

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

EDWIN I. KNOWLES,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 1:17-cv-839-ALB
	)	
INZI CONTROLS ALABAMA, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the court on Plaintiff Edwin I. Knowles’s Objections to Portions of Defendant’s Evidentiary Submissions and Motion to Strike. (Doc. 33). Upon consideration, the motion is DENIED.

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure state that motions to strike are proper only to attack a pleading. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f); *see also* 2 Moore’s Federal Practice – Civil § 12.37 (2019) (“Only material included in a “pleading” may be the subject of a motion to strike, and courts have been unwilling to construe the term broadly .... Motions, briefs or memoranda, objections, or affidavits may not be attacked by the motion to strike.”). Accordingly, the court will treat motions purporting to strike evidence as notices of objection. *Reeves-Howard v. S. Union State Cmty. Coll.*, Civil Action No. 3:07cv967-MHT, 2009 WL 1442059, \*1 (M.D. Ala. 2009).

