IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, SOUTHERN DIVISION

|) Plaintiff, v. v. PAUL KENNEDY, etc., et al.,) Defendants.))))))))))))) | WINSTON DAVIS, |) | |
|---|-----------------------|---------|------------------|
| v.) CIVIL ACTION NO v.) 1:10cv137-MHT) (WO) PAUL KENNEDY, etc., et al.,)) | |) | |
| v.) 1:10cv137-MHT) (WO) PAUL KENNEDY, etc., et al.,)) | Plaintiff, |) | |
|) (WO) PAUL KENNEDY, etc., et al.,)) | |) | CIVIL ACTION NO. |
| PAUL KENNEDY, etc., et al.,) | v. |) | 1:10cv137-MHT |
|) | |) | (WO) |
|) Defendants.) | PAUL KENNEDY, etc., e | t al.,) | |
| Defendants.) | |) | |
| | Defendants. |) | |

OPINION

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, plaintiff filed this lawsuit asserting claims of false arrest, malicious prosecution, false imprisonment, and outrage. This lawsuit is now before the court on the recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge that plaintiff's case be dismissed. Also before the court are plaintiff's objections to the recommendation. After an independent and de novo review of the record, the court concludes that plaintiff's objections should be overruled and the magistrate judge's recommendation adopted. An appropriate judgment will be entered. DONE, this the 14th day of June, 2010.

> /s/ Myron H. Thompson UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE