UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

) Re: Docket No. 1341
)
Debtor.)) Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,) Case No. 13-53846
In re) Chapter 9

LIMITED OBJECTION OF SYNCORA GUARANTEE INC. AND SYNCORA CAPITAL ASSURANCE INC. TO DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC LIGHTING AUTHORITY TRANSACTION

Syncora Guarantee Inc. and Syncora Capital Assurance Inc. (collectively, "<u>Syncora</u>") file this limited objection to *Debtor's Motion for Entry of an Order* (*I*) *Authorizing the Debtor to Enter Into and Perform Under Certain Transaction* Documents with the Public Lighting Authority and (II) Granting Other Related Relief [Docket No. 1341] (the "<u>Motion</u>"). In support of this limited objection, Syncora respectfully states as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. Well before the $City^1$ filed its bankruptcy petition, it embraced the idea that Chapter 9, first and foremost, is a public revitalization process — not a process to allow the City to provide *essential services* while *minimizing creditor*

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the Motion.

loss, as legislative history and case law dictate.² Indeed, in Jones Day's very first pitch to the City to become its legal counsel, it explained how it intended to transform the Chapter 9 *debt adjustment process*³ into a public revitalization process that is subsidized by cuts to creditor recoveries:

- "[A]ny [C]hapter 9 process should pursue as many revitalization initiatives as possible."⁴
- "[A]s the City gains access to new revenues, it must develop an approach that preserves those revenues for reinvestment in the City and not just to pay off preexisting debts."⁵
- The City should "defend against approaches that focus on expense reduction and monetizing assets to pay creditors."⁶

- ³ See In re Addison Cmty. Hosp. Auth., 175 B.R. 646, 648–51 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1994) (describing Chapter 9 as a "debt adjustment process" that allows "municipalities to continue in existence" pursuant to a confirmable plan of adjustment that is "fair, equitable, and feasible, and does not discriminate unfairly in favor of any creditor or class of creditors").
- ⁴ Presentation to the City of Detroit, 57 (Jan. 29, 2013), attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 1</u>.
- ⁵ *Id.* at 54.
- ⁶ *Id.* at 27.

See H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, at 263 (1977) ("[T]he primary purpose of Chapter 9 is to allow the municipal unit to continue operating while it adjusts or refinances creditor claims with minimum (and in many cases, no) loss to its creditors."); H.R. Rep. No. 94-686, at 524 (1975) (same); *Fano v. Newport Heights Irrigation Dist.*, 114 F.2d 563, 564–66 (9th Cir. 1940) (holding that a Chapter 9 debtor's "top-heavy and extravagant" infrastructure spending "of at least twice the sheer necessity of the situation" to be subsidized by cuts to creditor recoveries rendered plan of adjustment non-confirmable because "it would be highly unjust to allocate their cost to the bondholders" and such plan treatment was neither fair and equitable nor in the best interest of creditors).

• The Chapter 9 process should be used "to address as many additional items as possible, not just the core debt readjustment issues in a Plan of Adjustment."⁷

The City's philosophical approach to this case conflicts with the way Congress intended Chapter 9 to operate and with the standards set forth therein — *e.g.*, a plan of adjustment must be in the "best interests of creditors," "fair and equitable," and submitted in good faith. While there is no question that the City of Detroit faces many challenges, the means by which these challenges are addressed must be integrated into the overall purpose of Chapter 9, which focuses on fairly adjusting the debts of the City's creditors.

2. Since that initial presentation, the City and its advisors have continued to focus on politically popular public revitalization projects, while at the same time marginalizing the City's many creditors. In its Creditors Proposal, for example, the City put forward a plan where it would spend \$1.25 billion on public improvement projects such as a roof replacement for the Manoogian Mansion, an unspecified airport expansion, and approximately \$300 million of unspecified "Additional Operating Expenses."⁸ Similarly, in its DIP financing proposal, the

⁷ *Id.* at 58.

⁸ Declaration of Kevyn D. Orr in Support of City of Detroit, Michigan's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code [Docket No. 11] Ex. A (the "Creditors Proposal"), at 127–28.

City contemplates spending reportedly \$120 million "to fund expenditures that are designed to contribute to the improvement of the quality of life in the City."⁹

3. Meanwhile, the City previously announced that it proposes to pay certain unsecured creditors only approximately 16.8 cents on the dollar on account of their claims despite the size of the City's asset base and potential mutually beneficial and creative opportunities to enhance creditor recoveries.¹⁰ These cuts to creditor recoveries are projected to fund approximately \$650 million of the City's public improvements spending in what literally amounts, at best, to a zero-sum proposition.¹¹

⁹ Motion of the Debtor for a Final Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 362, 364(c)(1), 364(c)(2), 364(e), 364(f), 503, 507(a)(2), 904, 921, and 922(I) Approving Post-Petition Financing, (II) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Claim Status and (III) Modifying Automatic Stay [Docket No. 1520] ¶ 47; City of Detroit Receives Commitment for up to \$350 Million of Post-Petition Financing, PRNEWSWIRE, Oct. 11, 2013 available at http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/city-of-detroit-receivescommitment-for-up-to-350-million-of-post-petition-financing-227423391.html (estimating approximately \$120 million for quality of life expenditures as of Oct. 11, 2013). The City's DIP financing proposal is connected with its Motion of Debtor for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing the Assumption of That Certain Forbearance and Optional Termination Agreement Pursuant to Section 365(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, (II) Approving Such Agreement Pursuant Rule 9019, and (III) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 17] (the "Forbearance Motion"), wherein the City seeks to pay one group of creditors — the swap counterparties — ahead of and before all others.

¹⁰ Creditors Proposal, *supra* note 8, at 23–29, 107.

¹¹ Hr'g Tr. 137:6–14, Oct. 24, 2013; *see also id.* at 132:21–24 (Charles Moore confirming that "with respect to the [June 14] proposal . . . an important

4. And yet, despite the obvious plan implications of these proposals, the City has consistently failed to provide its creditors — as well as the City Council — with the information necessary to properly evaluate the merits of its proposals. For example, the City refused to provide parties in interest with all necessary and requested documentation in connection with the DIP financing proposal and the Forbearance Motion.¹²

5. The Motion is yet another example of the City's approach to its Chapter 9 case. Though the City's desire to remedy the problems with its street lights is understandable, the process surrounding, and substance of, the Motion suffers from a number of fundamental flaws that have similarly plagued many of the City's other proposals.

component of it is reinvestment in the infrastructure and operations of the City of Detroit.").

¹² See, e.g., Motion of Syncora Guarantee Inc. and Syncora Capital Assurance Inc. for Authority to Issue Document and Deposition Subpoenas to the Debtor, the Emergency Manager, and Certain of the Debtor's Advisors Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2004 [Docket No. 1342] ¶ 9 (requesting DIP term sheets and commitment letter that the City refuses to provide); Objection of Syncora Guarantee Inc. and Syncora Capital Assurance Inc. to Motion of Debtor for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing the Assumption of That Certain Forbearance and Optional Termination Agreement Pursuant to Section 365(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, (II) Approving Such Agreement Pursuant Rule 9019, and (III) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 366] ¶ 1 (detailing the City's secretive negotiations in connection with the Forbearance Motion).

6. *First*, the Motion lacks the detail necessary to evaluate the merits of the proposed transaction. Among other things, the Motion fails to address why, as the City contends, the PLA Transaction is "the best (and perhaps only) opportunity."¹³ It further fails to describe what that opportunity really is — the lighting plan seems to exist in a vacuum divorced from the City's needs and any anticipated economic benefit. And it does not answer why, of all things the City can do to protect its citizens and generate revenues, jobs, and investment, upgrading the City's *entire* lighting infrastructure makes sense for the City at this time.

7. *Second*, the detail that the City *has* provided indicates that the transaction may be economically unsound. For example, though the City points out that only \$12.5 million of the Pledged Revenues will fund the Act 392 Bond payments, it fails to explain why it is necessary to pledge *all* of the utility tax revenues in the first instance, which currently stand at approximately \$40 million a year.¹⁴ Additionally, as set forth in the exhibits attached to the Motion (but not referenced in the Motion itself), the City will also obligate itself generally to fund

¹³ Motion \P 22.

¹⁴ Creditors Proposal, *supra* note 8, at 3.

approximately \$11 to \$12 million of operating and maintenance costs under the PLA Transaction.¹⁵

Third, the Motion is yet another attempt by the City to push through a 8. public reinvestment initiative that would be more appropriately addressed at the plan of adjustment stage — and only then after creditors have been given the opportunity to evaluate and shape the proposal or propose other approaches. Though all parties prefer to see this case move quickly, speed should not come at the expense of due process. Yet, by operating outside the plan of adjustment framework, the City is attempting to avoid many of the procedural and substantive plan confirmation requirements that are designed to protect creditors from precisely this type of transaction — which then requires creditors to respond to protect their rights. If the City would instead negotiate with its creditors towards a holistic solution (*i.e.*, a mutually-agreeable plan of adjustment) — as opposed to these contested piecemeal proposals that lack the requisite detail this case would proceed more quickly and on a more consensual basis.

9. For these reasons, Syncora submits the instant Limited Objection.

¹⁵ See Motion Ex. 1 to Ex. 6.1 (the "<u>PLA Lighting Plan</u>"), at A.4.

Limited Objection

A. Relevant Details Surrounding the PLA Transaction.

10. The City created the PLA as a separate municipal corporation to manage and maintain the City's public lighting system.¹⁶ The PLA "is responsible for constructing, improving, enlarging, reducing or extending the City's street light system."¹⁷

11. In connection with the Motion, the City seeks an order under section 364(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code (a) authorizing the City to enter into and perform under the PLA Transaction Documents, and (b) authorizing and approving the PLA financing transaction and the granting of a pledge and lien in, and the irrevocable transfer of, the Pledged Revenues.

12. To secure the financing for the PLA Transaction, the City has agreed to "irrevocably pledge and cause the existing and future revenue generated from the Utility Tax . . . as security for, and the primary source for the repayment of, the Act 392 Bonds."¹⁸ The amount of Pledged Revenues to which the PLA is entitled is the lesser of (a) \$12.5 million, and (b) the total revenues generated by the Utility

¹⁶ Motion \P 6.

¹⁷ Motion Ex. 6.4, at 3.

¹⁸ Motion \P 7.

Tax.¹⁹ The City notes, however, that certain key transactional documents the City asks this Court to approve are "prospective and subject to modification."²⁰ As its justification for this transaction, the City contends that the public lighting initiatives the Act 392 Bonds finance and the Pledged Revenues secure will allow the PLA to "construct, improve, enlarge, reduce or extend the City's Public Lighting System for the benefit of the City."²¹ According to the City, the "PLA Transaction represents the City's best (*and perhaps only*) opportunity to remedy this public safety concern."²²

13. To support these claims, the Motion provides some high-level details surrounding the transaction. However, it makes no attempt to quantify or analyze how the PLA Transaction will benefit the City and its stakeholders. Nor does it contain any evidence that the City considered any alternative financing structures.²³

¹⁹ *Id*.

²⁰ *E.g.*, PLA Lighting Plan, *supra* note 15, at A.4 ("Please note that negotiations on the O&M have not commenced, therefore the information contained in this section should be considered prospective and subject to modification.").

²¹ Motion \P 19.

²² Motion ¶ 22 (emphasis added).

²³ It is also puzzling why the City did not wait for the City Council to analyze the terms of this proposal — or, for that matter, submit an alternative proposal (as they are entitled under PA 436) — before submitting the Motion. Though the City Council's powers have been dramatically circumscribed by PA 436, it

B. The City's Motion Suffers from a Number of Fundamental Flaws.

14. The City must demonstrate that the proposed financing is "necessary to preserve the assets of the estate" and that the terms of the transaction are "fair, reasonable, and adequate."²⁴ Courts consider the following factors, among others, to determine whether the terms of a postpetition financing transaction under section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code are appropriate: (a) whether the proposed transaction is an exercise of the debtor's reasonable business judgment; (b) whether alternative financing is available on any other basis; (c) whether the proposed transaction is in the best interests of both the estate and its creditors; (d) whether any better offers, bids, or timely proposals are before the court; (e) whether the transaction is necessary, essential, and appropriate to preserve estate assets and for the continued operation of a debtor's business; (f) whether the terms of the proposed financing are fair, reasonable, and adequate given the

retains the key right and obligation to evaluate dispositions of City Assets. Moreover, the City Council will be a critical part of any plan of adjustment. Giving the City Council the full statutory time to consider the proposal would seem to accord with at least minimal standards of comity.

²⁴ *In re Crouse Grp., Inc.*, 71 B.R. 544, 549 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1987) (noting that debtor must also establish that it was unable to obtain alternative financing).

circumstances; and (g) whether the proposed transaction was negotiated in good faith and at arm's length (collectively, the "<u>Farmland Factors</u>").²⁵

15. As discussed below, the City cannot satisfy the Farmland Factors. First, the Motion fails to provide sufficient information to analyze the merits of the PLA Transaction. Second, the little information the City has provided demonstrates that the economics behind PLA Transaction may not be in the best interests of the City or its stakeholders. Third, the City is yet again asking this Court to approve a transaction that should be addressed within the procedural framework of a plan of adjustment.

1. The City Has Failed to Provide Adequate Information to Evaluate the Proposed Transaction.

16. Syncora favors the City of Detroit having adequate lighting to protect citizens (including considering reasonable prospective population growth) and to support other initiatives for the City's and its stakeholders' recovery. But Syncora does not support any proposal — like the one at issue in the Motion — that lacks all of the information necessary to evaluate that proposal.

²⁵ In re Farmland Industries, Inc., 294 B.R. 855, 879–80 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 2003); Bland v. Farmworker Creditors, 308 B.R. 109, 113–14 (S.D. Ga. 2003) (applying Farmland Factors).

17. Here, the City has failed to provide many of the important details surrounding the PLA Transaction. Among other omissions, the City has not provided the following information:

- What, if any, process it conducted (*e.g.*, competitive bidding for the bonds);
- Whether alternative financing structures were considered;²⁶
- Any objective measure of the costs and benefits associated with the relief sought;
- The identity of the engineers and/or other professionals consulting on the lighting systems project and their related analyses;
- Why the PLA Transaction is "the best (*and perhaps only*) opportunity" to address public lighting issues;
- The ultimate scope of the lighting systems upgrade over the life of the project (*e.g.*, short-term and long-term objectives); and
- How this financing transaction is necessary, essential, or appropriate to preserve the City's assets for its continued operation.²⁷

²⁶ See 11 U.S.C. § 364(c) ("If the trustee is unable to obtain unsecured credit allowable under section 503(b)(1) of this title as an administrative expense, the court, after notice and a hearing, may authorize the obtaining of credit or incurring of debt"); *Crouse Grp.*, 71 B.R. at 549 (same).

See, e.g., H.R. Rep. 94-686, at 546–47 (1975) ("[B]y facilitating borrowing to meet current expenses, the court was actually preserving former secured creditors' collateral by preserving the business as a going entity. Thus, there was no actual or effective taking of property prohibited by the Fifth Amendment in giving new security that would prime the former liens of secured creditors. In the municipal context, this reasoning is similarly applicable. While the 'business' of government will continue whether it is insolvent or not, without cash to continue to provide *essential government services*, the only asset available for the creditors, the municipality's tax base,

18. While it may be true that certain improvements are necessary to the City's infrastructure, this is first and foremost a Chapter 9 debt adjustment process that requires the disclosure of certain information. Notwithstanding that requirement, the City has not demonstrated that these reinvestment initiatives are necessary or how they map on to a plan of adjustment that benefits all of the City's stakeholders. Nor, for that matter, has the City detailed the process behind the PLA Transaction.

19. Without this information, it is impossible to assess the merits of the Motion and determine whether the PLA Transaction was presented in good faith, is in the best interest of creditors, or is fair and equitable.²⁸ Put simply, it is not enough to say, without any supporting evidence, that the "PLA transaction represents the City's best (and perhaps only) opportunity to remedy this public safety concern." The City's financial commitment to this project is at least \$23.5 million per year for approximately 30 years, or approximately \$705 million in

may be seriously eroded by flight of the city's businesses and residents.") (emphasis added); *see also In re Barbara K. Enterprises, Inc.*, 2008 WL 2439649, at *8 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. June 16, 2008) ("A debtor may not obtain approval for extending secured credit unless it first establishes that it is otherwise unable to reasonably obtain unsecured credit, *and the credit is necessary for continued operation.*") (emphasis added).

²⁸ See the Farmland Factors; *see also* 11 U.S.C. §§ 901(a), 943(b)(1), 943(b)(7), 1129(b)(2), 1129(a)(3) (analogous Chapter 9 plan confirmation requirements).

total.²⁹ Of this \$705 million, approximately \$192 million, or 27%, will be used simply to finance the transaction (based on the City's own estimates).³⁰ This projected \$192 million financing cost is yet another material fact that is not disclosed by the City in the Motion. For a transaction of this type and size, much more than the City's bare-bones disclosure is required.

20. In addition, the timing of this transaction is suspect given that (a) the Act 392 Bonds are not projected to be issued until June 2014,³¹ (b) the City previously anticipated spending a total of approximately \$1.7 million on public lighting capital improvements in the Creditors Proposal,³² and (c) the City Council had not yet had the opportunity to review and act on the PLA Transaction at the time the City filed the Motion.

2. The Economic Terms that the City Has Revealed Indicate that the PLA Transaction May Not Be in the Best Interests of the City and its Stakeholders.

21. While the City has failed to provide a full view of the economic burden that this transaction will place on the City, what little detail it has disclosed is troubling — both from the perspective of the City's taxpayers and its creditors.

²⁹ See PLA Lighting Plan, *supra* note 15, at A.4, B (estimating \$12.5 million pledged to repay bonds and \$11-12 million in operating and maintenance costs).

³⁰ See id. App. G.

³¹ *Id.*

³² Creditors Proposal, *supra* note 8, at 127.

22. To begin, the PLA Transaction will actually cost the City more than the Motion reveals. For example, hidden amidst the details of the exhibits attached to the Motion is the fact that the City has committed to fund the operation and maintenance of the PLA-managed lighting system.³³ Though the City states that it assumes no liability for the Act 392 Bonds or C&F Agreement,³⁴ the estimated \$11-\$12 million in annual operational and maintenance expenses the City will pay out of its general fund almost doubles the \$12.5 million per year price tag attached to the face of the Motion.³⁵ Furthermore, of the approximately \$150 million in projected bond proceeds, approximately \$60 million will be used immediately to pay off the PLA's "bridge loan," which is yet another undisclosed financing device buried in the PLA's plan and budget that receives no mention in the Motion.³⁶ As discussed above, of the City's total \$705 million financial commitment,

³³ See PLA Lighting Plan, supra note 15, at A.4 ("The estimated annual costs for these operation and maintenance services, including PLA administrative costs, is \$11M to \$12M based on the criteria contained in Section A.3. The source of the City funds for the payment of rates has not been identified yet, but it should be anticipated that the source will be the City of Detroit General Fund.").

³⁴ Motion \P 9.

³⁵ See PLA Lighting Plan, *supra* note 15, at A.4; *see also* Motion Ex. 6.2, at 4.1 (stating that the annual cap for operations and maintenance will be \$8.024 million, plus "Extraordinary Maintenance" payments). A comparison of current costs to these estimates is impossible because the City has not disclosed the current operating and maintenance costs associated with its lighting infrastructure.

³⁶ See PLA Lighting Plan, supra note 15, at A.1; *id.* App. G.

approximately \$192 million, or 27%, will be used simply to finance the transaction.³⁷ Finally, the City is quick to point out that only \$12.5 million of the Pledged Revenues will fund the Act 392 Bond payments, but it fails to explain why it is pledging \$40 million of utility tax revenues when only \$12.5 million is necessary for the transaction.³⁸

23. The PLA Transaction is just as unfavorable to the City's creditors. As part of the transaction, the City anticipates "making a multi-year, large scale, city-wide investment in the public lighting infrastructure."³⁹ To do so, the City seeks to lock-up the Pledge Revenues for 30 years.⁴⁰ All told, the City's financial commitment to this project is at least \$23.5 million per year for approximately 30 years, or approximately \$705 million in total. Notably though, the Pledged Revenues are City resources that could be used to fund recoveries to creditors that invested their time and resources in the City's operations and pensions for decades — creditors that are now being asked to accept 16.8 cents on the dollar.⁴¹

³⁷ See PLA Lighting Plan, supra note 15, App. G.

³⁸ *E.g.*, Motion ¶ 17; Creditors Proposal, *supra* note 8, at 3.

³⁹ PLA Lighting Plan, *supra* note 15, at A.1.

⁴⁰ *Id.* at B.

⁴¹ See In re FCX, Inc., 54 B.R. 833, 838 (Bankr. E.D.N.C. 1985) ("[T]he court should not ignore the basic injustice of an agreement in which the debtor, acting out of desperation, has compromised the rights of unsecured creditors.").

3. The Motion Should be Presented as Part of a Plan of Adjustment.

24. In connection with the PLA Transaction, the City is attempting to restrict a revenue stream for 30 years in a way that diminishes creditor recoveries. Given the impact of this transaction, the City should have included it as part of its plan of adjustment.⁴² To the contrary, the City has instead decided to hurriedly present this transaction to the City Council and ultimately the Court.

25. Ignoring for the moment that the City cannot meet the requirements for approval of the Motion, the City's tactics here signal an awareness that it cannot meet the procedural and substantive plan confirmation requirements designed to protect creditors from precisely this kind of amorphous transaction.⁴³

26. For instance, to protect those entitled to vote on a plan of adjustment, a Chapter 9 debtor must provide "adequate information" in respect of the transactions contemplated thereunder, which means:

⁴² See In re Braniff Airways, Inc., 700 F.2d 935, 940 (5th Cir. 1983) (transactions that dictate the terms of any future plan of restructuring, or alter creditors' rights without otherwise requiring the satisfaction of the disclosure and confirmation standards of the Bankruptcy Code are *sub rosa* plans); *see also In re Swallen's, Inc.*, 269 B.R. 634, 638 (B.A.P. 6th Cir. 2001) ("[A] bankruptcy court cannot issue orders that bypass the requirements of Chapter 11, such as disclosure statements, voting, and a confirmed plan, and proceed to a direct reorganization on the terms the court thinks best, no matter how expedient that might be.")

⁴³ See, e.g., In re Defender Drug Stores, Inc., 145 B.R. 312, 317 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1992) ("The bankruptcy court cannot, under the guise of section 364, approve financing arrangements that amount to a plan of reorganization but evade confirmation requirements.").

[I]nformation of a kind, and in sufficient detail, as far as is reasonably practicable in light of the nature and history of the debtor and the condition of the debtor's books and records ... that would enable [] a hypothetical investor of the relevant class to make an informed judgment about the plan.⁴⁴

Here, at a minimum, and as set forth above, the City should be required to provide detailed financial information, a description of its process, and its underlying assumptions in respect of the PLA Transaction.

27. Moreover, sections 943(b)(7) and 1129(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code require that a plan of adjustment be in the best interests of creditors and proposed in good faith, respectively. And section 1129(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a plan of adjustment may be confirmed even if a class of claims rejects the plan so long as "the plan does not discriminate unfairly, and is fair and equitable, with respect to each class of claims or interests that is impaired under, and has not accepted, the plan." The application of "fair and equitable" in Chapter 9, for instance, requires that "the amount proposed to be paid under the plan was

⁴⁴ 11 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1); *In re Malek*, 35 B.R. 443 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1983) (finding debtor did not disclose adequate information where debtor did not include, *inter alia*, certain financial information, how a plan was to be executed, and a projection and underlying assumptions related to its operations); *see also In re Momentum Mfg. Corp.*, 25 F.3d 1132, 1136 (2d Cir. 1994) ("This disclosure requirement does not attach only to the preparation of disclosure statements. 'Full and fair' disclosure is required during the *entire* reorganization process; it begins 'on day one, with the filing of the Chapter 11 petition.'") (citations omitted).

all that the creditors could reasonably expect under the circumstances."⁴⁵ Collier has taken the position that a plan of adjustment is not fair and equitable or in the best interests of creditors if it invests heavily in facility improvements to the detriment of creditors:

[A Chapter 9] plan that makes little or no effort to repay creditors over a reasonable period of time may not be in the best interest of creditors. For example, a debtor that had invested heavily in improvements in its facilities at a time when it was unable to pay the claims of its bondholders cannot rely on its cash-poor position resulting from the investment as a reason why it should pay less to bondholders, because the bondholders should not be required in effect to subsidize the improvements. Such a plan is not fair and equitable and is not in the best interest of creditors.⁴⁶

In order to determine whether a proposed treatment of creditors is fair:

[T]he court must have before it data which will permit a reasonable, and hence an informed, estimate of the probable future revenues available for the satisfaction of creditors. And where, as here, different classes of creditors assert prior claims to different sources of revenue, there must be a determination of the extent to which each class is entitled to share in a particular source, and of the fairness of the allotment to each class in the light of the probable revenues to be anticipated from each source. To support such determinations, there must be findings, in such detail and exactness as the nature of the case

⁴⁵ Lorber v. Vista Irrigation Dist., 127 F.2d 628, 639 (9th Cir. 1942) (citing West Coast Life Insurance Co. v. Merced Irrigation District, 114 F.2d 654, 678 (9th Cir. 1940); see also 6 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 943.03[1][f][i][B] (16th ed. 2013) (noting that the "fair and equitable rule has additional content in chapter 9 cases" while quoting the "reasonable expectations" standard set forth in Lorber).

 ⁴⁶ 6 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 943.03 (citing Fano v. Newport Heights Irrigation Dist., 114 F.2d 563 (9th Cir. 1940)).

permits, of subsidiary facts on which the ultimate conclusion of fairness can rationally be predicated.⁴⁷

Proposals like the City's financing of the PLA Transaction are precisely what the fair and equitable and best interests tests are designed to address, and the City should not be able to short-circuit the confirmation process — including the detailed findings that must accompany the Court's ultimate fairness determination — with the Motion.

28. Similarly, in the context of section 364(e), where a debtor fails to provide sufficient information to support a finding of good faith, the court should not rubber-stamp the debtor's request for a finding under that section.⁴⁸ Rather, where, as here, the City has not provided the necessary information to make such a finding, a section 364(e) good faith finding is not appropriate.

29. Finally, it also makes more sense, from a practical perspective, to address the issues in the Motion as part of the confirmation process given that there are many outstanding that may impact the PLA Transaction but have not yet been

⁴⁷ *Kelley v. Everglades Drainage Dist.*, 319 U.S. 415, 420 (1943).

⁴⁸ E.g., In re White Crane Trading Co., Inc., 170 B.R. 694, 705 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1994) ("Parties similarly lack good faith when they fail to reveal material facts."); cf. In re Buerge, 479 B.R. 101, 107 (Bankr. D. Kan. 2012) ("The court cannot infer good faith from an evidentiary record silent on the question because such an inference would invert the burden of proof onto the objecting party.") motion for relief from judgment denied, 11-20325, 2013 WL 934836 (Bankr. D. Kan. Mar. 7, 2013).

addressed. For example, many of the City's pleadings have noted just how large the City of Detroit is and the difficulties that the City has experienced in providing municipal services over such a large area.⁴⁹ The City has also described the large number of property foreclosures that it has experienced and questioned what it will do with all of this land.⁵⁰ Presumably, the City will address issues relating to its infrastructure (*i.e.*, the land) as part of its proposed plan of adjustment. These issues, however, will likely impact the lighting issues that the City is seeking to address through the Motion. Syncora submits that the City would be better-served by addressing all of these issues at the same time as opposed to the piece-meal approach that the City is currently employing.

Conclusion

30. For the foregoing reasons, Syncora respectfully respects that the Court deny the Motion.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

⁴⁹ See, e.g., Declaration of Kevyn D. Orr in Support of City of Detroit, Michigan's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code [Docket No. 11] ¶ 31 (discussing the City's 139-square mile footprint and challenges related to providing municipal services).

⁵⁰ See, e.g., id. ¶¶ 34–35 (discussing the City's large number of foreclosures); Creditors Proposal, *supra* note 8, at 16–17 (same).

Dated: November 6, 2013

<u>/s/ Ryan Blaine Bennett</u> James H.M. Sprayregen, P.C. Ryan Blaine Bennett Stephen C. Hackney KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 Facsimile: (312) 862-2200

- and -

David A. Agay Joshua Gadharf MCDONALD HOPKINS LLC 39533 Woodward Avenue Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304 Telephone: (248) 646-5070 Facsimile: (248) 646-5075

Attorneys for Syncora Guarantee Inc. and Syncora Capital Assurance Inc.

<u>Exhibit 1</u>

Presentation to the City of Detroit

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 1 of 76

.





Presentation to The City of Detroit

Detroit, Michigan January 29, 2013

Confidential

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 2 of 76

PART I - INTRODUCTION

JONES DAY.

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 3 of 76

2

Confidential

The Jones Day Team



Stephen Brogan



Kevyn Orr



Corinne Ball



Bruce Bennett



Heather Lennox



Aaron Agenbroad



Confidential

_		*
	[Speaker Notes For Slide: 3]	
	[Speaker Notes I of Shue. 5]	

The Jones Day Team:

Stephan Brogan
Corinne Ball
Heather Lennox
Kevyn Orr
Bruce Bennett
Aaron Agenbroad

[Brogan voiceover: Although I will not be heavily involved in the representation, I wanted to (i) provide my personal statement of Jones Day's commitment to this project and (ii) highlight the qualities of the team members that will manage this project on a day-to-day basis.]

na Intege carrier pe depaired. Tour computer may are anough memory to open the mage, or the m as have been consplicit. Rectarl your computer, an open the file again, 21 the rect y still appears, yo Confidential

Jones Day's Qualifications and Commitment

- Midwestern Roots Continuing Presence and Practice
- Substantial Ties to Michigan and the 6th Circuit
- Belief in the Importance of a Strong Detroit
- Unsurpassed Expertise Where Detroit Needs It
- Historical Focus on Teamwork and Collaboration
- Know and Work Well With City's Other Advisors
- Firm-Wide Commitment to Detroit



Confidential

Speaker Notes For Slide: 5]

Jones Day's Commitment to the Detroit Project

Jones Day's roots are in the Midwest and a large part of our practice is centered there.

Many of Jones Day's clients are based in Detroit and in Michigan.

(Over 100 Michigan-based clients in the past 2 years.)

Long-standing representation of GM.

Special benefits counsel to, and good contacts with, Blue Cross of Michigan.

25 lawyers were 6th Circuit Clerks.

27 lawyers were clerks in federal courts in the 6th Circuit (Ohio, Michigan, Tennessee, Kentucky).

28 lawyers were US Supreme Court Clerks.

116 lawyers have one or more degrees from Michigan institutions (including 98 lawyers with 111 degrees from the University of Michigan alone).

We believe that a strong Detroit is vital to the state, the region and the country.

Jones Day has unsurpassed expertise and capabilities in the areas of greatest importance to Detroit and would be honored to be called upon to help.

Jones Day has a longstanding reputation for teamwork and collaboration, both within our own organization and when working with clients, related parties and other professional firms.

This strength will be particularly important in this matter.

We know and can work well with the other advisors retained by the City and it will be critical that everyone pulls together in the same direction.

When a client engages Jones Day, it engages the entire firm, and this would be regarded as an engagement of utmost importance for the firm as a whole. We are committed to providing whatever resources are needed to advance Detroit's restructuring goals. The City will have the support of each and every part of the Firm.

Confidential

PART II – GENERAL OBSERVATIONS



Confidential

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 8 of 76

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 7]

Jones Day's Priority for Today's Meeting

It is much more important that we discuss issues the City, the State and the FAB want to discuss rather than issues that we have identified.

We are prepared to walk through the presentation and invite dialog along the way, but are also prepared to put our presentation to one side and cover topics that interest you and address your questions and concerns.

We have studied Detroit's situation extensively and we have some preliminary views on a path forward.

Confidential

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 9 of 76

Detroit's Substantial Progress

- Improved Cash Flows
- Significant Headcount Reduction
- Implementation of CETs
- Planning to Address Pension/OPEB
- Payroll Systems Outsourcing
- Public Lighting Authority
- Regional Transportation Authority
- Initiatives to Drive Revenue/Reduce Expenditures



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 9]

The City, working with the FAB and the State, already has made substantial progress in addressing its financial challenges.

Improved Cash Flows (Expected improvement of ~\$62MM in FY 2013)

Significant Headcount Reduction (from ~12K in Nov. 2011 to ~10K in Nov. 2012)

Implementation of CETs (Despite delays, large majority of CETs are in place)

Planning to Address Pension/OPEB (e.g., recently closed the Police & Fire Retirement System to new employees, retention of Milliman to assist in revamping benefits for retirees and active employees)

Payroll Systems Outsourced

Public Lighting (Public Lighting Authority established; rate increases)

Regional Transportation Authority (authority established; new funding committed)

Initiatives to Drive Revenue or Reduce Expenditures (Belle Isle lease to the state, funding support for new arena and commercial development via Detroit Downtown Development Authority, grant and loan support for Detroit's Eastern Market through the Community Revitalization Program)

Confidential

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 11 of 76

Formidable Challenges Remain

- Substantial Debt (Bonds, POCs, Swaps)
- Pension/OPEB Liabilities
- Labor Issues (Costs, Work Rules)
- Detroiters' Quality of Life/Redevelopment of the City
- Development of Multi-Year Budget
- Reversing Economic Trends
- Encouraging New Investment in Detroit
- Political Obstacles to Reform
- EPA/Clean Water Act Case
- High Unemployment and Crime Rates



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 11]

Notwithstanding the foregoing, many challenges remain.

Debt and related obligations (e.g., swaps)

Pensions and other retiree benefits (OPEB).

Labor costs, work rules and related issues.

Implementation of strategies to improve Detroiters' quality of life and redevelop the City.

Development of multi-year budget upon which restructuring can be based.

Identification of further options for saving/raising funds and stabilizing revenues (reversing trends) and encouraging new investment in Detroit.

Political obstacles to reform.

EPA and Clean Water Case before Judge Cox.

High unemployment.

High crime rate.

DTMI00128742

Confidential

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 13 of 76

Out of Court Solutions Are Preferred

- Benefits of Well Planned Out-Of-Court Restructuring
 - Less Disruptive
 - Less Publicity
 - Less Expensive
 - Less Reputational Damage
 - Less Political Impact
- Consensus or Near Consensus Necessary for a Successful Out-of-Court Restructuring
 - > Extremely Difficult to Achieve in Practice



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 13]

A well planned and carefully implemented effort to resolve Detroit's difficulties out of court is vitally important for several reasons:

An out-of-court solution is preferable for a number of reasons. Less disruptive.

Less publicity during process.

Less expensive.

H

Less damage to City reputation.

Less political impact.

An out of court solution requires consensus or near consensus of affected constituencies. This is extremely hard to achieve in practice.

Note: Could mention the possibility of a moratorium on payments being negotiated in support of an out of court solution.

U
-
2
=
2
Ó
<u></u>
١ <u>٣</u>
~
4
À

Impact of Possible Emergency Manager Appointment

• Expansive Power

- Power of City Government
- > Ability to Reject, Modify or Terminate CBAs
- > Ability to Commence Chapter 9 Filing Quickly, if Warranted
- Can Create Negotiating Leverage (Negotiating with the Backdrop of Bankruptcy)
- Hot-Button Political Issue
- Relationship With Elected Officials Must Be Established
- Possible Legal Challenges (Delay and Risk)



Confidential

Confidential

DTMI00128746

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 15]

Appointment of an Emergency Manager may offer the City greater powers to address some issues.

The appointment of an Emergency Manager could impact the City's restructuring efforts in a number of ways:

Expansive powers over all aspects of City government could be used to promote and expedite restructuring (e.g., budget; procurement; contracts; labor negotiations; ability to adopt ordinances related to financial condition).

Could streamline certain political/bureaucratic/legal requirements to restructuring activities.

Ability to reject, modify or terminate CBAs under certain circumstances.

Ability to commence chapter 9 filing quickly under PA 72 and PA 436 (assuming State approval).

Emergency manager powers can create negotiating leverage (negotiating in the backdrop of bankruptcy).

Hot-button political issue.

Potentially unpopular option with certain segments of the public, unions, other stakeholders.

The Emergency Manager's relationship with elected officials would have to be developed.

Ultimately, the Emergency Manager could be used as political cover for difficult restructuring decisions.

Appointment of an Emergency Manager could result in legal challenges (e.g., federal & state constitutional challenges)

Could delay progress until resolved

Could lead to challenges of the legality and enforceability of any actions taken by the Emergency Manager.

Out-of-Court Plan Should Contemplate the Possibility of Chapter 9

 Simplify and Shorten Any Chapter 9 Case, if Out-of-Court Effort Fails

> Out-of-Court Agreements Can Be Used in Chapter 9

Creates Leverage in Creditor Negotiations

> Negotiating in the Shadow of Chapter 9

- Motivate Municipal Bond Market Participants
- Bolster Eligibility for and Success in Chapter 9 By Establishing Good-Faith Record of Seeking Creditor Consensus



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 17]

Any restructuring plan should be designed so that it could be implemented in a chapter 9 case, if necessary.

Even if an out-of-court plan cannot be implemented, agreements that are reached can form the basis for a chapter 9 plan of adjustment, thus simplifying and shortening any chapter 9 case.

Creditors understand that a troubled municipality has greater leverage in a chapter 9 case. Accordingly, developing an out-of-court restructuring plan that can later be implemented in chapter 9 if necessary can create leverage in favor of a negotiated deal.

This is particularly the case if an Emergency Manager is appointed because the threat of a chapter 9 filing – including a potential moratorium on payments – will be more tangible, and possibly more imminent.

The combination of an Emergency Manager and a proposal that could be implemented in chapter 9 could be the most effective way to motivate investors in the municipal bond market.

A good-faith effort to pursue an out of court restructuring plan will establish a clear record of seeking creditor consensus before seeking chapter 9 relief. This will deflect any eligibility complaints based on alleged failure to negotiate or bad faith.

DTMI00128748

Confidential

If Chapter 9 Needed, Planning Is Key

- Any Bankruptcy Filing Should Be Accompanied By:
 - Fully Developed Plan of Adjustment / Detailed Term Sheet

-OR-

Clearly Articulated, Reasonable Restructuring Plan, Already Shared with Creditors

This approach will help demonstrate that Chapter 9 was commenced to facilitate realistic solutions to problems. Chapter 9 is <u>not</u> an additional symptom of those problems.



Confidential

19

DTMI00128749

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 19]

If a chapter 9 case becomes necessary, the commencement of a bankruptcy should be accompanied by either:

(1) A fully developed Plan of Adjustment or detailed term sheet for a Plan of Adjustment

– or –

(2) A clearly articulated, reasonable plan for resolving the City's financial difficulties – previously discussed with key constituencies – that can quickly be incorporated into a Plan of Adjustment.

⊨

~~~

H

This approach is intended to allow the City to describe a chapter 9 case as facilitating realistic solutions for the City's problems and not as an additional symptom or symbol of the intractability of those problems.

| $\mathbf{O}$ |
|--------------|
| <u> </u>     |
|              |
| 2            |
| _            |
| _            |
| 0            |
| $\frown$     |
| Ý            |
| -            |
| N            |
| m.           |
| Ψ            |
| $\sim$       |
| (1)          |
| 2            |
| 0            |

# PART III – INITIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

Confidential

21



13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 22 of 76

#### Establish Long-Term Goals and Promote Inclusiveness

- Reach Consensus of City Team on Long-Term Goals and Steps to Achieve
  Them
- Then, Include All Constituents in Planning and Negotiations
  - > Obtain and Seriously Consider Input From All Sources
  - > Defuse Political Opposition Through Listening and Documentation
  - Establish Sub-Teams for Key Issues
  - Coordinated "Hub-and-Spoke" Approach: Sub-Teams Report to Central Hub
  - Establish a Strong Record of Inclusiveness and Consideration of All Options
- The City (both the Office of the Mayor and City Council), the State and the FAB should strive to coordinate their efforts in all respects. Any differences and tensions among these groups can and will be exploited by adversaries.

22



[Speaker Notes For Slide: 22]

Set Long-Term Goals and Build a Strategy of Inclusiveness

A key to a comprehensive restructuring plan will be to reach consensus at the City (in consultation with its advisors, the FAB and the State) on the restructuring steps needed to achieve a durable long-term solution to the City's issues.

Thereafter, as many constituents as possible should be included in planning and negotiations.

Input should be obtained from all sources, documented and treated seriously, even if proposals appear unrealistic. Good listening skills are helpful. This can help defuse political opposition.

Sub-teams can be established by the City and its advisors to address particular issues (labor, pension/benefits, asset sales, redevelopment, capital markets, etc.). Individual groups can report regularly to central core team of key officials and advisors (i.e., the Hub).

Jones Day often uses this Hub-and spokes approach to manage a complex restructuring efficiently and in a coordinated manner.

Establish a strong record (i.e., for future litigation) of (i) inclusiveness with respect to all constituencies and (ii) consideration of all options and proposals received.

The City (both the Office of the Mayor and City Council), the State and the FAB should strive to coordinate their efforts in all respects. Any differences and tensions among these groups can and will be exploited by adversaries.

#### Multi-Year Budget: Set Spending Priorities Within Realistic Revenue Projections

- Basis for Any Restructuring Agreements
  - > Build on Budget Work to Date
  - Identify All Revenue Sources (Including Future Sources)
  - > Strive for Conservative/Achievable Revenue Estimates
  - Incorporate Cost Savings from Outsourcing and Other Initiatives
- The Budget should include funds needed to assure the proper functioning of the City and appropriate investments to revitalize the City over the long term.



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 24]

E

Set spending priorities within realistic revenue projections.

A multi-year budget that includes revenue and expense projections will be the basis for determining amounts available for distribution to creditors – critical to any restructuring agreements in or out of court.

Jones Day understands that substantial work has been done in this area, and that this is a major challenge.

The City must create credibility in the face of an unsettled revenue picture.

All revenue sources should be identified, including future sources (such as monetizing assets or reallocation of state/county revenue).

At the same time, the City should strive for realistic (conservative) revenue projections to assist in planning and build credibility.

Cost savings through additional outsourcing and other initiatives should be incorporated where reasonable (e.g., exploration of regionally-based options/alternatives).

This Budget should include funds needed to assure the proper functioning of the City and appropriate investments to revitalize the City over the long term. Projected revenues should be targeted to reinvestment where possible, with a mechanism to cover shortfalls as necessary (e.g., business, state or federal partnerships).

DTMI00128755

Confidential

#### **Prepare to Defend the Budget**

- Defend Spending at Levels Needed to Assure Long–Term Viability
  - > Focus on "Who Does Detroit Serve?"
  - Establish Case for Reinvestment
  - Defend Against Calls for Expense Reduction and Monetizing Assets to Pay Creditors
- Characterize Detroiters as "Customers "
  - > Must Treat Citizens with Respect
  - > Attractiveness of City to Residents and Businesses is Key
- Restructuring is About Revitalization, Not Just Creditor Recoveries



Confidential

26

DTMI00128756

E

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 26]

Prepare to Defend the Budget.

The Budget will have to be defended against creditor criticism that it provides for excessive expenditures in light of the city's financial circumstances. We are prepared to build this case and address any concerns, including Constitutional or other legal challenges.

Although there is not much law in this area, Jones Day believes that the City can defend a decision to spend revenues at a level necessary to assure that the City functions properly and can attract residents and businesses.

Focus on "Who does Detroit serve?"

The citizens of Michigan benefit from a revitalized Detroit serving as an engine/economic driver for the state economy.

Need to establish a credible case that stability and restoration are key elements of reinvestment in Detroit.

At the same time, need to defend against approaches that focus on expense reduction and monetizing assets to pay creditors.

This will require daily coordinated effort of the City and its advisors, in further collaboration with the State.

We learned this lesson from Orange County – daily collection of information, discussion of core group, documentation of actions taken or considered and communication are key.

City should characterize its residents as "customers," a class of constituents that ordinarily is accorded significant benefits in business reorganizations.

Creditors may attempt to characterize residents as the "owners" or "voters" who should make sacrifices to facilitate payment of creditor claims. The changes in the population of the City indicates that citizens can "vote with their feet" by leaving. A viable restructuring for a strong and vibrant Detroit must treat its citizens with respect, just as a successful business in the private sector treats its customers.

But also must focus on "absentee" customers to obtain City services without contributing sufficiently to the City.

#### **Explore All Avenues to Pay Creditors**

- Creditors (and any Bankruptcy Court) Expect Reasonable Efforts to Minimize Shortfalls to Creditors
- Must Explore Long List of Options for Saving or Raising Money
  - Raising Taxes and Fees
  - Non-Core Asset Sales
  - Reducing Expenses

- > Borrowing Options
- > Pay-Per-Use Taxation
- Regional Solutions
- Any Savings Must Be Consistent with the City's Revitalization Plans

A record should be established that all avenues have been explored to minimize the concessions sought from stakeholders, to bolster the notion of shared sacrifice and to support the City's case for debt reduction if a Chapter 9 ultimately is commenced.



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 28]

Creditors – and ultimately the Bankruptcy Court if a chapter 9 case is filed – will expect that the City exert reasonable efforts to reduce or eliminate any shortfall in amounts available to pay creditors.

\_\_\_\_

Must find the right way to fix the deterioration in the City's balance sheet, including the rate and maturity of debt. Recent events have driven to too much short term debt (for a municipality). Must be able to assure both municipal debtholders and legacy creditors that this is not just a process of imposing more undesirable terms.

In practice, the City will be required to develop long lists of options for saving or raising money, evaluate the practicality of each of the options identified and pursue promising approaches.

In past cases, creditors have suggested: Raising taxes and fees. Selling assets creditors deemed to be excess or not required. Reducing expenses. Borrowing against revenue streams generated by municipal assets. Borrow surplus amounts in funds administered by the municipality

Revenue enhancement alternatives should be explored, encouraged and defended, even in a chapter 9 setting. For example: Pay-Per-Use Taxation. Consider implement pay-per-use taxation model as (1) impetus for voluntary compliance with "Core Detroit" infrastructure and service rationalization initiatives and/or (2) means to capture revenue from surrounding suburban communities that have historically expanded as Detroit's city center shrunk.

Regional cooperation/solutions also should be explored.

Any savings ultimately must be consistent with the plans to revitalize Detroit.

A record should be established that all avenues have been explored to minimize the concessions sought from stakeholders, to bolster the notion of shared sacrifice and to support the City's case for debt reduction if a chapter 9 ultimately is commenced.

Even in chapter 9, all pre-bankruptcy efforts should be highlighted to demonstrate the City's good faith efforts to resolve issues.

This also will help drive a model of equitable shared sacrifice of all stakeholders.

Given initiatives underway relating to labor and benefits, it appears that the municipal bondholders, swap participants and monoline insurers likely will be the last contributor and must understand the entire picture of sacrifices obtained over time.

### **Exploring Creditor Recoveries:** Challenges and Lessons Learned

Raising Taxes: Difficult and Possibly Counterproductive

> Tax Relief May Be Needed to Promote Investment

Asset Sales Pose Challenges to Generating Substantial Revenue

> Sales of Assets to Pay Creditors May Not Promote Revitalization

- Reducing Expenditures Should Not Undermine Restructuring Goals
- Borrowing May Be Limited by Legal Restrictions

Notwithstanding any challenges, the City will have to demonstrate to interested parties that all of these alternatives, and perhaps others, have been fully and fairly evaluated.



[Speaker Notes For Slide: 30]

In Jones Day's experience:

Raising additional taxes, particularly given the economic hardship in Detroit, may be difficult (if not impossible) and may be counterproductive. In fact, an evaluation of means to increase private investment dollars in Detroit suggest the need for tax relief and incentives.

Efforts by municipalities to sell or monetize asset often pose challenges to generating material value.

Disputes over the use of proceeds can undermine the benefits of an asset sale, while eroding the municipality's asset base.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, there are exceptions and unique and creative structures for asset monetization can and should be explored.

Working with the State to maximize asset revenues and cut costs could be a viable alternative to asset sales.

Regional initiatives also could be explored (joint redevelopment, sharing of services, joint purchasing arrangements).

Note: Asset monetization outside of bankruptcy may implicate eligibility requirement that City be insolvent (e.g., measured by short-term cash).

Troubled municipalities have reduced expenditures repeatedly even before financial difficulties become acute. That is true in Detroit, but additional reductions should be evaluated where feasible without undermining the City's restructuring goals.

Given the recent sacrifices already imposed on employees and legacy creditors, the City should focus prompt attention on municipal debtholders and investors who have in some cases improved their positions.

Borrowing surplus amounts in funds administered by the municipality is complicated by State and/or Federal restrictions encumbering such funds.

Using proceeds of borrowings against revenue streams to pay City obligations is also affected by laws restricting use of the underlying assets and revenue streams.

Notwithstanding any challenges, the City will have to demonstrate to interested parties that all of these alternatives, and perhaps others, have been fully and fairly evaluated.

Confidential

#### Equitable Shared Sacrifice Among Creditor Groups

- Equitable Does Not Mean Equal
  - Parties Have Different Rights and Protections (Constitutional, Contractual, Legal)
- Tension Between Employees/Retirees and Bondholders/Investors
  - Employee/Retiree Sacrifices Must Be Sufficient, but Should Not Undermine Ability to Recruit and Retain
  - Employees and Retirees Already Have Made Sacrifices, While Municipal Debtholders Have Improved Position
  - Should Look at Entire Restructuring Process: Equality of Sacrifice Cannot Be Measured at a Single Point in Time
- Legal Uncertainty Regarding Sixth Circuit Treatment of Legacy Claims
- Consider Expanding Sacrifices Regionally

The City will have to develop a proposal for dealing effectively with the issues of equitable sacrifice.



Ш

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 32]

Allocation of Sacrifice Among Competing Creditor Groups

In general, under United States bankruptcy law, including chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code, amounts available for distribution to creditors must be allocated in an equitable manner. "Equitable" generally means equally unless there are meaningful distinctions among the rights of competing creditors.

Recent chapter 9 cases and out of court negotiations involving troubled municipalities have involved significant disputes about whether or not workforce related claims (including claims for pension and other retiree benefits) should have some form of priority over claims for borrowed money and other commercial claims.

Pension and employee benefit commitments often are included in executory contracts. This reality may also permit some kinds of distinctive treatment from other creditor claims. In that regard, cuts in employee benefits and wages should be reasonably calibrated so that Detroit civil service compensation is market appropriate: cuts should not be so severe that the City cannot attract and retain qualified civil servants.

While legacy creditors are already being asked to make sacrifices, and have done so over the past number of months, municipal debt investors have been improving their positions. Municipal bondholders, swap participants and monoline insurers must be asked to make sacrifices compared to legacy creditors over an extended look back period. Equality of sacrifice cannot fairly be measured at a single point in time.

Tensions between statutes that protect the rights of municipal employees and the ability of municipal debtors to impair collective bargaining agreements have led to differing outcomes in bankruptcy court.

Outside of bankruptcy, Michigan employees enjoy certain constitutional protections for benefits (primarily accrued pension benefits). These protections have analogues in Michigan statutes and Detroit regulations, separate and apart from protections that may be included in CBAs, but are nevertheless considered "contractual" promises. Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code – which is applicable to Chapter 9 proceedings – would provide Detroit with the ability to evaluate all of its "executory contracts" (including CBAs). Moreover, certain stringent restrictions on the rejection of CBAs otherwise applicable in bankruptcy do not apply in chapter 9.

Sections 903 and 904 of the Bankruptcy Code protect the power of a State to control municipalities and prohibit bankruptcy courts from interfering with the governmental power of the debtor. These provisions can be read to limit the ability of bankruptcy courts to disregard state law within chapter 9.

Thus, bankruptcy courts presiding over chapter 9 proceedings have reached differing conclusions with respect to the extent to which state laws protecting employees impact a debtor's ability to address CBA or other contractual benefits issues.

>> For example, in Orange County, certain county employee coalitions successfully prevented the debtor from modifying CBAs in a manner inconsistent with California law in connection with certain seniority rights.

>> On the other hand, in Vallejo, the bankruptcy court held that the filing of a Chapter 9 petition effectively foreclosed the application of state law in the CBA context. The Stockton court generally seconded Vallejo's approach regarding the primacy of federal law in chapter 9 in upholding the debtor's ability to reduce contractual OPEB benefits.

It is unclear whether bankruptcy courts within the Sixth Circuit – perhaps the most pro-union Circuit in the nation – would adopt the Orange County or the Vallejo/Stockton approach to incorporation of state law into chapter 9 proceedings.

The City also could look to expand sacrifices regionally, particularly in connection with shared services and shared benefits. Pursuing regional sacrifice may generate untested legal issues.

The City will have to develop a proposal for dealing effectively with the issues of equitable sacrifice.

#### PART IV – COMPONENTS AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR RESTRUCTURING PLAN

Confidential

34



13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 35 of 76

**Key Plan Components** 

- Pensions and Benefits
- Labor
- Municipal Debt
- Funds for Reinvestment

JONES DAY.

Confidential

35

DTMI00128765

#### **Contrasting Approaches in Recent Chapter 9 Cases**

- Stockton, California
  - > Continued Pension Contributions to CalPERS as Protected by State Law
  - > Ceased Retiree Health Plan Premiums
  - > Proposed Impairment of Certain Bond Debt, Pensions Unimpaired
  - > Restructuring Opposed by Municipal Bondholders
- San Bernardino, California
  - Ceased Pension Contributions to CalPERS, Seeking Impairment
  - Restructuring Opposed by CalPERS and Labor, and Supported by Municipal Bondholders
- Central Falls, Rhode Island
  - > New State Law Created Lien in Favor of Municipal Debt Service Payments
  - > Bondholders Paid 100%; Pensions/Benefits Sharply Cut
  - > Policy to Favor Investors and Protect Credit Ratings



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 36]

Pensions and Benefits in pending California cases

The City of Stockton (population 300,000) and the City of San Bernardino (population 215,000) filed chapter 9 cases within a month of each other in mid-2012.

Like many California municipalities, both cities have very large liabilities in respect of unfunded pension and employee benefit obligations. However, each has a taken a different course of action with respect to those liabilities.

Upon filing for bankruptcy, San Bernardino ceased contributions to the California Public Employee Retirement System (CalPERS) for amounts due in respect of unfunded pension obligations (both pre- and post-bankruptcy filing), and indicated that it intends to impair the claims of CalPERS like any other unsecured claim in the bankruptcy case.

Stockton, on the other hand, has continued making contributions to CalPERS and vowed to leave all CalPERS claims (the largest claims in the case) unimpaired under any plan of adjustment.

Stockton – supported by CalPERS – asserts that its obligations to CalPERS are mandated by state law and cannot be impaired in a chapter 9 case due to the limitations of sections 903 and 904 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Interestingly, Stockton has ceased paying retiree health care premiums related to promised health care benefits. Upon motion by the retirees to seek to force the city to resume such payments, the bankruptcy court issued a lengthy and important opinion touching on many questions of state sovereignty and supremacy of bankruptcy law, ultimately holding that section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code prohibited the court from granting the requested injunction against the city. In re City of Stockton, 478 B.R. 8 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2012). The effect of the court's opinion was to leave the retirees without a remedy, except for negotiating their treatment under the chapter 9 plan of adjustment.

The Stockton and San Bernardino cases are proceeding along parallel but opposite tracks.

In San Bernardino, due to the city's cessation of contributions to CalPERS, both CalPERS and labor groups have objected to the city's chapter 9 petition and argued, among other things, that the city will be incapable of confirming a plan of adjustment that impairs the CalPERS claims. Bondholders and bond insurers have responded in support of the city and in opposition to the CalPERS and labor objections.

In Stockton, due to the city's statement that it will not seek to impair CalPERS (and instead will seek only to impair bondholders and other unsecured creditors), it is the bondholders and bond insurers who have objected to the city's chapter 9 petition, arguing among other things that the city will be unable to confirm a chapter 9 plan of adjustment that does not impair the CalPERS claim. CalPERS has responded in support of the city and in opposition to the bondholders and bond insurers.

The cases likely will produce one or more decisions that touch upon the role and efficacy of state pension and labor law in a chapter 9 case and, when appeals ensue, ultimately may produce circuit-level authority regarding whether or not federal bankruptcy law governs in this context.

~~~

In Rhode Island, a municipality effectively accorded priority to borrowed money creditors.

Central Falls, Rhode Island filed for chapter 9 protection in August 2011, suffering from structural budget deficits and burdensome/unpayable pension and retiree benefit obligations.

Legacy Creditors – Pensions and OPEB

Unfunded OPEB and Pension Liabilities Pose Challenges

> Unfunded OPEB Liabilities Pose the Greater Challenge

Pensions Have Substantial Underfunding, but Also Significant Plan Assets

OPEB is Much Larger and Unfunded

- > Health Premiums Exceed Debt Service on General Obligations
- Equitable Restructuring of Legacy Obligations Possible
 - > Fewer Legal Protections for OPEB Than Pensions
 - > Pensions Constitutionally Protected, but Reasonable Changes Possible
- Formulation of Unified Labor Negotiation Strategy Critical to Success



Confidential

DTMI00128768

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 38]

E

Legacy Creditors (Pensions and OPEB)

Detroit has significant OPEB liabilities and unfunded pension liabilities (i.e., unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities reported as approximately \$5.7 billion and \$643 million, respectively).

Recent work by Milliman suggests that unfunded pension liabilities are substantially greater than \$643 million and may exceed \$2 billion.

Given the magnitude of these liabilities, sound and long-term restructuring of Detroit's obligations will require across the board sacrifice from legacy creditors.

As between pension and retiree health (OPEB), OPEB poses the greater liability challenge. Pensions have substantial underfunding, but also significant plan assets; by contrast, there is no funding of OPEB and it is a significant cash drain. The City's annual health premiums far exceed principal and interest payments on general obligations.

Tools are available for an equitable restructuring.

OPEB has less legal protections under state law than pensions, providing a greater ability to cut and equitably restructure.

Pensions have certain state constitutional protections, but reasonable pension changes may be made that avoid the legal strategy of having to argue that federal bankruptcy law under chapter 9 overrides state constitutional protections.

Formulating a unified labor negotiation strategy will be critical to success. The benefit structure should be holistically analyzed to determine what changes are appropriate and necessary and can be rationally justified by other retained benefits.

Potential Pension Reform Initiatives

- Jones Day Has Significant Public and Private Pension Experience
 - We Know, Respect and Are Prepared to Work with the City's Other Advisors on Benefit Issues
 - > Plan Must Be Developed Collaboratively Between City and Its Advisors
- A Framework for Pension Reform Could Include the Following Elements:
 - > Require All New Employees to Participate in DCPs, Rather than DBPs
 - > Prospectively Eliminate COLAs for active members
 - > Raise Retirement Ages, Reduce Early Retirement Subsidies
 - > Consider Changes to Secondary/Ancillary Features of DBPs
- We believe that existing basic pension formulas can be preserved for current active members while saving significant sums for Detroit

40



[Speaker Notes For Slide: 40]

Potential Pension Reform Initiatives.

We understand that the City has engaged other pension and benefit experts on these issues.

We know, regularly work with and respect the City's other advisors and would look forward to working with them to refine the recommendations to fit within a restructuring or chapter 9 strategy.

We have significant public pension experience, and well know the differences between federally-regulated private sector arrangements and public plans.

We have begun the process of evaluating the pension issues facing the City. Any plan would need to developed based on the collaborative work of the City and its advisors after further review. Based on what we know, and our experience in other matters, we believe a framework for pension could include the following elements: Require all new employees to participate in defined contribution plans, rather than defined benefits plans.

Prospectively eliminate COLAs for all active members.

Raise retirement ages for certain employees, reduce early retirement subsidies.

Additional changes to secondary and ancillary features of defined benefit pension arrangements.

Proposed changes could allow most existing basic pension formulas to be preserved for current active members while saving significant sums for the City. But legislative action – e.g., amendment to the City Charter and Ordinances – may be necessary to achieve certain of these changes.

If needed, chapter 9 could be used as a means to further cut back or compromise "accrued financial benefits" otherwise protected under the Michigan Constitution.

DTMI00128771

Potential OPEB Reform Initiatives

- Evaluate Benefits of De-linking Retiree Health Plan from Active Employee Health Plan Design and Contribution Structure
- Design of a New Replacement OPEB Plan Could Include the Following:
 - > Increase Retirement Age
 - Transition Younger Retirees to State-Based Exchanges and Federal Subsidies under Affordable Care Act
 - > Increase Use of the Medicare Programs Part A, Part B, and Part D
 - > Impose Reasonable Retiree Premiums (For Existing Retirees, Link to COLAs)
 - > Audit Records to Cut Off Funding to Unqualified Dependants
 - > Consider Defined Contribution Model for Future Retirees
- Consider Funding of OPEB through Tax-Exempt Trust
- Evaluate Required Scope of Coverages under City Code
- Be Prepared for Argument that Adverse 6th Circuit Law Applies
 - > 6th Circuit Adverse Cases Involve Private Sector Plans, but Unions Likely Will Argue They Are Applicable Here



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 42]

Potential OPEB Reform Initiatives.

We have begun the process of evaluating the OPEB issues facing the City. Any plan needs to address both the long-term OPEB liability, and the significant annual cost for coverage. This would need to be developed based on the collaborative work of the City and its advisors after further review. Based on what we know, and our experience in other matters, we believe a framework for OPEB obligations could include some or all of the following elements:

the open the Re upon, if the red r this appears, you take to delive the red r this appears, you

The City Should Evaluate "De-Linking" of Benefits Under Retiree Health Plans from Plan Design and Contribution Structure for Active Employees. Linking OPEB benefits to plan for active employees generates large inefficiencies and costs. It will be more difficult to address the \$5.7 billion underfunding of OPEB benefits without severing this link.

Design New Replacement OPEB Plan

Retiree healthcare currently is provided to many younger individuals who are not objectively recognized as retirees. Modifications could increase retirement age to cover only "true" retirees (as opposed to persons in their 40s), to decrease costs and transition younger retirees to state-based exchanges (based on residence) and available federal subsidies under Affordable Care Act.

Better use of the Medicare programs - Part A, Part B, and Part D (drug benefits) to provide the fundamental coverage to true retirees.

Impose reasonable retiree premiums; link retiree premiums to pension COLAs.

Audit records to cut off funding of benefits to ineligible dependents.

Consider defined contribution model for retirees.

Also Consider Funding of OPEB through a Tax Exempt Trust

Available in the non-ERISA, governmental plan setting based on existing IRS guidance (e.g., Private Letter Ruling 201136007; September 9, 2011). Provides more flexibility than a VEBA, but still need cash or other assets to fund such a trust, so this may not be a viable approach.

Language in the Detroit City Code establishing the OPEB obligations may allow argument that the scope of currently offered coverages is not required.

Also, unlike accrued pension benefits, OPEB obligations are not constitutionally protected.

Must be aware, and wary, of Sixth Circuit law that is favorable to unions/retirees and adverse to actions the City may wish to take. Much of the most concerning Sixth Circuit law is predicated on federal ERISA and the Labor Management Relations Act (relating to private sector plans), which are inapplicable to municipal plans.

But we should anticipate an argument that this reasoning should be applied as a matter of state law to municipal plans, and the City should be prepared for that.

Chapter 9 could be used, or threatened, as a means to accomplish a compromise of benefit costs (rejecting contracts or compromising claims).

Labor Issues

- Renegotiation of CBAs Must Focus on Economic Stability
- Immediately Conduct Supporting Financial Analysis

Establish Necessary Savings

> Demonstrate Fair Allocation Between Personnel and Non-Personnel Costs

• Demonstrate a Commitment to the Unions That They Are Partners

> Demonstrate That Near-Term Sacrifices Provide Long-Term Benefits

- Formulate Easy-to-Understand Messages for Membership/Public
- Consider Need to Amend City Charter and Code, or other Legislative Action, for Pension/OPEB Changes



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 44]

Labor

Renegotiating collective bargaining agreements to terms that provide economic sustainability will be key to the City's recovery.

Financial analysis must be conducted at the outset – and must be unimpeachable – to show the savings needed and how those necessary savings are being allocated between personnel vs. non-personnel related costs.

Will need to demonstrate a commitment to unions that they are partners in this process and the near term sacrifices will provide longer term benefits to their members (preservation of jobs – a return to financial health for Detroit).

In addition to conveying these economic needs at the bargaining table, we must be prepared to provide simple, easy to understand messaging to the membership and the public.

City charter and ordinances governing pensions may provide an additional hurdle that will need to be overcome via legislative amendment. Renegotiating collective bargaining agreements will not automatically supersede ordinances and charter. There appears to be somewhat more flexibility on the retiree medical expenses, but here too there may be a need for legislative action.

ge cannot be deplayed. Your computer may not rough memory to open the mage, or the mage we been corrupted. But at your tomputer, and ean the file span; if the red a still appents, you you to define the encoge and than eyes it span. E

Municipal Debt – Overview of Approach

• Viable Threat of Chapter 9 is Critical

> Only Chapter 9 Allows Non-Consensual Impairment of Municipal Debt

- Fair Sacrifice Needed from Municipal Debtholders
 - > Employees/Retirees Already Sacrificed (and May Again)
 - > Investors Accepted City's Credit and Were Compensated for Risk
 - Investors Have Adjusted Credit Terms to Their Benefit as City's Finances Worsened
- City Should Make Clear That It is Not Prepared to Dedicate Scarce Resources to Prefer Debtholders over Residents, Businesses and Revenue-Driving Activities
- Refinanceable Bond Debt Presents a Unique Opportunity
 - > Potential for Advantageous Fixed Rates Over an Extended Term



Confidential

E

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 46]

Municipal Debt [Emphasize Jones Day's significant expertise/experience with respect to municipal finance, a core competency that may separate Jones Day from other firms.]

Chapter 9 is only real mechanism to impair significant debt without consent, so the viable threat of chapter 9 is critical especially to deal with municipal debtholders. This creates real leverage.

Sacrifice by municipal debtholders is important, especially since employees and retirees have already made real personal sacrifices, and may be asked for more. Providers and investors in funded debt accepted the City's credit and were compensated for the risk.

We are prepared to deal with these parties as creditors of the City in transparent and fair manner.

It should be made clear that the City is not prepared to dedicate scarce resources to prefer debtholders over residents, businesses and revenue driving activities. Any effort of General Obligation creditors to obtain the collateral protections of special revenue bonds should be approached with caution.

Different types of debt receive different treatment in municipal bankruptcy cases.

General obligation bonds are treated as general debt in chapter 9. A municipality is not required to make payments of either principal or interest on account of such bonds during the case.

Certain restrictions on how debt may be readjusted in traditional bankruptcy proceedings do not apply in chapter 9. Thus, Detroit would be able to impose favorable terms upon general obligation bonds (e.g., the imposition of non market interest; drastically extended repayment terms; delays in cash payments) pursuant to a Plan of Adjustment, the only caveat being that such terms are consistent with State law.

Chapter 9, however, provides certain protections to creditors holding liens upon special project revenues. This may be of particular importance to Detroit, given the scope of its special project debt (e.g., bonds issued in connection with the construction/overhaul of water and sewer plants, collateralized with the revenues and fees earned by such projects).

Specifically, the "special revenues" from these projects remain subject to the liens of the bondholders in the specific projects and those revenues (1) must be used to fund the "necessary operating expenses" of the special project or to pay back bondholders and (2) may not be diverted to support the general obligations of the municipality. Defining what constitutes the "necessary operating expenses" of a given special project has been the subject of litigation in other chapter 9 cases (most recently, Jefferson County); courts appear inclined to interpret the phrase narrowly. The Jefferson County case is for review by the Eleventh Circuit.

With a credible threat of chapter 9, the City has leverage:

Cramdown in chapter 9 is possible if there is one accepting impaired class, meaning that a non-accepting class of debtholders could be bound by the Plan of Adjustment to compromise their debt.

Amortizations of debt suggest that municipal debt holders have been adjusting their credit terms to their benefit as the City's finances have worsened. This supports a greater sacrifice at this point by debtholders.

This also appears to a readily re-financeable structure, and there is a unique opportunity to obtain advantageous fixed rates for an extended period if the negotiations are conducted properly.

Municipal Debt – Other Issues

- Carefully Evaluate POC Debt and Related Swaps
 - > Unique Structure Could Raise Restructuring Issues
- Swap Termination Issues
 - > Termination Rights Generally Protected in Bankruptcy
 - > Recent Grant of Collateral Still Can Be Evaluated for Fraudulent Transfer
 - > Consider Other Market Transactions to Address Swaps
- Engage Monoline Insurers Promptly with Coordinated Message
- Coordinate Funding Solutions with State
 - State Likely Instrumental in Financing, Revenue-Generating and Cost-Cutting Transactions
 - > Several Recent Examples
 - > Consider Eligibility Ramifications
- Should Pursue Achieving Some Excess Debt Capacity, Flexibility



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 48]

Treatment of POC debt and related swaps should be carefully evaluated because the unique structure could raise issues in a restructuring.

Swap counterparties may assert termination rights and must be addressed promptly.

Can build on negotiations that have been ongoing.

Termination rights not impacted by bankruptcy do to safe harbors, but treatment of claims may remain an issue.

Notwithstanding safe harbors, recent granting of collateral on swap debt could be avoidable if there was fraud. LIBOR rate cases may be relevant. City should consider addressing swaps through market transactions.

For substantial insured debt, the credit insurers will have to be engaged in a meaningful way at the outset. Jones Day is experienced dealing with monoline insurers with the economic interest relating to insured debt. Monolines are involved in both bond and POC debt.

Funding solutions should be coordinated with the State, which is expected to be an instrumental party in any new financing transaction or other revenue generating or cost cutting transactions (e.g., Belle Isle lease, regional transportation authority, funding to support Detroit Downtown Development Authority and possible new arena and commercial developments).

Again, receipt of State funding out-of-court may implicate eligibility concerns.

Debt negotiations should account for need for some excess debt capacity to cover potential shortfalls, provide flexibility and, if needed, fund any legacy debt solutions.

PART V – Additional Process Points

Confidential

50



13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 51 of 76

Pay Careful Attention to Political Implications of Restructuring

- All Decisions and Actions Will Have Political Implications and Consequences
- Political Implications of Proposals Should Be Identified and Vetted as Early as Possible
- Ensure that All Statements Are Consistent with Overall Communications Plan

The Jones Day team has extensive experience in cases of national significance and understands this imperative.



DTMI00128781

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 51]

Every decision and action taken by the City in responding to its financial crises will have political implications and, potentially, political consequences.

Political aspects of all proposed actions have to be identified and vetted as early as possible.

Every statement (including any court filings or arguments in court) must be consistent with an overall communications plan.

Statements made in collective bargaining must be carefully considered. Prospect of negotiation positions and statements being leaked to media outlets is significant.

Must be strong sensitivity to public relations campaigns by various constituencies.

The Jones Day team has extensive experience in cases of national significance and interest and understands these imperatives.

may have been corrupted. It may have been corrupted. It than open the file again. If th may have to delete the image DTMI00128782

Understand and Anticipate Positions of Creditors

- Employees/Retirees Will Argue:
 - > Obligations Cannot Be Modified as a Matter of Law
 - > Modifications Make City Less Attractive to Qualified Job Candidates
 - > Continuing Contractual Relationship Should Be Preferred over Debt
- Debtholders/Monoline Insurers Will Argue:
 - > Repayment of Debt Essential to Continuing Access to Credit Markets
 - > State Has a Moral/Practical Obligation to Ensure Repayment
 - > Defer and Provide Security for Obligations Instead of Impairment
- City Responses Must Be Reasoned and Consistent
- Concessions Will Not Be Made to Give Any Group an Unfair Advantage
- Critically, New Revenues Must Be Preserved for Reinvestment
 - > New Funders Cannot Be Compelled to Accede to Creditor Demands
 - > Earmark New Money for Legally/Politically Sound Revitalization Activities



[Speaker Notes For Slide: 53] Anticipated Positions of Creditors: Municipal employees and their representatives will contend: Obligations to them cannot be modified as a matter of law. Obligations to employees should not be modified because the City has to be able to attract job candidates and cannot fall behind pay and benefit packages provided by either nearby municipalities or other unionized businesses. Obligations to employees do not have to be impaired to the same extent as borrowed money creditors because their claims are connected to contracts that have to continue in effect. Debt holders and insurers will contend: Repayment of borrowed money claims is essential to access to financing markets going forward. This argument is used to justify extreme budget austerity and asset sales, whether or not beneficial on a long term basis. The State of Michigan has a moral or practical obligation to ensure repayment of City debt. This argument is used to attempt to convince the State to contribute State resources to the satisfaction of City debt and to induce the State to pressure the City to make choices favoring debt repayment over other priorities. Deferral of obligations, even if ability to pay in the future is uncertain or questionable, is a preferred approach to deal with inability to satisfy obligations. Usually this approach is coupled with demands for security that will improve the position of borrowed money creditors in any subsequent debt restructuring. The City must be prepared to respond to these competing points of view in a reasoned and consistent manner. Concessions should not be made to one group - such as debtholders - to give them an unfair advantage. Most critically, as the City gains access to new revenues, it must develop an approach that preserves those revenues for reinvestment in the City and not just to pay off preexisting debts. As seen in the Chrysler case, new funders or buyers cannot be compelled to accede to creditor demands. New money may be earmarked for revitalization activities as long as the transaction is legally and politically sound.

Confidential

Plan of Adjustment Issues

- Enabling Legislation May Be Necessary
 - > <u>E.g.</u>, Authorization of Financing Techniques
 - > Identify and Draft Necessary Legislation Early to Avoid Delays
- Best Interests Test
 - > Demonstrate Reasonable Efforts to Satisfy Debts to the Extent Possible
- Cram Down: Impose Terms of Plan on Dissenting Creditor Classes
 - A Municipality Must Raise Taxes to the Extent Possible Without Triggering a "Death Spiral"
 - > Expert Testimony on Tax Burdens May Be Needed

It is crucial to focus on the plan approval standards throughout the debt adjustment process in case a Chapter 9 case is required.

55



[Speaker Notes For Slide: 55]

Plan of Adjustment

The goal of a chapter 9 filing for Detroit would be to emerge with a successful "Plan of Adjustment," in which the City's debts are reduced and/or restructured in a manner that is feasible given its budget and consistent with its long term revitalization strategy

The Plan of Adjustment is a document that would establish the treatment of the various classes of creditors' claims against Detroit.

Enabling Legislation May Be Necessary

Often, transactions contemplated by or specified in a Plan of Adjustment must be also authorized by legislation.

Examples of such legislation include authorization of financing techniques.

Needed legislation should be identified and drafted as early in the process as possible to avoid delays as bills move their way through the legislature.

Key Confirmation Standards

Best Interests Test

Applicable to all creditors, whether or not the creditor is in a class that has accepted the plan.

As generally applied, requires a troubled municipality to make reasonable efforts under all the circumstances to satisfy its debts to the greatest extent possible. The strategy outlined above should help us support this finding.

Cram Down

Confirmation of a non-consensual plan is possible under chapter 9.

Senior classes must be paid before more junior classes can receive any distribution.

Applied to municipalities with unlimited taxing power, a municipality must use its ability to raise taxes the extent possible without triggering a "death spiral" that would ultimately destroy the municipality.

Traditionally, this question has been determined based upon expert testimony.

Tax burdens in other comparable municipalities should be important as well.

It is crucial to focus on these standards through the debt adjustment process and continuing to build the case that if necessary, the City can confirm a Plan of Adjustment that does not provide for payment in full of all indebtedness.

Any Chapter 9 Process Should Be Comprehensive

- Plans of Adjustment Address Narrow Range of Economic Compromises
- Other Fundamental Changes Must Occur Outside the Plan Context
- Any Chapter 9 Process Should Pursue as Many Revitalization Initiatives as Possible
- Negotiating in Chapter 9 or Its Shadow Is a Powerful Tool for Revitalization
- The City Should Take Advantage of Its Opportunity for Long-Term, Comprehensive Solutions



Confidential

57

DTMI00128787

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 57]

Any Chapter 9 Process Should Be Comprehensive

A chapter 9 Plan of Adjustment can only accomplish a narrow band of economic compromises.

This type of debt restructuring is critical, but other fundamental changes of great importance can only occur outside of the Plan of Adjustment.

If a chapter 9 case is commenced, the City should use the process to address as many additional items as possible, not just the core debt readjustment issues in a Plan of Adjustment.

Negotiating in the chapter 9 environment – or even in the shadow of chapter 9 – is a powerful tool to pursue the City's revitalization agenda.

Key Restructuring Lessons

- Develop Comprehensive Plan, Supported by Defensible Budget and Assumptions
- Develop Out-of-Court Approach That Translates to Chapter 9
- Set Clear Positions Early
- Be Inclusive and Communicate
- Pursue Shared Sacrifice Without Compromising Long-Term Revitalization Goals



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 59]

Key Restructuring Lessons

Develop comprehensive plan, supported by defensible budget and assumptions.

Develop out-of-court approach that will work if needed in chapter 9.

Set positions early.

Be inclusive and communicate.

Pursue shared sacrifice without compromising long-term revitalization goals.

\Box
<u> </u>
-
\leq
-
×
Ų
2
ω
2
õ
0

Confidential

60

PART VI – OTHER ISSUES

Confidential

61

JONES DAY.

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 62 of 76

Select Asset Monetization Issues

- Evaluate Impact of Any Asset Sale on Chapter 9 Eligibility
- Water and Sewer
 - Various Legal and Practical Challenges Facing Monetization of DWSD Assets
 - Regional Stakeholders Will Seek Input
 - Consider Collaboration with EPA and Regional Partners to Develop Creative Solutions
- Lease/Operating Agreements
 - Could Evaluate for Airport or Other Assets
 - Recent Collaborations with State Could Be a Model
- Airport Privatization Under FAA Pilot Program



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 62] Asset Sale/Privatization/Monetization Issues (Select Issues) Concerns regarding eligibility for Chapter 9 may be implicated by asset monetization. Any transaction should be reviewed and structured to address any eligibility issues (e.g., earmarking of funds). Water and Sewer Detroit Water and Sewer Department services much of southeast Michigan (Wayne, Oakland, Macomb counties). Much of the DWSD's infrastructure is owned and operated by these surrounding counties (and the communities located therein), complicating efforts at restructuring. DWSD services nearly 100 "first-tier" and "second-tier" customers (e.g., from the surrounding counties), all of whom would seek input with respect to restructuring. Monetization of assets could be challenging. The Detroit City Charter prohibits the sale of "any city-owned public utility furnishing water and sewerage services, unless approved by a majority of city voters voting on the question at a regular or special election." Statutes/codes/caselaw may require that funds received from disposition be allocated (e.g., to pension/OPEB liabilities) in a fashion that frustrates ability to allocate funds towards restructuring initiatives. Monetization of assets may trigger consequences under existing debt and derivative documents. Open question whether limited universe of "purchasers" could assume liabilities. An emergency manager, a chapter 9 proceeding or changes to law could be required to overcome obstacles. Consider different rate structures, regional authority. EPA litigation complicates the circumstances. Possibly could explore with EPA and other recipients of services how to make this self-sustaining and profitable. Negotiating in the shadow of bankruptcy could provide useful leverage for creative solutions. Combination Lease/Operating Agreement Could be used for airport or other assets. The proposed Belle Isle lease may be a model for this kind of transaction. With respect to the Coleman Young airport, for example, Detroit could evaluate entering into an arrangement combining a lease of airport property with an airfield operating agreement, with the end result being similar to the transfer of possession and operating responsibility to a private operator while falling short of a full lease of the airport. Under this model. Detroit would remain responsible for major operating and development decisions, but the burden of operating the airport on a daily basis would be alleviated. Might be more attractive to airport than FAA pilot program to sell asset that we can discuss (comes with various conditions). Airport Privatization Under FAA Pilot Program [De-emphasize in light of potential unavailability of program] Privatization of Detroit airports historically impeded by federal aviation law preventing cities from retaining the proceeds of an airport sale or transfer. A recent FAA pilot program – adopted by Congress in 1996 – for the privatization of airports, however, may allow Detroit to privatize an airport freed from federal restrictions on the use of proceeds. Program recently used to sell Midway Airport, with cash value going to the City of Chicago Under the program, the FAA is authorized to exempt up to ten airports from the relevant federal statutory and regulatory requirements (i.e., to repay Federal grants, return

Prepare for Legal Challenges

- In a Chapter 9 Setting, Legal Challenges of Various Actions Are Inevitable
 - > Jones Day Is Well Positioned to Address These Challenges
 - Substantial 6th Circuit Experience
 - > Knows How to Expedite Review
- Various Challenges to PA 436/Emergency Manager Authority Possible
 - > Challenges at Ballot Box: Renewed Referendum Process
 - > Other Legal Challenges in Court
 - > Challenges Could Cause Delay, Threaten Progress
 - Jones Day Can Work With the City's Current Advisors to Address Efficiently



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 64] Prepare for Legal Challenges If a chapter 9 case is commenced, it is anticipated that parties will to raise numerous legal challenges to the City's efforts. Jones Day is well prepared and positioned to address these challenges. We have substantial 6th Circuit experience. Also know how to expedite proceedings. The City also should be prepared to address expected challenges to PA 436 and the Authority of any Emergency Manager. These challenges could delay restructuring initiatives or threaten to overturn progress made under these authorities. Challenges could include: Challenges at Ballot Box. Critics of PA 436 have already indicated that legal challenges to the law will be forthcoming. Stand Up for Democracy (which led the effort to reject PA 4) has suggested that it will begin a similar referendum process with respect to PA 436 (although appropriation component of PA 436 may insulate it). Legal Challenges. Critics of PA 436 are likely to challenge the statute on grounds that the powers over contracts granted to emergency managers (including the power to reject, modify or terminate CBAs) violate the Contracts Clause of the U.S. Constitution. Further challenges could include (1) whether PA 436 can be insulated from the referendum process through inclusion of a minor appropriation, (2) whether the law properly grants unelected emergency managers the power to displace elected officials/disenfranchise the electorate and (3) whether PA 436 was properly enacted in light of the voter rejection of PA 4 (which has been characterized by some as "substantially similar").

DTMI00128795

Confidential

H

EPA Litigation Issues

- Restructuring Strategy Must Account for EPA Litigation and Rulings of Judge Cox
- Consider Approaches to Consolidate Issues with the Rest of the Restructuring Process
- Consider How EPA Litigation Could Impede or Assist Detroit in Chapter 9



[Speaker Notes For Slide: 66]

Other EPA Litigation Issues

Need to coordinate strategy with relevant aspects of EPA litigation, including rulings regarding CBAs and bargaining

Consider approaches to consolidate these discrete issue with the rest of the restructuring process.

Consider how EPA case could impede or be used to assist in any chapter 9 case.

0
_
-
\leq
×
Ý
~
~~
Ξ.
2
9
\sim

Confidential

E

PART VII - CONCLUSION

Confidential

Jones Day Is the Right Choice for Detroit

- We are committed to this project, which we view as a matter of particular importance given our Midwestern, industrial roots
- We are committed to working with the City and its advisors and stakeholders to find and pursue real solutions that will revitalize the City of Detroit
- We have a wealth of experience, expertise, creativity and energy throughout our firm
- We are here to help, as part of the team, in whatever way we can



Confidential

[Speaker Notes For Slide: 69]

Jones Day Is the Right Choice for Detroit:

We are committed to this project, which we view as a matter of particular importance given our Midwestern, industrial roots.

We are committed to working with the City and its advisors and stakeholders to find and pursue real solutions that will revitalize the City of Detroit.

E

We have a wealth of experience, expertise, creativity and energy throughout our firm.

We are here to help, as part of the team, in whatever way we can.

DTMI00128800

ANNEX A: THE REST OF THE JONES DAY TEAM

DTMI00128801

Confidential

71

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 72 of 76

The Jones Day Team



Jeffrey Ellman Restructuring



Evan Miller Employee Benefits



Sarah Heck Griffin Public Pensions



David Kates Public Finance



Brian Sedlak Public Projects & Infrastructure



Peter Clarke Public Projects & Infrastructure



Rebecca MacPherson Public Projects & Infrastructure



Naveen Rao Public Projects & Infrastructure



Confidential

The Jones Day Team



Robert Louis Ford



Lawrence DiNardo



Wesley Johnson, Jr. Mergers & Acquisitions



Beth Heifetz Issues & Appeals



Richard Deane Litigation



Yvette McGee Brown Litigation



Jayant Tambe



Chad Readler Litigation



Confidential

ANNEX B: CERTAIN REFERENCES

Confidential

74

JONES DAY.

13-53846-swr Doc 1557-1 Filed 11/06/13 Entered 11/06/13 16:58:49 Page 75 of 76

References

The Honorable John E. Ryan (Retired) United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California 760-522-6016

Thomas W. Hayes Former Treasurer and Director of Finance, State of California 916-806-6200

Chris Varelas Founding Partner, Riverwood Capital 650-618-7377

> JONES DAY.