

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

C.H. KINSEY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CASE NO. 1:11-cv-866-MEF
	)	
CENTURYTEL,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

This case is before the Court on a Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. # 7) to dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint (Doc. # 6) prior to service of process, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff has filed a timely Objection. (Doc. # 8.) The Court reviews *de novo* the portion of the Recommendation to which the Objection applies. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

As it is with Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint, Plaintiff’s Objection contains the barest of conclusory allegations: “YOUR HONOR, . . . but fraud is fraud.” (Objection 1.) Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint fails to meet the pleading standards under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8 and 9. After a review of the Recommendation and the Objection, it is ORDERED that the Recommendation (Doc. # 7) is ADOPTED and the Objection (Doc. # 8) OVERRULED. An appropriate final judgment will be entered.

DONE this the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2012.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Mark E. Fuller  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE