I don't recall meeting with Al since
22	And so we get briefed on several ecosions	22		then

25

24 Q.

then.

During that meeting, did you discuss ways to

increase revenues for the City of Detroit to satisfy

And so we got briefed on several occasions

about a calendar and all the events that would have

to follow. So precisely that date, I don't think

23

24

A.

agreements.

Why not?

tentative agreements?

that should be agreed to.

16

17

18 O.

19

21

22

25

20 A.

23 Q.

24 A.

My memory is none until they came up with tentative

I had them reviewed by labor experts, and the advice

that came back to me is that they were not something

A variety of reasons. That it -- fundamental issues

about management versus, you know, the ability of

What was the view of yourself with respect to the

Page 103

Page 104

Page 101 1 its liabilities? 1 the City to manage itself with some of the I don't have specific memory of that, but it sounds provisions of the agreements were problematic. 2 A. 2 We had -- I don't remember the number of familiar. 3 3 4 Q. And at that point in time do you recall that there 4 issues, but there was substantial number of issues was over \$700 million owed to the City by various that were problematic. 5 5 parties? 6 O. Did you communicate those issues to the coalition of 6 7 I recall that and I recall that we looked into it, 7 unions? A. and the information I got back from my staff is that 8 A. I don't recall. 8 it's virtually uncollectible. 9 Q. Who did you communicate those issues to? 9 10 Q. What did your staff base that conclusion on? 10 A. To the City. I do recall one meeting I had with 11 A. I have a Department of Collections here within 11 Joe Duncan, but that may have been after the fact about this issue. But our communications would have Treasury so we have some people that are skilled in 12 12 collections, and they looked at what was available been with the City itself. 13 13 to Detroit, and the view of the world was that over 14 Q. Isn't it true that the tentative agreement that the 14 15 90 percent of these are uncollectible. 15 City and the unions were working on would have saved Did you provide Mr. Orr with access to your people 16 the City money? 16 that worked on collection of this \$700 million? I know that they believed it would. 17 17 A. Indirectly. I mean, we made them available to the 18 O. And you didn't agree with them? 18 The advice that I got from the people I had review City. That might have predated Kevyn. 19 A. 19 20 O. What is the basis for the conclusion that this money this for me was that we shouldn't support these 20 is uncollectible? tentative agreements because they won't work. They 21 21 It'd be a variety of reasons. Agings, can't find 22 won't help solve the City's problems. 22 A. who owes the money. It would probably be five or And, in fact, you didn't -- or the Governor didn't 23 23 O. six different reasons that make up the vast majority support the tentative agreements; isn't that right? 24 24 25 A. 25 of that conclusion. I don't know if the Governor had any role with Page 102 In February of 2012, were you involved with an respect to the tentative agreements. 1 O. 1 effort to have a tentative agreement with a So that was your decision to make? 2 Q. 2 coalition of unions? 3 A. 3 A. No, but --4 Q. And you decided not to support these tentative 4 MS. NELSON: That's all you -agreements with the union, correct? 5 5 6 THE WITNESS: No. 6 A. BY MR. SHERWOOD: Even though those tentative agreements might have 7 7 Q. Q. Did you have any discussions or were you aware that saved the City money? 8 8 9 there was a coalition of unions that were working on 9 a tentative agreement in February of 2012? BY MR. SHERWOOD: 10 10 I was aware that the City was working with their 11 11 unions to negotiate solutions to wage and benefit 12 12 13 the unions? costs. 13 14 O. What, if any, was your role in connection with that 14 A. Coalition-City negotiation? 15

MS. NELSON: Objection; asked and answered. Do you recall whether health care savings were negotiated as part of that tentative agreement with I'd have to review them to recall that. You don't recall whether health care savings for the 15 O. 16 City was part of the tentative agreement 17 negotiation? MS. NELSON: Asked and answered. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 19 MR. SHERWOOD: Okay. I just wanted to make 20 21 sure. BY MR. SHERWOOD: 22 23 O. What about efforts to use amnesty as a means of

collecting funds by the City, has that been

24

25

explored?

24

25

answer.

THE WITNESS: Oh. Yes.

Page 105 Page 107 1 A. I believe the City did it. 1 BY MS. GREEN: Was it done in 2012? Do you remember where you were or what time it was 3 A. I -- I don't recall. 3 that you were reading about this, that the petition 4 Q. Have any -- with respect to \$700 million worth of 4 had been filed? receivables that we talked about, has any effort A. Vague recollection. I was in the Detroit area when 5 5 been used to use amnesty as a means to collect that I read it. The letter, I believe, was addressed to 6 6 7 money? 7 me so I imagine it came in hard copy, but the first I recall that the City put in place an amnesty time I read it was online. 8 A. 8 program. Whether any of those receivables in that 9 Q. Would have been that night, do you recall? 9 700 million were collected through that program, I 10 A. I don't recall. 10 11 can't answer. 11 O. Did you not see the email prior to the filing that When was the last time the City implemented an had sent the authorization letter? 12 Q. 12 amnesty program? 13 A. I don't recall. 13 Do you recall getting the email with the 14 A. I don't know. 14 Q. authorization letter? 15 Q. Was one -- has one been implemented since December 15 I do not. In fact, I don't know if it came via hard 16 16 A. 17 A. I know that they did one recently. I don't recall copy or email. 17 the date. 18 O. You testified earlier that you did not have a role 18 Okay. Now I'm really done. Thank you. in drafting PA 436. Who was involved in drafting it 19 Q. 19 **EXAMINATION** as far as outside counsel? 20 20 BY MS. GREEN: I guess I want to be -- 436? I want to be careful. 21 21 A. 22 O. Hi, Mr. Dillon. There was meetings let's say late November, early 22 Hello. December with me and some folks on my staff as well A. 23 24 Q. I'm Jennifer Green. I represent the Retirement as the Governor's office where we talked high level 24 Systems for the City of Detroit. 25 25 about how could we address some of the issues that Page 106 Page 108 Following up with the prior line of led to the repeal of PA 4. 1 1 questioning, you said you think you were traveling Once those themes were kind of framed out 2 2 the day the petition was filed; is that correct? then it would be handed off to folks on my staff as 3 3 4 A. I don't recall. 4 well as the Governor's staff that moved legislation Do you recall where you were when you first found 5 through the Legislature. And my involvement in any 5 6 out the petition was filed? 6 nuance from that point was pretty much over. 7 7 So you don't know? A. Q. Q. Were you not aware that day that it was going to be 8 A. I can name some of the people that were part of 8 9 9 10 Q. 10 A. I knew from the meeting on the Monday that there was Oh, okay. Who would that be? a schedule, and I had no reason to believe that that Howard Ryan on my staff, Brom Stibitz, and the 11 A. 11 12 schedule would change or not change so I was not 12 Governor's office I can only guess who it was, but, aware of any changes until after it happened. 13 you know, there's someone responsible for dealing 13 14 O. So was it a surprise when you found out that the with the Legislature. I assume he was involved. 14 petition had indeed been filed? 15 O. Who was that? 15 16 A. It wasn't like there was this iron clad schedule 16 A. Dick Posthumus. that wasn't movable, so I don't think I really gave 17 Q. What about with respect to PA 4; you said you didn't 17 it a lot of thought. have a role in drafting PA 436 but what about PA 4? 18 18 You mentioned earlier that the first time that you 19 A. It would be the same. High level, you know, 19 O. saw the Governor's authorization letter was online directional and then pretty much the same team I 20 20 on freep dot com. Do you recall? just described would have been the arms and legs on 21 21 (Nodding head up and down.) the ground executing the process through the 22 A. 22 23 MR. WERTHEIMER: You need to say your 23 Legislature. Do you know who outside of the Legislature or

24 Q.

25

outside of the State of Michigan would have been

Page 109 Page 111 1 consulted with respect to PA 4? 1 O. If there's an email dated 3-2-2012 from Jones Day Well, during the transition -- if it's lawyers can I 2 2 that just said we spoke to someone in Andy's office, A. disclose lawyers? 3 do you recall those types of conversations back in 3 4 MS. NELSON: With respect to PA 4, is 4 2012? A. Can you show me the --5 that --5 THE WITNESS: Initial formation of PA 4. Yeah. I only have one. We just got it a day ago so 6 6 7 MS. NELSON: If they're attorney-client 7 I apologize, I don't have copies for everyone. We privileged communications, no, they're privileged. copied some of them. 8 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So some were lawyers MR. SHERWOOD: Is it Bate stamped? 9 9 and then some were just people that were on the MS. GREEN: It is. 10 10 transition advisory board. Like Bob Daddow was 11 MR. WERTHEIMER: Can you identify it? 11 12 involved, Mark Murray was on the Treasury transition 12 MS. GREEN: Yeah. aspect, Brom Stibitz from my staff was involved. I THE WITNESS: Yeah, the only person I 13 13 don't recall -- Dick Posthumus, I believe, was recall knowing prior to 2013 from Jones Day was 14 14 15 involved. I don't recall others that were 15 Corinne Ball. BY MS. GREEN: nonlawyers that were part of the consultants. 16 16 BY MS. GREEN: What about Heather Lennox? 17 17 O. Q. What about restructuring consultants? Did you have 18 A. I don't think I met her prior to 2013. 18 any restructuring consultants that took part in the 19 Q. Yeah, can we mark that -- well, the problem is I 19 process? only have one copy and it has my handwriting on it 20 20 For PA 4? because we just got the document, but I can state 21 A. 21 Yes. for the record the Bates number if that's 22 22 appropriate. We can have an agreement on that. 23 A. I don't recall that. 23 Isn't it true that Jones Day actually provided you The Bates number is DTMI 00234878 to 880 is 24 Q. 24 25 with review and comment of PA 4 at certain times? 25 the last page. Page 110 Page 112 1 A. No. MR. SHERWOOD: DTMI 00234. 1 MS. GREEN: 878. 2 O. Did they write memos to you regarding PA 4 or any of 2 the topics related to the pensions or Chapter 9? MR. WERTHEIMER: Why don't we just mark it 3 3 4 A. I don't recall. and you can identify that it should not include any 4 Were you involved in an RFP process relating to of the underlining and handwriting. 5 5 MS. GREEN: That's fine. 6 either Chapter 9, the pensions or the emergency 6 7 manager law in 2011? 7 MS. NELSON: Well, why don't we just have Can you restate the question? her produce one that doesn't have handwriting on it 8 A. 8 9 O. Were you involved in an RFP process in 2011 relating 9 and mark it. MR. WERTHEIMER: That would be fine too. to either PA 4 or the emergency manager law? 10 10 MS. NELSON: And mark it -- what's the next We did an RFP process here in Treasury that you 11 A. 11 could say was related to PA 4 to get a short list of 12 one, six? 12 firms that we could work with when we have a crisis. 13 13 14 Q. And who were they at that time? (Deposition Exhibit 6 marked post deposition.) 14 15 A. And there's a list we can provide, and I could name 15 some of the firms that were on it, but not all. MS. GREEN: I do have copies of the next 16 16 Was Jones Day one of the firms that was looked at one, which we can mark as Exhibit 7. 17 Q. 17 18 during the 2011 RFP process? 18 19 A. 19 (Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked.) Is it possible that they would have submitted an RFP 20 O. 20 related to that and you just didn't know about it? BY MS. GREEN: 21 21 Do you recognize this email? 22 A. It's possible. 22 O. 23 Do you remember having conversations with Jones Day 23 A. Yeah. Okay. I mean, I forgot about this but I attorneys relating to PA 4 in 2012? think when we were working on the consent agreement 24 24 we were seeking advice from Huron Consulting and No. 25 25 A.

6 7

8

Page 115

Page 113 1 Miller Buckfire. They used various law firms on 2 occasion. And in this case, I don't know that I ever 3 4 actually met Heather other than maybe over the phone, but we were -- through Huron or through 5

law firms, Jones Day being included. They weren't a vendor to the Treasury

9 Department. And did Jones Day also weigh in on the drafting in O. 10 preparation of the consent agreement? 11

Miller Buckfire we were getting advice from various

From my reading of this, they did. 12 A.

Do you recall receiving a blackline copy from Jones 13

Day at any time relating to the consent agreement 14

between the City and the State? 15

16 A. I don't recall. We may have but we had counsel

representing us, and this may have been just 17

18 friendly free advice, but there's other people that

can answer that question more precisely than I. 19

20 O. Do you recall getting any free advice, any memos

given to you by Jones Day during this process? 21

I'd have to look in my files to know. 22

O. Do you know if any of those memos have been produced

by the State of Michigan in this case? 24

25 A. I don't know. I'd have to look.

1 I don't remember walking in with any

proposed questions to ask. We did have a huge 2

volume of submissions from each of the firms.

4 Ο. And the State is paying in part the professional

fees that are being incurred by the City of Detroit 5

6 in the Chapter 9 process, correct?

7 We agreed to pay half of the cost up to five million A.

prior to the bankruptcy filing. 8

And after the bankruptcy filing? 9 Q.

Then we suspended contributions. There may be one 10 A.

11 exception to that. I don't recall specifically but

there might have been one vendor contract we 12 13

supported after the filing.

14 Q. Do you know which one that would have been?

15 A. I'd have to check.

3

18

25

1

2

Were you familiar with an email from the Treasury 16 Q.

Department which sent the Milliman report to the 17

local media?

Can I see it? 19 A. 20 O. Yeah. This can be eight.

MS. NELSON: Is in your only copy? 21

MS. GREEN: No, there's several in there. 22

23 MS. NELSON: Are you going to mark it?

MS. GREEN: Eight. 24

Page 114

Page 116

1 0. Would you recall if any of those memos were related

to Chapter 9 filing or the pension obligations of 2

the City of Detroit? 3

4 A. I don't recall any memos covering those topics.

During the vetting process for the City of Detroit's 5

6 restructuring counsel, were you involved in the

7 interview on the 29th of the law firms?

Yes. 8 A.

9 O. I should have restated it. Were you involved in

putting together the list of questions that would be 10

asked of the law firms on the 29th? 11

12 A. I don't believe so.

Do you recall the interview topics that were asked 13 Q.

of the law firms on the 29th? 14

I don't recall. I mean, we had a group I described 15 A. earlier in the deposition who was there. I think 16

everyone was -- felt free to ask the questions that 17

they had. 18

19 O. Do you know who was responsible for putting together

the list of interview topics for the law firms at 20

the 29th meeting? 21

I don't think it was that structured. I think 22 A.

23 Miller Buckfire played a significant role in who was

invited, and the City worked with them and may have 24 25

added some names to who was invited.

(Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked.)

BY MS. GREEN: 3

4 Q. Who is Terry Stanton from the Treasury Department?

He works for Treasury. He's a public information

6

7 Q. So he's one of your employees?

8 A.

9 Q. Have you ever seen the email that's in front of you?

I don't believe I have. 10 A.

11 O. Were you made aware after the fact that Mr. Stanton

12 had leaked the Milliman report to Mr. Pluta?

MS. NELSON: Objection; form, foundation to 13 the term leaked. 14

BY MS. GREEN: 15

16 O. You can still answer.

Can you restate the question? 17 A.

My question was were you aware after the fact that 18 O.

even if you didn't see this email, were you aware 19

that Mr. Stanton had provided the Milliman report to

the news media? 21

I imagine he would have advised me that he did this 22 A.

23 or was going to do it.

24 Q. So if you read the email it does state that the

Milliman report was incomplete at the time that it 25

20

Page 119

Page 120

Page 117

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 A.

1 was provided to the media, and it states it's being 2 done solely off the record and it's critical this

information is not traced back to the Department

4 because it has not been finalized.

Is it the practice of the Treasury

Department to allow admittedly incomplete 6

7 information regarding the pensions to be leaked to

the media? 8

3

5

I would say it's unusual. 9 A.

10 O. Why would it be critical, as stated in the email,

11 for the Milliman summary that Mr. Stanton had asked

for to be deleted and not in connection to the 12

Treasury Department? 13

14 A. Does it say deleted in here? Oh, yeah. I see.

15 Okay.

18

20

I assume he didn't want to -- yeah, he 16 thought it was out there with other news media. 17

Rick Pluta must have been asking about it, so he

shared with him that which he thought other media 19

outlets probably already had.

You mentioned that there was a cap for the fees that 21 Q.

22 the State would pay in connection with the

Chapter 9. Have we reached --23

Actually, you mischaracterized it. 24 A.

25 Q. I'm sorry, what was your -- 1 O. The last question is relating to Exhibit 5 which has already been marked. It's the July 9th email.

> The email states "Tomorrow's meeting could lead to questions directed to you about your view on this topic." It's relating to the pension issue.

Is that a fair characterization of the email?

8 A. Right.

9 Q. "In my view, it's too early in the process to respond to hypothetical questions. We remain in many ways in the informational stage. I have some thoughts as to how you could address some pointed questions if you're interesting in hearing them."

What pointed questions were you expecting? Anything from -- well, going back in time here, but just obviously the whole gamut of questions regarding what the underfunding status could mean to retirees, and I thought that the situation was not understood enough for the Governor to go on record yet because I couldn't even tell him with any degree of confidence what level of funding these pension funds had, so why should he get in the middle of a debate about this. It's obviously a very charged and sensitive issue, and it was my free political comments to him.

Page 118

We offered to pay 50 percent of consulting fees 1 A.

prior to the filing. 2

3 Q. Up to five million?

4 A. Up to five million.

And so in June of 2013 that would have been prior to 5

6 the filing and the State was still contributing to a 7

portion of those fees, correct?

I believe so. 8 A.

9 O. We can mark this as Exhibit 9.

10 11

(Deposition Exhibit 9 was marked.)

12

BY MS. GREEN: 13

14 Q. Do you recall sending this email?

15 A.

16 O. Is it safe to say the five million dollar cap has

been maxed out? 17

What I was reviewing was both the forecast as well 18 A.

as the historical, so I was looking at more than 19

20 just the history.

So what is the summary of fees that you were 21 Q.

22 referring to?

23 A. We were given an estimate of what the fees were

looking like and I reviewed it and wasn't very 24

happy. 25

1 O. And this was really just over a week before the

filing. That was your stance? 2

Yeah. I don't -- yeah, obviously. But I don't -- I 3 A.

4 think it was in the context of this meeting that

Kevyn was going to have with the committee that 5

6 drove this email.

7 Q. Did anything change between the ninth and the filing on the 18th that changed your opinion regarding what 8

9 you, I believe, just stated was too early to tell

him with any degree of confidence what level of 10

funding the pension funds had I believe is what you 11

just stated.

13 A. Yeah, I have not -- my opinion is pretty much the

14

12

18

19

The last sentence of the email says "I have some 15 O. thoughts as to how you could address some pointed 16 17 questions if you're interesting in hearing them."

What were your ideas for how to answer the

20 A. I don't recall specifically at this point.

Did you ever have a conversation with him regarding 21 Q. your thoughts on how to answer the questions? 22

23 A. No.

24 Q. You mentioned in the email "Because pensions have

such a long life there are a lot of creative options 25

Page 121 Page 123 1 we can explore to address how they will be treated 1 power of the Financial Control Board and insulate in restructuring." 2 2 those powers from being attacked in the event PA 4 What were your creative options that you was repealed? 3 3 4 had on the table? 4 A. I don't know if buttress is the right word. If There's dozens. I mean, I don't have one that I you're going to put in place all the structuring and 5 A. 5 would pick out. But pension funds do have a long negotiate a consent agreement with the City, there's 6 6 7 life and there's a lot of creative things that can 7 other ways -- other legal basis to do that through be done, so I -- I don't have one or two that I interlocal agreements. There's other laws that we 8 8 9 would just throw out, but I do know that there's a 9 could look to that would give us the authority to lot of ways to address that issue. have this agreement have meaning to it. 10 10 Q. Have there been any formal reports or proposals 11 So the thought was, you know, identify all 11 12 identifying and explaining what you consider to be 12 those legal arguments that would give legal standing these creative options? to the Financial Advisory Board and the consent 13 13 14 A. No. 14 agreement is my memory. 15 Q. Were these creative options ever explored with the 15 MR. SHERWOOD: That's all. pension systems directly --MS. NELSON: All right, we're done. Thank 16 16 Not to my knowledge. 17 A. 17 you. -- to your knowledge? THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Deposition has concluded I don't have any further questions. 19 19 MR. SHERWOOD: Anybody else have questions? 20 20 at 12:23 p.m. 21 MR. WERTHEIMER: I do not. 21 (Deposition concluded at 12:23 p.m.) **RE-EXAMINATION** 22 22 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 23 I have one question about D-7, which I hadn't seen 24 24 before the deposition. It's an email to you from 25 25 Page 122 Page 124 1 CERTIFICATE Heather Lennox. 1 2 STATE OF MICHIGAN I just want to know what your understanding 2 SS: 3 COUNTY OF OAKLAND of the sentence "Many provisions in here are 3 4 designed to take advantage of PA 4 while it is still 4 5 I, LAUREL A. JACOBY, Certified Shorthand in existence, but this also references other state 5 6 reporter, a Notary Public, hereby certify that I recorded laws that would buttress the FCB and PCA powers..." 6 7 in shorthand the examination of TREASURER ANDREW DILLON. 7 What is FCB -- what is your understanding 8 the deponent in the foregoing deposition; and that prior of what FCB and PCA powers, what that means? 8 9 to the taking of said deposition the deponent was first 9 A. FCB I don't know. She might be referring to 10 duly sworn, and that the foregoing is a true, correct and Financial Control Board, but as opposed to the FAB 10 11 complete transcript of the testimony of said deponent. I'm surmising. 11 12 12 PCA is not ringing a bell either. I further certify that no request was made for 13 O. At this time there was a Financial Control Board in submission of the transcript to the deponent for reading 13 existence, right? 14 and signature and that no such submission was made. 14 No, I think that -- well, I think it was part of the 15 15 A. I also certify that I am not a relative or financial stability agreement, the creation of the 16 16 employee of a party or an attorney for a party; or FAB, I think. 17 17 financially interested in the action. And PCA, you don't know what that means? O. 18 18 19 A. I'm not recalling offhand, no. 19 20 O. Was it -- did you express a desire to buttress the 20 LAUREL A. JACOBY, CSR-5059, RPR powers of the Financial Control Board and insulate 21 21 those powers from attack in the event of a repeal? 22 22 Notary Public, Oakland County, Michigan 23 A. Can you restate the question? I'm sorry. 23 My commission expires: 9/1/18 24 O. Was it -- were you interested at this point in time, 24 Dated: This 13th day of October, 2013. in March of 2012, to take steps to buttress the 25 25

			T	3000001 10, 2010
	17:3	25:4,8,13;26:14;27:1,	appears (1)	assets (1)
\$	added (3)	4;28:16;35:24;55:4;	52:4	90:19
<u> </u>	35:9;52:8;114:25	67:9;76:6,7,12;102:2,	applies (2)	assignment (1)
\$137 (1)	address (19)	10;103:14;104:12,16;	81:7;82:22	25:15
28:14	12:21;18:10;23:13;	111:23;112:24;113:11,	apply (2)	Assistant (1)
\$50 (1)	32:25;34:9;35:10,19;	14;122:16;123:6,10,14	41:10,14	14:4
76:23	36:7;37:19;51:22;	agreements (12)	appoint (1)	assume (12)
\$700 (3)	52:12;59:1;63:7;	26:9;27:14;28:8;	10:24	16:3;19:17;20:23;
101:5,17;105:4	76:14;107:25;119:12;	102:17,19;103:2,21,	appointed (3)	44:2;46:12,14;70:2;
	120:16;121:1,10	24;104:1,5,7;123:8	53:23;77:5,17	79:13;82:16;93:15;
\mathbf{A}	addressed (3)	AG's (1)	appointing (1)	108:14;117:16
	39:23;62:23;107:6	82:18	43:7	assumes (2)
a/k/a (1)	administration (2)	ahead (8)	appointment (2)	42:21,25
99:21	15:23;16:1	50:7;78:7,23;80:9, 18;83:8;96:4;99:16	18:16;77:4	assuming (1) 81:4
ability (12)	admittedly (1) 117:6		appreciate (4) 11:14;26:4;30:23;	
16:17;18:4;36:24;		aid (1) 25:19	70:19	assumptions (3) 70:17;90:20;91:18
39:12;57:9;72:23;	adopt (2) 52:4,17	airport (4)	appreciated (2)	attached (1)
74:9;76:14;84:15,23;	32:4,17 advantage (1)	54:9;55:15;58:6,20	48:10;56:22	7:7
90:14;102:25	122:4	Al (4)	approach (1)	attachment (2)
able (3)	advice (17)	100:12,16,18,22	12:16	6:19,21
17:5;75:15;100:7	8:18;41:1;46:11;	Allegan (1)	appropriate (2)	attack (1)
above (1)	49:16;70:25;80:23,24;	7:15	27:13;111:23	122:22
24:1	85:18,18;88:21;91:21;	allow (3)	appropriately (1)	attacked (1)
absent (1)	102:20;103:19;	17:9;19:6;117:6	78:25	123:2
79:18	112:25;113:6,18,20	allowed (2)	approval (2)	attended (2)
accept (1)	advised (1)	18:14;43:14	19:14;44:10	37:22;63:8
43:15	116:22	allows (2)	approximately (2)	attention (3)
access (5)	advising (1)	34:23,25	47:13;76:7	21:22;87:11;94:15
24:7,8;26:7;32:14;	46:18	along (2)	April (1)	attorney (12)
101:16	Advisory (4)	67:19;85:10	76:8	8:16;13:14;14:4,9;
accommodate (1)	34:22;54:21;109:11;	alternative (2)	Arbor (2)	33:13;81:5,10;82:2,7,
35:14	123:13	70:15;90:19	10:11,18	14,20,23
accounting (1) 12:23	advocate (1)	although (1)	area (4)	attorney-client (9)
accrued (4)	36:19	52:1	13:9;63:10;92:18;	46:1;61:5;66:15;
38:11;64:12,17;65:7	advocating (2)	always (1)	107:5	78:22;80:25;85:12;
acknowledging (1)	21:9;36:10	75:17	areas (1)	93:8,12;109:7
82:15	affairs (1)	amnesty (4)	34:12	attorneys (6)
Act (18)	59:21	104:23;105:6,8,13	argue (1)	46:4;79:5;83:16;
15:7;16:23;17:8;	afford (1)	among (1)	23:25	85:17;88:20;110:24
21:10,12;22:2,5;23:25;	41:3	84:12	argument (1)	attorney's (1)
29:13,24;34:16;35:2,5;	AFSCME (3)	amount (1)	24:2	95:2
37:20;39:6;72:13;	7:25;97:24;100:18	64:11	argumentative (1)	attractive (1)
73:13,25	again (7)	amounts (3)	65:2	32:8
acting (1)	19:8;23:8;83:8,17;	64:12,17;65:7	arguments (1)	attributes (1)
25:25	85:16;93:7;97:24	Andrew (2)	123:12	56:22
active (5)	against (7)	7:14,18	arithmetic (1)	authority (3)
64:13,18;65:8;75:7;	17:18;35:13;83:24,	Andrews (2)	89:23	40:4,16;123:9
77:6	25;84:8;86:24;91:2	54:19;76:17	arms (2)	authorization (6)
activities (2)	agencies (4)	Andy's (1)	90:23;108:21	43:18;48:4;87:17;
74:22;92:2	29:11,18;30:6,18	111:2	around (6)	106:20;107:12,15
activity (1)	Agings (1)	Ann (2)	51:4,18;58:4;90:22,	authorize (3)
90:22	101:22	10:11,17	23;92:7	49:9;84:16,23
actual (5)	ago (4)	announced (1)	arrangements (1)	authorized (1)
15:16;36:1;37:23;	13:13;28:13;39:24;	10:12	27:15	71:6
53:8;74:11	111:6	annuity (3)	Article (11)	authorizing (2)
actually (10)	agree (7)	70:14;90:18;91:24	62:6,8;73:21;74:2;	6:13;48:3
19:25;23:11;30:21;	51:16,23;52:6;65:1,	answered (4)	78:16;79:7;81:7;	available (3)
31:16,23;36:9;53:25;	5;91:15;103:18	50:6;83:7;104:9,18	82:22;83:4,19;84:16	94:22;101:13,18
109:24;113:4;117:24	agreed (3)	apologize (1)	aspect (1)	avoid (3)
actuarial (2)	6:16;102:22;115:7	111:7	109:13	17:5;49:2;50:3
70:18;90:20	agreement (29)	apparently (1)	assemble (1)	aware (15)
add (1)	16:16;17:15;24:18;	69:21	78:12	19:17;20:13;38:18;
	1			<u> </u>

				October 10, 2013
49:1;82:2;86:8;98:15;	begin (2)	26:21;28:11	7:19;11:7;13:1;	42:18;84:13,14;87:7;
99:25;102:8,11;106:8,	75:20;89:25	both (8)	22:18;34:22;55:25;	113:3,24
13;116:11,18,19	behalf (1)	19:13;32:5;54:1;	56:1;72:7;76:22;92:9;	cases (3)
away (2)	79:19	56:25;64:13,18;65:8;	99:23	84:12;86:24;90:3
57:18;60:7	bell (2)	118:18	calls (9)	cash (9)
37.10,00.7	84:3;122:12	bottom (2)	27:16,17;38:22;	36:2;59:23,25;
В	below (2)	51:25;64:10	41:15;66:15,17;80:6;	75:10,14,19;76:3,19,
	75:19,23	break (3)	81:22;96:2	20
back (22)	benchmark (1)	50:15;71:20;94:1	calms (1)	casino (2)
11:5,7;29:21;31:15,	75:22	brewing (1)	57:6	25:22;28:10
21;34:15,23;39:21;	benefit (3)	77:14	came (12)	caused (1)
40:9;50:9,22;64:7;	17:1;48:7;102:12	brief (8)	10:15;13:14;17:23;	69:12
71:24;73:15;74:21;	benefits (12)	10:10;14:9;45:15;	45:14;56:9;75:3;	CBA (3)
94:5;97:20;101:8;	16:14;38:12;40:7,	50:18;71:23;81:14;	78:10;79:14;102:16,	17:13,14;18:15
102:21;111:3;117:3;	20;42:13,15;49:4,11;	82:3;94:4	21;107:7,16	CBAs (2)
119:15	50:5;59:4,20;62:9	briefed (5)	campaign (1)	39:13;72:23
background (3)	best (2)	89:14;98:16,18,23;	35:15	center (1)
10:14,14;56:23	20:13;74:21	99:15	Can (73)	59:24
Baird (6)	better (3)	briefing (2)	7:16;8:13;9:8,11;	Century (2)
54:7,12;56:1,9,13; 100:6	15:8;35:8;36:5	37:5;77:20 briefly (4)	12:8;14:24;17:4,13; 20:8;23:4,8,13,16,18;	10:12,20 certain (14)
balance (3)	big (1) 18:25	9:8;74:18,19;92:13	24:8,25;25:1,12,19;	19:18;22:14;24:12;
56:25;60:3,9	biggest (2)	bring (1)	27:10;28:8;31:9,13,15,	25:5,24;26:7;28:17;
Ball (1)	32:12;60:1	12:20	24;32:6;38:4;39:1,11;	34:19;41:17;42:4;
111:15	Bill (2)	bringing (1)	40:9;41:23;42:23;	49:24;75:15;100:21;
ballot (4)	72:3;82:24	55:5	45:2;46:13;47:12;	109:25
21:8,20;36:12;52:12	bills (2)	broad (2)	50:8,14;51:12;52:9;	certainly (3)
bank (1)	15:17;25:1	26:13;82:13	64:7;65:23;74:21;	20:3;59:18;62:25
55:7	bit (3)	Brom (3)	78:25;83:8,17;86:20;	chairs (1)
bankrupt (1)	12:23;14:13;61:21	54:18;108:11;	91:3;93:17;95:7,17;	78:11
13:3	blackline (1)	109:13	96:14,16;108:8,12;	challenge (1)
bankruptcy (21)	113:13	brought (1)	109:2;110:8,15;111:5,	33:22
6:13;7:25;36:25;	block (1)	84:14	11,19,21,23;112:4,17;	challenged (1)
58:11;63:3;72:9;74:9;	95:13	bubbling (1)	113:19;115:19,20;	33:19
80:2;81:6,8;82:22;	blow (1)	92:15 Prodefine (4)	116:16,17;118:9;	challenges (2)
84:16,23;86:6,19,22;	17:25	Buckfire (4)	121:1,7;122:23 Canada (1)	11:18;12:17 chance (2)
93:5,21;98:6;115:8,9 bargained (2)	board (10) 19:6;23:6;34:23;	54:14;113:1,6; 114:23	10:7	35:8;36:4
16:16;17:14	54:21;109:11;122:10,	Building (2)	cancel (1)	change (7)
base (1)	13,21;123:1,13	7:14;95:12	69:10	18:1;71:10;77:23;
101:10	boards (2)	bullet (1)	cancelled (1)	88:22;106:12,12;120:7
based (9)	11:21;84:14	63:22	69:9	changed (7)
9:18;10:6;30:13;	Bob (1)	business (2)	candidate (3)	18:23;43:12;69:17;
31:5;33:11;38:19;	109:11	57:18;65:12	55:23;56:12;57:21	74:19;76:25;88:16;
40:3,14;62:19	Bond (5)	buttress (4)	candidates (3)	120:8
basically (1)	22:18,22;24:10,17;	122:6,20,25;123:4	55:11,12,20	changes (3)
80:19	25:5	buy (2)	cap (2)	35:22;36:23;106:13
basis (2)	bondholder (5)	10:3,3	117:21;118:16	Chapter (29)
101:20;123:7	24:16;27:4,19;	Buyer (2)	capacity (6)	19:2,7;28:4;36:1;
Bate (1)	41:10;42:1	22:19,22	20:21,22,24;21:1,6;	41:20;42:18;43:10,14;
111:9	bondholders (10)	C	83:25	44:11,25;48:3;49:10;
Bates (2)	22:7;24:1,25;27:13,	C	Capital (4)	52:5,18;57:24;58:7,15;
111:22,24 battle (1)	24;28:5;41:19;42:4, 19;60:6	calculus (1)	9:21;10:14,16;12:25 care (6)	59:16;66:23;71:7; 77:24;78:2;79:20;
21:17	bonds (1)	90:21	9:4;60:7,12;61:25;	82:17;110:3,6;114:2;
bear (1)	24:21	calendar (1)	104:11,15	115:6;117:23
12:21	book (1)	98:24	career (2)	characterization (5)
Beckman (1)	63:9	call (17)	12:18,20	51:8,23;52:16;
87:21	books (3)	11:2;14:22;45:10,	careful (2)	81:18;119:6
become (1)	29:13,20;90:19	15;46:7;47:9,11,12,13,	26:25;107:21	charged (1)
15:11	borrow (1)	16,19,20,21,22,24;	case (13)	119:23
becomes (1)	24:10	66:4,7	7:25;26:12;27:23;	chased (1)
33:8	borrowing (2)	called (11)	33:3;36:2;39:21,23;	13:6
		1	1	

check (1)
115:15
Checks (1) 92:1
Chicago (1)
9:19 children (1)
22:3
choosing (1) 44:13
Chris (2)
54:19;76:16 circulated (1)
88:7
circumstance (2) 24:16;59:14
circumstances (1)
33:5 circumvent (2)
50:12;60:5
cities (7) 11:21;12:4;19:13;
20:9;26:6;30:25;57:3
city (70) 19:3;20:6;23:5,6;
24:22;25:1,23,24;27:6,
8,10;29:9;32:12,13,22, 25;33:3;34:24;40:5,
17;41:11;54:16,20;
55:2,3,6;56:25;57:24; 58:7;59:4,22;60:3,12;
61:25;63:6;64:5;
65:16,21;68:13;70:14; 74:8;75:18,23,25;76:2,
14,17;100:13,25;
101:5,19;102:11; 103:1,10,13,15,16;
104:8,16,24;105:1,8,
12,25;113:15;114:3,5, 24;115:5;123:6
city's (7)
17:11;48:6;59:23; 62:1;70:18;75:14;
103:22
clad (1) 106:16
claimants (1)
48:7
claims (2) 42:6;63:11
clear (5)
8:21;19:5;57:9; 61:15;99:12
clearly (2)
56:24;68:16 close (4)
14:20;36:11,18;
74:11 closely (1)
74:14
closer (1) 100:7
coalition (3)
_

edior
102:3,9;103:6
Coalition-City (1)
102:15 coincide (1)
53:16 collateral (3)
25:15;27:15;28:1
collect (1) 105:6
collected (1) 105:10
collecting (1)
104:24 collection (1)
101:17 Collections (2)
101:11,13
collectively (2) 16:16;17:14
collects (1) 25:22
com (2)
49:6;106:21 comfortable (2)
18:12;95:19 coming (4)
47:15;57:18;58:3;
80:1 commence (2)
44:11;76:24 comment (5)
31:24;63:14;81:17;
90:13;109:25 commentary (1)
30:10 comments (2)
67:23;119:25
Committee (3) 96:18,19;120:5
communicate (3) 57:9;103:6,9
communicated (1)
82:20 communications (6)
61:6;66:16,19,25; 103:12;109:8
communities (1)
26:19 community (3)
23:16;24:15;57:6 companies (5)
10:3,6;13:6,7;68:6
compare (1) 18:12
compared (1) 37:8
compelling (1)
98:14 competing (5)
17:21;39:17;72:17; 73:1,16
Compound (2)

Compound (2)

31:6.12

```
concept (3)
  25:13:45:16:49:25
concern (2)
  35:20:68:8
concerning (3)
  45:25;66:22,22
concerns (3)
  33:1;34:10;39:12
concluded (2)
  123:19,21
conclusion (10)
  6:8;27:17;38:23;
  41:16;68:14;80:7;
  81:23;101:10,20,25
condition (4)
  9:6;60:8;64:5;65:16
conditions (2)
  52:4,17
conference (6)
  45:10,15;46:4,7;
  47:19,20
conferences (1)
  77:16
confidence (2)
  119:21;120:10
confidential (2)
  46:21;47:2
conflicting (1)
  17:17
confronted (1)
  59:2
conjunction (1)
  86:4
connection (3)
  102:14;117:12,22
cons (1)
  45:12
consent (13)
  19:3,7;35:24;55:4;
  76:6,7,11:79:18;
  112:24:113:11.14:
  123:6,13
consider (2)
  11:3;121:12
consideration (2)
  39:2;74:5
considering (1)
  69:15
consistent (2)
  64:19;82:8
consternation (1)
  69:13
Constitution (16)
  38:10,11,17,21;39:5;
  41:6;42:14;50:12;
  62:8,23;64:19,25;
  65:10;78:17,18;79:12
constitutional (19)
  17:17,21;40:6,18;
  48:6,13;49:3,10;50:4;
  62:13,17,20;72:17,18;
  73:1;79:25;82:15;
```

```
constitutionality (1)
                             115:21
  17:13
                          Corinne (1)
consultants (7)
                             111:15
                          cost (2)
  75:10;90:9;91:11,
  14;109:16,18,19
                            61:25;115:7
consulted (1)
                          costs (2)
  109:1
                             16:14;102:13
Consulting (2)
                          Councils (1)
  112:25;118:1
                             34:24
containing (1)
                          counsel (16)
  16:8
                            6:15;46:10,15,18;
contains (1)
                            55:2;61:9,18,25;66:20;
  44:14
                            78:25;79:3;85:14;
contemplated (1)
                            94:9;107:20;113:16;
  69:20
                            114:6
                          couple (5)
content (1)
                            8:5;13:13;93:5,22;
  92:14
context (4)
                            94:18
  42:18;49:13,14;
                          course (1)
  120:4
                            63:5
contingencies (7)
                          Court (12)
  44:8,12,13,25;45:25;
                            7:9;8:9,10,15;21:23;
  48:19:74:6
                            33:17,19;48:20;65:24;
contingency (5)
                            72:8;85:9;86:19
  44:15;45:5;47:8;
                          courtesy (1)
  48:4,12
                            71:16
continues (1)
                          courts (2)
  61:20
                            42:9;64:21
contract (4)
                          covered (1)
  18:1,2;73:17;115:12
                            63:10
contracts (3)
                          covering (1)
  17:18:39:20:73:3
                             114:4
contractual (2)
                          create (1)
  28:24:38:14
                            36:3
contributing (1)
                          created (1)
  118:6
                            87:14
contributions (1)
                          creates (1)
  115:10
                            27:1
Control (4)
                          creation (1)
  122:10,13,21;123:1
                             122:16
conversation (10)
                          creative (5)
  45:23;46:20;47:2;
                             120:25;121:3,7,13,
  56:2;61:13;79:21;
                             15
  83:18;92:12,14;120:21
                          credit (15)
conversations (27)
                            29:11,13,17,18,20,
  21:13;45:19;50:1;
                            25;30:1,12,14,21;31:1;
  53:14;60:20;61:9;
                            32:1,1,7,19
                          creditor (3)
  67:16;77:8;78:15,21,
                            24:14,14;28:25
  24;79:2,14,16;85:16,
  19,23,25;88:19,20;
                          creditors (18)
  92:6;93:3,10,19;94:8;
                            22:8;24:1;25:24;
  110:23;111:3
                            26:9;27:8;41:11;
Conway (1)
                            63:20:64:4:65:15,19,
                            23;66:23;67:13;68:2,
  55:8
                            13,17;94:22;95:16
coordinating (1)
                          creditors' (1)
  53:2
copied (1)
                            95:24
                          credits (1)
  111:8
copies (2)
                            13:2
  111:7;112:16
                          crew (1)
copy (7)
                             14:11
  23:11;38:4;107:7,
                          crises (2)
  17;111:20;113:13;
                             12:13;32:21
```

83:5,20

crisis (7)
17:10;18:2,5;20:7,
11,14;110:13
critical (2)
117:2,10
criticism (6)
19:25;20:4,12;
22:10,23;23:9
criticisms (6)
22:6;34:8;35:4,10,
14;51:21
criticized (1)
19:18
Critics (2)
23:25;57:6
crunches (1)
75:10
cue (1)
12:5
12.0
current (2)
61:23;91:12
currently (3)
64:13,18;65:8
cut (1)
91:12
cuts (3)
64:12,17;65:7
cutting (1)
60:6
•

D

```
D-2(1)
  38:9
D-3(2)
  48:18;49:2
D-7(1)
  121:24
Daddow (1)
  109:11
damage (1)
  92:2
damaging (1)
  91:25
damning (1)
  91:24
date (34)
  7:11;14:19;33:12;
  47:12;49:23;51:10;
  52:24;53:2,5,8,10,12,
  16,18,21,22;60:22;
  70:21;74:20;85:1;
  87:6,6;89:14;98:7,10,
  13,25;99:5,6,8,13,15,
  18;105:18
dated (3)
  6:17;68:24;111:1
dates (3)
  74:25;87:1;89:5
Day (29)
  45:16,21;47:16,21,
  25;55:17;56:6;58:5,6,
```

13;62:14;84:5,18;89:1,

```
2,18;94:18;106:3,8;
  109:24:110:17.23:
  111:1,6,14;113:7,10,
  14.21
days (3)
  45:13;53:24;85:5
day-to-day (1)
  77:6
deal (11)
  17:1;18:2;23:6;
  24:17,23;27:22;28:5,
  14;36:23;79:17;99:3
dealing (6)
  57:3;58:1;60:13;
  67:5;73:5;108:13
dealings (1)
  75:5
deals (1)
  26:6
dealt (5)
  73:11;79:24;80:5;
  82:17;84:15
debate (1)
  119:23
debt (5)
  24:20;28:3,17;
  30:11;33:7
debts (1)
  41:24
December (10)
  12:3;37:19;55:6;
  76:16.19:77:3:100:13.
  20;105:15;107:23
decide (1)
  64:22
decided (2)
  69:17;104:4
decision (6)
  35:6,7;43:6;49:3;
  87:2;104:2
decisions (2)
  20:8;43:3
declined (1)
  11:4
deemed (3)
  21:16;29:18,19
defects (1)
  28:23
defending (1)
  21:11
degree (3)
  12:24;119:20;
  120:10
deleted (2)
  117:12,14
deliverable (1)
  67:14
dep (1)
  6:9
Department (7)
```

101:11;113:9;

13

115:17:116:4;117:3,6,

Depends (1) 77:14
deposed (1)
8:2 deposition (23)
6:6,22;7:13;13:11,
15;23:20;31:20;38:2;
43:20;44:6;50:20;
68:20;87:9;89:16;
112:14,14,19;114:16
116:1;118:11;121:25
123:19,21
describe (2)
14:24;63:11
described (3)
47:17;108:21;
114:15
describes (1)
51:4
designed (1)
122:4
desire (3)
50:12;98:20;122:20
desks (1)
78:11
Detroit (41)
7:25;23:6;25:21;
27:6;28:10,14;29:10;
33:3;40:5,17;41:11;
54:9;55:2;57:24;58:8
59:22;63:6;65:21;
68:13;69:2,6;70:3,12
71:1;74:14;75:2,8,9,
12;82:10;84:1;86:5;
90:9;100:13,18,25;
101:14;105:25;107:5
114:3;115:5
Detroit's (3)
27:23;32:11;114:5
develop (1)
55:22 Dials (2)
Dick (2) 108:16;109:14
dictatorship (3)
19:21;35:17,21
difference (3)
16:23;18:25;49:20
differences (3)
18:7;37:1,3
different (10)
18:20;25:18;28:6,8;
39:13;40:24;41:21;
78:4;91:18;101:24

```
DILLON- (1)
  7:18
diminished (5)
  38:15;42:15;62:10;
  63:13;77:6
direct (4)
  86:16;87:10,11;
  94:15
directed (3)
  19:25;69:24;119:4
direction (1)
  15:10
directional (1)
  108:20
directly (2)
  30:9;121:16
disagree (2)
  52:14,16
disclose (1)
  109:3
discount (1)
  67:10
discovery (2)
  97:9,10
discuss (8)
  37:2;48:21;49:8;
  57:23;61:24;62:4;
  65:23;100:24
discussed (21)
  7:3;37:22;39:15;
  45:12:47:9:52:24:
  53:15:59:18,23:60:13,
  14;61:21;73:18,21;
  78:15;79:10;82:23;
  83:18;87:5;88:1,8
discussing (1)
  73:2
discussion (20)
  37:8:39:7,8:40:1;
  45:22,24;47:7;49:12;
  50:11;52:23;53:1,19;
  58:15,18;59:8;60:4;
  67:20;79:6;97:19;
  98:11
discussions (36)
  11:23;12:3,8,11;
  37:2;39:3,25;45:4,8;
  49:1,6,14;58:25;59:18;
  60:3,8,10;61:1,19;
  62:15,19;67:5;68:5;
  72:11;73:11,12;74:2,
  10;77:15;79:8;80:23;
  83:2;85:7;86:17;
  88:23;102:8
disposable (1)
  76:20
disposition (1)
  57:8
disregard (2)
  40:6.18
district (2)
  17:10;20:6
```

97:24;105:22

```
October 10, 2013
districts (6)
  12:5;19:14;20:10;
  22:4;25:19;30:25
Diversity (2)
  99:21,24
doctor (1)
  9:4
document (9)
  63:25;64:3,15;
  65:14,18;87:12,14,24;
  111:21
documents (2)
  74:24;87:4
dollar (1)
  118:16
dollars (1)
  76:18
done (15)
  24:17;26:20;28:16;
  42:8;48:8;57:10,10;
  61:22;77:1;96:11;
  105:2,19;117:2;121:8;
  123:16
Donnelly (2)
  13:23;14:2
donors (1)
  100:9
dot (3)
  6:20;49:5;106:21
Dowling (1)
  14:1
down (11)
  8:9:29:2:33:16;
  51:25:69:23:70:17:
  73:25;90:18,25;94:19;
  106:22
dozens (2)
  61:13:121:5
DPS (2)
  75:6,11
Draconian (1)
  17:6
draft (2)
  44:19,21
drafted (1)
  39:16
drafting (10)
  14:25;37:16,17,23;
  45:1;74:11;107:19,19;
  108:18;113:10
drastic (1)
  17:6
drove (4)
  10:11,17;98:13;
  120:6
DTMI (2)
  111:24;112:1
due (1)
  91:2
duly (1)
  7:19
Duncan (1)
```

103:11

differentiate (2)

16:20;20:11;33:8;

68:5,10,16;82:12

7:14,23;24:2;31:17;

43:17,23,25;44:2,2;

68:23,23;72:3;80:9;

48:23;50:25;51:1;

84:13,17

difficult (7)

Dillon (18)

	1	1		October 10, 2013
during (29)	80:4;121:20	24:15	executable (1)	facing (2)
15:3,5;19:17;22:10;	EM (10)	equation (2)	44:16	11:18;12:21
33:24;35:2,15;39:2,25;	35:16,20;51:4,17;	16:21;95:18	executing (1)	fact (16)
45:3,23;46:4;50:1;	52:9;53:3,11,12,16;	equity (2)	108:22	29:12;43:13;62:4;
52:12;57:23;58:5,25;	59:11	9:18;10:13	exhausts (1)	66:17;78:18;79:8,22;
59:18;61:12;63:9;	email (32)	Ernst (1)	80:19	83:4,20;85:8;89:3;
66:25;76:11;86:12;	6:9,10,14,17;7:2;	75:12	Exhibit (22)	103:11,23;107:16;
89:5;100:24;109:2;	68:23,25;69:5;89:17,	eroding (1)	6:12,16,21,24,24;	116:11,18
110:18;113:21;114:5	20;91:10,20;92:8,9;	76:20	7:2;23:18,20;37:25;	factors (1)
duty (1)	107:11,14,17;111:1;	essential (2)	38:2;43:20;50:20;	91:18
17:19	112:22;115:16;116:9,	33:6;75:16	68:20;87:9;89:16;	facts (5)
	19,24;117:10;118:14;	essentially (2)	112:14,17,19;116:1;	42:21,25;64:4;
${f E}$	119:2,3,7;120:6,15,24;	52:3;77:22	118:9,11;119:1	65:15,20
	121:25	establish (1)	exhibits (1)	failure (1)
earlier (11)	emails (3)	78:23	99:4	90:18
17:4,5,9;37:17;42:3;	51:1,3;92:8	established (3)	existed (2)	fair (7)
52:7;80:10;91:19;	embrace (1)	99:8,13,17	11:25;38:18	15:20;16:5;20:4;
106:19;107:18;114:16	68:7	estimate (2)	existence (2)	56:6;74:13;82:8;119:6
early (11)	emergencies (2)	61:22;118:23	122:5,14	fall (1)
37:19;70:7;71:2;	57:3;58:1	evasive (1)	existing (1)	11:2
72:10;75:13;76:1;	emergency (34)	28:7	24:16	familiar (9)
79:10;83:23;107:22;	18:5,17,21,23;19:22;	even (11)	exists (2)	19:23;22:9;38:16;
119:9;120:9	33:12;34:17,20,25;	11:13;12:10;13:15;	82:16;99:25	44:17;68:25;99:20,23;
easier (2)	35:23,25;36:24;43:7,	27:11;42:22;65:17,17;	expecting (1)	101:3;115:16
8:15;31:25	10;48:5;51:3,8,11;	89:4;104:7;116:19;	119:14	Famous (1)
economic (4)	54:3;55:11;56:21;	119:20	expenses (3)	98:3
11:18;40:22;65:21;	57:14;59:3;66:2,12;	event (2)	16:17;30:2;100:4	far (2)
68:7	74:8;75:21;77:4;82:9;	122:22;123:2	experience (12)	33:7;107:20
economy (1)	86:5;94:17;100:5;	events (6)	12:18;13:9;22:2;	fascinating (3)
32:17	110:6,10	66:6;86:8;87:19;	30:14;31:5;32:5;	11:15,16,17
Ed (4)	employees (5)	98:5,17,24	36:20;40:3,15;56:18;	fast (1)
100:12,17,18,21	59:5;62:1;64:13;	everybody (1)	57:2;58:1	98:22
effect (3)	70:14;116:7	96:11	experiencing (1)	favor (1) 21:9
34:1,4;52:20 effective (4)	employees' (1) 94:21	everybody's (1) 63:18	75:9 experts (1)	favorable (1)
22:3;29:23;53:18,21	94.21 enable (1)	everyone (3)	102:20	29:14
effectively (2)	43:9	26:5;111:7;114:17	expiration (3)	favorably (1)
26:15;27:2	enabled (2)	evidence (2)	53:3,11,17	56:15
efficient (1)	16:25;17:3	42:21;43:1	explaining (1)	FCB (4)
98:22	enacting (1)	exact (1)	121:12	122:6,7,8,9
effort (4)	35:11	84:7	explore (2)	feathers (1)
36:7;41:20;102:2;	encountering (1)	EXAMINATION (3)	56:15;121:1	57:7
105:5	17:11	7:21;72:1;105:20	explored (2)	February (2)
efforts (2)	end (9)	examined (2)	104:25;121:15	102:1,10
52:10;104:23	15:9;18:11;27:21;	7:20;51:21	exposure (3)	Federal (2)
EFM (2)	34:17;47:25;51:4,17;	example (8)	56:5;59:19,19	44:14;48:20
18:21;19:2	75:13;76:1	25:18,21;32:11;	express (1)	feel (2)
eight (2)	Energy (2)	34:14;35:5,22;40:24;	122:20	18:12;45:2
115:20,24	99:20,24	91:23	expressed (2)	fees (6)
either (16)	engagement (1)	exception (1)	57:13;91:14	115:5;117:21;118:1,
13:7;14:25;50:2;	75:7	115:11	expressing (1)	7,21,23
53:17;66:4;77:16;	enough (3)	exceptions (1)	68:16	felt (2)
78:14,16;79:3;83:3,18;	41:12;59:25;119:19	26:17	extent (4)	59:14;114:17
84:13;85:17;110:6,10;	entitled (6)	excerpt (1)	8:13,17;27:4;66:15	few (7)
122:12	27:20,24;81:15,16;	38:10	\mathbf{F}	15:5;21:15;34:7;
electeds (1)	96:24;97:2	excited (1)	Г	54:22;55:20;97:25;
34:15 election (1)	entitlement (1) 42:5	11:9 exclude (2)	EAR (2)	98:16 fight (1)
34:7	entity (1)	79:2;85:16	FAB (2) 122:10,17	21:17
electorate (1)	26:1	excluding (2)	face (1)	figure (1)
21:21	environment (1)	85:19;88:20	84:16	95:18
else (6)	29:14	exclusive (1)	face-to-face (1)	file (10)
14:6;54:6,16;67:15;	equal (1)	42:6	77:19	19:6;36:25;43:10;
	* ` '			,,,

				October 10, 2013
74:9;86:5,18;88:13;	94:12;101:23;115:7;	106:5,14	further (3)	17:2;29:8;30:15;
93:4,21;98:15	118:3,4,16	foundation (10)	90:25;96:10;121:19	32:25;33:4
filed (22)	five-minute (1)	31:7,10;42:20,24;	70.23,70.10,121.17	governmental (1)
26:15;42:22;63:3;	50:14	60:16;77:25;81:10,20;	G	16:13
69:12,19;72:8,9;77:24;	Flint (1)	96:1;116:13	G	Governor (53)
78:1;80:2;81:5;83:24;	75:6	four (2)	Gadola (2)	10:8,11,21;11:24;
84:5,6,18;86:24;90:3;	flipped (1)	9:16;52:8	46:7,9	15:21;16:6;20:3;
	63:8			
106:3,6,9,15;107:4		four-day (1)	GALLAGHER (2)	21:13,25;35:13;43:6;
files (1)	flow (1) 25:10	86:15	96:19;97:1	44:19,24;48:2,3,11,14,
113:22		fourth (1)	gamut (1)	17,22;49:6,8;50:1,2;
filing (34)	Flowers (7)	36:1	119:16	52:11;53:15;59:2;
6:13;7:7;19:15;	72:7;84:13;87:7;	Fox (1)	Garrett (2)	62:25;65:12;68:24;
43:14;44:12,25;48:3;	90:2;91:6;92:21;98:9	87:20	100:12,16	70:1,25;71:6;75:17;
49:9;52:5,18;60:22;	fluid (2)	frame (1)	gather (1)	76:22;83:3,25;84:8,15;
71:7;79:19;86:13,22;	91:19;95:14	60:16	34:8	86:25;88:12;89:17,21;
87:18;90:12;93:6,22;	focal (1)	framed (1)	gave (7)	91:11,21;92:7;93:4,20;
98:6,13;99:6,8,13,18;	73:19	108:2	18:9;40:21;78:10,	94:8,16;95:1;103:23,
107:11;114:2;115:8,9,	focus (3)	framework (1)	11;88:22;98:8;106:17	25;119:19
13;118:2,6;120:2,7	10:7;72:22;75:13	75:1	GE (1)	Governor's (36)
filled (1)	focused (1)	Frank (1)	12:25	6:6,9,10,11,16,21,24,
11:7	15:24	87:21	General (15)	24;7:2,5;19:2,7,14;
finalized (1)	folks (7)	Fred (1)	14:4;33:13;46:10,	45:10,11,14;46:10,18;
117:4	15:5;32:14;45:11;	85:14	18;49:14;60:7;62:12;	47:14;66:9;70:2;71:9,
financed (1)	46:15;62:14;107:23;	Free (5)	67:22;75:1;81:5,11;	10;84:22;86:4,12,17;
13:2	108:3	82:10;113:18,20;	82:2,14,20,24	87:9,16;89:13,16;
finances (2)	follow (3)	114:17;119:24	generally (11)	98:21;106:20;107:24;
30:24;62:2	33:15;87:19;98:25	freep (2)	12:10;14:19,24;	108:4,12
financial (23)	following (3)	49:5;106:21	22:13;26:18;75:2;	Granholm (1)
10:5;11:24;12:13,	98:1,5;106:1	Friday (2)	78:17;80:16;83:4,19;	10:12
21;18:21;20:7,11,14;	follows (3)	86:6;88:13	88:11	great (1)
24:6;26:19;34:20;	7:20;40:13;93:18	friend (2)	Generals (1)	11:11
38:11;54:21;59:21;	followup (2)	11:10;13:20	13:14	GREEN (17)
62:9;64:5;65:16;	96:12,14	friendly (1)	Generals' (1)	96:14;105:21,24;
122:10,13,16,21;	follow-up (2)	113:18	14:9	107:1;109:17;111:10,
123:1,13	47:11;97:25	front (6)	General's (1)	12,16;112:2,6,16,21;
financially (1)	footing (1)	15:9;18:11;27:21;	82:7	115:22,24;116:3,15;
23:15	24:15	59:24;94:12;116:9	George (1)	118:13
financing (1)	forecast (1)	fulfill (2)	87:20	grill (1)
28:13	118:18	32:25;33:4	gets (2)	12:15
find (7)	forget (2)	full (2)	24:6;95:10	ground (2)
16:2;20:9;26:18;	36:14;56:16	8:14;21:23	given (8)	8:6;108:22
64:9;78:4,10;101:22	forgot (1)	fully (1)	35:8;49:10;64:11;	groundwork (1)
fine (5)	112:23	11:14	66:2,5;78:13;113:21;	57:20
23:13;81:21;93:25;	form (14)	fund (9)	118:23	group (6)
112:6,10	31:6,10;42:20,23,24;	9:18;10:13;40:25;	giving (5)	58:7;72:8;79:4;
firm (3)	44:21;51:13;60:15;	80:11;91:17;99:25;	65:4;74:8;85:18,18;	83:15;93:10;114:15
9:20;55:9;70:18	77:25;81:9,20;92:4;	100:1,4,10	88:21	growth (1)
firms (15)	96:1;116:13	fundamental (1)	glance (1)	13:7
54:10;55:7,16;	formal (1)	102:24	44:7	guess (9)
58:13,16;110:13,16,	121:11	funded (4)	goal (1)	18:25;23:18;41:19;
17;113:1,7;114:7,11,	formally (1)	40:25;70:22;90:16;	17:8	53:11;60:18;89:23;
14,20;115:3	53:23	95:13	goes (2)	92:18;107:21;108:12
first (26)	formation (1)	funding (12)	24:21;74:21	guys (2)
7:19;10:9;24:12;	109:6	49:18;61:19,23;	good (9)	55:12;63:2
25:6,20;26:2;28:21;	former (1)	80:11;90:11,21;91:17;	7:23;8:14;29:22;	
32:24;45:23;46:24;	65:5	92:4;95:17;96:6;	32:11,22;37:7,14;	Н
47:20;49:5;56:8;75:4;	forth (1)	119:21;120:11	65:13;80:1	
78:10;90:25;94:15;	48:18	funds (14)	Gora (1)	hair (1)
95:6,14;96:9,13,15;	forward (7)	25:10;61:23;70:22;	75:12	60:6
98:14;106:5,19;107:7	28:12;29:1;62:2;	91:2,25;92:3;93:1;	governance (1)	half (3)
Fitch (1)	69:20,21;86:22;95:19	99:21,21;100:3;	20:9	10:18;28:13;115:7
30:19	found (5)	104:24;119:22;	government (8)	handed (1)
five (6)	10:2;16:12;33:5;	120:11;121:6	11:25;12:22;16:19;	108:3
1110 (0)	10.2,10.12,33.3,	120.11,121.0	11.23,12.22,10.17,	100.5

138			T		October 10, 2013
13:5 helped (3) helped (3	hands-on (1)	103:22	120.18	70.13.87.20	26:0 14 21 24 25:27:4
handwriting (3)	, ,				
11120;112:5.8 holps (2) identified (4) incorporated (2) 25:20 holpspen (7) 13:15:47:17,18; hill; hil					
bappen (7)					
13:154-71-71.18 bey (2) identify (3) 15:20-352-336-6; 18 11:14-81.2 11:11-11:12-4; 15:20-32-34-71-9.23; 15:20-32-93-471-9.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:20-32-93-93-19.23; 15:					
11:11:11:11:24; 81:19					
9818 happened (7) 163.29.34371.92.35 high (7) 163.29.34371.92.35 high (7) 163.29.34371.92.35 high (7) 151.01.21.07.24 high-level (5) 151.01.21.07.24 high-level (5) 170.71.16.22 high-level (5) 170.71.16.22 high-level (5) 182.81.037.21; 60.2 70.21.24 high-level (5) 182.8 high-level (5) high-level (6) high-level (5) high-level (5) high-level (6) high-level (5) high-level (5) high-level (6) high-level (5) high-level (6) high-level (5) high-level (5) high-level (6) high-level (6) high-level (6) high-level (1) high-level					
bappenied (7)	53:20;55:23;86:9;				
16:329.34:47:19.23; high (7)	98:18		123:11	increase (2)	122:24
16:329.9347:19.23; high (7)	happened (7)	105:22	identifying (1)	16:20;100:25	interesting (2)
Total Tota		high (7)	121:12	incurred (1)	119:13:120:17
108.19				indeed (1)	
bappens (S) 15:25;25:19;41:2; 60:2 107:7;116:22 15:25;25:19;41:2; 60:2 76:21.24 18:25 70:19 70:19 37:17:210:81:0 37:17:210:81:0 18:25 107:7,16 18:19 32:12,16;38:20;59:21; 18:19 37:19:22,23;96:7; 38:16:41 31:21;39:22,76:17 38:18;41:18 105:11 105:11 105:11 108:19 108:					
15:25;25:19:41:2, 60:2 60:2 70:19 18:25 70:19 18:25 70:19 18:25 70:19 18:25 70:19 18:25 70:19 18:25 70:19 18:40:149:24;96:6; 65:13 18:19 18:40:19					
Social S					
lappy (f) lise 5 foliar (f) lise 5 foliar (f)					
138.25					
Sample S					
1077,16					
Interview (1)					
Action					
Arms's (1)					
Description Part					
Barsh (1) 31:21;39:22;76:17 38:15;42:15;62:11; information (9) 58:38.71:12:90:5; 35:55:91:15;76:33; 90:13:94:20:95:22; 100:18; home (1) 48:1 honset (1) 73:3,16 impairment (2) 70:91,17:13:91:22; 10:17:34 10:17:34 10:17:34:12:15;63:17; 35:59:15;76:3; 90:13:94:20:95:22; 10:17:34 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:17:34:12:15; 10:18:16 10:18:18; 10:18:16 10:18:18; 10:18:16 10:18:18; 10:18:16 10:18:18; 10:18:16 10:18:16 10:18:18; 10:18:16 10:18:18; 10:18:16 10:18:16 10:18:18; 10:18:16 10:18					
Auraba					
Dob Part P			` ′		
head (3)		31:21;39:22;76:17	38:15;42:15;62:11;	information (9)	24:6;25:1;29:15;33:7;
Time	20:5	holders (1)		58:3;87:12;90:5;	35:5;59:15;76:3;
Headen (1)	head (3)		impairing (1)	92:25;100:8;101:8;	90:13;94:20;95:22;
Headen (1)		home (1)			
85:14					
health (14)					
17:20;18:3;32:11, 12:20;39:18;60:7,12; 12:20;39:18;60:7,12; 16:25;72:19;73:17; 92:25;104:11,15 15:16 hoped (1) impossible (1)					
12,20,39:18;60;7,12; 61:25;72:19;73:17; 92:25;104:11,15	health (14)	honor (1)	17:7;34:3;105:12,15	ingredients (1)	55:7
61:25;72:19;73:17; 92:25;104:11,15 hood (1) hood (1) hood (1) finitially (4)					
Pacific Paci			44:14;57:4,12;		
healthier (1)					
Dearly (2)				` ,	,
healthy (2) 21:19 68:12 11:4;53:5;69:15; 55:6;72:11;74:14; 32:22,23 hour (3) impressed (1) 83:23 88:19;99:2;102:1; hear (1) 10:18;18;56:17 57:19 initiated (1) 107:19;108:14;109:12, 88:24 hours (1) improper (1) 77:2 13;15;110:5,9;114:6,9 20:25;25:14,14; House (2) improve (3) 15:21;51:5,18;52:13 15:9;35:5;108:5 35:15;54:23;89:1,9 Howard (1) improve (3) 15:21;51:5,18;52:13 15:9;35:5;108:5 hearing (1) Howard (1) improved (2) 84:21;85:10 106:16 16:24;84:21,24,25; hundred (4) improvement (2) 32:24 45:3 85:2,9,21;86:6;87:6; 115:2 22:5;34:13 instruct (1) issuance (1) 19:13;120:17 hundred (4) improvement (2) 32:24 45:3 46:19,23 34:19;43:16;84:8,12 34:19;25;13:5 28:2 instructions (1) 17:12;39:23,24; Heather (3) Huron (2) 12:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13,					
Sacial Control Contr		2 , ,			
hear (1) 10:18,18;56:17 57:19 initiated (1) 107:19;108:14;109:12, 13,15;110:5,9;114:6,9 88:24 hours (1) improper (1) 77:2 13,15;110:5,9;114:6,9 heard (7) 13:18 42:25 initiative (4) involvement (3) 35:15;54:23;89:1,9 9:16;12:19 15:7;34:9;37:19 injunctive (2) 15:9;35:5;108:5 hearing (11) Howard (1) improved (2) 84:21;85:10 106:16 issuance (1) 85:2,9,21;86:6;87:6; luge (1) improvement (2) 32:24 45:3 issue (21) hearings (2) hundred (4) improvements (2) 32:24 45:3 issue (21) hearings (2) hundred (4) improvements (2) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; Heather (3) Huron (2) 11:2;7;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4;20;95:13, heavily (1) hypothetical (3) 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 12:21;17;118:10; belp (8)					
Nours (1) 13:18 42:25 13:15;110:5,9;114:6,9 13:18 42:25 13:15;110:5,9;114:6,9 13:18 42:25 13:15;110:5,9;114:6,9 13:18 42:25 13:15;110:5,9;114:6,9 13:18 42:25 13:15;110:5,9;114:6,9 13:18 42:25 13:15;110:5,9;114:6,9 13:18 42:25 13:15;110:5,9;114:6,9 13:18 15:19 15:21;51:5,18;52:13 15:9;35:5;108:5 15:9					
heard (7)	hear (1)	10:18,18;56:17	57:19	initiated (1)	107:19;108:14;109:12,
Description Color Color	88:24	hours (1)	improper (1)	77:2	13,15;110:5,9;114:6,9
35:15;54:23;89:1,9 hearing (11) Howard (1) improved (2) improved (2) 84:21;85:10 instance (1) issuance (1) insurructions (1) issuance (1) issuance (1) insurance (2) issuance (1) issuance (2) insurance (2) issuance (2) issuance (3) inclined (1) insurance (1) insurance (1) insurance (1) insurance (1) issuance (3) inclined (1) included (1) inclu	heard (7)	13:18	42:25	initiative (4)	involvement (3)
Sint	20:25;25:14,14;	House (2)	improve (3)	15:21;51:5,18;52:13	15:9;35:5;108:5
hearing (11) Howard (1) improved (2) 84:21;85:10 106:16 16:24;84:21,24,25; 85:2,9,21;86:6;87:6; huge (1) 16:11;34:6 instance (1) issuance (1) 119:13;120:17 115:2 22:5;34:13 instruct (1) issue (21) hearings (2) hundred (4) improvements (2) 66:16 17:12;39:23,24; 86:19,23 34:19;43:16;84:8,12 Huron (2) inadequate (1) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; 111:17;113:4;122:1 heather (3) 112:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13, heavily (1) p:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 156:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; idea (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;	35:15;54:23;89:1,9	9:16:12:19		injunctive (2)	iron (1)
16:24;84:21,24,25; 108:11 huge (1) instance (1) issuance (1) 85:2,9,21;86:6;87:6; 119:13;120:17 115:2 22:5;34:13 instruct (1) issue (21) hearings (2) hundred (4) improvements (2) 66:16 17:12;39:23,24; 86:19,23 34:19;43:16;84:8,12 16:9;29:23 instructions (1) 45:13;46:19;48:11,15, Heather (3) Huron (2) inadequate (1) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; 111:17;113:4;122:1 112:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13, heavily (1) hypothetical (3) 42:25;70:7;119:10 12:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; held (2) 68:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,32;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 interaction (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
85:2,9,21;86:6;87:6; huge (1) improvement (2) 32:24 45:3 119:13;120:17 115:2 22:5;34:13 instruct (1) issue (21) hearings (2) hundred (4) improvements (2) 66:16 17:12;39:23,24; 86:19,23 34:19;43:16;84:8,12 16:9;29:23 instructions (1) 45:13;46:19;48:11,15, Heather (3) Huron (2) inadequate (1) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; 111:17;113:4;122:1 112:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13, heavily (1) hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; 19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; idea (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15; <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td>				*	
119:13;120:17 115:2 22:5;34:13 instruct (1) issue (21) hearings (2) hundred (4) improvements (2) 66:16 17:12;39:23,24; 86:19,23 34:19;43:16;84:8,12 16:9;29:23 instructions (1) 45:13;46:19;48:11,15, Heather (3) Huron (2) inadequate (1) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; 111:17;113:4;122:1 hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; heavily (1) hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; 19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
hearings (2) hundred (4) improvements (2) 66:16 17:12;39:23,24; 86:19,23 34:19;43:16;84:8,12 16:9;29:23 instructions (1) 45:13;46:19;48:11,15, Heather (3) Huron (2) inadequate (1) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; 111:17;113:4;122:1 112:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13, heavily (1) hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; 19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:6 issued (3) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; idea (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
86:19,23 34:19;43:16;84:8,12 16:9;29:23 instructions (1) 45:13;46:19;48:11,15, Heather (3) Huron (2) inadequate (1) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; 111:17;113:4;122:1 112:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13, heavily (1) hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; 19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:6 issued (3) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) included (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; idea (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;	*	hundred (4)			
Heather (3) Huron (2) inadequate (1) 8:24 20;60:1;62:24;79:17; 111:17;113:4;122:1 112:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13, heavily (1) hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; 19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:6 issued (3) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) included (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
111:17;113:4;122:1 112:25;113:5 28:2 insulate (2) 85:10;93:4,20;95:13, heavily (1) hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; 19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:6 issued (3) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) included (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;			,		
heavily (1) hypothetical (3) inclined (1) 122:21;123:1 14;96:22;103:12; 19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:6 issued (3) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
19:18 42:25;70:7;119:10 56:15 insurance (1) 119:5,24;121:10 held (2) 68:6 issued (3) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) included (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
held (2) include (2) 68:6 issued (3) 9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) included (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
9:11;97:19 I 68:18;112:4 included (1) intent (1) 21:10;30:18,21 Hello (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;		12.23,70.7,113.10			
Hello (1) included (1) 64:3 issues (21) 105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;	, ,	T	, ,		` /
105:23 idea (3) 113:7 interaction (1) 12:21;17:1;18:10; help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;	*	-			
help (8) 35:8;49:8;56:11 includes (1) 60:11 23:14;52:12;58:11,12; 23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;		idea (3)			
23:5,12;41:23; ideas (4) 89:11 intercept (14) 59:1;61:1;75:6;76:15;					
27.11,17,25.7,0,15, 17.17,70.17,72.7,15, 17.17,70.17,72.7,15,					
		10.17,13.21,30.3,		2, 23. 1,0,13,	

			T	October 10, 2013
102:24;103:4,4,6,9;	13:5;28:11;57:7;	58:2;76:25;77:2;	23,24	17:2;30:3,7;33:6;
107:25	67:14;74:6;75:1,18,21;	80:12,14,15,16;110:7,	legs (1)	34:15;35:4;36:3;
item (1)	108:2	10;113:1,7;114:7,11,	108:21	115:18
39:11	kinds (1)	14,20	lender (4)	locals (2)
	87:19	laws (2)	13:1;27:1,2,20	35:6;52:8
${f J}$	knew (7)	122:6;123:8	lenders (1)	lodged (1)
-	49:17;67:12;75:3;	lawsuit (2)	28:19	22:10
Jack (3)	85:2;86:15;92:3;	69:11:85:20	lending (1)	logic (2)
7:24;54:19;97:24	106:10	lawsuits (4)	29:14	41:10,14
January (11)	knowing (2)	69:19;72:8;83:24;	lengthy (2)	long (8)
9:12;51:10,17;54:9;	89:7;111:14	84:8	98:20;99:2	9:11,22;10:8;39:23;
58:6,20,21;60:18,21;	knowledge (6)	lawyer (6)	Lennox (2)	56:16;74:22;120:25;
74:15,20	28:15;40:4,15;56:4;	46:22;47:5;49:22;	111:17;122:1	121:6
Jennifer (1)	121:17,18	85:23;93:24;98:3	less (3)	longer (2)
105:24	known (3)	lawyers (7)	40:25;59:11;86:2	77:1;98:1
job (7)	10:8;54:23;99:24	39:9;45:16;71:17;	lessons (1)	look (15)
11:9,15,23;28:19;	T	86:1;109:2,3,9	59:11	19:8;38:5;51:25;
32:24;57:5;65:13	L	lay (2)	lets (1)	63:18;73:15;89:3,19;
Jobs (3)	1-1(1)	58:6;64:3	65:13	90:25;94:11;95:24;
10:13,20;12:24	labor (1) 102:20	laying (2)	letter (13) 6:12;7:4,7;43:18;	97:12,12;113:22,25; 123:9
Joe (1) 103:11	lack (2)	65:14,20 lead (2)	6:12;7:4,7;43:18; 44:19;45:4,14;47:15;	looked (6)
Jones (18)	22:23;23:9	69:24;119:4	49:5;106:20;107:6,12,	34:8;35:3;91:20;
45:16,21;47:16,21,	lacks (1)	leading (1)	15	101:7,13;110:17
25;55:17;56:5;58:5,6;	24:2	45:3	level (12)	looking (5)
109:24;110:17,23;	laid (1)	leaked (3)	15:10,12,23;70:20;	15:6;50:3;63:17;
111:1,14;113:7,10,13,	58:11	116:12,14;117:7	90:10,20;91:17;95:17;	118:19,24
21	landslide (1)	learn (2)	107:24;108:19;	looks (2)
judge (3)	36:9	84:4;86:3	119:21;120:10	87:13;92:9
13:16;43:3;85:9	language (8)	learned (7)	liabilities (2)	losing (1)
judge's (2)	15:15;37:23;44:17;	35:1;59:12;84:5;	63:7;101:1	48:24
97:4,6	45:1;48:18,19;49:2;	85:20,21;90:5;91:1	liability (1)	lost (1)
judgment (1)	63:16	learning (7)	60:5	64:7
57:22	Lansing (5)	70:13,13,15,17;	liable (1)	lot (27)
July (26)	6:2;7:15;11:14;	84:20;90:17;92:25	49:20	12:4;13:6;17:12;
6:12,17;7:4;43:17;	86:11;89:5	least (3)	lien (1)	27:17,23;28:7;36:20,
45:4;60:22;68:24;	large (1)	29:11,17;86:21	26:15	21;37:6;39:12;55:19;
69:16;70:11;71:11;	57:11 larger (1)	leave (1) 45:21	life (4) 32:6,6;120:25;121:7	57:20;68:6,8;74:22; 76:12;87:12;90:22;
83:23;84:6,24;85:22; 86:7;87:14;89:24;	77:15	leaves (2)		91:16,17,18;92:1;
90:3;94:11;98:7,15;	last (18)	16:14,18	lights (1) 32:15	98:17;106:18;120:25;
99:10,11,14,18;119:2	9:16;13:1,16;44:8;	led (5)	liked (1)	121:7,10
June (5)	55:8;69:23;77:20;	73:13;76:5;77:3;	57:8	Lowenstein (1)
63:7,20;65:6;95:24;	88:16;89:24,25;90:6;	98:5;108:1	likely (3)	7:24
118:5	95:11;98:3;100:20;	left (2)	54:15;73:20;75:20	lunch (3)
	105:12;111:25;119:1;	24:21;92:11	limitations (1)	11:10;57:17;59:9
K	120:15	legal (26)	15:5	
	lasted (2)	27:17,17;28:20,23;	limited (3)	M
keep (1)	13:18;56:17	33:21;38:22;41:1,15;	15:17;56:19;75:5	
36:16	late (3)	42:8;46:11,15;52:19;	line (3)	MacKenzie (1)
kept (1)	37:18;75:24;107:22	58:5;64:21,23;80:6,23;	23:24;63:24;106:1	55:8
36:15	later (5)	81:7,12,19,22;85:14;	lines (2)	Mahatra (1)
Kevyn (10)	11:6;15:10;47:18;	88:21;123:7,12,12	67:19;85:10	75:12
6:11;7:5;51:10;	71:16;85:22	legally (1)	list (4)	Main (2)
59:14;66:8;69:2,6,11;	law (50)	44:15	110:12,15;114:10,20	26:4,10
101:19;120:5	12:23;14:17;16:1;	legislation (6)	listening (1)	major (2)
key (1)	17:11;19:21;21:9,18,	14:14,14;44:24; 51:22;74:12;108:4	67:1	16:22;100:9
39:11 kicked (1)	23;22:1;24:1;29:19; 33:12,14,23;35:17,20;	Legislator (2)	litigation (3) 92:21,22,24	majority (1) 101:24
kicked (1) 53:25	33:12,14,23;35:17,20; 36:15,19;43:13;44:14;	12:19;65:5	92:21,22,24 little (2)	makes (2)
55:25 kids (1)	51:4,17;52:2,3,17;	Legislature (10)	12:23;14:13	20:10;36:19
32:14	53:3,11,12,17,18,21,	9:15;10:10;16:2,6;	Local (11)	making (7)
kind (9)	25;54:10;55:9,15;	35:12;74:12;108:5,14,	11:20,25;12:22;	49:20;66:12;67:24;
	20,00,00.7,10,	22.12,7 1112,100.3,14,	11.20,20,12.22,	.,.20,00.12,07.21,

68:10;70:18;75:15; 54:20,22;73:14;82:14; members (4) 101:5,17;105:4,10; 80:1 88:18:91:7:96:7: 19:19:54:21:66:8.9 115:7:118:3.4.16 memories (1) manage (3) 100:7;103:11;113:16, millions (1) 31:3,8;103:1 17;114:24;115:10 68:3 76:18 management (1) maybe (5) memory (37) mind (6) 102:25 20:10;29:11,17; 13:24;17:24;19:1,9; 29:22;39:24;64:21; 65:12;69:17;82:13 manager (28) 31:24;113:4 33:13;36:8;41:5;53:8, 18:17,21,24;33:12; Mayor (1) 24;54:1;56:3;57:16; mine(1)34:24 58:17;59:16;61:15; 11:10 35:7;36:6,25;43:7,10; minute (1) 48:5;51:3,9,11;54:3; McNeil (2) 64:2;67:4,11;68:4; 55:11;56:21;57:4,15; 100:12,17 69:11,18;73:15,22,23; 88:17 74:4;76:10;79:6; mischaracterized (1) 59:3;66:2,12;74:8; mean (32) 77:5;82:9;86:5;100:5; 11:17;15:23;22:14; 84:10;86:10;87:25; 117:24 110:7,10 25:9,16;27:10,12,16; 88:9,15;89:6;100:15; misguided (1) managers (1) 28:7;30:1,17,20;33:10; 101:2;102:16;123:14 24:17 43:2,11;48:10;49:15; 19:22 memos (5) mismanaging (1) 110:2;113:20,23; manager's (2) 52:10;61:13;70:10; 30:7 77:4;94:17 75:2;80:12;82:7,12,24; 114:1,4 modification (1) 84:12;95:12;101:18; managing (2) mentioned (3) 18:14 10:2;30:24 112:23;114:15; 106:19;117:21; modified (1) many (9) 119:17;121:5 120:24 93:15 8:4;55:11,12;57:11; meaning (2) modify (6) merely (1) 70:8,23;85:25;119:11; 94:19;123:10 52:4 17:13,24;18:5;39:4, means (5) message (2) 122:3 13:72:23 Monday (4) March (4) 80:20;104:23;105:6; 57:9;92:12 14:18;53:6,22; 122:8,18 met (12) 86:6;88:1,2;106:10 122:25 meant (1) 10:9,18;56:16,17; Mondays (1) Margaret (2) 58:17;68:2;72:3;88:1; 83:1 77:18 81:13,15 measure (1) 100:14,20;111:18; money (13) mark (15) 57:12 113:4 24:10,10,11;25:1; 41:18,25;42:1;49:19; 6:16;13:23;14:1,2; measured (1) mic (1) 23:18:37:25:43:17: 90:12 71:18 101:20.23:103:16: 109:12;111:19;112:3, measures (5) Michigan (23) 104:8:105:7 9,11,17;115:23;118:9 17:6;21:8,16,20; 6:2;7:15;9:9,15; monies (4) marked (19) 36:12 26:2;67:10;80:20; 11:19;12:1;19:19; 6:11,22;23:20;38:2, mechanics (1) 29:9,12,19,25;30:16; 94:21 15:22 month (1) 9;43:16,20;50:20; 33:17;38:21;40:5,17; 51:1;63:19;68:20,23; media (6) 42:14;62:8,23;64:19; 13:13 87:8;89:15;112:14,19; 115:18;116:21; 65:9;108:25;113:24 months (1) 117:1,8,17,19 microphone (2) 116:1;118:11;119:2 75:4 market (9) medical (1) 48:24;96:16 Moody (1) 10:6;22:24;23:2,7, 9:6 mid (1) 30:19 medications (1) 10;24:3,7,8;26:7 53:6 Moody's (1) markets (6) 9:3 Middle (2) 30:20 30:12;31:2,5;32:1,2, 10:6;119:22 meet (8) more (21) 10:11;69:2,6,13,17, midwest (1) 8 12:14;17:5;18:10; marking (1) 18;75:15;94:17 10:6 20:9;23:8,15;24:25; meeting (43) might (12) 7:1 29:11;35:4;40:11; Martin (1) 10:10,17;13:13,17, 8:16;16:15;17:5; 51:13;53:9;57:17,25; 54:19 17,19;14:10;54:8,24; 26:20;30:20;55:3; 58:2;59:10;75:7;96:8; material (1) 55:2,10,14,15,23,24, 69:11:75:24:101:19; 100:8;113:19;118:19 104:7;115:12;122:9 35:7 25;56:4;57:16,19; morning (5) matter (4) 58:20,24;59:9;66:4,7; **Mike (2)** 7:23;14:10,12; 6:23;78:20;80:5; 67:12;69:9,15,20,22, 46:6,9 71:19;87:19 24;76:16,21;77:18; mill (2) mortgage (1) 86:1 Matthew (2) 78:12;88:7;100:12,22, 13:3,4 26:16 13:21;14:8 24;103:10;106:10; Miller (3) **most (5)** 114:21;119:3;120:4 113:1,6;114:23 maxed (1) 27:2;44:14;54:15; 118:17 meetings (15) Milliman (6) 57:12;58:16 may (25) 34:7;36:6;37:18,22; 70:19;115:17; mostly (1) 12:2;26:17;28:11, 51:20;57:23;65:22,25; 116:12,20,25;117:11 67:1 12;33:20;40:2;41:24; 66:3;78:14;79:4; million (11) movable (1) negotiations (4) 44:12;49:15,21,21,23; 83:15;86:11,14;107:22 28:14;75:19;76:23; 106:17 66:13,22;67:17;

move (1) 29:1 moved (6) 76:3:89:1.2.7.14: 108:4 movement (1) 74:11 moving (3) 61:21;70:23;90:15 much (11) 21:21;57:18;61:16, 17;73:22;78:8,13; 98:1;108:6,20;120:13 municipal (5) 22:24;23:2,7,10; 24:3 Murray (1) 109:12 **must (2)** 64:11;117:18 myriad (1) 28:6 myself (1) 14:7

N

name (7) 7:23;9:20;10:15; 72:3;87:21;108:8; 110:15 names (2) 47:25:114:25 narrow (1) 25:17 navigate (3) 20:11;33:8;59:25 necessarily (1) 80:3 necessary (5) 21:18;22:1;36:22; 52:4.17 need (6) 28:20;46:5;52:21; 72:15;95:23;106:23 needed (4) 16:10;22:4;29:23; 56:24 needs (2) 32:25;33:4 negative (3) 29:18;32:16;95:23 negatively (1) 30:8 negotiate (5) 68:10,12,17;102:12; 123:6 negotiated (3) 27:21;28:9;104:12 negotiation (2)

102:15;104:17

				October 10, 2013
76:12	number (10)	20:8;21:21;24:6;	options (6)	
NELSON (58)	61:16;68:8;75:18;	34:14;77:11,17	36:3;52:8;120:25;	P
6:5;13:23;14:2,4;	76:18;84:7;90:15;	old (3)	121:3,13,15	P
19:10,12;20:20;21:2;	103:3,4;111:22,24	53:3,11,17	order (6)	D. (100)
31:6,10,12;38:4,22;	103.3,4,111.22,24	older (1)	18:2;34:16;95:7;	PA (102)
41:15;42:20,24;46:1,5,	0	26:18	97:5,7,9	14:15,21,21,22;15:1,
23;50:6;51:7;60:15,	O .	once (4)	organization (1)	3,4,20;16:5,8,10,25;
19;61:4;65:2;66:14;	oath (1)	24:23;25:6;77:5;	99:23	18:8,8,9,11,12,13,16,
77:25;78:22;80:6,25;	8:10	108:2	original (2)	19,23;19:6,9,10,11,12,
81:9,14,18,22;83:7;	object (9)	one (68)	63:25;99:5	13,17;20:15,19;21:14,
85:12;93:7;95:5,9;	8:16;31:9;42:23;	13:22;17:17;21:8;	Orr (43)	18;22:7,16,19,23;23:3,
96:1,11,17,21;97:3,8,	51:7,13;60:15;61:4;	22:6;23:8;28:9;29:11,	6:11;7:5;37:2;43:22,	5,9;29:2;30:12,13;
15;98:3;102:5;104:9,	66:14;95:2	17;34:14;35:22;36:12,	24;51:11;52:1,14,24;	32:7;33:9,14,14,23,25;
18;109:4,7;112:7,11;	Objection (17)	13,13,16,18;37:10;	53:2,6,15;54:23;55:22;	34:1,2,3,3,5,6,8,9,11;
115:21,23;116:13;	38:22;41:15;42:20;	39:23;40:11;43:9;	56:2,5,8,11,20;57:14,	35:10,11,11;36:8,10,
123:16	46:1;50:6;65:2;77:25;	46:20;47:23;50:2;	23;58:3,25;60:11;	21,23,23;37:3,3,16;
NERD (1)	78:22;80:6;81:9,16,19;	56:23;57:16;61:14,14;	61:17;62:19;66:19;	38:19,20;39:2,11,16,
99:21	83:7;85:12;96:1;	62:14;63:24;67:4,13;	67:8;69:15;77:9,17,23;	25;40:4,16,21;43:11,
NERDs (3)	104:9;116:13	68:4;72:7,18;74:3;	78:15;79:7,13;82:9;	11,12,14;44:9,23;
99:24;100:3,9	objections (1)	75:10;82:16;84:10;	88:13;89:1;93:4,20;	45:24;47:8;51:21;
N-E-R-D-s (1)	51:14	89:14,18;92:9,15,17,	94:19,24;101:16	107:19;108:1,17,18,
100:4	obligation (4)	19;94:12,12;95:5,11;	Orr's (2)	18;109:1,4,6,21,25;
nervous (1)	25:5;38:14;50:4;	96:12;97:8;99:4,19;	51:16;57:8	110:2,10,12,24;122:4; 123:2
84:9	59:20	103:10;105:15,15,17;	others (5)	
new (11)	obligations (9)	110:17;111:6,20;	40:2;54:22;68:24;	page (5) 44:8;63:21;64:7,10;
51:4,22;52:2,17;	23:17;30:3,4;41:13,	112:8,12,17;115:10,	82:11;109:15	
53:12,18,21,25;77:2;	13;60:12,14;75:16;	12,14;116:7;121:5,8,	ours (1)	111:25 paid (2)
99:20,23	114:2	24	53:9	24:12;25:6
News (3)	obvious (1)	one-on-one (7)	out (37)	pain (4)
87:20;116:21;	64:24	77:8;78:14;83:2,13,	6:23;9:18;17:23;	26:5,10;27:7,15
117:17	obviously (7)	14;93:8,10	21:9,22;26:20;33:8;	paper (1)
next (7)	8:17;15:23;70:1;	One-on-ones (2)	35:1;36:2,19;39:3,10;	100:6
60:1;71:11;77:21;	76:12;119:16,23;120:3	77:12;79:3	44:21;45:14,21;47:15;	papers (1)
91:11;94:18;112:11,16	occasion (1)	ones (3)	49:19;58:7,11;61:7,14;	81:5
night (2)	113:2	36:17;70:18;73:16	64:3;65:14,20,24;78:4,	paragraph (7)
88:13;107:9	occasions (2)	Online (4)	10;85:13;92:1;95:18;	44:9;48:21;52:1;
nine (2)	8:4;98:23	22:19,22;106:20;	106:6,14;108:2;	69:23;91:1,11;94:16
73:18;98:20	occur (1)	107:8	117:17;118:17;121:6,9	pari (1)
nine-year (1)	86:23	only (20)	outlets (1)	24:15
13:8	occurred (1)	21:21;30:5;36:13,	117:20	parse (1)
ninth (1)	78:24	13,15;51:12;56:4,15;	out-of-court (1)	61:7
120:7	October (3)	67:4,11,13;68:4;79:17,	66:13	part (16)
Nodding (1)	6:1,7;7:11	24;97:9;108:12;111:6,	outside (8)	16:3;45:1;52:11,23;
106:22	off (11)	13,20;115:21	61:18,24;66:19;	54:2;56:5;74:10;87:2;
nonbond (1)	16:18;23:24;37:12;	operate (1)	94:1,8;107:20;108:24,	98:21;104:12,16;
24:14	50:16;71:21;72:4;	33:10	25	108:8;109:16,19;
none (1)	94:2;97:17,19;108:3;	operational (2)	over (14)	115:4;122:15
102:16	117:2	13:5;56:25	18:6;22:7;31:3,8;	participate (1)
nonlawyers (1)	offered (2)	opined (1)	34:20;71:18;77:19,23;	65:25
109:16	70:14;118:1	82:18	78:1;101:5,14;108:6;	participated (1)
nonprivileged (1)	offhand (1)	opinion (13)	113:4;120:1	47:21
67:16	122:19	32:18;33:16,19;	overly (1)	particular (7)
note (1)	office (17)	49:22;52:19;81:7,11,	82:12	17:10;23:14;25:11;
7:6	6:11;7:5;14:9;21:11;	12,19;82:21;92:4;	overstate (1)	58:14;61:14;84:10;
notice (1)	45:11;47:23;66:9,10;	120:8,13	26:13	92:20
56:8	72:12;74:15;86:4,12,	opportunities (1)	owed (2)	particularly (1)
notified (1)	17;89:13;107:24;	10:2	67:11;101:5	27:5
84:19	108:12;111:2	opportunity (1)	owes (1)	parties (3)
November (6)	officer (1)	11:11	101:23	96:24;97:9;101:6
20:15;29:3;37:18;	116:6	opposed (1)	own (5)	partner (1)
51:6,19;107:22	official (4)	122:10	24:7;30:1,2,4;76:15	10:2
nuance (1)	20:21,23;54:4;83:24	option (1)	owner (1)	partnership (1)
108:6	often (6)	36:1	13:4	76:1
	1	1	1	1

	T	T	T	7 200001 10, 2010
party (1)	perception (1)	Pluta (2)	83:17;98:25;113:19	privilege (7)
53:14	32:7	116:12;117:18	precluded (1)	21:1;46:2;51:13;
pass (1)	period (2)	pm (6)	84:22	78:23;81:1;85:13;
11:1	15:4;34:12	6:18;7:5,9;87:17;	predated (2)	93:12
passage (1)	permanently (1)	123:20,21	75:7;101:19	privileged (5)
14:25	18:1	point (22)	predecessor (1)	20:22;46:21;61:6;
passed (2)	person (4)	6:23;22:15;27:10,	14:21	109:8,8
15:20;16:1	32:5;77:16;82:24;	13;43:4;48:14;50:15;	premise (2)	proactively (2)
passu (1)	111:13	55:13,19;63:22;70:10;	43:15;62:15	30:24;32:20
24:15	personal (5)	73:19;74:7;75:20,25;	preparation (2)	probably (20)
path (7)	20:21,22,25;32:18;	76:13;86:3;94:25;	44:5;113:11	8:5;10:23;16:3;
20:13;35:24,24,25;	92:6	101:4;108:6;120:20;	prepare (2)	22:15;27:2;28:22,25;
57:24;58:7,18	personality (1)	122:24	13:11,17	37:18;47:23;49:24;
pay (16)	57:5	pointed (3)	prepared (1)	51:2;57:12;58:16;
23:17;24:20,25;	persons (2)	119:12,14;120:16	34:18	60:1;63:8;91:8,9;
25:1;27:25;41:7,7,12,	64:18;65:8	political (5)	presence (5)	100:21;101:23;117:20
12,18,25;59:20;90:14;	perspective (1)	31:3;38:13;59:1;	61:18,24;66:20;	problem (2)
115:7;117:22;118:1	31:4	95:21;119:24	68:14;94:8	41:19;111:19
paying (1)	petition (5)	pool (2)	present (11)	problematic (2)
115:4	7:8;106:3,6,15;	26:22;68:17	6:15;46:4;61:10;	103:2,5
payments (2)	107:3	Poor (1)	79:3,5;83:16;85:17,24;	problems (1)
25:24;80:21	ph (1)	30:19	86:1;88:20,23	103:22
payroll (2)	75:12	poorly (1)	presentation (3)	proceeding (2)
41:25;75:16	philosophy (2)	67:18	58:5,11;63:9	44:11;82:18
PCA (4)	12:6,16	population (1)	presented (1)	process (15)
122:6,8,12,18	phone (5)	19:19	17:1	22:11;70:7;71:2;
pdf (1)	11:2;46:16;56:2;	portion (1)	preserve (1)	98:19;99:2;108:22;
6:20	77:19;113:5	118:7	21:23	109:20;110:5,9,11,18;
pending (2)	pick (2)	position (12)	preserved (1)	113:21;114:5;115:6;
40:10;50:10	61:14;121:6	10:1;11:4;20:18,24;	21:19	119:9
pension (42)	piece (1)	59:23;71:10;75:14;	preserving (1)	produce (1)
38:12;40:7,19,25;	55:8	82:4,8,9,16,19	21:9	112:8
41:7,7,12;42:1,12;	pieces (1)	positive (7)	press (3)	produced (3)
48:6;49:4,11,18;59:4,	70:23	29:13,20;30:13,14,	21:23;82:5,10	6:14;7:3;113:23
19,19;60:12;61:17,23; 62:9;64:12,17;65:7;	pitch (2) 56:6;58:15	22;31:4;32:19 possible (3)	presume (1) 79:10	production (1) 6:9
73:12;80:11,20,21;	place (15)	99:17;110:20,22	pretty (10)	productive (1)
84:2,14;90:16;91:2,17,	16:16;24:23;25:3,6;	post (2)	14:20;36:11,18;	67:23
25;92:3;93:1;94:23;	26:14;28:12;34:5,22;	9:11;112:14	49:24;64:24;78:8,13;	products (1)
114:2;119:5,21;	44:12,25;47:12;48:4;	postfiling (1)	108:6,20;120:13	10:5
120:11;121:6,16	98:14;105:8;123:5	98:18	prevent (1)	professional (1)
pensioners (1)	Plaintiffs (1)	Posthumus (2)	32:20	115:4
60:6	72:7	108:16;109:14	prevents (1)	professionals (1)
pensions (27)	plan (16)	potential (2)	42:14	55:5
50:5;61:20;62:13;	10:13,20;38:12;	57:14,21	previous (1)	program (6)
63:12,22;69:3,7,16;	42:21;44:15;49:18;	potentially (2)	9:14	70:14;90:18;91:24;
70:3,12;71:1;73:6;	62:10;69:18;86:18,21,	75:4;85:18	previously (2)	105:9,10,13
74:3;78:19;79:9;80:2;	22;87:16;88:10,12,16,	power (6)	63:19;89:18	progress (2)
83:6,21;90:11,14,23;	22	18:10;31:2;34:15,	primarily (2)	66:11;67:24
91:12;94:18;110:3,6;	planned (2)	19,23;123:1	11:20;54:7	prohibited (1)
117:7;120:24	86:4,5	powers (5)	primary (3)	48:5
people (15)	plans (4)	122:6,8,21,22;123:2	17:8;18:7;56:22	prohibition (1)
14:22;36:21;55:1;	36:5;60:13;90:16;	practical (3)	prior (15)	17:18
65:13;68:11;77:15;	94:23	79:17,24;80:5	9:17;51:5,18;52:3;	promoted (1)
79:11;89:11,13;	played (1)	practically (1)	76:11;77:2;86:13;	16:5
101:12,16;103:19;	114:23	33:10	98:8;106:1;107:11;	promotion (1)
108:8;109:10;113:18	please (4)	practice (1)	111:14,18;115:8;	31:19
per (1)	7:16;8:20;51:13;	117:5	118:2,5	proposal (7)
24:24	89:19	preceding (3)	priority (3)	63:6,13,15,20;65:17,
percent (8)	pledge (1)	88:2;93:5,22	6:19,25;28:21	18;95:24
16:13,17,18;34:19;	25:15	precise (1)	private (6)	proposals (1)
41:1;70:22;101:15;	pledged (1)	100:8	9:18;10:13;11:5;	121:11
118:1	25:10	precisely (3)	12:20;26:1;32:5	propose (1)
-				

	T	T	T	October 10, 2013
56:11	purposes (3)	51:1	11:6	12:18
proposed (6)	6:5;7:1;43:9	really (20)	record (28)	relief (2)
20:19;21:14;65:6;	pursue (1)	21:8;34:7;36:2,21;	6:6;7:1,6,12;37:13;	84:21;85:10
99:5,8;115:2	19:2	57:4,17;58:21;64:23;	38:6;40:13;50:16,22;	rely (1)
				33:24
pros (1)	put (8)	70:19;73:15,19;75:13;	58:19,23;63:23;71:21,	
45:12	24:23,25;34:5,21;	84:17;92:3;96:6,6;	24;72:4;90:2;93:18;	relying (2)
prospect (1)	35:4;74:6;105:8;123:5	98:8;105:19;106:17;	94:2,5;97:14,16,17,19,	35:2;43:13
56:8	putting (3)	120:1	20;100:16;111:22;	remain (2)
protect (1)	21:23;114:10,19	reason (10)	117:2;119:19	70:8;119:10
18:3	puzzle (2)	8:25;34:5;57:10;	record's (1)	remaining (1)
protected (3)	55:9;70:24	88:22;89:7;90:5;	19:4	16:19
22:7;28:22;79:9		95:21;98:14;99:1;	redo (1)	remember (19)
protecting (2)	Q	106:11	52:3	22:18;30:19;33:23;
40:7,19		reasons (3)	reduce (1)	49:22;54:17;58:14;
protection (6)	qualified (1)	101:22,24;102:24	16:17	59:9;67:14;87:1,6;
36:10;42:4,13;	56:21	recall (103)	RE-EXAMINATION (2)	92:11,17;95:10;99:15,
49:10;62:13,21	quick (2)	12:2,9;14:19;19:20;	97:22;121:22	16;103:3;107:2;
protections (2)	34:2;71:20	21:7,7,10;22:14,22;	reference (4)	110:23;115:1
49:4;78:19	2,	23:1;33:18,20;37:1,4,	44:9;90:8;91:3,4	reminder (1)
protects (3)	R	9;39:16;41:1;45:9,20;	referenced (1)	8:7
24:1;83:6,21		47:6,22,25;48:8;49:12,	80:10	repay (1)
provide (9)	radar (3)	15;51:20;53:4,5,19,20;	references (1)	30:11
17:19;23:16;30:9;	12:5;75:3;92:16	54:22;55:3,18;59:6,7,	122:5	repeal (9)
33:6;39:18;58:10;	raise (1)	8,13;60:10;64:2;67:15,	referendum (6)	22:16,19;29:24;
65:11;101:16;110:15	41:3	20;68:15;72:13;73:11;	20:15,19;21:14;	33:9,12,14;35:11;
provided (6)	raised (2)	74:5;75:6;79:21;	22:10,19;73:24	108:1;122:22
6:14;44:24;62:9;	39:12;52:12	83:22,23;84:4,18,19,	referred (1)	repealed (3)
109:24;116:20;117:1	rapidly (1)	20,25;85:1,6,23;86:2;	19:21	29:16;33:25;123:3
providers (4)	76:20	88:16;89:20;90:8,25;	referring (8)	rephrase (1)
24:11;25:5;67:6,10		94:7;98:11,19;99:9;	22:21;25:2;92:19,	31:24
	rather (2)	100:12,22;101:4,7,7;	21,22,24;118:22;122:9	
provides (1) 52:2	28:10;75:5			replacement (1) 74:1
	rating (6)	103:8,10;104:11,14,	reflect (1) 28:11	
providing (2)	29:11,17,25;30:2,6,	15,19;105:3,8,17;		replacing (1) 72:12
46:15;65:7	15	106:4,5,21;107:9,10,	reflected (2)	
provision (27)	ratings (1)	13,14;109:14,15,23;	30:12;99:5	report (5)
18:13,16;38:16;	30:18	110:4;111:3,14;	reflects (2)	67:17;115:17;
39:15;40:6,18;45:5,24;	reach (2)	113:13,16,20;114:1,4,	22:23;23:9	116:12,20,25
47:8;48:13;49:7;	68:14;95:15	13,15;115:11;118:14;	refresh (2)	reported (1)
62:18,22;72:19;73:1,3,	reached (3)	120:20	13:24;88:9	66:11
5,12,21;74:3;79:9,12,	67:7,9;117:23	recalling (1)	regard (1)	reporter (6)
25;82:15;83:5,20;84:2	reaction (1)	122:19	74:10	8:9,15;40:10,13;
provisions (6)	56:14	receivables (2)	regarding (7)	50:10;93:18
17:17,21;39:17;	read (21)	105:5,9	75:6;100:13;110:2;	reports (3)
72:18;103:2;122:3	22:6;23:8;24:4;	receives (1)	117:7;119:17;120:8,21	66:2;82:5;121:11
Public (27)	31:15,21;38:18;40:8,9,	26:2	reigns (1)	represent (4)
15:7;16:23;17:8,20;	10,11,13;50:8,10;82:3,	receiving (1)	34:15	7:25;68:9;72:6;
18:3;19:23;21:10,12;	5,13;93:18;100:6;	113:13	Reinvest (2)	105:24
22:2,5;23:25;29:12,21,	107:6,8;116:24	recent (1)	99:20,24	represented (1)
24;32:6,14;34:16;35:2,	reading (7)	91:2	rejected (3)	72:6
5;37:20;39:6,18;72:12,	19:5;36:21;37:6;	recently (1)	51:5,18;52:3	representing (1)
19;73:13,25;116:5	69:21;92:9;107:3;	105:17	related (7)	113:17
publication (1)	113:12	recess (3)	57:18;84:1,9;110:3,	request (1)
22:18	reads (1)	50:18;71:23;94:4	12,21;114:1	6:8
publicly (1)	91:1	recognize (2)	relates (2)	requests (1)
82:10	ready (1)	42:10;112:22	28:9;29:16	84:21
pull (1)	11:5	recollection (5)	relating (9)	require (2)
30:17	real (2)	19:24;22:17;91:5;	72:19;74:2,3;110:5,	19:14;68:1
pulled (1)	34:2;67:23	99:12;107:5	9,24;113:14;119:1,5	required (1)
23:24	realities (2)	recommend (1)	relative (1)	36:17
punt (1)	40:23;68:7	75:20	94:17	requirement (2)
48:20	reality (3)	recommendation (1)	relevance (1)	44:15;57:12
purpose (3)	20:5,13;65:21	44:11	12:25	requirements (1)
85:17;88:21;100:1	realize (1)	reconsidered (1)	relevant (1)	28:20

	ctober 10, 2013
requires (1) 62:10;63:12;96:20,21; role (17) 84:24,25;86:23;87:7 9:15;53	3:24;54:1
91:17 105:24 11:14;12:7;14:24; SCHNEIDER (3) serves (1)	,
reserve (1) return (1) 15:18;37:10,16,23; 13:21,21;14:8 26:15	,
71:15 34:18 59:11;74:18,19;77:6, school (12) service (2	2)
residents (1) revenue (13) 22;102:14;103:25; 11:21;12:4;17:10; 28:2;41	
22:3 16:20;27:19,21,24, 107:18;108:18;114:23 19:6,14;20:6,10;22:4; serviced	
resolve (1) 24;28:1,2,5,17,21,24; rollout (1) 23:5;25:19;30:25; 28:18	(1)
41:21 42:5,10 87:16 25.3,23.19,30.23, 28.18	(2)
	28:1;33:7
39:24 24:19;25:22;28:10; 46:22;47:5 19:1 set (3)	22.2.40.10
	33:2;48:18
13:2 reverted (1) 57:7 82:25 settled (1	.)
respect (12) 29:21 ruled (1) se (1) 65:24	
20:18,19;21:14; review (13) 13:16 24:24 settlemen	
26:8;48:15;93:16; 18:11;38:19;44:5; rules (1) search (3) 67:7;95	
102:18;104:1;105:4; 75:21,21,24;76:5,24; 8:6 54:2,4;55:10 several (6	
	25:17;90:17;
	100:14;115:22
11:4 reviewed (2) runs (2) secondhand (1) severe (1))
respond (2) 102:20;118:24 41:2;49:19 88:24 20:7	
70:7;119:10 reviewing (1) Ryan (1) Secretary (2) sewer (1))
response (1) 118:18 108:11 7:16;48:23 27:25	
70:25 reviews (1) Section (14) shake (1))
responsibilities (1) 76:4 S 38:9,20;39:4;62:4,6, 39:10	
30:4 revision (1) 8;64:20;65:9;78:16; shall (3)	
	14;62:10
39:18 revisit (1) 42:17 84:17 share (6)	
	0;27:7,15;
108:13;114:19 RFP (5) 118:16 11:6 49:25;5	
restart (1) 110:5,9,11,18,20 safely (1) secure (1) shared (4	
	21,24;117:19
restate (8) 54:7;55:25;56:1; safety (7) secured (3) sharing (
23:4;25:12;39:1; 57:20;100:6 17:20;18:3;32:14, 27:1,2,20 57:25;5	
	(1)
116:17;122:23 57:21 Same (10) 25:9,15 28:17	
restated (1) Rick (1) 14:11;34:5;42:12; seek (1) sheet (3)	
114:9	
	OOD (63)
	4;13:25;14:3,
	9;19:16;20:25;
	23:22;31:9,11,
	21;32:3;37:14,
	8,24;40:9;41:9;
	3;43:5,24;44:1;
	25;47:1;48:25;
	24;51:12,15;
	21,25;61:8;62:7;
	65:3;66:18;
	71:13;96:12,16;
	23,24;98:4;
	104:10,20,22;
18:19 86:12;87:13;88:4,14; 54:18 91:1;120:15;122:3 111:9;1	112:1;121:20,
retired (4) 90:1;92:11;93:2; saying (15) sentences (1) 23;123	:15
61:25;64:13,18;65:8 95:16;99:4;103:24; 22:22;23:1;26:4,5,8; 94:19 short (1)	
retiree (2) 119:8;122:14;123:4,16 27:3;30:21;33:3; September (1) 110:12	
59:20;96:17 rights (3) 36:19;58:19;70:4; 76:19 shortly (1	
retirees (8) 48:6;50:4;94:21 79:13;81:11;82:14; sequence (3) 72:11	*
68:9,10;72:8;79:18; ringing (1) 84:10 86:8;87:1;98:5 show (6)	
	87:4,8,10;
119:18 rings (1) 53:9;86:9,16;87:5; 55:12 89:15;1	
retirement (11) 84:3 89:4;98:16;106:11,12, serve (1) showed (
38:12;40:7,19; road (1) 16 52:9 50:25	-,
42:13;49:11;59:4; 17:9 scheduled (4) served (3) shown (1)
5110WII (1)	,

-				0000001 10, 2010
89:18	37:11;40:8;63:18;	stamp (1)	stayed (1)	85:11
side (2)	64:8;96:21;99:22;	7:8	77:22	suggestion (1)
16:20;54:16	117:25;122:23	stamped (1)	steel (1)	69:5
sign (2)	sort (4)	111:9	13:3	suggests (1)
87:17;88:13	48:20;53:1,16;85:13	stance (1)	Stephanopoulos (1)	42:22
signed (2)	sound (1)	120:2	87:21	suit (2)
14:17;97:8	19:23	stand (1)	steps (1)	90:12;91:6
significant (4)	sounds (3)	55:11	122:25	suits (2)
22:5;64:12,17;	22:25;90:1;101:2	stand-alone (1)	Stibitz (3)	84:18;91:2
114:23	source (1)	30:3	54:18;108:11;	summary (2)
significantly (1)	25:11	standard (2)	109:13	117:11;118:21
91:13	span (1)	28:11;30:19	still (6)	Sunday (1)
sincere (3)	21:22	standing (3)	41:6;70:11;71:3;	87:20
36:7;51:20;52:10	Speaker (2)	77:18;78:12;123:12	116:16;118:6;122:4	support (4)
sit (4) 79:23;80:4,22;81:4	9:16;12:19 speaking (9)	Stanton (4) 116:4,11,20;117:11	stop (1) 71:14	57:14;103:20,24; 104:4
79:25;80:4,22;81:4 situation (5)	14:20;19:10;20:20,	stark (1)	stream (6)	supported (1)
17:5;25:3;74:15;	23;26:18;51:14;75:2;	20:12	28:1,2,21,24;42:9,11	115:13
75:23;119:18	88:11;93:7	start (10)	streams (4)	supportive (1)
situations (1)	special (2)	14:13;29:22;52:24;	27:21,25;42:5,6	57:19
11:25	27:14;78:19	53:2,5,8,10,12,16;	Street (7)	supposed (1)
six (9)	specialize (1)	95:17	26:5,10;31:1;41:13;	33:24
9:15;11:13;21:7,16;	10:4	started (5)	89:9,10,11	Supreme (1)
36:12;58:13;75:4;	specific (24)	12:6;15:3,6;56:3;	Street's (1)	33:17
101:24;112:12	14:19;15:15;22:16,	75:9	29:7	sure (8)
six-front (1)	21;49:12,23;60:10;	starting (1)	striking (1)	16:24;19:4;52:25;
21:17	67:4,12,14,20;68:3,4,	75:24	29:2	60:8;62:3;68:1;97:11;
skilled (1)	15;74:2;79:6;81:11;	State (65)	struck (1)	104:21
101:12	84:10;85:1;87:25;	9:9;10:24;11:15;	33:16	suretys (1)
slide (1)	91:5;99:1,15;101:2	12:1,13,22;14:14;15:8;	structure (1)	68:6
58:10	specifically (17)	16:25;17:9,19;18:4,9;	26:6	surmising (1)
small (1)	12:9;18:9;22:12;	23:6;24:20;25:4,19,25;	structured (2)	122:11
23:23	23:1;29:9;35:19;	28:16;29:3,6,9,12,15,	26:3;114:22	surplus (1)
Snyder (9)	36:24;45:9;47:22;	25;30:1,7,9,10,23;	structuring (1)	24:21
10:8;11:24;15:21;	55:18;73:5,14;78:17;	31:2;32:4,13,19,21,23;	123:5	surprise (1)
20:3;31:13;43:22,24; 63:19;87:9	83:3;84:15;115:11; 120:20	33:17;38:10,13,17; 40:5,16;42:14;49:20;	struggling (2) 55:4;56:24	106:14 suspect (1)
social (2)	specifics (5)	54:16;55:1;62:5;72:8;	study (1)	60:2
57:17,18	47:7;58:14;59:8;	78:18;79:9,24,25;80:1;	61:22	suspended (1)
solely (1)	83:19;98:19	83:5,20;85:9;108:25;	stuff (1)	115:10
117:2	speculation (4)	111:21;113:15,24;	74:25	SWOP (2)
solicit (1)	41:16;42:24;89:9;	115:4;116:24;117:22;	subdivision (2)	67:5,9
48:14	96:2	118:6;122:5	31:3;38:13	SWOPS (4)
solutions (1)	spent (7)	stated (4)	subdivisions (3)	67:5,8,11,21
102:12	11:13;12:25;16:19;	82:10;117:10;120:9,	29:8,8;30:15	sworn (2)
solve (3)	17:11;36:21;37:4,5	12	subject (6)	7:16,19
20:14;95:18;103:22	spoke (3)	statement (6)	6:18,23;78:16,20;	system (2)
somehow (2)	52:7;92:13;111:2	21:11;23:8;51:16;	83:11;86:1	38:12;62:10
40:4,15	spoken (1)	52:6,14;82:13	submissions (1)	systems (5)
someone (6)	22:20	statements (2)	115:3	63:12;96:20,22;
10:15;20:8;24:13;	sponsor (1)	30:17,21	submitted (2)	105:25;121:16
36:2;108:13;111:2	16:2	states (3)	20:15;110:20	T
sometimes (2) 25:25,25	stability (1) 122:16	52:1;117:1;119:3	subpoena (4) 96:22,23,24;97:3	1
somewhat (1)	stable (1)	State's (1) 32:17	90:22,23,24;97:3 substantial (2)	tab (1)
77:6	23:15	status (9)	32:5;103:4	52:7
somewhere (1)	staff (10)	34:25;61:19,23;	substantive (1)	table (2)
53:6	28:15;47:24;52:11;	66:5;91:25;92:4;	92:12	16:18;121:4
sooner (1)	101:8,10;107:23;	95:13;96:6;119:17	suffering (1)	takeover (1)
35:1	108:3,4,11;109:13	statute (4)	9:3	31:7
sorry (15)	stage (5)	14:21;59:3;74:7,7	suggest (2)	talk (4)
14:3,6;15:12;19:4;	70:9,12;71:3;91:22;	statutes (2)	48:2,17	31:7;60:5;61:16;
23:23;24:5;31:18;	119:11	14:25;37:6	suggested (1)	98:7
		1		

blacked (5)					,
16.845.847.817.147 105.51.107.24 talking (11)	talked (5)	thereof (1)	token (1)	tried (5)	undemocratic (2)
1055;107:24			` '		
talking (II) 41:3153:52:1255, 22:15,18;88:23,7324, 53:114(9):1092;23 target (I) 41:3 53:114(9):1092;23 target (I) 41:3 53:12-125, 61:21 taxes (I) 11:13,65:17,18, 41:3 87,142-123:101-12, 11:13,65:17,18, 41:3 87,142-123:101-12, 11:13,65:17,18, 11:13,65:17,					*
144:31:53:21:25;	,				
22:15,18:58:23,73:24, 96:5.5 Tom (1) S4:16 Trigger (1) 34:16:40:4.15:48:18 S5:45:15:54: S5:41:18 S5:45:15:54: S5:41:18 S5:45:15:54: S5:41:15:54: S5:4					
25,811.489(10.92.23 thriftes (1) 53-18 trouble (3) 53-24,545:15.54; trouble (3) 53-24,545:15.54; trouble (4) 61:17 trouble (4)					
target (1)					
61:21 taxes (1)					
taxes (1)					
413 team (5) 542,456.66.99; 12-13,2017-16,25; 13-42,24,456.66.99; 12-13,2017-16,25; 13-42,24,456.66.99; 12-13,2017-16,25; 13-42,24,456.66.99; 12-13,2017-16,25; 13-42,24,456.79; 12-13,2017-16,25; 13-42,24,456.79; 12-13,2017-16,25; 13-12,237,271-12,24; 991.391.24,492.2; 991.391.24,492.2; 991.391.24,492.2; 991.391.24,492.2; 191.191.81,2311 100µhs (4) 191.191.2; 1201.6,22 1201.6,22 1201.6,22 1201.6,22 1201.6,22 17-24,185.591.3 14-753.24,862.953 17-24,185.591.3 14-753.24,862.953 14-86,14 14-86,1					
team (5)				` /	
5842,4566,6669; 12:13,20;17:16,25; 108:20 103:14;109:24 103:13;13;13;13 103:14;109:14 103:14;109					
108:20	` '		` /		
TECHNICIAN (12) 7:1137:1248:23: 50:16.22.71:21,24; 994:2.5.97:17,20; 123:19 (19:191:181;23:11 telephone (1) 77:16 77:16 10:191:191:12: 10:191:191:12: 10:191:191:12: 10:191:191:181:12: 110:191:191:12: 10:10:191:191:181:12: 110:31:144:1,3.20 111:31 116:15 117:34 117:3 118:14 116:15 117:34 118:14 116:15 116:15 1					
7:11:37:12:48:23; 50:16:227:12:12; 90:13:91:294:22; 97:4:106:18:117:17, 19:119:18:123:11 telephone (1) 77:16 telephone (1) 77:16 telling (3) 91:109:4:16:95:1 temporarity (3) 17:24:18:5:39:13 temporary (1) 18:14 tended (1) 18:14					
Solid-22:71:21,24; 99:13:91:24:92:2; 97:41:06:18;117:17, 19:19:18;123:11 tologhis (4) 19:19:19:12; tologhis (4) 10:19:19:19:12; tologhis (4) tologhis (
942,5:97:17.20; 193:19-19:18:17:17, 123:19 telephone (1) 77:16 toloughts (4) 10:19:119:12; 10:19:19:18:12:11 thoughts (4) 10:19:119:12; 10:16:12-3:13:14-4,13.20 tolough (1) 10:19:119:12; 10:16:12-3:13:14-4,13.20 tolough (1) 10:19:119:12; 10:16:12-3:13:14-4,13.20 tolough (1) 17:3 transfer (1) 18:14 trenedd (1) telended (1) telended (1) treshold (1) troughout (1) 11:13 transfer (1) 13:1 truns (1) 10:2.10,16:19; 103:14,21,24:10:14, throw (1) 12:13 transfer (1) 13:1 truns (1) 10:14,24:18:1,20; 10:14,24:18:1,20; 116:14 term (1) 116:15 transmistion (2) 23:15 term (3) 34:25 term (8) 15:14,24;18:1,20; 15:14,24;18:1,20; 15:14,24;18:1,20; 15:14,24;18:1,20; 15:14,24;18:1,20; 15:14,24;18:1,20; 16:15 transmistion (2) 23:15 term (3) 34:25 term (8) 86:16:88:19:8.6 transmission (2) 16:18 transmistion (2) 16:24 transmistion (2) 16:25 term (3) 20:34:14;43:16; 16:25 20:34;24:14;25:10:21,24; 16:15 20:32,29:24; 16:11 trest (1) transmited (1) tran					
123:19					
telephone (1)					
Trick telling (3)					
teling (3)				truthfully (1)	
991:0;941:6;95:1 Three (8) temporarily (3) 9:23;241:2:24.25; 13:2 traced (1) 37:19;78:4;90:23 union (1) temporary (1) three (1) three (1) 117:3 transfer (1) 34:23 transition (6) tentative (12) 102:2,10,16,19; 103:14,21,24;104:1,4, 7,12,16 term (1) 116:14 term (1) throw (1) term (1) term (1) throw (1) translate (2) translate (1) translate (2) translate (1) transmitted (1) transmitte					
temporarily (3) 9:23:24:12:24.25; 17:32:486:2,95:3 traced (1) 13:2 traced (1) trying (3) 24:24:25:7 union (1) temporary (1) 18:14 three- (1) three- (1) transfer (1) 37:19.78:4;90:23 transfer (1) union (1) 10:5 union (8) tended (1) three-bold (1) three-bold (1) transfer (1) 34:23 transfer (1) turnarounds (2) 13:8,10 12:103:7,15;104:13 unique (1) tens (1) 76:18 76:23 transfer (1) transfer (1) 13:8,10 12:103:7,15;104:13 unique (1) 44:8 28:10 term (1) Thursday (3) 11:12 translate (2) 59:15 twoical (1) 44:8 28:10 terminate (1) ths (1) tis (1) translate (2) 77:13 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40:24:413:6,11,18; 40		*			
17:24;18:5;39:13 14:7:53:24;86:2.95:3 traced (1) 17:3 17:3 17:3 17:8;49:0:23 transfer (1) 10:5 17:3 17:3 17:3 17:4;18:13 16:15 16:15 17:3 17:3 17:4;18:13 16:15 17:4 17:4;18:13 17:3 17:4;18:13 17:4 17:4;18:13 17:4 17:4;18:13 17:4 17:4;18:13 17:4 17:4;18:13	91:10;94:16;95:1	` '		8:21;13:4;39:4	
temporary (1) 18:14 86:14 three-year (1) 18:14 three-year (1) 16:15 transfer (1) 34:23 transfers (1) 13:1 trens (1) 76:18 76:23 throughout (1) 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 103:14,21,24;104:1,4, 7,12,16 121:9 94:20;95:22 77:13 40:24;41:3,6;11,18; 15:14,2;18:13 terminate (1) 16:14 terminate (1) 16:14 terminate (1) 37:22;59:2;66:11 Terry (1) 16:4 terminate (1) 37:23;59:2;66:11 Terry (1) 20:8:1:98:6 timeline (3) 52:15;71:19;72:10; 98:8;99:14 timeline (3) 52:15;71:19;72:10; 98:8;99:14 timeline (3) 74:25 58:38;99:14 timeline (3) 74:25 58:38;99:14 timeline (3) 74:25 58:38;29:1;85:7; thematic (3) 74:25 58:38;29:1;85:7; thematic (3) 74:25 through (1) 16:18;19:1;13:12; thematic (3) 74:25	temporarily (3)	9:23,24;12:24,25;			
18:14 vended (1) tended (1) 4 three-year (1) transfer (1) 34:2;63:21;71:17 unions (8) 68:979:19;102:3,9, 12:102:3,9, 12:103:1,117 tend (1) 4 three-year (1) 4 size 4 size 4 size 68:979:19;102:3,9, 12:103:1,117 12:103:1,111 12:103:1,12 12:103:1,12 12:103:1,12 13:1 14:2 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 12:1 12:103:1,12 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 12:1 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 12:1 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 12:1 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 12:1 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 12:1 15:1,12 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 15:1,13 <th< td=""><td>17:24;18:5;39:13</td><td>14:7;53:24;86:2;95:3</td><td></td><td>37:19;78:4;90:23</td><td>union (1)</td></th<>	17:24;18:5;39:13	14:7;53:24;86:2;95:3		37:19;78:4;90:23	union (1)
tended (1) three-year (1) 34:23 transfers (1) turnarounds (2) 68:97:919:102:3,9, 12:103:7,15;104:13 tens (1) 76:18 threshold (1) 25:23 transition (6) Turning (1) 44:8 tutorial (1) unique (1) 28:10 unit (15) 16:13,15;23:14; 49:16;80:39:13; 49:16;80:10,19 unit (15) 16:13,15;23:14; 49:16;80:10,19 unit (15) 49:16;80:10,19 unit (16) 49:12;80:23;80:22;17 49:12;10:3,18;18;41:12; 49:16;80:10,19 unit (16) 49:12;80:23;80:22;17	temporary (1)	three- (1)	117:3	turn (3)	104:5
13:1	18:14	86:14	transfer (1)	34:2;63:21;71:17	unions (8)
13:1	tended (1)	three-year (1)	34:23		68:9;79:19;102:3,9,
tens (1) 76:18 76:23 transition (6) Turning (1) 44:8 28:10 102:2,10,16,19; 102:2,10,16,19; 12:13 11,12 59:15 16:13,15:23:14; 103:14,21,24;104:1,4, 7,12,16 12:19 94:20,95:22 77:13 40:24:41:3,6,11,18;<			transfers (1)		
76:18 76:23 transition (6) 44:8 28:10 tutorial (1) unit (15) 102:2,10,16,19; 103:14,21,24;104:1,4, throw (1) 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, twice (1) 24:6,18,20;39:13; 16:13,15;23:14; 16:13,15;23:14; 16:14 40:24;41:3,6,11,18; 41:22 units (9) 11:6,13:18;14:12; 11:6,13:18;14:12; 11:00;12:1,22;17:2; 30:3,8;33:6;36:4; 41:22 unsecured (4) 41:22 unsecured (4) 24:14;26:20,22; 41:22 <		threshold (1)			
tentative (12) throughout (1) 15:4,6;34:22;109:2, 11,12 tutorial (1) unit (15) unit (15) title; 13:15;23:14; 12:13 tutorial (1) unit (15) 16:13,15;23:14; 16:13,15;23:14; 16:13,15;23:14; 17:13 tutorial (1) tutorial (1) 24:6,18,20;39:13; 16:13,15;23:14; 16:13,15;23:14; 17:13 tutorial (1) tutorial (1) 24:6,18,20;39:13; 16:18,14:12; 17:16;18:7,20;29:17; 16:18; 14:12; 17:16;18:7,20;29:17; 17:16;18:7,20;29					
102:2,10,16,19;		throughout (1)		tutorial (1)	unit (15)
103:14,21,24;104:1,4, 7,12,16					
7,12,16 term (1) Thursday (3) 116:14 terminate (1) 34:25 terms (8) term (3) 15:14,24;18:1,20; 37:7,22;59:2;66:11 Terry (1) Terry (1) Terry (1) 116:4 testified (3) 7:20;42:3;107:18 testify (1) 8:10 109:25 Thanks (1) 109:25 Thanks (1) Thanks (1					
term (1) Thursday (3) translated (1) two (23) 49:16;80:10,19 terminate (1) thus (1) transmission (2) 17:16;18:7,20;29:17; 37:26;29:29;7; 37:34:518;46:24; 11:6;13:18;14:12; units (9) terms (8) tie (1) transmistion (2) 17:16;18:7,20;29:17; 37:8;45:18;46:24; 47:23;56:22;67:9; 41:22 41:42:29:23:23; 41:42:29:23:23; 42:22 41:42:29:23:23; 42:22 41:42:29:23:23; 42:23 42:24 41:22 41:42:29					
116:14					
terminate (1) 34:25 terms (8) tie (1) 37:7,22;59:2;66:11 Terry (1) 116:4 testiffed (3) 7:20;42:3;107:18 8:25 7:20;42:3;107:18 8:10 109:25 timing (1) 78:4;98:16;100:14; 8:10 Thanks (1) 99:3 title (1) 74:25 testiffed (3) 98:8;99:14 Thanks (1) 74:25 theme (1) 74:25 theme (2) 37:21;108:2 themes (2) 37:21;108:2 themes (2) 37:21;108:2 themes (2) 37:21;108:2 themes (2) 37:21;108:2 theme (1) 34:25 32:15 title (1) 37:13,18,23;9.8; 10:6:2 10:6:2 17:16;18:7,20;29:17; 37:8;45:18,46:24; 47:23;56:22;67:9; 41:22 unsecured (4) 20:3;116;(1) 76:3 106:2 79:13,18,23;9.8; 106:2 79:13,18,23;9.8; 106:2 79:13,18,23;9.8; 100:24;11:15;20:21,24; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; 40:20 32:444:2;50:25;57:2; 40:20 32:444:2;50:25;57:2; 40:20 32:11 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; 40:20 32:11:20;12:12:22:20; 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; 40:20 32:83:20;111:3 41:20 unsecured (4) 22:8;32:20;111:3 unusual (1) testify (9) 117:9 unwillingness (1) 68:7 up(29) 32:23;83:8;36:4;45:3; 49:20;73:13;74:20; 49:20;73:13;74:20; 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 79:20;79:14,16;83:11, 79:20;79:14,16;83:11, 10:21;10:12;10:12; 10:21;10:12;10:12; 10:21;10:12;10:12; 10:21;10:12;10:12; 10:21;10:12;10:12; 10:21;11:12;11:12;11:12; 10:21;11:12;11:12; 10:21;11:12;11:12; 10:21;11:12;11:12; 10:21;					
34:25 terms (8) 23:15 tie (1) 6:10;7:4 transmitted (1) 37:8;45:18;46:24; 47:23;56:22;67:9; 71:16;73:16,19;76:4; 47:23;56:22;67:9; 71:16;72:16,19;76:4; 78:3;83:11,23;92:8; 96:12;121:8 30:3,8;33:6;36:4; 41:22 15:14,24;18:1,20; 90:13 tight (1) 76:3 71:16;73:16,19;76:4; 78:3;83:11,23;92:8; 96:12;121:8 24:14;26:20,22; 94:22 unsecured (4) 26:23 unsecured (4) 26:23 unsecured (4) 26:23 unsecured (2) 10:4;22:9;23:23; 41:22:9;23:23; 41:22:9;23:23; 41:22:9;23:23; 41:22:12; 22:12;9;23:23; 41:22:12; 41:26:20:21;24;44:25:20:21;24; 41:20 10:4;22:9;23:23; 41:22:13:22:23; 41:22:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12					
terms (8) tie (1) transmitted (1) 47:23;56:22;67:9; 41:22 unsecured (4) 15:14.24;18:1,20; 37:7,22;59:2;66:11 16:18 71:16;73:16,19;76:4; 24:14;26:20,22; unsecured (4) 24:14;26:20,22; unsecured (4) 24:14;26:20,22; 24:12;22:20; 24:14;26:20,22; 24:14;26:20,22; 24:12;29;23:23; 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 41:20 42:13;42:18; 41:20 42:13;42:18; 42:23;43:21;52:18; 41:20 42:23;43:42:12;22					
15:14,24;18:1,20; 37:7,22;59:2;66:11					
37:7,22;59:2;66:11 tight (1) traveling (1) 78:3;83:11,23;92:8; 96:12;121:8 24:14;26:20,22; 94:22 116:4 testified (3) 86:16;88:1;98:6 7:13,18,23;9.8; 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 10:22;92:323; 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 10:22;92:323; 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 10:22;92:323; 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;20:21;24; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;20:21;13; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;20:21;13; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 10:4;20:22:8;32:20;111:3 type (3) 80:13,15 unuseul (1) tunusual (1) truesual (2) truesual (2) truesual (2)	15:14 24:18:1 20:				
Terry (1) 76:3 106:2 96:12;121:8 94:22 unsecureds (1) 116:4 86:16;88:1;98:6 7:13,18,23;9:8; 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 41:20 unsettled (2) testify (1) 20:8;21:21;22:20; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; ypes (3) unsettled (2) 8:25 24:6;34:14;43:16; 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; 22:8;32:20;111:3 unusual (1) testifying (1) 78:4;98:16;100:14; 65:4;68:23;71:14; 72:12;96:23;97:24 13:2;15:25;16:12, 117:9 8:10 109:25 72:12;96:23;97:24 13:2;15:25;16:12, unwillingness (1) 52:15;71:19;72:10; 99:3 21:11 27:19;66:4 up (29) 8:1 title (1) Treasurer's (1) 27:19;66:4 unwillingness (1) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; U 33:2;35:8;36:4;45:3; 4b:20;73:13;74:20; 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,27; 16eme (1) 6:15;81;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; 116:45;117:5,13 unsolle (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 128:25;121:1 1					
testified (3) timeline (3) Treasurer (23) type (4) unsecureds (1) 7:20;42:3;107:18 20;82;12;22:20; 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; 20:8;32:20;111:3 20:8;31:14; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; 22:8;32:20;111:3 20:8;31:5 20:8;31:14; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; 22:8;32:20;111:3 20:8;31:5 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;21:21;22:20; 20:8;23:22:23;22:21:11:3 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13 20:11:13					
testified (3) 86:16;88:1;98:6 7:13,18,23;9:8; 10:4;22:9;23:23; 26:23 7:20;42:3;107:18 times (10) 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; tupes (3) 80:13,15 testify (1) 20:8;21:21;22:20; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; types (3) 80:13,15 testifying (1) 78:4;98:16;100:14; 65:4;68:23;71:14; typically (9) 117:9 8:10 timing (1) Treasurer's (1) 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 27:19;66:4 unwillingness (1) 98:8;99:14 title (1) Treasury (19) 8:21;17:25;25:22; 8:21;17:25;25:22; Thanks (1) 65:18 7:14;24:9;27:11; U 33:2;35:8;36:4;45:3; 49:20;73:13;74:20; 8:1 titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; 58:3;82:21;85:7; Ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; unable (1) 79:21;598:1,5;101:24; theme (1) 6:15;81;91;13:12; 116:45;117:5,13 uncollectible (3) 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) 7:11 28:25;121:1 uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
7:20;42:3;107:18 times (10) 10:24;11:15;20:21,24; 41:20 unsettled (2) testify (1) 20:8;21:21;22:20; 21:6,25;29:6;31:13,16; 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; 42:8;32:20;111:3 unusual (1) testifying (1) 78:4;98:16;100:14; 65:4;68:23;71:14; 72:12;96:23;97:24 typically (9) 117:9 testimony (5) timing (1) Treasurer's (1) 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 49:20;73:13;74:20; 98:8;99:14 Treasury (19) 13:2;15:25;16:12, 49:20;73:13;74:20; 8:1 Treasury (19) 8:21;17:25;25:22; 8:1 Titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; U 49:20;73:13;74:20; thematic (3) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,27; theme (1) 6:15;81;9:1;13:12; 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) treat					
testify (1) 8:25 24:6;34:14;43:16; 78:4;98:16;100:14; 8:10 109:25 testimony (5) 98:8;99:14 Thanks (1) 8:1 title (1) Treasury (19) 8:1 titles (1) 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; 15:10,14;16:23 thematic (3) 15:10,14;16:23 theme (1) 16:22 thereby (1) 20:8;21:21;22:20; 24:6;34:14;43:16; 32:4;44:2;50:25;57:2; 65:4;68:23;71:14; 72:12;96:23;97:24 Treasurer's (1) 21:11 Treasury (19) 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 27:19;66:4 U 80:13,15 unusual (1) 17:9 unwillingness (1) 68:7 up (29) 8:21;17:25;25:22; ultimately (1) 77:3 unable (1) 77:3 unable (1) 77:3 unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;10:124; 116:4,5;117:5,13 unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 116:4,5;117:5,13 treated (2) 28:25;121:1 treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
8:25 testifying (1) 8:10 109:25 timing (1) 98:8;99:14 Thanks (1) 8:1 title (1) 15:10,14;16:23 theme (1) 16:22 themes (2) 32:4;43:14;43:16; 78:4;98:16;100:14; 109:25 24:6;34:14;43:16; 78:4;98:16;100:14; 109:25 Timing (1) 72:12;96:23;97:24 Treasurer's (1) 21:11 Treasury (19) 7:14;24:9;27:11; 89:1 10:11;113:8;115:16; 117:9 unwillingness (1) 117:9 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:7:19;66:4 Treasury (19) 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:19:17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:19:17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:11 17:9 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:19:17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:11 17:9 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:11 17:9 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:19:10:12;10;12; 111 17:9 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:11 17:9 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 104:11 17:9 13:2;15:25;16:12, 105:11:11:11:11:11:12:10:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:					
testifying (1) 78:4;98:16;100:14; 65:4;68:23;71:14; typically (9) 117:9 8:10 109:25 timing (1) 109:25 13:2;15:25;16:12, 10:21:10:25:15;71:19;72:10; 52:15;71:19;72:10; 99:3 21:11 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 10:29 98:8;99:14 title (1) Treasury (19) 8:21;17:25;25:22; Thanks (1) 65:18 7:14;24:9;27:11; U 8:21;17:25;25:22; 8:1 titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; 49:20;73:13;74:20; 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
8:10 109:25 72:12;96:23;97:24 13:2;15:25;16:12, 19:12; 27:19;66:12; 27:19;66:12; 27:19;66:4 unwillingness (1) 68:7 up (29) \$52:15;71:19;72:10; 99:3 21:11 27:19;66:4 up (29) \$8:8;99:14 \$65:18 7:14;24:9;27:11; 19:12;54:18; 19:12;54:18; 19:12;10,14;16:23 U 33:2;35:8;36:4;45:3; 49:20;73:13;74:20; 19:12; 10:12;109:1					
testimony (5) timing (1) Treasurer's (1) 19;17:4;24:19;26:12; 68:7 52:15;71:19;72:10; 99:3 21:11 27:19;66:4 up (29) 98:8;99:14 title (1) Treasury (19) 8:21;17:25;25:22; Thanks (1) 65:18 7:14;24:9;27:11; U 33:2;35:8;36:4;45:3; 8:1 titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; 49:20;73:13;74:20; thematic (3) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2	• • •				
52:15;71:19;72:10; 99:3 21:11 27:19;66:4 up (29) 98:8;99:14 title (1) Treasury (19) 8:21;17:25;25:22; Thanks (1) 65:18 7:14;24:9;27:11; U 33:2;35:8;36:4;45:3; 8:1 titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; 49:20;73:13;74:20; thematic (3) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
98:8;99:14 title (1) Treasury (19) 8:21;17:25;25:22; Thanks (1) 65:18 7:14;24:9;27:11; U 33:2;35:8;36:4;45:3; 8:1 titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; 49:20;73:13;74:20; thematic (3) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
Thanks (1) 65:18 7:14;24:9;27:11; U 33:2;35:8;36:4;45:3; 8:1 titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; 49:20;73:13;74:20; thematic (3) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2				27:19;00:4	
8:1 titles (1) 33:24;45:12;54:18; 49:20;73:13;74:20; thematic (3) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2		, ,	• • •	TT	
thematic (3) 74:25 58:3;82:21;85:7; ultimately (1) 78:20;79:14,16;83:11, 15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2				U	
15:10,14;16:23 today (7) 89:11;101:12;109:12; 77:3 15;87:20;89:1,2,7; theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2				-144-1 (4)	
theme (1) 6:15;8:1;9:1;13:12; 110:11;113:8;115:16; unable (1) 92:15;98:1,5;101:24; 16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
16:22 44:6,13;91:1 116:4,5;117:5,13 33:6 102:16;106:1,22; themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
themes (2) Today's (1) treated (2) uncollectible (3) 115:7;118:3,4 37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
37:21;108:2 7:11 28:25;121:1 101:9,15,21 update (2) thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2		1 1			
thereby (1) together (2) treatment (4) uncomfortable (1) 66:5;67:2					
38:15 114:10,19 59:3;63:11,22;65:6 34:17 upon (3)				, ,	
	38:15	114:10,19	59:3;63:11,22;65:6	34:17	upon (3)

				October 10, 2013
33:13,24;34:6	49:19;56:20;64:16;	week (10)	words (3)	1 (3)
upper (1)	65:5;69:25;70:2;71:1,	13:16;47:14;67:12;	15:16;68:15;98:3	9:12;23:18,20
87:13	9;91:14,15;101:14;	71:11;77:13,13,20,21;	work (8)	10 (6)
use (7)	102:18;119:4,9	85:5;120:1	9:14;16:1;24:8;	6:1,21,25;12:3;
17:14;48:17,19;	viewed (4)	weekly (4)	28:23;42:8;68:17;	40:25;85:5
49:1;96:16;104:23;	29:14;32:7;49:2;	66:4,7;78:12,14	103:21;110:13	10:21 (1)
105:6	91:22	weeks (4)	worked (4)	50:17
used (6)	violate (1)	11:6;93:5,22;99:16	9:18;34:11;101:17;	10:30 (1)
22:15;68:15;75:11;	93:11	weigh (1)	114:24	50:23
100:4;105:6;113:1	violating (1)	113:10	working (6)	109 (2)
using (1)	48:5	welfare (5)	41:22;76:2;102:9,	63:21;64:10
35:2	violation (1)	17:20;18:3;39:19;	11;103:15;112:24	10th (2)
	64:24	72:20;73:17	works (4)	7:12;69:16
${f v}$	violative (1)	wellness (1)	37:5;58:2;100:18;	11 (7)
V	65:9	32:21	116:5	6:16,24;7:2;9:12;
wagua (2)	virtually (2)	weren't (5)	world (1)	43:22,24;76:5
vague (2)	80:11;101:9	21:22;53:14;67:23,	101:14	11:02 (1)
100:14;107:5	vis-a-vis (1)	24;113:8	worried (1)	71:21
valid (1) 77:1	70:12	WERTHEIMER (34)	49:17	11:06 (1)
	volume (1)		worth (1)	, ,
value (1)	115:3	15:12;31:16;38:6;	105:4	71:25
91:16		43:22;60:23;62:6;		11:35 (1)
varies (1)	vote (8)	63:23;72:2,3;78:3,6;	write (2)	94:2
77:12	21:2,3;36:8,11,14,	79:1;80:8;81:3,13,15,	90:18;110:2	11:37 (1)
variety (3)	16,17,18	21,24,25;83:10;85:15;	written (2)	94:6
26:3;101:22;102:24	voters (5)	93:9,25;94:10;95:7,20;	58:10;70:16	11:40 (1)
various (11)	29:2;35:13,16;51:5,	96:3;97:6,11;106:23;	wrote (1)	97:17
35:3,9;39:9;65:22,	19	111:11;112:3,10;	69:5	11:43 (1)
23;66:9;67:13;68:2;	\mathbf{W}	121:21	Wynnchurch (4)	97:21
101:5;113:1,6	VV	West (1)	9:21,22;10:4;13:7	12 (4)
vast (1)	(2)	7:15	Y	76:8,9,16;77:3
101:24	wage (2)	what's (7)	I	12:23 (2)
vendor (2)	17:1;102:12	12:15;50:25;77:21;	(2)	123:20,21
113:8;115:12	wages (1)	94:25;95:13,17;112:11	year (2)	13th (1) 92:1
veneer (1)	16:14	whole (1)	28:13;60:1	92:1 14th (4)
52:2	wait (2)	119:16	years (5)	· /
venture (2)	8:13;96:15	Who's (1)	9:15,23,24;11:13;	63:7,20;65:6;95:24
10:14,16	walk (1)	14:1	12:25	155044034 (1)
verbally (1)	32:15	whose (1)	yesterday (4)	6:20
46:5	walking (2)	56:11	6:22;81:10;87:8;	15th (1)
versus (2)	60:6;115:1	willing (1)	89:16	88:4
70:18;102:25	Wall (3)	55:21	Young (1)	17th (1)
vested (7)	29:7;31:1;41:13	window (5)	75:13	87:14
42:12;49:11;50:5;	wants (2)	13:9;49:23;52:9;	77	18-month (1)
64:12,17;65:7;94:21	16:1;98:22	86:12,15	Z	52:9
vet (1)	water (1)	windows (1)	(2)	18th (14)
57:21	27:25	33:25	zero (3)	6:12,17;7:4;43:17;
vetting (1)	way (22)	within (3)	41:2;58:17;77:13	45:4;60:22;71:11;
114:5	12:17;24:8;26:7;	32:21;47:14;101:11	0	87:18;98:7,13,15;99:7,
via (1)	28:3;36:14;38:20;	without (9)	0	12;120:8
107:16	41:21;42:16;48:20;	19:2,7;34:24;36:18;		1990 (1)
vibrant (2)	49:2;50:3,13;57:5,6,7;	48:12;61:9;65:4;	00234 (1)	15:1
32:22,23	58:2,4;79:14,17,24;	78:24;83:16	112:1	19th (5)
VIDEO (13)	84:22;91:22	witness (29)	00234878 (1)	86:7;87:19;99:5,7,
7:11,13;37:12;	ways (10)	7:19;13:22;15:14;	111:24	13
48:23;50:16,22;71:21,	25:18;26:3;28:6,8;	19:11,13;31:19,23;	01 (1)	1st (4)
24;94:2,5;97:17,20;	57:11;70:8;100:24;	40:11,21;41:17;43:2;	9:25	99:10,11,14,18
123:19	119:11;121:10;123:7	46:6;50:8,11;60:24;	04 (1)	_
videotaped (1)	Webster (3)	64:2;81:2;83:9;93:23;	9:25	2
8:9	84:13;92:21;98:9	94:7;95:12;97:4;	0718 (1)	
view (26)	Webster's (1)	102:6;104:19;106:25;	6:20	2 (5)
11:24;27:3;29:6,7;	90:3	109:6,9;111:13;123:18	_	6:12;37:25;38:2;
30:7,8;31:1;35:12;	Wednesday (4)	word (3)	1	43:22,24
46:20;47:2;48:9,14;	87:15;89:24,25;90:6	17:14;69:4;123:4		20,000 (3)
	1			

			October 10, 2013
68:11,18;79:18 2010 (2) 10:23;11:2 2011 (7) 14:18;19:18;74:16, 20;110:7,9,18 2012 (12) 19:18;20:16;29:3; 100:13,20;102:1,10; 105:2,16;110:24; 111:4;122:25 2013 (18) 6:1,12,17,20;7:12; 43:18;45:4;51:10,17; 53:7,22;54:9;65:6; 69:16;70:11;111:14, 18;118:5 21st (2)	43:11;50:20;51:1,21; 52:20;73:13,25;108:1, 17,18;109:1,4,6,21,25; 110:2,10,12,24;122:4; 123:2 4:06 (1) 7:9 430 (1) 7:15 436 (35) 34:1,2,3,21;35:5,11, 19;36:23;37:3,5,7,16; 38:20,20;39:2,25;40:4, 16,21;41:8;43:9,12,12; 44:9,23;45:6,25;47:9; 52:17,21,21;53:12; 107:19,21;108:18	9 (43) 19:2,7;28:4;36:1; 41:20;42:18;43:10,14; 44:11,25;48:3;49:10; 52:5,18;57:24;58:7,15; 59:16;62:6,8;66:23; 71:7;73:21;74:2; 77:24;78:2,16;79:7,20; 81:7;82:17,22;83:4,19; 84:16;94:11;110:3,6; 114:2;115:6;117:23; 118:9,11 9:17 (1) 6:3 9:20 (1) 7:12 90 (1) 101:15	
	5		
10:12,19 22nd (1)	3	9th (4) 6:7;68:24;70:11;	
84:24	5 (3)	119:2	
24 (14)	68:20,23;119:1		
38:9,20;39:4;62:4,6,	50 (3)		
8;64:20;65:9;78:16;	75:19,23;118:1		
81:7;82:22;83:4,19;			
84:17	6		
25 (1)			
16:18	6 (2)		
28th (5)	87:9;112:14		
53:22;54:9;58:6,20;	_		
60:21	7		
29th (5)			
58:21;114:7,11,14,	7 (2)		
21	112:17,19		
2	700 (1)		
3	105:10		
2 (6)	700,000 (1)		
3 (6)	32:13		
43:17,20,23,25;44:2;	7-18 (1)		
63:19	7:7		
3:47 (2)	72 (24)		
6:18;7:5 31st (2)	14:22;15:1,4,7;		
51:10,17	16:10,23;18:8,11,13, 16,21;19:9;22:2;		
3-2-2012 (1)	29:21;33:14,23;34:3,6;		
111:1	43:11,14;52:20,22;		
3rd (3)	53:24;72:13		
84:6;89:24;90:3	75 (2)		
, ,- ,-	16:13,17		
4	,		
	8		
4 (84)			
14:15,21,21;15:3,20;	8 (3)		
16:5,8,23,25;17:8;	87:17;89:16;116:1		
18:8,9,12,19,23;19:6,	8:30 (1)		
10,11,12,13,17;20:15,	14:10		
19;21:10,12,14,18;	878 (1)		
22:5,7,16,19,23;23:3,5,	112:2		
9,25;29:2,13,24;30:12,	880 (1)		
13;32:7;33:9,14,25;	111:24		
34:5,8,9,11,16;35:2,10,	0		
11;36:8,10,22,23;37:3,	9		
20;39:6,11,16,25;			
		t .	

EXHIBIT C

5	• •
Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 1 APPEARANCES (continued):	Page 3
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN 2	
3 SOUTHERN DIVISION 3 COHEN WEISS AND SIMON LLP	
4 By: Joshua J. Ellison	
6 CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,	
8/ 8 Appearing on behalf of	TTALI
	UAW
11 DATE: Monday, October 14, 2013 11 By: Sharon L. Levine	
12 TIME: 10:27 a.m. 12 65 Livingston Avenue	
13 LOCATION: CITY OF DETROIT MAYOR'S OFFICE 13 Roseland, NJ 07068	
14 2 Woodward Avenue 14 973.597.2374	
15 11th Floor Conference Room 15 Appearing on behalf of	AFSCME
Detroit, Michigan 16	
17 REPORTER: Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CSR-3267 17 CLARK HILL PLC	
18 By: Jennifer K. Green	
19 19 500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3	500
20 Detroit, MI 48226	
21 21 313.965.8384	
22 Appearing on behalf of	Retirement Systems
23	
24	
25	
Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES: 1 APPEARANCES (continued):	
2	
3 JONES DAY 3 WILLIAMS WILLIAMS RATTNER &	PLUNKETT PC
/ Dir' Thomas (hillon / Dir' Ermost T Essent Tr	
4 By: Thomas Cullen 4 By: Ernest J. Essad, Jr.	
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3	00
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009	00
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333	
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of	
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 9	FGIC
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTMENT	FGIC
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson	FGIC INT
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500	FGIC INT
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 13 620 Fifth Avenue 13 Detroit, Michigan 48226	FGIC INT
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 13 620 Fifth Avenue 13 Detroit, Michigan 48226 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 14 313.237.3018	FGIC
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 13 620 Fifth Avenue 13 Detroit, Michigan 48226 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 14 313.237.3018 15 Appearing on behalf of	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 9 10 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 DENTONS US LLP 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 15 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 248.642.0333 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 13 Detroit, Michigan 48226 14 313.237.3018 15 Appearing on behalf of 16 Residents of the City,	FGIC
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 10 10 DENTONS US LLP 11 DENTONS US LLP 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 17 Sa80 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 248.642.0333 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 13 Detroit, Michigan 48226 14 313.237.3018 15 Appearing on behalf of 16 Residents of the City, 17	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 10 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Anthony B. Ullman 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 17 Appearing on behalf of City, 17 18 18	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 10 10 DENTONS US LLP 11 DENTONS US LLP 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 16 Residents of the City, 17 18 19 19 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 13 13.237.3018 15 Appearing on behalf of 16 Residents of the City, 17 18 19	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 10 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 16 Residents of the City, 17 18 19 20 20	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 10 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 17 18 19 20 20 21	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 5 380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 3 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 6 Birmingham, MI 48009 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 7 248.642.0333 8 202.879.3939 8 Appearing on behalf of 9 Appearing on behalf of 9 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 12 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 13 Detroit, Michigan 48226 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 14 313.237.3018 15 Appearing on behalf of Residents of the City, 17 18 19 20 20 20 21 22	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 10 10 DENTONS US LLP 11 DENTONS US LLP 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 17 Appearing on behalf of Residents of the City, 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FGIC NT the City of Detroit,
5 Dan T. Moss 6 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW 7 Washington, D.C. 20001.2113 8 202.879.3939 9 Appearing on behalf of the Debtor 10 10 CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTME 11 DENTONS US LLP 11 By: Portia L. Roberson 12 By: Anthony B. Ullman 13 620 Fifth Avenue 14 New York, NY 10020.2457 15 212.632.8342 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 16 Appearing on behalf of Official Committee of Retirees 17 18 19 20 20 21 21 22	FGIC NT the City of Detroit, Mayor's Office and City Council

		Page 5		Page 7
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		1	Williams, Rattner & Plunkett, on behalf of the FGIC.
2			2	MR. CULLEN: Tim Cullen, Jones Day, for the
3	WITNESS	PAGE	3	City and the Emergency Manager.
4			4	MS. ROBERSON: Portia Roberson, corporation
5	MAYOR DAVE BING		5	counsel for the City of Detroit, for Residents of the
б	Examination by Mr. Ullman	7	6	City, Mayor's Office and City Council.
7	Examination by Mr. Ellison	98	7	MR. MOSS: Dan Moss, Jones Day, for the
8	Examination by Ms. Levine	100	8	City.
9			9	MAYOR DAVE BING
10			10	was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having
11	EXHIBITS		11	first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,
12			12	and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as
13	NUMBER IDENTIFICATION	PAGE	13	follows:
14			14	EXAMINATION
15	Exhibit 1 Email from Andrews to Bing, 12/6/12	16	15	BY MR. ULLMAN:
16	Exhibit 2 Emails dated 11/27/12	40	16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Mayor.
17	Exhibit 3 City of Detroit Restructuring Plan,		17	A. Good morning.
18	March 23, 2012	50	18	Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
19	Exhibit 4 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report,		19	A. Yes.
20	City of Detroit, for its fiscal year-end	ed	20	Q. Okay, so I assume you're generally familiar with the
21	June 30, 2012, two pages	62	21	process, but let me just go over a few ground rules.
22	Exhibit 5 Email from Andrews to Bing, 7/10/13	74	22	I will ask questions and you will give me answers and
23	5,		23	I would appreciate it if you could wait until I finish
24			24	asking the question before you start giving the answer
25			25	and I'll wait until you answer before asking the next
		Page 6		Page 8
1	Detroit, Michigan		1	question; otherwise, the court reporter can't get
2	Monday, October 14, 2013		2	things down if both of us are speaking; okay?
3	* * *		3	If at any point there's anything in a
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record.		4	question that I ask that you don't understand, let me
5	This is disk one of the video deposition of David B	ing	5	know and I'll rephrase it and if you don't indicate
6	being taken at number 2 Woodward Avenue, 11th Floor	in	6	that you don't understand the question, the assumption
7	Detroit, Michigan. Today is Monday, October 14th,		7	will be that you do; okay?
8	2013, the time is 9:27 (sic) a.m.		8	A. Sure.
9	This is in re City of Detroit, Michigan,		9	Q. Okay. Now, you are currently the Mayor of Detroit; is
10	Case Number 13-53846, pending in U.S. Bankruptcy Co	urt	10	that right?
11	for the Eastern District of Michigan.		11	A. That is correct.
12	My name is Patrick Murphy, legal		12	Q. And when did you when were you elected Mayor, when
13	videographer, our court reporter today is		13	did you become Mayor?
14	Jeanette Fallon and we both represent Esquire		14	A. I was elected Mayor May 5th, 2009.
15	Deposition Solutions.		15	Q. And is it correct that at that time when you were
16	The attorneys will now introduce themsel	ves	16	elected Mayor that Detroit was in fiscal difficulties?
17	for the record.		17	A. That would be correct.
18	MR. ULLMAN: This is Anthony Ullman from		18	Q. And can you describe just in very general terms, I'm
19	Dentons, counsel for the Official Committee of		19	not looking for detail, but just generalities what
20	Retirees.		20	steps if any you took to attempt to address that
21	MR. ELLISON: Josh Ellison from Cohen We	ica	21	situation?
22	and Simon LLP, counsel for the UAW.	TOO		A. Detroit, when I came in office, was \$330 million
44			23	accumulated deficit over several different years.
23				
23 24	MS. LEVINE: Sharon Levine, Lowenstein			
23 24 25	Sandler, for AFSCME. MR. ESSAD: Ernest Essad, Williams,		24 25	Budget for the 2009 period '09 and '10 was already in place when I got here. There were several areas

Page 11

MAYOR DAVE BING

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN Page 9 that we had to make cuts. Revenue was going south and 1 A. I believe that the State had made the decision that 2 the only way that we thought that we could maintain a 2 Orr not only was a leading candidate but was their 3 3 balanced budget was in cuts. Most of those cuts choice. 4 occurred with layoffs and retirements. There were 5 some areas over in the transportation area that we 6 made some significant improvements, but overall I made 7 it very clear that we could not balance our budget 8 just with cuts, we had to try to generate revenue and 9 that was an ongoing problem. 10 Q. So I take it then that as of the end of 2012, Detroit 1 11 was still, notwithstanding the efforts you made, in 1 12 substantial financial difficulties? 13 A. That would be correct. 14 Q. Now, of course you're aware that Kevyn Orr has been 1 15 appointed the Emergency Manager? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. Did you have any involvement in the selection of 1 18 Mr. Orr as Emergency Manager? 1 19 A. None whatsoever. 1 20 Q. And when was Mr. Orr appointed the Emergency Manager? 2 21 Actually to be technically accurate I believe he was 2 22 first appointed Emergency Financial Manager; is that 2 23 2 right? 24 A. That would be correct. 2 2 25 Q. Okay, and then he became automatically the Emergency Page 10 1 Manager under the new law; is that right? 2 A. Under 436, yes. 3 Q. So when, as you understood it, was Mr. Orr selected as 3 of the Jones Day law firm and I think through that 4 the Emergency Financial Manager? 4 interview process Baird was impressed with him and, 5 5 therefore, moved down the road to try to select him as

4	Q.	And do you know as of that time when you met with
5		Mr. Orr in you said mid February were there any other
6		candidates that the State was actively considering?
7	A.	If there were, I didn't know, because I met no one
8		else.
9	Q.	Okay. How was Mr. Orr's name first brought to your
10		attention? How did you first come to hear of him
11		being a candidate for the Emergency Financial Manager
12		or Emergency Manager position?
13	A.	I was contacted by phone by Rich Baird of the
14		Governor's office who said that they thought that they
15		had identified a key candidate for the position of
16		Emergency Financial Manager, so Rich Baird was the one
17		who made contact with him.
18	Q.	And do you recall when that contact was?
19	A.	Pardon?
20	Q.	When, do you recall?
21	A.	That would have been in late January, early February.
22	Q.	And did Mr. Baird give you any further information
23		about Mr. Orr's background or qualifications for the
24		Emergency Financial Manager position?
25	Α.	Yes, he did. He said he had met in an interview
1		process that I was not a part of, they were
2		interviewing counsel for the City and Mr. Orr was part
_		of the change Davidson Comment of the ball the accorded to a

- A. I met Mr. Orr in mid February of 2012. I was asked to 6 go down and meet him at the law firm of Jones Day in
- 7 Washington, D.C. I met him, spent maybe a half a day
- 8 with him, because he at that time was the leading 9 candidate to be selected.
- 10 (Ms. Green enters deposition room.)
- 11 Q. Okay, and did you have an understanding as of that 12 time whether Mr. Orr had in fact or a decision had 13 been made to appoint Mr. Orr, assuming he took the

14 appointment?

15 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form, 16 but you can address the question.

- 17 A. I believe Mr. Orr had not made his mind up at that 18 point. In my meeting and conversation with him he was 19 going through a process to see whether or not, if the 20 job was offered to him, whether or not he would 21 accept.
- 22 Q. Okay. And what was your understanding as to the 23 situation from the other side, from the State side?
- 24 As you understood it, had the State decided that Orr 25 was the man they wanted if he took the job?

- 7 Q. And did Mr. Baird at that time give you any indications as to what he believed Mr. Orr's qualifications were to serve as Emergency Financial Manager? 11 A. No, he didn't. He said he was impressed with him, that he had been part of the bankruptcy team representing Chrysler and I guess from that ordeal was pretty impressed with him. 15 Q. And did you ask Mr. Baird anything else about Mr. Orr's qualifications to serve as Emergency
- 17 Financial Manager? 18 A. He -- yes, I did, and he felt --
- 19 Q. Thank you.

the candidate.

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

- 20 A. -- and he felt that not only was he a lawyer that dealt with bankruptcy for over 30 years but also had 21
- 22 some qualifications as it related to restructuring. I
- 23 think it was important to Lansing that the financial
- 24 manager would be of African-American descent. Kevyn
- 25 also I understand was a graduate of the University of

Έ BING ΓROIT, MICHIGAN	October 14, 2013 13–16
Page 13 and had some understanding of Detroit and our those were the background qualifications we me. In. Baird indicate that Orr had qualifications a restructuring outside the context of the context of the properties of the pr	Page 15 A. The answer would be yes. I've had conversation with Kriss and Kriss indicated that he was aware of someone that he felt could come in and work with us as an Emergency Manager. Q. Okay, and just so the record is clear, I made reference to Kriss Andrews, you made reference to Kriss, who is Kriss Andrews? A. Kriss Andrews was the his title was director of he actually was COO, but he had a different title. I'm trying to remember what that title was now. Q. Perhaps program management director? A. Director of program management. Q. Okay. And he had been brought on by you, is that right, or had he been here before you came on? Kriss was selected by Lansing for that position. A. Kriss was selected by Lansing for that position by Lansing? A. Kriss came in in May of 2012. Q. Is he still in that same position? A. Kriss is no longer with City government. He left in July of '13. Q. And do you know why he left? A. He was asked to leave by Lansing. Let me show you a document that we'll mark as Bing Exhibit 1.
or January time frame? I forget. Id have been in the February time frame. as the concept that was articulated to you in any time frame? I rrect. It how things in fact turned out? It how things have turned out.	Page 16 (Marked Exhibit No. 1.) (And Exhibit What we've marked as Exhibit Bing 1, Mr. Mayor? (And It's an email and It's an email from Kriss Andrews to the Mayor, December 6th, 2012. (Marked Exhibit No. 1.) (Marked E
	Page 13 and had some understanding of Detroit and our those were the background qualifications we me. Mr. Baird indicate that Orr had qualifications grestructuring outside the context of Mr. Baird anything further about Mr. Orr's ans? Were very generic, the questions that I was wing to find out if in fact he was going to ad, you know, how were we going to work because I was not in support of an Emergency were going to work together during that ion? Were would be yes and the conversation was that be responsible, meaning Kevyn Orr would be lefor really trying to restructure the heet in the for the City of Detroit and and my administration would continue to try to be city government and run the City on a y basis. So the plan or the idea, the concept, in this

11 A. This is a memo from Kriss Andrews to me recommending

12 an individual that he knew that he thought could work 13 well with us as we move to an Emergency Manager.

14 Q. Did you have a good working relationship with

15 Mr. Andrews?

16 A. Very good working relationship with, yes.

17 Q. And you had previously indicated that you had been

against the appointment of an Emergency Manager. Why

19 was that?

18

20 A. We thought, meaning this administration thought we --21 we could run the City without an Emergency Manager

22 coming in.

23 Q. Now turning back to Exhibit Bing 1, Mr. Andrews is 24 writing this email to you and he starts out with a

25 phrase, though the Group did not agree.

11 with Mr. Baird that you just related that there was an

12 Emergency Manager that was being sought; correct?

13 A. That is correct.

14 Q. And had you yourself proposed any candidates for that

15 position?

16 A. The answer would be no.

17 Q. Did you have any discussions with people on your staff

18 about possibly proposing one or more candidates for

19 that position?

20 A. That answer would be no, because I along with my staff 21 were not in favor of an Emergency Manager coming on.

22 Q. Do you recall any discussions or communications via

23 email or otherwise with Kriss Andrews about the

24 possibility of proposing a candidate for Emergency

25 Manager?



MAYOR DAVE BING

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN 1 Do you have an understanding as to what 2 Group Mr. Andrews is referring to? And that's Group 3 with a capital G. 4 A. I think that would have been the representation from 5 Lansing. 6 Q. And who was in that Group? Was that --7 A. It would have been Rich Baird, it would have been 8 Andy Dillon and I'm not sure who else may have 9 represented the State. 10 Q. And was this Group concerned with the selection of the 11 emergency -- or an Emergency Manager? 12 A. That would be yes. 13 Q. Now, if you go down -- so in this email, as I 14 understand it, Mr. Andrews is proposing a candidate 15 that he says might be a good fit as Emergency Manager 16 who, as he writes, would align with your, meaning the 17 Mayor's, reform agenda; right? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Now, in the third paragraph Mr. Andrews writes, I 20 realize he, referring to the candidate being proposed, 21 does not meet the standards of what the State would

22 want but he would meet the standards of what we would 23 want with you firmly in place to pursue your agenda. 24 Do you have an understanding of what 25 Mr. Andrews is referring to in that paragraph?

Page 19 1 Q. And do you recall whether the State had a particular

focus with which you disagreed or that you did not 2

3 think should be the priority?

4 A. I don't really recall that.

Page 17

8

9

10

5 Q. Now, Mr. Andrews in his email says, I realize he, 6 meaning the candidate attached, does not meet the 7

standards of what the State would want.

Mr. Andrews is referring to when he writes that this person would not meet the standards of what the State would want?

Do you have an understanding as to what

11 12 A. I think the standards that he was referring to was

13 whatever the State wanted that person to do, that 14 person would do it and this person was going to be

15 much more aligned with our agenda as opposed to the

16

17 Q. And did you have discussions with Mr. Andrews on that 18 point?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And is that what he conveyed to you orally as well as 21 in writing?

22 A. Yes.

Page 18

1

21

23 Q. And did you have any discussions with Mr. Andrews as 24 to whether Mr. Orr was a person who would essentially

25 follow what the State wanted him to do?

A. Yeah, I think what he meant is the State -- you know, my agenda had been laid out for some time going all

2 3 the way back to 2011 and some of the things that we

wanted to do and focus on did not necessarily align

5 with what the State wanted us to do and Kriss felt 6

4

7

that this individual would be much more aligned with

8 Q. And in brief can you tell me what some of those items 9

10 A. You know, we had somewhere around 21 different items

11 that the State and our administration agreed upon from

12 a restructuring standpoint, but I knew it was

13 impossible for us to attack all of those at one time

14 and have any success, so I selected about six

15 different areas that we should focus on. Number one

16 being public safety. Number two, public lighting.

17 Number three, public transportation. Number four,

18 eradication of blight. And number five, the support

19 and maintenance of our recreation and parks system.

20 Q. And I take it from your prior answer that the State 21 had different priorities?

22 A. I think the State had different priorities. They were

23 never spelled out to us, if you will. Because of the

24 21 that we had agreed upon, I think maybe their focus

25 and mine just wasn't aligned.

Page 20 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.

2 You can address the question.

3 A. Kriss at that time had not met Mr. Orr --

4 Q. Uh-huh.

A. -- so I don't think he had a determination one way or 6 the other about Mr. Orr.

7 Q. And did you have conversations on that topic with

Mr. Andrews subsequent to the appointment of Orr as 8 9 **Emergency Manager?**

10 A. The answer would be yes.

11 Q. Okay, and what was the substance of those

12 conversations?

13 A. Based on the meeting that I had with Kevyn in

14 Washington, he seemed to understand the plight that we

15 were facing here in Detroit and seemed to be willing

16 to work with us on our agenda.

17 Q. And did he ultimately work with you on your agenda?

18 A. Not to my satisfaction.

19 Q. And did you form an impression as to whether Mr. Orr

20 was someone who was essentially willing to do what the

State wanted him to do?

22 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.

23 A. He was chosen by the State and so he was taking his

24 direction from the State.

25 Q. And is there anything else that leads you to believe

that he was willing to do essentially what the State

1

2 was asking him to do? 3 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 4 A. The answer would be no. 5 Q. I take it from your prior testimony that you never in 6 fact proposed this individual that was recommended as 7 a possible candidate by Mr. Andrews; is that right? 8 A. That would be correct. 9 Q. I'm going to show you another document, which was 10 previously marked as Exhibit 6 to the deposition of 11 Mr. Orr. which commenced on September 16 and was 12 continued on October 4th. 13 And just so the record is clear, there are 14 other documents I'm going to show you that were marked 15 as exhibits to the Orr deposition that began on 16 September 16 and continued on October 4 and I'm going 17 to refer to those just generically as Orr Deposition 18 Exhibits and I say that -- we'll use that terminology, 19 because there was a prior deposition with Mr. Orr in 20 connection with the SWAP issues. So when I refer to 21 Orr deposition, it's referring to the ones that were 22 done on September 16th and October 4th. Is that okay? 23 A. Okay. 24 Q. Have you ever seen this Orr Exhibit 6 before, which 25 begins with Bates number JD-RD-0000216, or parts of Page 22 it? 1 2 A. I have. 3 Q. And have you seen the entire document or only parts of 4 it? 5 A. Parts of it. 6 Q. And what part would that be? 7 A. That would be the summary of partnership. 8 Q. And can you tell me what that is? Can you identify 9 that? 10 A. The conversation that I had with Rich Baird and made 11 reference to as I met with Kevyn, I asked for some 12 things that I thought were germane to helping to turn 13 the City around and I spoke to Kevyn about that, I 14 spoke to Rich Baird about that, and I guess Rich Baird 15 and Kevyn spoke after my meeting with Kevyn. So I 16 don't remember seeing the front -- this front page 17 from Rich Baird. 18 Q. Okay, and the document you're referring to is what 19 appears on Bates pages 217 and 218; is that right? 20 A. That would be correct. 21 Q. And this was in fact a summary of partnership document 22 that was -- it was not drafted by you; was it? 23 A. No, it was not. 24 Q. It was given to you by Mr. Baird?

October 14, 2013 21 - 24Page 23 Page 21 1 Andrews. 2 Q. Okay, and if you look at the first page of the 3 document, this is an email from Mr. Baird saying -- by 4 the way, just so the record's clear, just tell me 5 quickly who Mr. Baird is. We've used his name and 6 actually haven't identified him. 7 A. He is the advisor to Governor Snyder. 8 Q. And in this email dated February 20th, which is to Mr. Orr, Baird writes, FYI, the summary of partnership 9 10 prepared by the Mayor from the outline I gave him last 11 week 12 So I think you indicated that the summary 13 of partnership was actually drafted by Mr. Andrews 14 from your office or the COO for the City? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And had Mr. Baird given you an outline previously? 17 A. Not an outline, but he did give me some areas that he 18 thought we could agree upon. 19 Q. Was that in written form? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And that was one of the things that Mr. Andrews used 22 to prepare the summary of partnership? 23 A. That is correct. 24 Q. If you'd look at the first page of this document, 25 Mr. Baird is writing about a conversation that he had Page 24 with you. He says, told him, meaning you, Mr. Mayor, 1 2 that there were certain things I would not think we 3 could agree to without your, meaning Mr. Orr's, 4 review, assessment and determination such as keeping 5 the executive team in its entirety. 6 Do you have an understanding what that's 7 referring to? 8 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 9 Mr. Baird's note, he's never seen it before. 10 Q. You can answer my question, Mr. Mayor.

11 A. One of the things that I wanted to keep intact was my

12 executive team. It took me a couple years to really

13 put that team together and I thought not keeping that

14 team together would not be good in terms of helping us

15 turn the City around so I wanted to keep my team in

16

17 Q. Okay, and was Mr. Andrews part of that team?

18 A. He was.

19 Q. And did you have a discussion about keeping the

20 executive team in place with Mr. Baird, as is 21

recounted by Mr. Baird in this email?

22 A. That would be correct.

23 Q. And can you tell me the substance of the conversation

24 on that point you had with Mr. Baird?

25 A. Once again, because it took such a long time, I didn't

25 A. No, this was -- I think this was prepared by Kriss

Page 27

MAYOR DAVE BING CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN

Page 25 1 want to see a lot of turnover, additional turnover. 1 2 With an Emergency Manager coming in, if we started 3 losing some of our key players that have been there 3 4 with me to put a plan together and then try to execute 4 5 the plan, relieving or dismissing any of those people 5 6 I thought would be a negative, would take us backwards 6 7 7 and not forward. 8 Q. And by this in terms of timing, we had talked before 8 9 9 about the call or conversation you had with Baird when 10 10 he first told you about Mr. Orr as being considered 11 for the Emergency Manager position. The conversation 11 12 we're talking about now, is this part of the same 12 13 conversation or is it subsequent? 13 14 14 A. Same conversation. 15 Q. Okay. And in the email that Mr. Baird writes, he 15 16 says, that Mr. Baird told you during this conversation 16 17 that there were some things that he, Baird, couldn't 18 agree to without first getting Orr's approval. 19 Do you recall that? 19 20 A. Yes. 20 21 Q. Can you tell me what -- as much as you can about that 21 22 22 subject matter? 23 23 A. No guarantees in terms of making sure that the 24 executive team in its entirety stayed in place with 24 25 25 their pay level. Page 26 1 Q. And did he talk to you specifically about having a 1 2 2 need to get, as he puts it here, the review, 3 assessment and determination from this -- on that 3 4 subject from Mr. Orr? In other words, did he tell you 4 5 that he needed to run that by Orr and get Orr's 5 6 approval? 6 7 A. Yes, he did. 7 8 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 8 9 Q. And did he tell you why he needed to get approval from 10 Mr. Orr? 10 11 A. I think he wanted to make sure that Orr was 11 12 comfortable with the staff that was already here. 13 Q. Because the Emergency Manager would have the power to 13 14 fire the staff; wouldn't he? 15 A. That is correct. 15 16 Q. Now, if you look at some of the items that are on this 16 17 list in the partnership, like number 4, number 5, 6, 17 7. what are those? Can you just tell me briefly? 18 18 19 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 19 20 You want him to go through them one by one, counsel? 20 21 MR. ULLMAN: Yeah, just a brief summary of 22 what each of these points is. 22 23 Q. And these are things, as I say, were prepared by 23

Mr. Andrews and had been discussed at least in concept

1 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
2 A. That is correct. Number 4 I will respond to. Wanted
3 to make sure that if I called an executive meeting or
4 Mr. Orr called an executive meeting, we wanted to make
5 sure that all the key people were invited to the
6 meeting and so that, you know, everybody would know
7 what was going on. That was number 4.

As relates to number 5, back in December of '12 I had agreed with the Governor in concept that the State would lease Belle Isle and run it as a State park, which would relieve us from an expense of roughly \$6 million a year, it would allow my 38 recreation department employees to be redeployed to other parks across the City and also the State would invest somewhere up to 10 to \$20 million to upgrade Belle Isle over a three-year period.

- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. I don't know if there were other ones that you --
 - 9 Q. Number 6 briefly. This is --
- 20 A. Okay. We had put together over maybe an 18-month
- 1 period with a lot of input from a lot of constituents
- 2 across the City developing the Detroit Future City
- Plan and I wanted to make sure that we didn't just put
 - that plan on a shelf somewhere. That with so many of
- our constituents involved in that process we needed to
- Page 28

 1 use that as a blueprint to move forward and I never
- got heavily involved with Kevyn on the financial
- 3 initiatives as it relates to reducing the long-term
- 4 liabilities, managing cash flow, achieving the
- 5 long-term sustainable financial stability. He's
 - basically taken that upon himself.
- Q. Okay. And what was the Detroit Future City frameworkthat's referred to in point six?
- 9 A. It's a booklet, a plan, that was put together over an $\,$
- 10 18-month period by -- I don't even -- I think it said
- 1 they had over 30,000 meetings with constituents all
- 12 across the City so everybody had some input into what
 - the City's future would look like.
- Q. Okay. And those -- those initiatives, were they -let me ask you this more as a question. Were the
 initiatives outlined in that booklet that you
 mentioned intended to assist in reducing long-term
 liabilities and manage cash flow and achieve long-term
 and sustainable financial stability?

MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.

- A. I don't believe -- not with any specificity. It was
 more of the areas that we were going to focus on in
 the City, so I don't think it had a lot to do with the
 financial stability of the City.
- 25 Q. Okay. And when had that booklet been put together?

with Mr. Baird; is that right?

24

25

Page 29 Page 31 Did you say? 1 anything to you on your City email? A. It was about six months ago so it was in -- probably 2 A. No. 2 3 Q. Do you have an understanding as to why Mr. Baird would in March/April of '13. 3 4 Q. Well, this email is dated February of 2013. not want to send something to you under City email? 4 5 A. That -- that book did not come out for public 5 A. No. 6 consumption I think until sometime in '13. I think it 6 Q. Who is the personal assistant that's referred to here? 7 was really the March/April time frame. 7 A. Her name is Sue Ray, R-A-Y. 8 Q. Okay. So at this point in time what you're referring 8 Q. And do you recall Ms. Ray getting an email from 9 to in this draft partnership agreement is something --9 Mr. Baird to set up a meeting between you and Mr. Orr? 10 a booklet that had been drafted but had not yet been 10 A. I don't recall that. I mean, I knew the meeting, it was by phone that Mr. Baird and I talked about going 11 published? 11 12 A. That would be correct. 12 down to meet Kevyn. 13 Q. Okay. And what is number 7 on this list? 13 Q. I'm going to show you another document which we --14 14 A. There were a lot of negotiations that had gone on which was previously marked as Orr Deposition Exhibit 15 prior to Mr. Orr coming on board and we wanted to go 15 7. 16 back and relook at a lot of those initiatives, things 16 And for the record this first page of this document bears Bates numbers JD-RD-0000459. 17 that we had already been negotiating with labor, but 17 18 18 A. Okay. once again, I never -- since Kevyn came on board, I 19 never sat in another meeting where labor initiatives 19 Q. Okay. Mr. Mayor, have you ever seen this document or 20 were discussed. 20 parts of it before? 21 Q. Now, as of the date of this email, and this is around 21 A. I don't recall seeing this. 22 the time of your conversation with Mr. Baird, had you 22 Q. And if I can direct your attention to the last two 23 spoken with anyone else from the State about Mr. Orr 23 pages of the document, there's a summary of 24 as a candidate for the Emergency Manager or Emergency 24 partnership again. 25 25 A. Okay. Financial Manager position? Page 30 Page 32 1 A. Mostly that was done with Rich Baird, but I do think 1 Q. Do you recall --2 the Governor and I may have had a brief conversation 2 A. This --3 in one of our meetings, because Baird had made the 3 Q. -- seeing specifically the last two pages? 4 recommendation to the Governor and I think the A. The last two pages, yes. 5 Governor was receptive to his -- to his 5 Q. Okay. And that is, is it not, a revised version of 6 recommendation. 6 what appears at the end of what we've put in the 7 Q. And do you recall any discussions with the Governor as 7 record as Orr Exhibit 6? 8 to the qualifications of Mr. Orr to serve as Emergency 8 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 9 Financial Manager or Emergency Manager? 9 You can address the question. 10 A. No. 10 A. I have read all of this. I don't know if this is 11 Q. If you turn back to the first page of this Exhibit Orr 11 different from the other one that we saw. 12 Number 6, in the bottom email on the first page 12 Q. Okay, I guess if you look at the date of the last one, 13 Mr. Baird is saying, will broker a meeting via Note 13 you'll see it's dated February 18 and this one is 14 between you, meaning Mr. Orr, and the Mayor's personal 14 dated February 21. 15 assistant who is not FOIAable. 15 A. Twenty-one. 16 Do you have an understanding as to what 16 MR. CULLEN: Is there a question, counsel? 17 that's referring to? 17 Q. Do you see that? 18 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 18 MR. CULLEN: I beg your pardon? 19 A. I don't think he wanted to send something on my 19 MR. ULLMAN: I asked him if he saw the 20 personal email. I don't have -- I should say my City 20 dates. 21 email, because I don't have a personal email, so he MR. CULLEN: Okay. 21 22 wanted to send it to somebody else, he didn't want to 22 A. Yes, I see the dates. 23 send it on a City email. 23 Q. Okay. And I think if you look at the text -- do you

25

recall getting an updated version or one or more

versions of this partnership agreement?

24 Q. Do you ever recall any discussions with Mr. Baird in

which Mr. Baird indicated that he didn't want to send

24

25

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1 A.	Yes. Page 33	1		Page 35 attached to Orr Exhibit 7, item 7 has been revised to
2 Q.	And I think if you look at the text, you'll see that	2		say labor, retiree and benefit initiatives will be
3	there are indeed some differences, some of which I'm	3		pursued jointly by the Mayor and the manager to the
4	going to ask you about.	4		extent permitted by law.
	Okay.	5	A	. And the question is?
	First of all, if you look at the first page of this	6		Okay, do you recall any discussion as to the reason
7	exhibit, there's a note from Mr. Orr who says he spoke	7	Q	for those changes?
8	with the Mayor this morning, he's writing as of	8	Δ	. No.
		9		
9	February 22nd, and we're all set to meet Monday			Do you recall any discussion let me ask you this. Do you have an understanding as to what.
10	morning.	10		Do you have an understanding as to what
11	The Monday would be the 25th.	11		labor, retiree and benefit initiatives are being
	. Okay. Yes.	12		referred to in item 7 of the summary agreement at the
	. Okay, did you in fact meet with Mr. Orr on February	13		end of Orr Deposition Exhibit 7?
14	25th, Monday?			A. Yes, I do.
	. If yeah, I mean, I think we can go back and track	15		Q. And what are those?
16	my travel day, and yeah, I do remember going then. I			A. One of the things that was being discussed even before
17	don't know if it was the 25th or not, but I only went	17		Kevyn came on board was the healthcare cost, which we
18	there once.	18		wanted to change. We knew also that we needed to take
19 Q	. Okay, so it was around that's the meeting that	19		a look at the pension funds. But we had made no
20	Mr. Orr	20		determination as to what direction that we were going
21 A.	. Yes.	21		to go in.
22 Q	is referring to in his email?	22	C	Q. And did you have any discussion with Mr. Orr at this
23 A.	. Yes.	23		meeting in DC concerning pension related issues?
24 Q	. You said it took place at Jones Day in Washington?	24	Α	A. No, not to my knowledge, no, I don't remember that.
25 A.	. Correct.	25	C	Q. In item 7 on this document it refers to initiatives
1 Q.	Page 34 So you actually physically traveled up to Washington	1		Page 36 will be jointly pursued to the extent permitted by
	to meet with Mr. Orr?	2		law. Do you have an understanding as to what that
	That is correct.	3		phrase was referring to?
	Is there a particular reason he didn't come down to	4	Δ	. No, I don't.
	Detroit to meet with you?	5		
	I don't know if there was a reason that he wouldn't		Q	Did you have any discussion with Mr. Orr at the
		6		meeting in DC as to legal constraints on actions that
	come here. He wasn't I guess he felt more	7		could be taken to address various of the City's
	comfortable with me coming to Washington as opposed to	8		financial issues?
	his coming here.			No.
	. Okay. And do you recall discussing a summary of	10		Q. Now, this last document is around February 22nd. You
11	partnership document with Mr. Orr at the meeting?	11		had said that you had you were taking a look at
	Yes.	12		issues relating to healthcare and pensions but nothing
13 Q.	. And let me just ask you in particular about number 7	13		no determinations had been made?
14	here. And if you compare this with a version number 7	14	Α	A. That's correct.
15	on what's attached to Orr Deposition Exhibit 6, you'll	15	C	Q. And what what avenues, what possibilities, were you
16	see that the earlier version from Exhibit 6 has item 7	16		exploring as regards pensions?
17	as labor and it says labor initiatives will be pursued	17	Α	A. We were looking at the potential of moving everything
18	jointly by the Mayor and the manager.	18		to a 401(k) plan, because we knew that we couldn't
19 A.	Just a moment here. Now, give me your question again,	19		continue to fund the pension as it had historically
20	please.	20		been funded. It was it was obviously hurting us.
	. If you look at the first version which is attached to	21		The same thing would be true on the healthcare side.
22	Orr 6, number 7 says labor initiatives will be pursued	22		We had looked back three or four years where we saw
23	jointly by the Mayor and the manager?	23		the healthcare costs were increasing by double numbers
	Uh-huh.	24		on an annualized basis and from an affordability
2. 7.	And it was last at a make a 7 and the Falancian Of wasting	25		at and a pint we know that we could be least a setting to

standpoint we knew that we could no longer continue to

25 Q. And if you look at number 7 on the February 21 version 25

		,	
1		Page 37 do that.	Page 39 1 this is not seeing this here today at this time is
	Q.	Now, with respect to the pensions had you given any	2 not the first time that I'm aware of it. I mean, I've
3	Ψ.	consideration to how the pension clause in the	3 read I read the paper.
4		Michigan Constitution affected your ability to take	4 Q. Okay. And were you aware of this clause in the
5		various actions that you might like to take?	5 Michigan Constitution at the time while you as Mayor
		No.	6 were considering issues that might be taken to lower
7	/ ۱.	MR. CULLEN: Again just going to ask if	the pension costs that the City of Michigan of
8		you had a time frame, counsel, but if it's no, it's	8 Detroit was facing?
9		no.	9 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
	\circ	I'm asking about the time frame we're talking about	10 You can address the question to the extent you
11	Q.	here as of the end of February of 2013.	11 understand it.
	٨	No.	12 A. The answer would be no.
		At this point in time were you I've made reference	13 Q. I think you indicated there was another there was a
14	Q.	to the pension clause in the Michigan Constitution.	14 department within the City that was responsible for
15			
		As of February 2013 were you aware of that?	'
16		Let me withdraw that and ask you, first of	16 A. That would be correct.
17		all, do you understand what I'm referring to when I	17 Q. Okay. And who was the head of that?
18	۸	use the term pension clause?	18 A. What's his I'm trying to think of the name right
		Maybe you want to explain it.	now. I can't yes, Lamont Satchel. He heads up our
20	Q.	Okay. Well, let me show you another document that	20 labor law department.
21		we've also had marked at the Orr deposition. This is	21 Q. And does Mr. Satchel have access to legal advice,
22		Orr Deposition Exhibit 5. And what we have as Exhibit	
23		5 from the Orr deposition is a copy of the Michigan	23 A. I'm sure he does. He's a lawyer himself.
24	۸	Constitution, Article 9, Section 24.	Q. And do you recall any discussions with Mr. Satchel as
25	Α.	Okay.	to any constitutional limits on the City's ability to
1	$\overline{}$	Page 38 Have you ever seen that provision before?	Page 40 1 take steps with respect to pension rights and related
1		No.	2 payments?
		You never saw it before today?	3 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form,
		I don't recall it, no.	4 calls for a to the extent you're calling beyond the
		Were you prior to seeing it now, were you aware	5 fact of any such conversations, for the substance of
6	Q.	that there is a clause in the Michigan Constitution	6 any conversations which would be privileged.
7		that provides certain protection for vested pension	7 Q. You can answer the question.
8		rights and payments in respect thereof?	8 A. No, I had none of those conversations with
9		MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.	9 Mr. Satchel.
10		You can address the question.	10 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you another document. This
11	Δ	I think those responsibilities rested with the labor	one we will mark as Bing Number 2.
12	,	law department. I mean, I didn't get involved in	12 (Marked Exhibit No. 2.)
13		that.	13 A. Okay.
14	\circ	. So your testimony is similarly that you were	14 Q. Okay, for the record what we've marked as Bing 2 is a
15	S.	completely unaware up till now that there is a clause	chain of emails, this top one is November 27, 2012.
16		in the Michigan Constitution that deals specifically	16 Beginning Bates page number is DTMI00079928.
17		with issues pertaining to pensions and payments	17 Have you ever seen these emails before,
18		associated therewith?	18 Mr. Mayor?
19	Δ	No, I mean	19 A. Yes, I have.
20	,	MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.	20 Q. And what was the context in which you saw them?
21	Q		21 A. That Leonard Fleming, who is a reporter for the
22	Q	MR. CULLEN: You can answer the question,	
23		if you can unpack it.	23 we were to bankruptcy, and I think Bob got in contact
24		THE WITNESS: Yeah.	24 with Kriss and Kriss put that document put this
24		THE WITHLOO. TEAH.	with this and this put that document put this

25

25 A. I mean, I read in the paper like everybody else, so

email together for Bob answering the question from the

1	Page 41 media.	1	Page 43 was Deputy Mayor; I think at that time I'm not sure
	. Okay. And Mr. Andrews writes in the top email, this	2	that Chris Brown, I don't remember when he left, but
3	is recounting his conversation with Leonard Fleming,	3	Chris Brown was part of that leadership team; and
4	he says, I made the following three major points: The	4	Bob Warfield.
5	first one is we fully intend to be successful without	5	Q. And what was the basis on which the people involved in
6	the use of bankruptcy.	6	those discussions concluded that the City's finances
7	Do you have an understanding of what	7	could be redressed without the need to file a Chapter
8	Mr. Andrews was referring to there?	8	9 bankruptcy?
	Yeah, if we could continue to get the support that we	9	MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
10	needed from the State on our 21 initiatives that we	10	-
11	agreed upon, we should not have to go the route of	11	•
12	bankruptcy.	12	_
	And did that the substance of what you just said	13	* *
14	reflect conversations that you had had with Mr. Kriss	14	
15	I'm sorry, with Mr. Andrews	15	
1	v. Yes.	16	
) apart from the email?	17	
1	a. That would be yes.	18	
1	2. So is it correct then that at least as of the date of	19	
20	this email, which is November 2012, November 27, 2012,	20	
21	the possibility of filing for Chapter 9 had been	21	,
22	discussed with you and members of your team?	22	A. There were several different documents that had been
	. I wasn't part of that, maybe Kriss was part of that,	23	
24	but not myself.	24	
1	O. Okay. But you said you were aware that this I'm	25	
	· · · ·		
1	Page 42 sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea	1	Page 44 we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw
1 2	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea	1 2	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw
	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file		we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we
2 3	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea	2	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw
2 3 4 A	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct.	2	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the
2 3 4 A	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy?	2 3 4	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a
2 3 4 A 5 C	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been	2 3 4 5	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to
2 3 4 A 5 C	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the	2 3 4 5 6	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route?	2 3 4 5 6 7	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A 10 C	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A 10 C	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A 10 C	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? Lican't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to.
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A 10 C 11 A 12	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to.
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A 10 C 11 A 12 13 14 C	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A 10 C 11 A 12 13 14 C	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me
2 3 4 A 5 C 6 7 8 9 A 10 C 11 A 12 13 14 C 15 A	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 A 10 6 11 4 12 13 14 16 17	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 A 10 (11 4 12 13 14 (15 4 16 17 18 (18)	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of us wanted to go in that direction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for cost cutting, raising revenue, require the City of
2 3 4 A 5 G 6 7 8 9 A 10 G 11 A 12 13 14 G 15 A 16 17 18 G 19 A	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of us wanted to go in that direction. Who is Jack Martin?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for cost cutting, raising revenue, require the City of Detroit doing anything that was prohibited by Michigan
2 3 4 A 5 G 6 7 8 9 A 10 G 11 A 12 13 14 G 15 A 16 17 18 G 19 A	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of us wanted to go in that direction. Who is Jack Martin? Jack Martin was the CFO.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for cost cutting, raising revenue, require the City of Detroit doing anything that was prohibited by Michigan law?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 A 10 6 11 4 12 13 14 6 15 6 17 18 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of us wanted to go in that direction. Who is Jack Martin? Jack Martin was the CFO. And you made reference to a leadership team. Does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for cost cutting, raising revenue, require the City of Detroit doing anything that was prohibited by Michigan law? MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
2 3 4 A 5 G 6 7 8 9 A 10 G 11 A 12 13 14 G 15 A 16 17 18 G 19 A 20 G	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of us wanted to go in that direction. Who is Jack Martin? Jack Martin was the CFO. And you made reference to a leadership team. Does that involve individuals other than Martin and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for cost cutting, raising revenue, require the City of Detroit doing anything that was prohibited by Michigan law? MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. A. I don't know.
2 3 4 A 5 G 6 7 8 9 A 10 G 11 A 12 13 14 G 15 A 16 17 18 G 19 A 20 G	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of us wanted to go in that direction. Who is Jack Martin? Jack Martin was the CFO. And you made reference to a leadership team. Does that involve individuals other than Martin and Andrews?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for cost cutting, raising revenue, require the City of Detroit doing anything that was prohibited by Michigan law? MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. A. I don't know. MR. CULLEN: You're asking for a legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 A 10 (11 4 12 13 14 (15 4 16 17 18 (19 19 20 (21 22 23 4	sorry, I thought you said you were aware that the idea was to be successful without the need to file bankruptcy? Correct. So the possibility of filing bankruptcy had been something that had been discussed and I take the conclusion was you didn't think you needed to go that route? That would be correct. And when did those discussions take place? I can't I mean, it was in I'm sure at the end of 2012 and ongoing up until bankruptcy was actually filed. And with whom did you have those discussions? That would have been internally with the leadership team, Jack Martin, Kriss, the executive team. None of us wanted to go in that direction. Who is Jack Martin? And you made reference to a leadership team. Does that involve individuals other than Martin and Andrews? It would have involved I don't know if I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we thought that from a cash flow standpoint we saw where we were running out of money, we saw where we were hitting the wall, we needed some support from the State and we did get that to the tune of a \$137 million loan that we got. The State was to release over time certain amounts of that loan. We had to repay I think an \$80 million loan that we had prior to the 137. I don't recall all of the details right now, but I do know that some of the initiatives that we and the State had agreed upon releasing those funds was contingent upon us making sure that those were deliverables that we could live up to. Q. And was the did the initiatives that you had that you described and that were proposing entail the City of Michigan I keep saying that. Let me withdraw that and start again. Did the initiatives that you described for cost cutting, raising revenue, require the City of Detroit doing anything that was prohibited by Michigan law? MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. A. I don't know. MR. CULLEN: You're asking for a legal

MAYOR DAVE BING

CI	ΓY	OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN			45–48
	Q.	Well, did you as part of this initial this		Α	Page 47 A. He was agreeable in working together, but we didn't go
2		restructuring program, were you aware in any way that	2		step by step and say that I agree or I don't agree.
3		anything that was being proposed was contrary to the	3	C	Q. Okay. So did you have an understanding as when you
4		laws or Constitution of the State of Michigan?	4		left that meeting in DC whether Mr. Orr had in fact
5	A.	No.	5		agreed to the points that were set out in this summary
6	Q.	And do you recall specifically how if at all the	6		of partnership document?
7		pension liabilities were to be dealt with under your	7		MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
8		proposed approach?	8	Α	One of the areas that I do recall and me saying is
9	A.	No.	9		that it made reference to keeping the executive team
10	Q	. Would that be set out in whatever documents there are	10		intact. He wanted the opportunity to make an
11		that describe your initiatives?	11		assessment himself.
12	Α.	I didn't understand your question.	12	(Q. Okay, and did he make an assessment?
13	Q	. Would the approach to pensions be set out in whatever	13		MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
14		documents exist that describe the initiatives that	14	1	A. I think over the time that he's been here, I don't
15		you've referred to?	15		think he personally made an assessment. I think there
16	A.	Those probably were internal meetings between the CFO	16		were others who may have made an assessment and made
17		and the COO and probably people from the labor	17		recommendations to him.
18		department. Those aren't meetings that I sat in.	18	(Q. And was your team your executive team left intact?
19	Q	So you don't recall the specifics of how the pension	19	1	A. No.
20		issues were	20	(Q. And who was gotten rid of besides Mr. Andrews, if
21	Α.	No.	21		anyone?
22	Q	being dealt with?	22	1	A. Jack Martin is no longer here as the CFO. Karla
23	Α.	No.	23		Henderson, who was the group executive for planning
24	Q	. But as you understood it, the City's if the	24		and development and BC, is no longer here. I think
25		proposed restructuring, the initiatives that you put	25		before Kevyn came on Kirk Lewis was already gone. I
		Page 46			Page 48
1		in place went through, you believe that the City would	1		do think that Chris Brown was already gone. As of
2		be able to survive without bankruptcy and would	2		today our purchasing director is no longer here,
3		continue to be able to meet its legal obligations?	3		Andre DuPerry. Richard Kay, who was the director of
4		MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.	4		the lighting department, is no longer here. The
5	A.	The answer would be we wanted that opportunity.	5		director of DDOT is no longer here. I think there
6	Q.	Okay. And you thought that if you had that	6		that's right off the top of my head. I think there
7		opportunity, you could make it happen; is that right?	7		were nine or ten department heads that are no longer
8	A.	That would be correct.	8		here.
9	Q.	But you weren't given that opportunity; were you?	9	C	Q. And were they asked to leave by Mr. Orr or
10	A.	That is correct.	10	1	A. For the most for the most part, yes. There was one
11	Q	. Let me go back to what we've marked as Orr Exhibit	11		guy who headed up he was the director of homeland
10		that we haven't marked but we've identified as Orr	12		accurity he left on his own accord because of the

- 12 that we haven't marked but we've identified as Orr
- 13 Deposition Exhibit 7, which has the proposed summary
- 14 of partnership.
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. Was this partnership agreement, the document that
- 17 appears here where it has a draft label on it, was
- 18 that ever made final?
- 19 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 20 Q. When you met with Mr. Orr on -- at the end of February
- 21 in DC, you indicated that you discussed this with him,
- 22 though; correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And did he tell you that he was -- that he was
- 25 agreeable to it?

- 12 security, he left on his own accord because of the
- 13 environment that he felt he could no longer work in,
- 14 but for the most part all of those other people were
- 15 asked to leave.
- 16 Q. Now -- and are the positions that those people held 17 vacant or have they been replaced with other people?
- 18 A. There's a mixed bag, quite frankly. I mean, some of
- 19 them -- I think you got some consultants in some of
- 20
- those positions. I mean, I had no input at all. I
- 21 mean, I found out after the fact that either people 22 were removed or if somebody was coming in. I had -- I
- 23 never had the opportunity to interview even the new
- 24 CFO who came in, the new COO who came in. Those were
- 25 selected by Kevyn in a vacuum, as far as I'm

Page 52

MAYOR DAVE BING CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN

Page 49 We knew that this plan was going to negatively impact concerned. 1 2 Q. Moving on past February of 2013, as I recall, the 2 a lot of folks in order for us to move forward with 3 official appointment of Mr. Orr as the emergency -- I 3 implementation, but it was all about trying to manage 4 4 forget whether it was the Emergency Financial Manager our way through without going to the route of 5 or Emergency Manager, but it took place sometime 5 bankruptcy. 6 around the end of March. Is that generally consistent 6 Q. And this was a document that was put together by you 7 with your recollection? 7 and people on your team; is that right? 8 A. Yeah, I think March 25th was his first day. 8 That would be correct. 9 Q. And from the meeting in DC up to March -- say March 9 Q. And I see we've been going for a little over an hour, 10 25th, did you have any conversations with Mr. Orr? 10 an hour and 20 minutes. It's probably a good time for 11 A. I may have had one phone -- one other phone 11 a break, but let me ask you first up to this time this 12 conversation with him. 12 is now March 13, towards the -- by the end of March 13 Q. And do you recall what the substance of that call was 13 had you had any conversations with anyone else from 14 about? 14 the Governor's staff or with the Governor himself 15 A. I think more than anything else it was making sure 15 about Mr. Orr as the Emergency Financial Manager or 16 that when he came on board, we were having a press 16 the Emergency Manager? 17 conference, introducing him as the Emergency Financial 17 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 18 Manager and wanted me to stand with he and the 18 You can address the question. 19 Governor at that, because we didn't want, quote 19 A. It was obvious to me in this time frame that Lansing 20 unquote, a divided house, if you will, and I thought 20 had made their selection, so, I mean, that's something 21 it was better since an Emergency Manager was coming on 21 that I couldn't control so it was more important to 22 board, it was no sense in us continuing to fight that. 22 me, once again, to be part of the team to help fix the 23 If he could be helpful to turn this City around, it 23 City as opposed to constantly fighting and pushing --24 would be better we do it together. 24 and pushing back. I didn't think that would get us 25 Q. So in that phone conversation was there any discussion anywhere. 25 Page 50 1 of Chapter 9 filing? Q. Okay. So after you had your initial conversations 1 2 A. No. 2 with Baird in February, you then met with Orr in the 3 end -- towards the end of February also in DC, and 3 Q. Was there any discussion of anything related to 4 pensions? 4 then Orr -- there was an official announcement at the 5 A. No. 5 end of March saying Orr's the new EM or the new EFM. 6 Q. I'm going to show you another document, Mr. Mayor, 6 Prior to the meeting in DC and the official 7 7 which we'll mark as Bing Number 3. announcement of Orr, did you have any contact with 8 (Marked Exhibit No. 3.) 8 anyone from the State about Mr. Orr's being made the 9 Q. For the record what we've marked as Bing Exhibit --9 Emergency Manager or Emergency Financial Manager? 10 what is this, 4? Three. Actually I think we had 10 A. The answer would be very little, if any, because they 11 previously marked this as Exhibit 22 to the Orr 11 had the right to make the decision, they made the 12 deposition, but since I've forgotten about that, now 12 decision, so once again, I would prefer to work with 13 we'll just leave it as Bing Number 3, but I believe it 13 the individual seeing what we could do together to fix 14 is the same document. 14 the City, a broken City. 15 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Mayor?

16 A. Yes. 17 Q. For the record it's entitled City of Detroit 18 Restructuring Plan, dated March 23, begins with Bates 19 number DTMI00129416. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And just briefly tell me what this is and I'll ask you

22 a few questions about it. 23 A. Well, it speaks to the things that we were working on,

24 the recommendations that we had put together to get us 25 through a very tumultuous time in the City of Detroit.

15 Q. Okay, so let me just ask more directly. Did you have advanced notice before the public announcement that 16 17 the City -- the State was going to come out and make 18 an announcement saying Kevyn Orr is our man? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And when were you told? 21 A. That had to be in early -- early to mid March. 22 Q. And do you remember the specifics of that discussion,

23 who told you what was said? 24 A. Whether that was Rich Baird or Andy Dillon, it wasn't

25 the Governor.

MANOD DAVE DINC	October 14, 2012
MAYOR DAVE BING CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN	October 14, 2013 53–56
Page 53 1 Q. And other than them telling you that Orr was the man, 2 did you have any other discussions about Mr. Orr with 3 anyone from the State up till the end of March when 4 the formal announcement was made? 5 A. No. 6 MR. ULLMAN: Okay, why don't we just take a	Page 55 1 Q. Did you have an understanding at the time this 2 document was prepared, which was March 2013, as to 3 what the potential or estimated value of the real 4 estate that you referred to was? 5 A. The UAW building across the street is for UAW, that 6 was a \$5 million proposal. The recreation center was
7 short break now, because we've been going for awhile. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay, we're off the 9 record, 11:40 a.m. This completes disk one. 10 (A brief recess was taken.) 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	 7 a \$1.7 million proposal. I don't recall, because I 8 think there was an updated assessment being done on 9 the valuation for the tunnel. 10 Q. Okay, I'm not sure can you explain a little more 11 briefly what you meant about the UAW? You said that
record at 11:48 a.m. This is disk two of the deposition of David Bing. Please proceed. He are the deposition of David Bing. Please proceed. Representation of David	 there was a A. There's a building across the street, it's city-owned, but the UAW has been leasing the building. Q. You mean across the street from where we're sitting here now? A. From where we're sitting, yes, across the street on Jefferson Avenue. Q. Okay. A. The UAW is leasing that building from the City. They
 21 ways to raise both raise and save money from the 22 City's perspective; is that right? 23 A. That would be correct. 24 Q. And laid out in here were perhaps not all but a number 25 of the initiatives that you've previously made Page 54 	21 made a proposal to purchase the building and we had 22 really come to an agreement in principle to the tune 23 of about \$5 million. 24 Q. And what happened? 25 A. It's never closed. It has never closed at this point. Page 56
1 reference to; is that right? 2 A. That would also be correct. 3 Q. And I see in some of them there are cost savings that 4 are identified or potential cost savings in 5 parentheses. We were just looking at this page 421; 6 is that right? 7 A. Correct.	1 Q. So am I to understand it was effectively taken out of 2 your hands and you don't know what happened to it 3 since? 4 A. That would be 5 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 6 Go ahead. 7 Q. You can answer the question.

ss the street from where we're sitting re sitting, yes, across the street on sing that building from the City. They to purchase the building and we had agreement in principle to the tune n. ned? It has never closed at this point. Page 56 stand it was effectively taken out of ou don't know what happened to it EN: Objection, foundation, form. the question. 8 A. That would be correct. 9 Q. And the Windsor tunnel, you said you're not certain what the current -- there may be an updated valuation? 11 A. There may be an updated valuation. If I were to go back 60 to 90 days or maybe even more than that, I knew that there was an updated evaluation being done. 14 Q. And what was the valuation that you were familiar with as of March --16 A. I don't recall. I don't recall what that was. 17 Q. Then you made also reference to a recreation center. You said it was closed but there was some proposal that was made to purchase it; is that right? 20 A. Correct, to the tune of about 1.7 million. 21 Q. Do you know who made that proposal? 22 A. That was the Salvation Army. 23 Q. And as of the time as around March 13th, was that something that looked like it was proceeding towards this closing?

for monetization.

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 A. Yes.

see that?

8 Q. Now, with respect to item 2C on the page I've asked

you to refer to, it's headed identified future cost

16 Q. Can you explain what that is referring to?

17 A. There was real estate that I knew we had been in

discussions in terms of selling some real estate.

Kilpatrick administration there was discussion about

There was -- there was a recreation center that we had

a proposal on, a closed recreation center. Those were

some of the things that we talked about potentially

They also had been -- even going back in the

selling our rights in the Detroit/Windsor tunnel.

savings initiatives and there's a parenthetical saying

that's in process and there's a long list of various

items that the City is pursuing at this time, and the

last one says asset monetization strategies; do you

10

12

13

15

18

19

24

25

Page 57 Page 59 1 A. Yes, it did. Did you as of the March 2013 time frame 1 2 Q. And was that taken out of your hands also? 2 have any understanding, just a general understanding, 3 A. Yes, it was. 3 as to what the value was of the art that's owned by 4 4 Q. And that like the other real estate you mentioned was the City of Detroit? 5 taken out of your hands by the Emergency Manager and 5 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 6 his team I take it? 6 A. The answer would be no. 7 A. The whole process --7 Q. And as you sit here today, do you have any understanding as to the value of the art that's owned 8 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 8 9 A. -- yeah. 9 by the City of Detroit? 10 Q. And did there come a time when someone -- how did this 10 MR. CULLEN: Same objection. 11 process come about that it was taken out of your 11 A. The answer would still be no. 12 hands? Did the Emergency Manager or someone from his Q. Are you aware of reports in the press stating that the 12 13 staff actually tell you or your staff, don't worry 13 city-owned art could easily be worth billions of 14 about these things anymore, it's not your business or 14 dollars? 15 words to that effect? 15 A. I have read that, yes. 16 MR. CULLEN: Objection. 16 Q. And do you have any reason to believe those reports 17 A. No. 17 are inaccurate? 18 MR. CULLEN: Foundation, form. 18 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 19 Q. How did it come about that it was taken out of your 19 Of what they report or the value or what, counsel? 20 hands? 20 MR. ULLMAN: I think my question was clear. 21 A. I actually went to the Emergency Manager and told him 21 Q. You can answer my question. 22 about these potential deals and in order for them to 22 A. I know that he's engaged Christie's to do an 23 23 go forward, he had to sign-off on it. He said to me evaluation and I'm not sure that that's complete yet, 24 that it looked like they were decent deals and that he 24 so I have no idea of what the value may or may not be. 25 would, but obviously that hasn't happened yet. 25 Q. Okay. Let me ask you to turn now to the next page of Page 58 Page 60 this document, which is ending in Bates page 422. And 1 Q. And has there been any follow-up with the Emergency 1 2 Manager between him and you as to why he hasn't signed 2 this heading says, and I quote, "The Mayor's plan 3 3 includes strategies to implement changes that will 4 4 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. significantly reduce general fund long-term 5 5 A. I think more than anything else he wants to look at liabilities." 6 some of the bigger issues that he's got to deal with 6 Do you see that? 7 as opposed to these things which he may consider, you 7 A. Yes. 8 8 Q. And so we're clear, what in brief is the general fund? know, not big issues. Q. Even though if these things went through, they would 9 A. That's the -- the general fund is what we use to run 10 at least bring in some immediate cash; is that right? 10 the City on a day-to-day basis. 11 A. They would. 11 Q. Now, in subpoint A, 3A, you give some -- you give two subpoints, two bullets. The second one says, 12 Q. As part of the asset monetization, did you give any 12 13 consideration to try to monetize art that is owned by 13 approximately 6 billion of City debt is owed by the 14 the City of Detroit and maintained at the Detroit 14 water and sewer department and does not have an impact on the general fund. Do you see that? 15 Institute of Arts? 15 16 A. Yes. 16 A. The answer would be no. 17 Q. And was there a particular reason you didn't give any 17 Q. Can you explain what you were referring to by those 18 consideration to that? 18 19 A. Back at that time when we were thinking about it, that 19 A. That -- that debt is paid by the users of the water 20 20 never came up, that was never a conversation that we and sewerage department, so there's a revenue stream 21 21 that pays that debt down, so it's not part of the had internally. I think since he's been on board, the 22 subject obviously has gotten a lot of heat and a lot 22 general fund. 23 of visibility. I'm not sure what's going to happen 23 Q. Okay, and as you put it here, that that debt, while 24 24 it's on the books as City debt because the department

25

25 Q. Okay. And do you -- let me ask it this way.

of water and sewer is part of the City, that doesn't,

1

as you put it, have an impact on the general fund

2 because it's -- the water and sewer debt is paid for 2 3 by the department of water and sewer? 4 A. That would be correct. 4 5 Q. And that, as I understand it, is run as a separate 5 6 authority and has its own books and records and is 6 7 solvent; is that right? 7 8 A. That would be correct. 8 9 Q. You then go on in the next point, sub B, to refer to 9 10 pension unfunded liabilities, and you say 10 approximately 650 million of unfunded liability as of 11 11 12 FY 2012 of which only 250 million relates to general 13 fund. 14 A. Uh-huh. 14 15 Q. Do you see that? And could you tell me what you meant 15 16 when you wrote that? 16 17 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 18 A. I believe that makes reference to both the payment to 18 19 the pension fund and maybe even to the healthcare 20 benefits. 20 21 Q. Okay, I'm going to be a little more specific. The 21 22 language of this restructuring plan states that 22 23 there's 650 million of unfunded pension liability. Do 23 24 you see that? 24 25 A. Uh-huh. 25 follows" and then gives a table? Page 62 Q. And then it says of that only 250 million relates to 1 1 2 the general fund. 2 3 Can you tell me what that's referring to? 3 A. No, not right off the top of my head I can't, no. 4 5 Q. So you don't recall what that level of detail is as to 5 6 the --7 7 A. Correct, correct, correct. Q. Then the next bullet it -- well, I guess -- do you 8 8 9 recall where the 650 million liability -- unfunded 9 10 liability number comes from? 10 11 A. We have not -- we're not current with our pension 11 12 12 contributions. 13 Q. I guess let me ask it a little -- let me mark then 13 14 another document. We'll mark this as Bing 4. 15 (Marked Exhibit No. 4.) 15 16 Q. And Bing 4 for the record is an excerpt from a 16 17 17 document entitled Comprehensive Annual Financial 18 Report for the City of Detroit for its fiscal 18 19 year-ended June 30, 2012 and I've attached just two 20 pages of it because it's a very long document. 20 21 Okay, Mr. Mayor? You've seen -- you know 21 22 what the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report is; 22

Page 63 pensions and if you look on page 124, it talks about 1 the unfunded AAL on line 3 of that table. 3 A. Uh-huh. Q. And which stands for unfunded actuarial -- as I understand it, actuarial accrued liability? A. Correct. Q. And then if you look at the table, it says for the General Retirement System there's a number of approximately 640 million and on the Police and Fire Retirement System it's about 4 million. Do you see that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And is it correct that that -- so that adds up to about 644 million. Does that correspond to the 650 million that's in the restructuring plan that we have as Exhibit 3? 17 A. Yes. ves. MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 19 Q. And when you -- the restructuring document refers to the unfunded liability at fiscal year 2012, is that referring to the valuation that's referred to at the top of page 124 of Bing 4 where it says, and I quote, "The funded status of each plan as of June 30, 2011, the most recent actuarial valuation date, is as

> Page 64 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.

A. And your question was?

MR. ULLMAN: Do you want to read it back? If you don't understand, I'll rephrase it, but --

THE WITNESS: Yes. I just need --

Q. Would it be easier if I just rephrased the question?

A. Go ahead.

Page 61

Q. Okay. When you referred to the approximately 650 million of unfunded liability as of fiscal year 2012, okay, the unfunded liability as of 2012, is that referring to the underfunding as reported as of the

June 30, 2011 actuarial valuation which is referred to

on the top of page 124?

14 A. The answer would be --

MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. When you say when you refer, you mean -- are you implying that he wrote this document personally? MR. ULLMAN: No, he and his team.

- 19 Q. I'm obviously referring to that in the general sense. I didn't intend to imply that you physically drafted this, Mr. Mayor. I understand this was put together by you and people working for you.
- 23 A. And the answer to that would be yes.
- 24 Q. And also under this -- going back to page 422 of 25 Exhibit 3 under the subheading B under pension

23

right?

24 A. Yes.

Q. And I've attached the pages that pertain to the

Page 65 Page 67 unfunded liabilities it says, the City is developing a 1 A. No. 2 plan to reduce the unfunded liability. 2 Q. And did you have any conversations with him in which 3 Do you have any recollection as to the 3 he specifically referred to a Chapter 9 bankruptcy as 4 specifics of that plan? 4 a way to deal with the pension issues? 5 A. No, I don't. 5 A. I believe the answer to that would be yes. I can't be 6 Q. Now, you recall -- or let me ask you. 6 very specific, I don't recall, but I think -- I 7 Are you aware that on June 14th, 2013 the 7 believe that conversation -- or a conversation like Emergency Manager had a meeting with creditors? 8 8 that did occur. 9 9 Q. Okay, and can you give me, as best you can recall, a A. I'm aware. 10 Q. Prior to the time that he was appointed or I should 10 time frame as to when? 11 say -- let me withdraw that. 11 A. I think it would be in that same May time frame in one 12 Prior to the time that the Emergency 12 of our discussions. 13 Manager's appointment was formally announced and June 13 Q. And can you tell me with as much specificity as you 14 14, 2013, did you have any conversations with the 14 can remember what the Emergency Manager said during 15 **Emergency Manager himself?** 15 that conversation? 16 A. Yes. 16 A. Once again, with not a lot of specifics, but in order 17 Q. And do you recall how many? 17 to fix the problems of the City where -- I know this 18 18 A. We don't -- we don't meet that often. You know, if we number has been thrown out a lot, the \$3.5 billion of 19 meet once or twice a week, that's about it and the 19 unfunded liabilities, etc., etc., I mean, he talked 20 meetings are usually very short meetings. Usually 20 about that, but that was a generality and so it was no 21 called by me. 21 more -- it was not more specific than that. 22 Q. And can you say how long a typical meeting would last? 22 Q. But he referred to Chapter 9 as a way to get rid of or 23 A. Thirty minutes tops. 23 address what he referred to as a 3.5 billion unfunded 24 Q. During that time between March 25th and June 14th do 24 liability? 25 you recall any discussions with the Emergency Manager 25 A. As a possibility. Page 66 Page 68 concerning pensions, anything to do with pensions? 1 1 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 2 2 A. I -- yes. You can answer. 3 Q. And tell me what you recall. 3 A. As a possibility. 4 A. You know, the general conversation was that pensions 4 Q. And did Mr. Orr tell you at that time that the 5 are a major problem that we have and we've got to 5 unfunded liability was indeed 3.5 billion? 6 address it. 6 A. The answer to that would be yes. 7 Q. And do you recall when those conversations took place? 7 Q. And did he tell you that that had been shown through A. Probably more in the May time frame. 8 an actuarial valuation? 9 Q. And was there any conversation with the Emergency 9 A. The answer to that would be yes. 10 Manager as to how the Emergency Manager intended to 10 Q. During that conversation or any other conversation 11 address the issues of pensions? 11 with Mr. Orr during the March 25 through June 14 time 12 12 A. No. frame, was there any discussion with Mr. Orr of what 13 Q. Was there any discussion with the Emergency Manager 13 we've referred to previously and I've shown you the 14 during the period I've been asking about, the end of 14 pension clause in the Michigan Constitution or any 15 March and June 14, about the City's filing for Chapter 15 other legal impediments to -- affecting pension 16 9 bankruptcy? 16 rights? 17 A. I think the only conversations we may have had about 17 A. No. 18 that is that's the last resort and that's from him 18 Q. Let me ask you the same questions now -- well, let me 19 saying, you know, that's not the direction we want to 19 preface it by saying you're aware, of course, that 20 20 go in and it would be last resort. there was a bankruptcy filing on July 18. 21 Q. Did the emergency -- did you have any discussions with 21 A. That would be correct. 22 the Emergency Manager in which he indicated that he 22 Q. Okay. Now, during the period between June 14, that 23 had any approaches or thoughts as to how to address 23 was when the creditor proposal was issued, and the

Chapter 9 bankruptcy?

issues relating to pensions other than filing for

24

25

filing, did you have any conversations with Mr. Orr?

24

25 A. About?

1 Q. Just in general first.

2 A. Yeah, we probably had general conversations, but

- 3 nothing relative to the filing.
- 4 Q. Okay. So between June 14th and July 18th did you have
- 5 any conversations with Mr. Orr regarding pensions at
- 6 all?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Any discussions with Mr. Orr at all regarding the
- 9 possibility of a Chapter 9 filing?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. So I take it the Chapter 9 filing a complete surprise
- 12 to you?
- 13 A. Yes, it was.
- 14 Q. I've asked you conversations with Mr. Orr concerning
- 15 pensions and Chapter 9. Going back, we don't have to
- do it in two time frames, but between March 25th which
- 17 is when the -- the last point we asked about and July
- 18 18th, did you have any conversations with anyone from
- 19 the State about the City's unfunded pension liability?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. And during that same time frame did you have any
- 22 conversations with anyone from the State about the
- 23 possibility of a Chapter 9 bankruptcy filing?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Now, you said you were not made aware in advance of

- Page 69 Page 71
 1 Q. Now, were you aware that around -- as of the time the
 - 2 bankruptcy filing was made that there was state court
 - 3 litigation that was ongoing that was challenging the
 - 4 ability of the Emergency Manager to file for Chapter
 - 5 11 -- I'm sorry, for Chapter 9 in the first place?
 - 6 A. I read that in the paper.
 - 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever hear that the City made its
 - 8 bankruptcy filing at the time it did in order
 - effectively to get it in before the state court issued
 - what the City expected to be an adverse ruling?
 - 11 A. No.

9

- 12 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
- 13 A. I think I read that in the paper the following day.
- 14 Q. Now, I think you had indicated previously that you had
- been opposed to the idea of the City having to file
- for bankruptcy, you didn't think it was necessary; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And I remember you gave -- one last -- a couple last20 questions.
- You gave an interview with the Emergency
- 22 Manager I think it was either the day of or the day
- 23 after the filing. Do you recall that? You -- I think
- 24 you talked about a troubling day for Detroit.
- 25 A. Somewhat remember that, yeah.

Page 70

- 1 the bankruptcy filing. I take it you were made aware
- 2 of the bankruptcy filing after it happened?
- 3 A. No. The day that he was going to file is when he told
- 4 me he was going to file.
- 5 Q. Okay. And did he -- what was the substance of what he
- 6 told you? Did he just say we're filing or did he give
- 7 any explanation?
- 8 A. That's all he said, we're filing, today.
- 9 Q. And what time did he say that? Do you remember?
- 10 A. This was in the afternoon so it had to be somewhere
- between 3 and 4 o'clock, somewhere in there I think.
- 12 Q. And at that time he didn't give you any explanation as
- 13 to why?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And did you have conversations with Mr. Orr subsequent
- 16 to the filing discussing the reasons why the filing
- 17 had been done?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did Mr. Orr ever discuss with you the reasons for the
- 20 timing, the specific timing, of the filing?
- 21 A. No, he didn't.
- 22 Q. Did you have any discussions with anyone from the
- 23 State as to the specifics of the timing of the
- 24 bankruptcy filing?
- 25 A. No.

- Page 72 1 Q. And you introduced Mr. Orr who then made his comments.
- 2 In the course of that press conference you made the
- 3 statement to the effect that Mr. Orr and his team have
- 4 brought together -- have brought together a lot of
- 5 history of success or words to that effect. Do you
- 6 recall making that statement?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Do you -- are you aware of any history of success that
- 9 Mr. Orr and his team have?
- 10 A. Only Chrysler.
- 11 Q. Only in the context of bankruptcy?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Are you aware of any success or history of success
- 14 that Mr. Orr has had outside the context of
- 15 bankruptcy?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Now, you obviously, you know, have been following even
- 18 if you've not been directly involved in what the
- 19 Emergency Manager has been doing; right?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. And you've been looking at or since obviously Detroit
- 22 is impacted by what he's doing in terms of both
- 23 reducing liabilities and trying to raise or conserve
- 24 cash; right?
- 25 A. Correct.



MAYOR DAVE BING CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN

1	Q. Now, when exactly did Kriss Andrews leave? I forget.	1
2	You may have told me.	2
3	A. It was late July of '13.	3
4	Q. And did you just have discussions with Mr. Andrews	4
5	before the time he left as to with the job that the	5
6	Emergency Manager was doing, whether he was doing a	6
7	good job or a bad job, being effective or not being	7
8	effective?	8
9	A. Yes.	9
10	Q. And can you relate were you in agreement with the	10
11	views of Mr. Andrews or did you and he have different	11
12	views?	12
13	MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.	13
14	That's an unfair question, counsel. Which views?	14
15	Q. You can answer my question.	15
16	A. I was in agreement with Mr. Andrews.	16
17	Q. And can you tell me what the substance of the	17
18	discussions were and in particular the views expressed	18
19	by Mr. Andrews with which you agreed?	19
20	A. I think he felt as far as	20
21	MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation. You	21
22	can address it.	22
23	A. I think he felt as far as the balance sheet issues	23
24	were concerned that Kevyn had the ability to help	24
25	solve problems in that realm, but from a restructuring	25
	Page 74	
1	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite	1
2	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring.	2
2	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask	2
2 3 4	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way.	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at	2
2 3 4 5 6	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing.	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861. Q. Are you familiar with what we've marked as Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861. Q. Are you familiar with what we've marked as Exhibit Bing 5, Mr. Mayor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861. Q. Are you familiar with what we've marked as Exhibit Bing 5, Mr. Mayor? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861. Q. Are you familiar with what we've marked as Exhibit Bing 5, Mr. Mayor? A. Yes. Q. And can you tell me what this is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861. Q. Are you familiar with what we've marked as Exhibit Bing 5, Mr. Mayor? A. Yes. Q. And can you tell me what this is? A. I asked Kriss, because at this time I knew he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861. Q. Are you familiar with what we've marked as Exhibit Bing 5, Mr. Mayor? A. Yes. Q. And can you tell me what this is? A. I asked Kriss, because at this time I knew he was leaving and I asked him to give me a kind of overview	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	standpoint he didn't think that he had the requisite skills to do an effective restructuring. Q. Now, was this these were discussions let me ask it this way. Was this a discussion that took place at one point in time or was this A. It was ongoing. Q. These were ongoing discussions with Mr. Andrews? Just during what time frame? A. I think from probably April through June. Q. Let me mark as the last exhibit I will show you Bing 5. (Marked Exhibit No. 5.) MR. ULLMAN: I'll just state for the record what we've marked as Bing 5 is an email from Kriss Andrews to Mayor Bing dated July 10, 2013. The first page bears Bates numbers DTMI00098861. Q. Are you familiar with what we've marked as Exhibit Bing 5, Mr. Mayor? A. Yes. Q. And can you tell me what this is? A. I asked Kriss, because at this time I knew he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Q. Okay, and did you have an oral discussion with Mr. Andrews about this? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay, and did you advise Mr. Andrews that you concurred in the views that he expressed here? MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. A. I would say the answer would be yes. Q. And then did you in fact agree with the views expressed in this document, Bing 5, by Mr. Andrews? MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. A. The answer would be yes. 2 Q. Okay, and let me just go through some of this briefly. I think in the first couple of paragraphs Mr. Andrews essentially says that he's giving the Emergency Manager good mark -- good marks in long-term liabilities, stating at least in his view that the Emergency Manager was building on many of the initiatives that you had started previously? 9 A. Correct. Q. And did you agree with that assessment? 2 Q. Then Mr. Andrews goes on and starts discussing operations, which he says are a different matter altogether and basically his -- Mr. Andrews' conclusion is that the Emergency Manager, and I quote, Page 76 "threw away the head start we gave him. He frankly is not competent at all. In fact, he's embarrassingly incompetent and only listened to his equally incompetent staff and did not well-exercise the added powers he had." So Mr. Andrews gives him an A in long-term liabilities and an F in operations. And did you agree with that assessment by Mr. Andrews? MR. CULLEN: Objection. Every word of it, counsel? Is that what you're asking? MR. ULLMAN: My question is pretty plain. You can answer. MR. CULLEN: No, it's an objectionable question, but he can answer it. MR. ULLMAN: Then your objection stands and the question would be answered. B A. From my vantage point, you know, I'm not going to give him a grade from A to F in either one of those areas, but I would agree that his strength was in dealing with the long-term liabilities and not operations. 2 Q. And Mr. Andrews goes so far as to say that in at least Mr. Andrews' view that he's not doing a competent job

in the restructuring aspect and the operational

aspect. Did you agree with that?

OTT OF BETROTT, WHO THO AT	17 00
Page 77 1 MR. CULLEN: Objection, form and	Page 79 1 A. I would say yes, but they've only been there for the
2 foundation.	2 last four to six weeks so maybe it's too soon to
3 A. Yes, I would.	3 really do a good assessment, but they are the right
4 Q. And he gives he, meaning Mr. Andrews, goes on to	4 company and I believe given time and tools, they will
5 discuss some specific points that he believes, he	5 make major improvements.
6 Mr. Andrews, believes support that conclusion. I want	6 Q. Okay, and does DDOT have any importance as concerns
7 to ask you about some of those.	7 Detroit's financial viability in terms of being able
8 Mr. Andrews he has items 1 through 4	8 to offer public transportation to citizens or things
9 initially. Mr. Andrews first talks about issues	9 like that?
10 with you called it DDOT?	10 MR. CULLEN: Objection.
11 A. Yes.	11 Q. Is that something that's important to have in place
12 Q. And he says that they were ready to choose I guess	12 for recovery?
13 MV is someone, is a person?	13 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation I'm
14 A. No, that's a company	14 sorry. I didn't know whether there was going to be
15 Q. Oh.	15 another clause in the question.
16 A that manages transportation.	16 MR. ULLMAN: No, no more clauses.
17 Q. Okay. And then it goes on to say, the Emergency	17 MR. CULLEN: Okay. Objection, foundation,
18 Manager slowed the process down and he says that	18 form.
although he, meaning Orr, gave me a poor excuse for so	19 MR. ULLMAN: Duly noted.
20 doing, it does not hold water.	20 Q. You can answer.
21 Can you tell me in your own words, what was	21 A. As one of my initiatives, one of my key initiatives,
the situation, the issue, with DDOT?	22 public transportation is one of the top five
23 A. We had poor management at best at DDOT. And before we	23 initiatives from my vantage point, because it impacts
24 wanted to make any long-term decisions, what to do	so many of our citizens who have either got to travel,
25 with the transportation department, we felt we had to	25 a lot of them don't have cars, a lot of them work
' '	,
Page 78 1 get a capable management team in there to do the	Page 80 1 outside of the City and if you don't have dependable
2 assessment and make some improvements before we made	2 public transportation, it does create a major issue.
any final long-term decision and so we chose we had	3 Plus we've been subsidizing DDOT out of our general
4 chosen MV and Kevyn stopped that process and	fund for some time so the quicker that we can fix it,
5 ultimately, maybe three months later, chose the same	5 the less subsidizing we have to get get over to
6 company that we recommended. So we think we lost	6 DDOT.
7 time.	7 Q. Let me go onto the next item listed is number 2.
8 Q. Okay, and so during that three-month period the same	8 Mr. Andrews writes, we should also be progressing on
9 prior, as you characterize it, bad management	9 providing the new management team in PLD.
10 continued in place?	10 Can you tell me what
11 A. Yes.	11 A. Public lighting department.
12 Q. And that resulted in continued were they losing	12 Q. Ah, okay. And can you explain what the issue is here?
13 money, DDOT?	13 A. We have 88,000 lights in our City with about 40,000
14 A. Yes.	that are working. We have a system that is so
15 Q. So it continued that perpetrated or perpetuated	outdated that even with new technology, you know, we
16 at least for that three-month period the same	16 we can't fix it. So there's got to be a huge
17 operation losing money?	investment into public lighting. It's something that
18 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.	we've been talking about for years and years. We have
19 A. We didn't see any improvement in efficiencies plus the	a plan to put in place to invest in a new lighting
20 fact they were still the same kind of complaints that	
	grid across the entire City and, once again, we
21 we were getting from the ridership and we felt that if	haven't moved the needle on that at all. We had a
there had been a management team in there sooner, we	22 Lighting Authority legislation was passed in December
23 could have probably made some improvements.	of 2012 and we had an opportunity I think to put some lights on in different parts of the City, but it
24 Q. Okay. And have there been improvements since MV was	
25 put in place as the manager?	lights on in different parts of the City, but ithasn't happened as I speak to you now.

	AYOR DAVE BING FY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN	
1	Page 81 Q. And do you know why it why things have been, in the	
2	words of Mr. Andrews, been slowed down?	
3	A. Once again, I would say to you, and this is more	
4	hearsay than anything else and this would be from	
5	MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation.	
6	A. What I hear is Lansing wants to take some credit for	
7	fixing the lighting system and they're trying to get	
8	the funding, 100 I think it's \$150 million they	
9	want to go to the bond market. That hasn't happened	
10	yet. So the investment that's necessary to put on	
11	lights and start to fix the system has taken much	
12	longer than any of us anticipated.	
13	Q. Now, at the time that Mr. Andrews wrote this email to	
14	you, he was still part of your team; right?	
15	A. Correct.	
16	Q. He was still the what was his title? Was it	
17	program manager director?	
18	A. Program director.	
19	Q. And you had asked him to write this email to you as	
20	part of his job duties?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. To inform you as to	
23	A. How things were going, yes.	
24	Q. And that's what this is? This is the email that he	
25	wrote while in the employed in the capacity of	
1	Page 82 program manager director in response to your request	-
2	that he do so?	
3	Δ That would be correct	

Page 83 Q. Going onto number 3, it says, similar issues surfaced 1 2 around the Lighting Authority. 3 Let me ask you. What's the difference 4 between the PLD and the Lighting Authority? 5 A. Lighting Authority is independent of PLD. The 6 Lighting Authority is more regional. We had had legislation passed and so those people on the 7 8 authority are not employees of the City, it's independent. 9 10 Q. And do they have -- do they deal with different --11 with lights in different parts of Detroit than PLD? 12 I'm not sure what the interplay between the two is. 13 A. No, it would be the exact same PLD, but see, with PLD, we don't control all the lighting in the City, DTE 14 15 controls probably at least 40 percent of the lights in 16 the City because they have upgraded and they have made 17 the necessary technology, investments in 40 percent of 18 lights in the City so their grid works, ours doesn't. 19 Q. DTE is what? 20 A. Detroit -- DTE, Detroit -- Detroit Edison. Q. Detroit Edison supplies the electricity or --22 A. PLD also has the ability to generate electricity, but 23 once again, it's such an old, outdated entity they've 24 not made any kind of investments in their system in 30 25 or 40 years, so a lot of the system is just broken, it Page 84

3 A. That would be correct. Q. And this was within the ordinary scope of his job 5 activities? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And you had asked him as part of his job to observe 8 and monitor what was going on in the City under the 9 direction of the Emergency Manager and report back to

10 you? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Now, Mr. Andrews writes in this -- and this is on both 13 points one and two, he writes, and I quote, "He" --14 the he there referring to Mr. Orr -- "He told me a 15 disaster at DDOT would not be a problem for him since 16 it would highlight how screwed up the City is." And 17 then similarly, if you look at number 2, Mr. Andrews 18 writes that the EM slowed the process here also and said the same thing, a disaster at PLD would not be a 19 20 bad thing because it would highlight how messed up the 21 City is. 22 Did you ever have any conversations with 23 Mr. Orr in which Mr. Orr conveyed the substance of 24 what is reported here by Mr. Andrews to you? 25 A. No.

7 A. Some of them are on the grid with DTE. 8 Q. Okay. And those are DTE's responsibility? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. And some are the responsibility of PLD? 11 A. That would be correct. 12 Q. And that's about how many? 13 A. That's probably around 55,000. 14 Q. And then are others the responsibility of the Lighting 15 Authority? 16 A. No, no. 17 Q. That's why I'm still a little unclear as to how the 18 Lighting Authority factors into this. 19 A. We went to the outside, because we thought that one of 20 the things we were thinking about doing was 21 outsourcing the responsibility of lighting the City of Detroit. We didn't think that we had the capacity or 22 23 the capability to do that internal so we were talking 24 to DTE as an alternative source, but we wanted to have 25 the Lighting Authority in place because DTE did not

can't even be fixed, you can't even get replacement

3 Q. Okay, just -- so you had indicated there were 88,000

6 Q. -- in Detroit? And some of those --

1

2

4

lights --

5 A. Correct.

Page 85 Page 87 1 want to make the necessary investment, so we had to do 1 -- once you've gone out and you've secured the bonds, 2 that through this Lighting Authority by issuing bonds. 2 you can use this tax to pay down the loan, and this 3 Q. Okay, so one option was to work with DTE, but that 3 Authority did not need the \$12.5 million in year one, didn't look like it was going to work so the Lighting 4 but he's -- I think he told me that Kevyn gave them 4 5 Authority is a regional authority and you were going 5 the \$12.5 million and his feeling was that they only 6 to like bring them in through the floating of bonds to 6 needed as a startup entity 2 to \$3 million. Why not 7 have them help take over and fix the lights in 7 use the rest of the money to put into other areas that 8 Detroit; is that it? 8 the City needs and I think that's what his -- what he 9 9 A. Yep, yep, yep. was referring to. 10 10 Q. And is there a name of this authority or is that a Q. Okay. In item 4 Mr. Andrews makes a number of -- I 11 particular name? 11 guess it's some general observations. One is ordering 12 A. No, Detroit Lighting Authority. 12 us not to coordinate with the consultants we hired to 13 Q. Just called the --13 help us. 14 A. Yeah. 14 Do you have an understanding as to what 15 Q. There you go. Works for me. 15 that's referring to? 16 Okay, and so what is -- can you explain the 16 A. Yeah, Kriss was told not to -- not to have any contact 17 issue that Mr. Andrews is writing about here in item 3 17 with the consultants and that the consultants that 18 when he says similar issues surfaced with the Lighting 18 were coming in were very inexperienced people, that 19 Authority? 19 had really no knowledge of Detroit and of municipal 20 A. We -- one of the big issues that we have is with our 20 government, so it really slowed the process down. 21 union employees, because as you start talking about 21 Q. And did Mr. Andrews tell you that he had been told not 22 outsourcing, in a lot of cases they may very well lose 22 to have contacts with the consultants? 23 23 a job, they're at risk, and as far as the lighting --A. Yes. 24 the lighting department is concerned, you're not 24 Q. And when did -- did he tell you that directive was 25 talking about a lot of people and there were 25 given? Page 86 Page 88 1 negotiations, I'm not involved in that, where those 1 A. Oh, that was given by Kevyn. I don't know the exact 2 timing of that. It had to be in the April/May time people who wanted to stay as City employees could be 2 3 transferred over to an outside third-party and 3 frame. 4 wouldn't lose their jobs. So a lot of those Q. And up to the point of that directive had Mr. Andrews 5 negotiations were going on, but what Kriss is saying 5 been having contact with the consultants? 6 is that Kevyn slowed that process down which kept us 6 A. Yes. 7 from moving forward to try to get the investment in 7 Q. And is that something you would know due to your 8 place and start to get lights on in the City. 8 supervision of Mr. Andrews? Q. And is that process still ongoing to where --9 A. Yes. 10 A. That's ongoing. 10 Q. And after that directive was given did Mr. Andrews 11 Q. And are people -- but it's just ongoing, as I think 11 continue to have contact with the consultants? 12 you had said, in a slower way than you had expected it 12 A. No. 13 would be given the work -- the groundwork that you had 13 Q. And then Mr. Andrews goes on to say, putting in place 14 done? 14 very inexperienced staff to control things. 15 15 A. That would be correct. Do you have an understanding as to what 16 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 16 Andrews was referring to there? 17 Q. And Mr. Andrews writes that they went to Kevyn and got 17 A. All the consultants. 18 a deal which forces the City to put in more money than 18 Q. Well, he's referring specifically to staff. Is they need and essentially saying a better deal than 19 19 that --20 20 A. Well, they -- they became staff. they were able to negotiate with the City without the 21 Q. Oh, okay. Anyone in particular? Emergency Manager. 21 22 Do you have an understanding as to what 22 A. It's a bunch of them.

Mr. Andrews is referring to here?

24 A. If I recall, there's a tax that's about \$12.5 million

a year that I think I recall that is utilized once the

23

25

Q. You mean these were people that Mr. Andrews -- Mr. Orr

brought in to take on positions in the City management

structure to replace people that you had previously

23

24

25

Page 89	Page 91
1 installed; is that right?	1 don't even have a line item in our budget for
2 A. That would be correct.	2 training. Somebody's got to get trained to do these
3 Q. And can you just give me you don't have to name	3 jobs on a long going basis.
4 names but give me some of the positions where you	4 Q. So when you said you made some reference to young
5 believe he put in people who are inexperienced or very	5 consultants that were brought in. Is it the case that
6 inexperienced.	6 the Emergency Manager has put in staff positions
7 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.	7 people who are actually consultants rather than
8 Which is it?	8 long-term employees of the City?
9 MR. ULLMAN: I think we'll go with very	9 A. Yes.
10 inexperienced.	10 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
11 A. He brought on a CFO from the outside to replace Jack	11 Q. And do you know the names or positions of those
12 and everybody said from day one he was not a good fit	12 people?
13 I believe he'll be relieved of his duties for other	13 A. No, I don't.
14 reasons this week. Kriss was replaced by Gary Brown,	14 Q. But that's at a lower level so you don't know the
15 who was a City Council City Councilman who has	15 specific names?
never run anything much less 11 different departments	16 A. Correct.
17 reporting to him. He was a police officer before he	17 Q. But it's your understanding that that's what's
18 became a City Councilman and he took Kriss' place.	18 happened?
19 Karla has not been replaced at all, Karla Henderson,	19 A. Correct. I get feedback from a lot of my department
who I think was one of our high profile leaders that	20 heads and directors that that's what's going on and
21 really did an outstanding job in blight elimination	21 they're frustrated as hell.
22 and planning for the City. She's not been replaced to	22 Q. And can you tell me who are some of these department
23 my knowledge. Only recently our director of	23 heads who are
24 purchasing has left and he has not been replaced. So	24 A. All of them.
1.25 a lot of the key people that they're taking out, what	125 O Mr Andrews also says that the Emergency Manager is 1
a lot of the key people that they're taking out, what	25 Q. Mr. Andrews also says that the Emergency Manager is
Page 90	Page 92
1 they're doing is putting in consultants in those	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at
1 they're doing is putting in consultants in those 2 positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862?
1 they're doing is putting in consultants in those 2 positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly 3 and just, once again, it's not efficient.	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that.
1 they're doing is putting in consultants in those 2 positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly 3 and just, once again, it's not efficient. 4 Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring
Page 90 they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there?
Page 90 they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin,	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring
Page 90 they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I
1 they're doing is putting in consultants in those 2 positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly 3 and just, once again, it's not efficient. 4 Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were 5 replaced who you didn't believe were good people or 6 experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, 7 as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was 8 replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all.
1 they're doing is putting in consultants in those 2 positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly 3 and just, once again, it's not efficient. 4 Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were 5 replaced who you didn't believe were good people or 6 experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, 7 as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was 8 replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out 9 and replaced by someone that you believe to be not	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all. Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring 7 the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I 8 have no contact with them at all. 9 Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews 10 was referring to?
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all. Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews was referring to? A. No, no, I don't.
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled.	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all. Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews was referring to? A. No, no, I don't. Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all. Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews was referring to? A. No, no, I don't. Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all. Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews was referring to? A. No, no, I don't. Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all. Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews was referring to? A. No, no, I don't. Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some of the other positions they've just put young	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring 7 the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I 8 have no contact with them at all. 9 Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews 10 was referring to? 11 A. No, no, I don't. 12 Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple 13 of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email 14 stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also 15 pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he 16 talks about focusing on outsourcing solid waste. Do
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some of the other positions they've just put young consultants in those positions. My big concern there	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring 7 the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I 8 have no contact with them at all. 9 Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews 10 was referring to? 11 A. No, no, I don't. 12 Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple 13 of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email 14 stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also 15 pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he 16 talks about focusing on outsourcing solid waste. Do 17 you have an understanding of what the issue is there?
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some of the other positions they've just put young consultants in those positions. My big concern there is at some point in time we will come out of	Page 92 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at the top of Bates page 862? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring to there? A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I have no contact with them at all. Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews was referring to? A. No, no, I don't. Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he talks about focusing on outsourcing solid waste. Do you have an understanding of what the issue is there? A. Yeah, trash is getting picked up, garbage and trash is
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some of the other positions they've just put young consultants in those positions. My big concern there is at some point in time we will come out of bankruptcy and if you don't have the people internally	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring 7 the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I 8 have no contact with them at all. 9 Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews 10 was referring to? 11 A. No, no, I don't. 12 Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple 13 of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email 14 stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also 15 pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he 16 talks about focusing on outsourcing solid waste. Do 17 you have an understanding of what the issue is there? 18 A. Yeah, trash is getting picked up, garbage and trash is 19 getting picked up. Maybe not as efficient as it
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some of the other positions they've just put young consultants in those positions. My big concern there is at some point in time we will come out of bankruptcy and if you don't have the people internally that know the system and you have all these	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring 7 the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I 8 have no contact with them at all. 9 Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews 10 was referring to? 11 A. No, no, I don't. 12 Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple 13 of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email 14 stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also 15 pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he 16 talks about focusing on outsourcing solid waste. Do 17 you have an understanding of what the issue is there? 18 A. Yeah, trash is getting picked up, garbage and trash is 19 getting picked up. Maybe not as efficient as it 20 should be, but it's not like it's not happening. You
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some of the other positions they've just put young consultants in those positions. My big concern there is at some point in time we will come out of bankruptcy and if you don't have the people internally that know the system and you have all these consultants doing the job that City employees ought to	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring 7 the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I 8 have no contact with them at all. 9 Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews 10 was referring to? 11 A. No, no, I don't. 12 Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple 13 of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email 14 stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also 15 pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he 16 talks about focusing on outsourcing solid waste. Do 17 you have an understanding of what the issue is there? 18 A. Yeah, trash is getting picked up, garbage and trash is 19 getting picked up. Maybe not as efficient as it 20 should be, but it's not like it's not happening. You 21 know, there may be a delay of several hours or maybe a
they're doing is putting in consultants in those positions and, you know, they're learning on the fly and just, once again, it's not efficient. Q. I think you mentioned specifically two people who were replaced who you didn't believe were good people or experienced people. You mentioned CFO, Jack Martin, as I recall, and then Kriss Andrews himself who was replaced by Gary Brown. Anyone else that was put out and replaced by someone that you believe to be not suited, not experienced enough for the job apart from those two? And put aside positions that are currently unfilled. A. No, those would be the two key along with Karla and Karla's just hasn't been replaced. They may be looking for a person for that, I don't know, but some of the other positions they've just put young consultants in those positions. My big concern there is at some point in time we will come out of bankruptcy and if you don't have the people internally that know the system and you have all these	Page 92 1 not listening to Conway MacKenzie. Do you see that at 2 the top of Bates page 862? 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what he was referring 5 to there? 6 A. No, I don't. Conway MacKenzie is the restructuring 7 the primary restructuring firm, but I'm you know, I 8 have no contact with them at all. 9 Q. So you don't know the specifics of what Mr. Andrews 10 was referring to? 11 A. No, no, I don't. 12 Q. Okay. Let me just go quickly through the last couple 13 of things. Mr. Andrews continues in this email 14 stating that the Emergency Manager and his team also 15 pursued wrong things and he gives a list. First he 16 talks about focusing on outsourcing solid waste. Do 17 you have an understanding of what the issue is there? 18 A. Yeah, trash is getting picked up, garbage and trash is 19 getting picked up. Maybe not as efficient as it 20 should be, but it's not like it's not happening. You 21 know, there may be a delay of several hours or maybe a

haven't developed anybody to run the City on a

day-to-day basis. That's my biggest concern. We

24

25

are the things that I focused on earlier.

25 Q. Mr. Andrews states in this paragraph that the

24

Page 93 announced savings of 15 million are ridiculous and he Page 95 1 the Planning Department and shift it over to DEGC, 1 2 says they don't really know what the savings are, if DEGC doesn't even want all of that, doesn't make -- we 2 3 3 there are any. don't think it makes a lot of good sense right now. 4 Do you see that? Do you have an 4 Q. Okay. And there's also the last point that 5 understanding of what's referred to there? 5 Mr. Andrews makes, number 3, is about putting a new 6 A. Yeah, I think you first got to know your internal 6 chief in place. I think he's suggesting it should be 7 costs and I think what Kriss is saying if you don't 7 an existing person as opposed to someone brought in 8 know your internal costs, how do you know that when 8 from the outside? 9 9 A. Too late. That's done. Traditionally -- historically you go out, without quoting other companies, that 10 10 you're going to save this money? And so, you know, I should say the police chief and the fire 11 that work had not been quoted out. 11 commissioner were always appointees selected by the 12 Q. I'm sorry, what work had not been quoted out? 12 Mayor. With the kind of problems that we've had from 13 A. Trash and garbage pickup. 13 a public safety standpoint and with the turnover of 14 Q. I'm -- I'm not -- I'm sorry, I'm not following. 14 police chiefs since I've been in office, they made a 15 A. Solid waste. 15 change so that the Mayor no longer selected the police 16 Q. It had not been quoted out. I thought there was an 16 chief. The police chief was selected by Lansing going 17 RFP that was put out for solid waste? 17 back -- actually he started July 1st, but they didn't 18 A. It may have been now, but before -- but I think he was 18 follow the process and we have a police commission 19 given information on this 15 million savings before 19 that purportedly has the responsibility of selecting 20 any information came back from the RFP. 20 and interviewing and they have a process of 21 Q. Oh, you're saying that there was an announcement that 21 identifying police chiefs. It didn't happen that way 22 there would be a savings of 15 million --22 with them. And I had no input into it at all and when 23 A. Right. 23 I found out that they were ready to name a police 24 Q. -- before the specifics of the RFP were in --24 chief and they showed me a couple names, they had no 25 A. Correct. 25 internal candidates at all and I went to Kevyn and Page 96 1 Q. -- compared so you could then compare with what the said, you know, you got to -- we've got almost 3,000 1 2 internal --2 police officers in the City of Detroit, you can't make 3 3 A. What the internal cost was, correct. 4 Q. Okay. And at that time were the internal costs -- had 4 has the capability and capacity to be considered and 5 5

6

7

8

13

14

15

25

they been tabulated, calculated?

6 A. I don't know the answer to that.

9

7 Q. Number 2 on this last list of Mr. Andrews is moving 8 PDD to DEGC.

Can you tell me what that refers to?

10 A. You got to learn the acronyms here. Planning and 11 development and DEGC is Detroit Economic Growth 12 Corporation, and you know you got two functions that 13 do planning for the City of Detroit. DEGC is a little 14 different. They're basically about new business 15 coming into town and they're more growth oriented than 16 anything else. They don't get into the nitty-gritty 17 of managing what happens in city departments on a 18

day-to-day basis. We don't think, meaning my

19 administration, don't think that that's a good use of

20 the skill sets that we have in the two departments.

21 There may be some things and we've even heard from

22 HUD, which is a big supporter of our Planning

23 Department, there are things that we can't transfer to

24 DEGC. And so when people just with blinders on

25 saying, you know, take all the responsibilities from

me believe that we don't have somebody internally who at the 11th hour they did interview two internal candidates but the reality is that the die was cast. The guy who they selected is the guy that's here now from Cincinnati.

9 Q. And then lastly, if you look at the second to the last 10 paragraph in this email, Mr. Andrews makes reference 11 to a gag order or gag orders from Kevyn, which he says 12 only support the very poor reporting.

Do you have an understanding as to what he's referring to when he uses the phrase gag orders from Kevyn?

16 A. I think anytime -- we got a different kind of press

17 here. I don't know. Are you from here?

18 Q. I'm from New York.

19 A. Okay, our press may be worse than New York press.

20 Q. That's a matter of opinion.

21 A. Having said that, having said that, the negative 22 stories about Detroit is pretty rampant and you know,

23 I guess things happen internally that you would hope

24 would maybe stay inside, but our press does a pretty

good job of digging and so when something happens

	TOT BETTOTT, WHOTHO, III	07 100
1	Page 97 internally and the press gets ahold of it, I think	Page 99 1 Q. And earlier you had mentioned Treasurer Andy Dillon.
2	what Kevyn is saying, you know, there must be a leak	2 Did you have any discussions with him about the
3	somewhere so, you know, we don't we want to make	3 Emergency Manager?
4	sure that that stops, we don't need to read about some	4 A. Not as much. Rich seemed to have taken the lead on
5	of the things that are being discussed internally,	5 that. I think the Treasurer was more involved in what
6	etc., etc., so I'm putting a gag order out and	6 was happening in Detroit in 2012 as opposed to 2013.
7	anybody if I find out that you are the leak, then	7 I've not seen a lot of him in 2013.
8	I'm going to have to deal with you appropriately.	8 Q. But did you have any discussion about
9		9 A. No, no with Andy, no.
	Q. Okay. And then actually as I see in the email above	-
10	this Mr. Andrews says, we need to talk, we need to	10 Q. Did you have any discussions with him about Detroit's
11	plan this communication well, how do we get out a	11 pension issues?
12	message that helps matters.	12 A. With Andy, no.
13	Do you know what he was referring to by	13 Q. Okay. And how about Governor Snyder? Have you had
14	planning this communication well?	any discussions with him about the Emergency Manager?
15	A. I'm not 100 percent sure on that, but it's one of the	15 A. Just once.
16	things that we talk about internally a lot. You know,	16 Q. And when was that?
17	I have an administration that have accomplished a lot	17 A. That was before I went to DC to meet Kevyn.
18	of things and because the focus is always on the	18 Q. And what was the substance of that conversation, if
19	negative things that are happening, we're trying to	19 you remember?
20	figure out there are some good stories. I mean,	20 A. That they think that they found the right guy.
21	even yesterday with 60 Minutes, I guess, it was all	21 Q. How long was the conversation?
22	pretty negative about the City. It's the same thing	22 A. Short conversation.
23	over and over and over. Nobody talks about some of	23 Q. Did you say anything back or was it him simply
24	the positive things that are going on and I think in	24 informing you that
25	deference to staff, I want people to understand that	25 A. Just informing me.
	Page 98	Page 100
1	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about
1 2	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy?
1 2 3	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No.
1 2 3 4	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the
1 2 3	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues?
1 2 3 4	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues?
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.)	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the 17 bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief. How many discussions did you have with	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the 17 bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were 18 negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the 17 bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were 18 negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions 19 with regard to certain tentative agreements?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief. How many discussions did you have with	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the 17 bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were 18 negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief. How many discussions did you have with Mr. Baird about the Emergency Manager; do you recall?	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about 2 the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the 5 City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the 17 bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were 18 negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions 19 with regard to certain tentative agreements?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief. How many discussions did you have with Mr. Baird about the Emergency Manager; do you recall? A. No more than two.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions with regard to certain tentative agreements? 20 A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief. How many discussions did you have with Mr. Baird about the Emergency Manager; do you recall? A. No more than two. Q. And when was the last one?	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions with regard to certain tentative agreements? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Were you involved in those negotiations?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 98 they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief. How many discussions did you have with Mr. Baird about the Emergency Manager; do you recall? A. No more than two. Q. And when was the last one? A. I think after after I met with Kevyn.	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions with regard to certain tentative agreements? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Were you involved in those negotiations with your unions actually did result in tentative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	they've accomplished a lot and so we wanted I think Kriss and Bob wanted to make sure that our press understood that there were good things, that we had accomplished things, etc., etc. It's not all about the Emergency Manager coming in and now things start to happen. It's about things were already happening. MR. ULLMAN: Okay, I have no further questions at this time. I will pass the witness. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record at 12:49. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 12:52. Go ahead. EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLISON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. I just have a few questions so I'll be very brief. How many discussions did you have with Mr. Baird about the Emergency Manager; do you recall? A. No more than two. Q. And when was the last one? A. I think after after I met with Kevyn. Q. So that would have been in the February or March time	Page 100 1 Q. Did you have any discussions with the Governor about the possibility of filing for bankruptcy? 3 A. No. 4 Q. And did you have any discussions with him about the City's pension issues? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ELLISON: That's all I have for the witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. LEVINE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Sharon Levine, Lowenstein Sandler, for AFSCME. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Just a couple more questions. 16 Prior to going back 18 months before the bankruptcy filing, are you aware that there were negotiations with the City and a coalition of unions with regard to certain tentative agreements? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Were you involved in those negotiations with

Page 104

MAYOR DAVE BING CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN

Page 101 finish? 1 A. Yes. 1 2 Q. And is it your understanding that those tentative 2 A. Kriss and Jack Martin would have been the two guys, 3 agreements were ratified by the unions? 3 the CFO and the COO would have been the guys that were 4 heading that up, and I would think HR guy had to be 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Were those -- and was it your understanding that those 5 involved in that who's no longer here, Patrick Aquart, 6 tentative agreements would have resulted in savings 6 and then our labor person would have been involved in 7 for the City? 7 that, and they reported to either Jack or Kriss. 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. To your knowledge did those meetings result in 9 Q. Were the tentative agreements -- were the tentative 9 tentative agreements or any agreements with the 10 agreements ever implemented by the City? 10 unions? 11 A. No. 11 A. Not to my knowledge. 12 Q. Do you know why? 12 Q. Why did those -- did those discussions come to a halt? 13 A. They were rejected by the Treasurer, Andy Dillon. 13 A. I believe they did, once the determination was made 14 Q. After the rejection of the tentative agreements did 14 that an Emergency Manager was imminent. 15 there come a point in time where you were involved in 15 Q. Following the appointment of the Emergency Manager, 16 further negotiations with your unions with regard to 16 were you -- are you aware of any further discussions 17 concessions, specifically including meetings with 17 with your unions or coalition of unions before the 18 Ernst & Young? 18 filing of the Chapter 9 case? 19 A. I wasn't actually involved in any of that so I'm not 19 A. I'm sure there were ongoing meetings, but I've not 20 100 percent sure what other meetings occurred after we 20 been involved in any of them because that was under 21 didn't get the tentative agreements implemented. 21 the purview of the Emergency Manager. 22 Q. Were there meetings -- were you aware of meetings 22 Q. How are you sure that there were ongoing meetings if 23 23 between various union representatives and E&Y or you weren't involved? 24 Ernst & Young? 24 A. Just conversations, you hear conversation, people let 25 25 A. Yes. you know what's going on. Page 102 1 Q. When did those occur? Q. So what -- with whom did you have a conversation that indicated to you that there were ongoing meetings with 2 A. Those would have been late 2012 and maybe the first 2 3 3 the coalition of unions after the appointment of the quarter of '13. 4 Q. And who was present at those meetings on behalf of the 4 **Emergency Manager?** 5 5 A. Jack or Kriss. 6 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation. Q. And when did those meetings take place? 7 Q. Are you aware who was in attendance at those meetings 7 A. Once again, it was sometime in the first quarter of 8 on behalf of the City? 8 '13. I don't know that there were ongoing meetings. 9 A. That would have been our top labor guy, I don't know 9 Once Kevyn got here I do think there were still 10 if he was by himself. I don't know if Kriss was still 10 meetings, but like I said, I'm not involved in that at 11 involved in it, Andrews. I'm not sure from the City's 11 all anymore. 12 perspective who all may have been there. 12 Q. So while you were in control, there were negotiations 13 Q. But these took place before the Emergency Manager was 13 with the coalition of unions that resulted in a TA 14 appointed in March of 2013; correct? 14 where the unions ratified those TAs and those were not 15 A. Correct. 15 implemented because Mr. Baird declined to implement 16 Q. And these were done under -- although you weren't 16 them; is that your understanding? 17 physically there, they were done under your 17 A. Not --

24 Q. In other words, they weren't done --

that correct?

23 A. Yes, Kriss --

21 A. No, they reported to Kriss.

supervision and control and the people who were

involved in those conversations reported to you; is

22 Q. To Kriss Andrews and Kriss Andrews reported to you?

MR. CULLEN: Could you let the witness

18

19

20

25

MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.

After the appointment of Emergency Manager

you're not sure what meetings took place, although you

did hear around the halls that some meetings were

A. Not Mr. Baird. That was the Treasurer, Andy Dillon.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 A. Yes.

Q. Andy Dillon, okay.

ongoing?

MAYOR DAVE BING CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN

- Page 105 1 Q. Before the Emergency Manager was appointed were you involved in budgeting for the City? 2 A. At a very high level. Not so much in budgeting. I 4 mean, the budget director --5 Q. Who was responsible -- and did the budget director 6 report to you?
- 7 A. No, he reported to the CFO.
- Q. And did the CFO report to you?
- A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Okay, since the appointment of the Emergency Manager do you know who's involved in budgeting for the City? 11
- 12 A. Brent Hartzell. Brent Hartzell. H-A-R-T-Z-E-L-L.
- 13 He's the budgeting director.
- 14 Q. And to whom does he report?
- 15 A. He reported directly to the new CFO, the guy that I
- 16 don't think's going to be here after this week,
- 17 Jim Bonsall.
- 18 Q. And does he report to you?
- 19 A. I've never seen an org chart. I've asked for it on
- 20 several occasions and I've never seen one.
- 21 Q. So you're not sure what the reporting org chart would
- 22 be after the appointment of the Emergency Manager?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. Do you know whether or not any of the consultants
- 25 retained by the financial manager are involved in the

- Page 107 restructuring standpoint. Maybe Ernst & Young from a
- 2 financial standpoint.
- 3 Q. But that's not the -- that's not the -- the line of
 - folks we just discussed with regard to budgeting?
- 5 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
- 6 A. I'm not sure your question.
- Q. Before the Emergency Manager was appointed when you
- 8 did budgeting, did you look at things in your budget
- 9 like what, for example, you would spend on solid
- 10 waste?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

4

- 12 Q. And did you consider in the budget whether or not
- 13 there were ways to save costs with things such as
- 14 solid waste?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay, and one of the things that you talked about
- 17 earlier was whether or not you could save money if you
- 18 outsourced? Without the City would save money by
- 19 outsourcing various function such as solid waste;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Correct.

24

6

11

- 22 Q. And one of the concerns you had was it appeared people
- 23 were reaching conclusions with regards to numbers
 - about those savings without having gone through an RFP
- 25 process first: is that correct?

Page 106

- budgeting functions?
- 2 A. I'm sure they are.
- 3 Q. But you're not involved in those meetings?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And you don't get reports from those meetings?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You discussed earlier a conversation that you had with
- 8 Kriss around outsourcing. I believe that was with
- 9 regard to solid waste; is that correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And I believe you testified that one of the concerns
- 12 you had was that there was an estimated savings from 13 outsourcing that had been announced before RFPs had
- gone out and the actual numbers had come in; is that 14
- 15 correct?
- 16 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
- 17 A. Maybe not before the proposals went out, but before 18 they came back in I think that number of 15 million
- 19 was out there.
- 20 Q. Since the appointment of the Emergency Manager, is
- 21 there somebody who's specifically looking at whether 22 or not outsourcing specific City functions would save
- 23 money for the City?
- 24 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.
- 25 A. I think that would be Conway MacKenzie from a

- 1 A. That would be correct.
- 2 Q. Okay. My question to you is who's the point person
- 3 now under the Emergency Manager who was looking at
- 4 these outsourcing issues?
- A. I would assume it's somebody from Ernst & Young and
 - somebody from Conway MacKenzie.
- 7 Q. Do you have any -- have you had any conversations with
- that person? 8
- 9 A. Neither, neither organization.
- 10 Q. From the period from November 2012 through March of
 - 2013 did you have any discussions with anybody from
- Lansing with regard to the ability to restructure 12
- 13 Detroit without the need to appoint an Emergency
- 14 Manager or an Emergency Financial Manager?
- 15 A. I think I made it clear to all of those that we were
- 16 in contact in Lansing that that was not the direction
- 17 that I supported.
- 18 Q. And did you -- did you have an opportunity to discuss
- 19 with the folks in Lansing your particular ideas with
- 20 regard to how to restructure or rehabilitate Detroit?
- 21 A. Yes, they had -- they had what we would call a -- we 22 gave them a lot of information in terms of department
- 23 by department what we thought we needed to do to
- 24 either create savings or generate some revenue from a
- 25 reorganization standpoint.

		_
1	Page 10 Q. During the course of those discussions did you ever)9
2	have conversations with anybody in Lansing about the	
3	prospect of filing a Chapter 9 without appointing an	
4	Emergency Manager?	
5	A. No.	
6	Q. Did your plan or plans or any of the issues you	
7	discussed include modifying vested pension benefits?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. With whom did you have discussions with regard to	
10	modifying vested pensions?	
11	A. I had personally no discussion. I think the COO and	
12	the CFO had those discussions, I believe probably wit	h
13	Andy.	
14	Q. Was there any discussion to your knowledge of how	to
15	implement a change to vested pension benefits given	
16	the Michigan State Constitution?	
17	A. No.	
18	Q. Did your plan or the plans that were adopted by you	
19	include privatization?	
20	A. Of?	
21	Q. Anything.	
22	A. I think we looked at privatization, yes. I mean, we	
23	just talked about the DDOT, we just talked about PLD,	,
24	as two.	
25	Q. So in connection with outsourcing or privatization did	
_	Page 11	10
1	your plan include a process for evaluating or valuing	
2	whether or not there really truly would be savings to	
3	the City as a result of that job loss?	
4	A. Yes, that was done through the purchasing departmen	
5	Q. And what was your process for evaluating outsourcing	?
6	A. I can't tell you the process.	
7	O D . HILL II DED I .	
	Q. But did it include getting RFPs before you announced	
8	what the purported savings would be?	
9	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes.	
9 10	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets?	
9 10 11	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some.	
9 10 11 12	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel?	
9 10 11 12 13	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct.	
9 10 11 12 13 14	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again. A. Correct.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again. A. Correct. Q. Did your plan include a loss of City jobs?	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again. A. Correct. Q. Did your plan include a loss of City jobs? A. Yes.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again. A. Correct. Q. Did your plan include a loss of City jobs? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall how many?	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again. A. Correct. Q. Did your plan include a loss of City jobs? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall how many? A. I don't we I think it was a number of 1,500 jobs	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again. A. Correct. Q. Did your plan include a loss of City jobs? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall how many? A. I don't we I think it was a number of 1,500 jobs in total.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what the purported savings would be? A. Yes, yes. Q. Did your plan include the sale of assets? A. Some. Q. And you discussed them previously with counsel? A. Correct. Q. So I won't do that again. A. Correct. Q. Did your plan include a loss of City jobs? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall how many? A. I don't we I think it was a number of 1,500 jobs	

Page 111 insurance to cover certain otherwise provided pension 1 2 benefits that are now lost? 3 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form, 4 asks for a legal conclusion. A. I wouldn't know the answer to that. 6 Q. I'm asking your understanding. I'm going to try 7 again. 8 Do you understand that in a Chapter 11 9 corporate case if there's a defined pension benefit 10 plan that's terminated, the PBGC provides federal 11 insurance protection for the pension beneficiaries? 12 MR. CULLEN: Why don't you just ask him the 13 foundation question whether he has any understanding 14 about that whatsoever? 15 MS. LEVINE: I did. That's the start of 16 the question is -- is it his understanding. 17 MR. CULLEN: Well, that's not the rest of 18 the question, but I'll object to the form and the 19 foundation and you can address the question. 20 A. You have to ask me the question again I think. 21 Q. If the pension is terminated -- if Detroit's pension 22 is terminated, is there any federal program that 23 provides pension benefits for the retirees who have 24 now lost their benefits? 25 A. Not to my knowledge. Page 112 1 Q. In a Chapter 11 case or in a bankruptcy case that doesn't involve a municipality, is there a federal 2 3 program that provides benefits to pension 4 beneficiaries who've lost their benefit from a private 5 pension? 6 MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form. 7 A. I wouldn't know the answer to that. 8 Q. In the plans that you discussed with Lansing what was 9 your understanding of how retirees were going to live 10 post restructuring if pension benefits were going to be cut? 11 12 A. Never had that conversation.

- 13 Q. Did you have any input into the retention of

14 restructuring counsel for the City?

- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. How did you learn that Jones Day was retained as the
- 17 City's restructuring counsel?
- 18 A. There was a meeting in the airport in the December
- 19 time frame of 2012. Representing the City was
- 20 Kriss Andrews and Jack Martin and they're the ones
- that made me aware. 21
- 22 Q. Since November of 2012 have you had any conversations
- with House Speaker Bolger with regard to Detroit's 23
- 24 financial issues?
- 25 A. No.

24

25

23 Q. Do you understand that in a Chapter 11 corporate case

if a pension is terminated, the PBGC or the Pension

Benefit Guaranty Corp, provides federally provided

OI.		OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN		113–116
	_	Page 113		Page 115
1		Any conversations with Randy Richardville?		3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
2	A.	I think I was up in Lansing and at that time it was	2	I've met with most of our foundations and I think
3		really trying to get the legislature to vote and pass	3	because of that we've gotten the kind of support we've
4	some legislation for the Lighting Authority and the		4	gotten.
5	,		5	Q. Have you continued to have those discussions since the
6	Q.	And what were those conversations that you had with	6	appointment of the Emergency Manager?
7	A.	We needed them to support it, because we were in dire	7	A. Yes. For the record let me be specific about that.
8		need of both.	8	MR. CULLEN: Always a bad idea, but go
9	Q.	Did they agree to support it?	9	ahead.
10	A.	They did. The legislation was passed in December.	10	A. You know, I've been able to raise I raised
11	Q.	Did that provide State assistance?	11	\$8 million from our corporate community to assist us
12	A.	It's supposed to. That hasn't happened yet.	12	with 100 police vehicles, with 23 brand-new fleet of
13	Q.	What's your understanding why that hasn't happened	13	EMS vehicles. From the corporate and foundation
14		yet?	14	community, I've been able to generate \$14 million to
15	A.	They had to get the Authorities' board together and	15	keep our recreation and parks open. So and that's
16		they've been working on that for a long time for both	16	been ongoing. So all of this was before the Emergency
17		authorities, but I think they're both in play right	17	Manager and since the Emergency Manager I've continued
18		now and they have both chosen the leadership for the	18	to do that and will continue.
19		Regional Authority for Transportation as well as for	19	MS. LEVINE: If I can confer for a second.
20		the Lighting Authority.	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record,
21	Q.	Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did	21	1:14.
22		you have any involvement to trying to get access to	22	(A brief recess was taken.)
23		federal assistance for Detroit?	23	
24	A.	Absolutely.	24	
25	Q.	Since the appointment of the Emergency Manager do you	25	MS. LEVINE: Thank you. Just a couple more
1		,,		
			-	
1		Page 114 continue to have involvement in trying to get federal	1	Page 116
1 2		continue to have involvement in trying to get federal	1 2	questions. Page 116
2	Α.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit?	2	questions. BY MS. LEVINE:
		continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely.	3	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting
2 3 4		continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the	2 3 4	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having
2 3 4 5	Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager?	2 3 4 5	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the	2 3 4 5 6	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration.	2 3 4 5 6 7	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor. Have you continued those discussions post the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor. Have you continued those discussions post the appointment of the Emergency Manager?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City? A. Yes. Q. When were they retained?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor. Have you continued those discussions post the appointment of the Emergency Manager? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City? A. Yes. Q. When were they retained? A. I think they may have been retained back in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor. Have you continued those discussions post the appointment of the Emergency Manager? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City? A. Yes. Q. When were they retained? A. I think they may have been retained back in the December/January time frame.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor. Have you continued those discussions post the appointment of the Emergency Manager? No. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions with anybody about accessing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City? A. Yes. Q. When were they retained? A. I think they may have been retained back in the December/January time frame. Q. Were they retained as a restructuring professional?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor. Have you continued those discussions post the appointment of the Emergency Manager? No. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions with anybody about accessing private or not-for-profit assistance to help with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City? A. Yes. Q. When were they retained? A. I think they may have been retained back in the December/January time frame. Q. Were they retained as a restructuring professional? A. I think they were as the bank the corporate bank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	continue to have involvement in trying to get federal assistance for Detroit? Absolutely. Who were you talking to before the appointment of the Emergency Manager? Three to four of the different secretaries under the Obama administration. And who have you been talking to since the appointment of the Emergency Manager? The same ones, except now there's a new department, there's a new Secretary of Transportation. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions other than what we've just been talking about with anybody in Lansing with regard to assistance for Detroit? Yes. We have talked I mean, I've had ongoing conversations with the Treasurer as well as the Governor. Have you continued those discussions post the appointment of the Emergency Manager? No. Prior to the appointment of the Emergency Manager did you have any discussions with anybody about accessing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions. BY MS. LEVINE: Q. We've had some discussion with regard to quoting potential savings from outsourcing without RFPs having gone out. To your knowledge as we sit here today have RFPs have any RFPs gone out and come back? A. Not to not to my knowledge. I'm not involved in it anymore and I know there's a concern from our purchasing department that the process isn't being they got a process that's not being followed. Q. And as we sit here today, is it your understanding that that concern persists? A. Yes. Q. And that's part of the discussion we had earlier where you just hear things in the hall? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that Miller Buckfire has been retained by the City? A. Yes. Q. When were they retained? A. I think they may have been retained back in the December/January time frame. Q. Were they retained as a restructuring professional? A. I think they were as the bank the corporate bank

CH	A OF DE IT	ROIT, MICHIGAN		117–120
	0 5:1 : 1:	Page 117		Page 119
1	Q. Did you hi	re tnem?	1	administrations in my in my perspective a lot of
	A. No.Q. Who retain	and them?	3	that should have been written off a long time ago but
3				they've been carrying it on books and I just think that's the wrong approach.
4		nce again, most of these companies were	4	
5	-	y were being pressed by the we were	5 6	Q. Under your administration were how many how much did you write-off in what you believe to be
6	•	the State to my understanding, the State	7	uncollected taxes?
7		input into the selection process and in		
8		s where the City has a responsibility for of the fees, you know, I've always had a	9	A. I'm not sure of that. I would have to get with the CFO.
9		at I was not at the table to participate in	10	Q. Do you have an approximate number?
11	the selection		11	A. No, I don't.
12		ay part of the fees for Miller Buckfire?	12	MS. LEVINE: I don't have anything further.
13	A. Yes.	by part of the lees for Miller Bucklife!	13	Thank you.
14		State pay part of the fees for Miller	14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	Buckfire?	State pay part of the fees for Miller	15	MR. GREEN: No, I don't have any questions.
16	A. Yes.		16	MR. CULLEN: We don't need the Pistons
17		NERD Fund pay part of the fees for Miller	17	question on the record?
18	Buckfire?	NETED I did pay part of the lees for Miller	18	MR. ESSAD: No.
19	A. I wouldn't	know that	19	MR. CULLEN: Thank you very much.
20		ave a copy of Miller Buckfire's retention or	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This completes the
21	engageme		21	deposition. We're off the record, 1:22.
22		ink we have that. I don't I don't have	22	(Deposition concluded at 1:22 p.m.)
23		y, but I would think we do in the purchase	23	* * *
24	-	t and maybe in the law department.	24	
	aoparamon	-	25	
レンカー	MS	TEANNE, MAR MOUNT LEADINGS & COUNTY		
25	MS.	LEVINE: We would request a copy of	23	
		Page 118 know that there's been a lot of		Page 120
1 2	that letter. I l	Page 118 cnow that there's been a lot of	1	State of Michigan)
1	that letter. I I	Page 118	1 2	State of Michigan) County of Genesee)
1 2	that letter. I I	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of hat have been produced but we didn't happen	1 2 3	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public
1 2 3	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of hat have been produced but we didn't happen	1 2 3 4	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and
1 2 3 4	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific	1 2 3	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this
1 2 3 4 5	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific GREEN: And if I may add the 2012	1 2 3 4 5	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case.
1 2 3 4 5 6	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific GREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well.	1 2 3 4 5 6	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific GREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. MR. MR.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific BREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I hey were initially engaged the prior year. the two engagement letters.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. MR. MR.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific GREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I hey were initially engaged the prior year. e two engagement letters. MOSS: Please put that in a letter so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me we make suit a look.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific GREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I hey were initially engaged the prior year. e two engagement letters. MOSS: Please put that in a letter so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me we make sur a look. MS. L	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific RREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. the two engagement letters. HOSS: Please put that in a letter so re we get it part of the record. We'll take	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me we make sur a look. MS. L	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific BREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. The two engagement letters. THOSS: Please put that in a letter so the we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any the letters or contracts with Miller Buckfire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Mower make sure a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll cla	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific BREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. The two engagement letters. THOSS: Please put that in a letter so the we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any the letters or contracts with Miller Buckfire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me we make sure a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll cla Q. During the Me.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific GREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. It is to engagement letters. MOSS: Please put that in a letter so re we get it part of the record. We'll take set we get it part of the record. We'll take tetters or contracts with Miller Buckfire rify that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make surface a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll claign. Q. During the Me made a result of the made a result of t	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific REEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. the two engagement letters. HOSS: Please put that in a letter so re we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any teletters or contracts with Miller Buckfire rify that. deposition last week with Treasurer Dillon	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make surface a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll claign. Q. During the Me made a result of the made a result of t	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific BREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. the two engagement letters. MOSS: Please put that in a letter so are we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any at letters or contracts with Miller Buckfire rify that. deposition last week with Treasurer Dillon reference to a report with regard to certain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make sure a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll claed. Q. During the he made a retax write-offs with that?	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific BREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. the two engagement letters. MOSS: Please put that in a letter so are we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any at letters or contracts with Miller Buckfire rify that. deposition last week with Treasurer Dillon reference to a report with regard to certain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make sure a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll claed. Q. During the made a retax write-offs with that? A. No, I'm not.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific REEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. In the two engagement letters. INOSS: Please put that in a letter so have we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any he letters or contracts with Miller Buckfire herify that. It deposition last week with Treasurer Dillon hereference to a report with regard to certain her sor uncollected taxes. Are you familiar	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013. Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267 Certified Realtime Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make surface a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll clae. Q. During the Me made a retax write-offs with that? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you fan	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific BREEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. It wo engagement letters. INOSS: Please put that in a letter so re we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any letters or contracts with Miller Buckfire rify that. It deposition last week with Treasurer Dillon reference to a report with regard to certain is or uncollected taxes. Are you familiar	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013. Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make surface a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll clae. Q. During the Me made a retax write-offs with that? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you fan	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific REEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. In two engagement letters. INOSS: Please put that in a letter so are we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any all eletters or contracts with Miller Buckfire arify that. Indeposition last week with Treasurer Dillon afterence to a report with regard to certain as or uncollected taxes. Are you familiar Not specifically. Initiar with any issue with regard to write-offs where the taxes could have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013. Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267 Certified Realtime Reporter Registered Merit Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make sure a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll claed. Q. During the he made a retax write-offs with that? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you fan potential tax been collected.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific REEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. In two engagement letters. INOSS: Please put that in a letter so are we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any all eletters or contracts with Miller Buckfire arify that. Indeposition last week with Treasurer Dillon afterence to a report with regard to certain as or uncollected taxes. Are you familiar Not specifically. Initiar with any issue with regard to write-offs where the taxes could have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013. Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267 Certified Realtime Reporter Registered Merit Reporter Certified LiveNote Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make sure a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll clae. Q. During the he made a retax write-offs with that? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you fan potential tax been collected.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific REEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. the two engagement letters. MOSS: Please put that in a letter so re we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any teletters or contracts with Miller Buckfire rify that. deposition last week with Treasurer Dillon telerence to a report with regard to certain to or uncollected taxes. Are you familiar Not specifically. Initiar with any issue with regard to write-offs where the taxes could have add?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013. Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267 Certified Realtime Reporter Registered Merit Reporter Certified LiveNote Reporter Certified Shorthand Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that letter. I I documents the to see what in request. MR. Gengagement understand the There may be MR. Me make sure a look. MS. Lengagement and we'll clae. Q. During the he made a retax write-offs with that? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you fan potential tax been collected. MR. G. A. No, I'm not.	Page 118 know that there's been a lot of nat have been produced but we didn't happen in there so we would make that specific REEN: And if I may add the 2012 letter from Miller Buckfire as well. I ney were initially engaged the prior year. In two engagement letters. IOSS: Please put that in a letter so have we get it part of the record. We'll take LEVINE: So the request will be for any helters or contracts with Miller Buckfire herify that. Ideposition last week with Treasurer Dillon hereference to a report with regard to certain her or uncollected taxes. Are you familiar Not specifically. Iniliar with any issue with regard to have hed? CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	State of Michigan) County of Genesee) Certificate of Notary Public I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this case. I also certify that prior to taking this deposition, the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the matter. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of October, 2013. Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267 Certified Realtime Reporter Registered Merit Reporter Certified LiveNote Reporter Certified Shorthand Reporter Notary Public, Genesee, Michigan

	Page 121	Τ		Page 123
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	. agee
2		2		
3	Our Assignment No. 19355	3	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
4	Case Caption: In re City of Detroit, Michigan	4		
5		5	Reason for change:	
6	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY	6	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
7		7		
8	I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read	8		
9	the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the	9	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
10	captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the	10		
11	same is true and accurate, save and except for changes	11	Reason for change:	
12	and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the	12	Page No. Line No. Change to:	
13	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that	13		
14	I offer these changes as if still under oath.	14	Reason for change:	
15	Signed on the day of, 20	15	Page No. Line No. Change to:	
	Signed on the, zu, zu	16		
16	MAYOD DAVID DING	1	Page for the state of	
17	MAYOR DAVE BING	17	Reason for change:	
18		18	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
19		19		
20		20	Reason for change:	
21		21	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
22		22		
23		23	Reason for change:	
24		24	SIGNATURE:DATE:	
25		25	MAYOR DAVE BING	
	Page 122			
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET			
2				
3	Page NoLine NoChange to:			
4				
5	Reason for change:			
6	Page NoLine NoChange to:			
7				
8	Reason for change:			
9	Page No. Line No. Change to:			
10				
11	Reason for change:			
12	Page NoLine NoChange to:			
13	3 3			
14	Reason for change:			
15	Page NoLine NoChange to:			
16	rage nomile noandige co			
17	Reason for change:			
	Page No. Line No. Change to:			
18 19				
	Descen for charge:			
20	Reason for change:			
21	Page NoLine NoChange to:			
22				
23	Reason for change:			
24	SIGNATURE:DATE:			
25	MAYOR DAVE BING			